

Taseko Mines Limited

New Prosperity Gold-Copper Mine Project

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

May 2012

DRAFT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
1 – BACKGROUND	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.1 Background.....	2
1.1.2 Approach.....	5
1.2 GUIDING PRINCIPLES	6
1.2.1 Environmental Assessment as a Planning Tool	7
1.2.2 Community Knowledge and Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge.....	8
1.2.3 Sustainable Development.....	10
1.2.4 Precautionary Principle.....	11
1.3 PREPARATION AND PRESENTATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	14
1.3.1 Study Strategy and Methodology.....	15
1.4 OUTLINE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	16
1.4.1 Preface.....	17
1.4.2 Acknowledgements.....	18
1.4.3 Executive Summary	20
1.4.4 Abbreviations	21
1.4.5 Organization of the EIS.....	29
1.5 USE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.....	30
1.6 TABLE OF CONCORDANCE.....	31
2 – CONTENTS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	32
2.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	32
2.1.1 The Proponent	34
2.1.2 Legal Framework and Role of Government.....	35
2.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	38
2.2.1 Need for and Purpose of the Project	38
2.2.2 Project Setting.....	40
2.2.3 Project Description.....	41
2.2.4 Mine Plan.....	63
2.2.5 Mine Development.....	74
2.2.6 Project Development Schedule	106
2.3 PROJECT SCOPING.....	115
2.3.1 Scope of Project	117
2.3.2 Scope of Assessment	122
2.3.3 Factors to be Considered	123
2.3.4 Scope of the Factors.....	124
2.3.5 Valued Ecosystem Components.....	125
2.3.6 Spatial Boundaries.....	128
2.3.7 Temporal Boundaries	129
2.4 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	130
2.4.1 Assessment of Alternatives and Selection of the Proposed Project.....	130
2.4.2 Alternatives to the Project.....	131
2.4.3 Alternative Means of Carrying out the Project.....	132

2.5	CONSULTATION.....	158
2.5.1	Aboriginal Consultation.....	159
2.5.2	Public Consultation.....	170
2.6	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT.....	178
2.6.1	Physical and Biological Environment.....	178
2.6.2	Socioeconomics, Culture and Human Health.....	348
2.6.3	Physical and Cultural Heritage Resources.....	362
2.6.4	Aboriginal Interests.....	363
2.7	IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	376
2.7.1	Approach to the Effects Prediction, Mitigation Measures and Significance of Residual Effects.....	378
2.7.2	Physical and Biological Environment.....	388
2.7.3	Socio-Economics, Culture and Human Health.....	961
2.7.4	Physical and Cultural Heritage Resources.....	1018
2.7.5	Aboriginal Interests.....	1023
2.7.6	Accidents and Malfunctions.....	1077
2.7.7	Effects of the Environment on the Project.....	1114
2.7.8	Capacity of Renewable Resources.....	1117
2.8	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.....	1118
2.8.1	Environmental Management Plans.....	1124
2.8.2	Decommissioning and Closure Plans.....	1197
2.8.3	Monitoring and Follow-up Programs.....	1219
2.9	TABLE OF COMMITMENTS.....	1224
2.10	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS.....	1311
2.11	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	1326
3	– REFERENCES.....	1327

TABLES

Table 2.1.2-1	Federal Authorities
Table 2.2.3-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.2.4-1	Mineral Resource Inventory
Table 2.2.4-2	Mineral Reserve Inventory
Table 2.2.4-3	Tonnes Mined (Mine Production Forecast)
Table 2.2.5-1	Recommended Wall Slopes
Table 2.3.1-1	Mine Site Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.4.3.1-1	Pre-Screening Criteria
Table 2.4.3.1-2	Results of Pre-screening
Table 2.4.3.1-3	Environmental Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-4	Project Economics Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-5	Socio-economic Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-6	Technical Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-7	Summary of Multiple Accounts Assessment by Case
Table 2.4.3.1-8	Summary of Multiple Accounts Assessment by Account
Table 2.5.1.1-1	First Nations Being Consulted on the New Prosperity Gold-Copper Project
Table 2.5.1.1-2	Key Issues and Concerns Identified by First Nations
Table 2.5.1.1-3	Comment and Questions
Table 2.6.1.2-1	Summary of Site-specific Ambient Air Quality Monitoring for PM10 at the Project Site
Table 2.6.1.2-2	Summary of Regional Ambient Air Quality at Selected Continuous Monitoring Stations (August 2001–August 2006)
Table 2.6.1.2-3	Year 2000 Emissions for the Dispersion Modelling Domain
Table 2.6.1.2-4	CAC Background Figures
Table 2.6.1.2-5	Summary of Precipitation (Rainfall only) data between October 2006 and September 2010
Table 2.6.1.4A-1	New Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline–Streams and Rivers, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.4A-2	Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline–Lakes, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.4A-3	Values for Comparisons of Metal Concentrations in Fish Tissue
Table 2.6.1.4A-4	Baseline Metals Levels in Rainbow Trout Liver and Muscle Collected in Fish Creek, Fish Lake, Little Fish Lake and the Taseko River (n = 126 samples)
Table 2.6.1.4A-5	Sediment Parameters 1994 through 2012, New Prosperity Project
Table 2.6.1.4A-6	Sediment Quality Guidelines, New Prosperity Project
Table 2.6.1.4A-7	Metal and Organic Carbon Levels in Sediment of Fish, Little Fish and Wasp Lakes, 1997 and 2011 (mean, n = 5 replicates)
Table 2.6.1.4A-8	Sediment Quality in Fish Creek sites W8 and W3, 1997 (mean ± s.d., n = 5 per habitat type)
Table 2.6.1.4A-9	Summary of sediment chemistry results from Fish Lake, July 2011 (n = 5 per station)
Table 2.6.1.4B-1	Unit Runoff Streamflow Stations H17b, H6b and H4
Table 2.6.1.4B-2	Average Estimated Monthly Flows
Table 2.6.1.4B-3	Regional Streamflow Stations Coefficient of Variation
Table 2.6.1.4C-1	Groundwater Quality Baseline Report–Guidelines for Comparison with Groundwater Quality Data
Table 2.6.1.4C-2	Groundwater Quality Baseline Report–Summary of In Situ Values

Table 2.6.1.4C-3	Groundwater Quality Baseline Report–Summary Table of Primary Parameters that Exceed the BCWQG and CCME Aquatic Life Guideline Limits (Groundwater Quality Data–1992 to 2006)
Table 2.6.1.4C-4	Groundwater Quality Baseline Report–Percentage of Samples that Exceeded the British Columbia Water Quality Guidelines (BCWQG)
Table 2.6.1.4C-5	Groundwater Quality Baseline Report–Percentage of Samples that Exceeded the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME), Freshwater Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life
Table 2.6.1.4D-1	Precipitation and Temperature Data for Station M1
Table 2.6.1.5-1	List of 1993-1998 Fish and Fish Habitat Studies
Table 2.6.1.5-2	Summary of Baseline Stream Habitat Conditions in Fish Creek Watershed
Table 2.6.1.5-3	Summary of Baseline Lake Habitat Conditions for Fish and Little Fish Lake
Table 2.6.1.5-4	Summary of Fish Density (fish/m ²) by Reach, Species and Age in Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-5	Relative Abundance of In-stream Rainbow Trout in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-6	Life Stage-specific Population Estimates of Rainbow Trout in Fish Lake 1997
Table 2.6.1.5-7	Summary of Fish Creek Watershed Lake and Stream Rainbow Trout Population Characteristics during the 1996–1997 Period
Table 2.6.1.5-8	Summary of Physical Habitat Data from the Prosperity Gold-Copper Project: Lakes Physical Habitat Study
Table 2.6.1.5-9	Summary of the Fish Lake Rainbow Trout Recreational Fishery during 1993–1997
Table 2.6.1.5-10	Summary of the Modified Fish Lake Creel Survey and Aerial Boat Counts during 2006
Table 2.6.1.5-11	Summary of Stream Habitat Quality and Species Presence (Confirmed and Inferred) at Existing and Proposed Fish-Bearing Road Stream Crossings 2006
Table 2.6.1.5-12	Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline–Streams and Rivers, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.5-13	Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline–Lakes, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.6-1	Terrain Field Sites by Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-2	Slope Classes and Ranges
Table 2.6.1.6-3	Slope Classes for the Mine Site
Table 2.6.1.6-4	Slope Classes for the Access Road Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-5	Surficial Materials of the Access Road Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-6	Surficial Materials of the Access Road Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-7	Key Indicators for Soils
Table 2.6.1.6-8	Summary of Soil Field Plots
Table 2.6.1.6-9	Soil Sample Program for the Prosperity Project
Table 2.6.1.6-10	Soil Map Unit Symbol Descriptions for the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-11	Soil Map Unit Areas for the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-12	Soil Map Unit Symbol Descriptions for the Access Road Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-13	Soil Map Unit Areas for the Access Road Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-14	Soils Orders of the Transmission Corridor Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.7-1	Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping Summary–Mine Site
Table 2.6.1.8-1	Wildlife Key Indicators: Selection Rationale and Regulatory Setting
Table 2.6.2.2-1	Summary of the Fish Lake Rainbow Trout Recreational Fishery during 1994–1997
Table 2.6.2.1-2	Forest Tenures in the Project Area
Table 2.6.2.1-3	Summary of MPB Surveys of MDL
Table 2.6.2.1-4	Cutting Authorizations in the MDL
Table 2.6.2.1-5	Selected Agriculture Statistics for the Cariboo Regional District, Electoral Areas K and E, BC, 2001

Table 2.6.2.1-6	Trap Lines with Territory in the MDL Area
Table 2.6.2.2-1	Summary of the Fish Lake Rainbow Trout Recreational Fishery during 1994–1997
Table 2.6.4.1-1	Taseko's Four Main Project Elements within Assumed Traditional Territories
Table 2.7.1.4-1	Inclusion List
Table 2.7.2.1-1	New Prosperity Project Geology Codes - DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-2	Alteration Codes and Descriptions - DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-3	Overburden Codes - DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-4	Lithology Codes - DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-5	Geographic Domain Codes and Descriptions - DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-6	Core Intervals Collected for Phase 5 Kinetic Testing – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-7	Makeup of Subaqueous Rock Column Composite Sample – DRAFT
Table 2.7.1.2-8	Tally of Mineral Grain Composition as determined by Microprobe Analyses –DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-9	Average Compositions of Carbonate Minerals in Mine Rock – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-10	Median Concentrations of Selected Heavy Elements – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-11	Static Characteristics of Phase 4 Humidity Cell Test Samples – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-12	Static Characteristics of Phase 4 Column Test Samples – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-13	Static Characteristics of Phase 5 Kinetic Test Samples – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-14	Maximum Concentrations in Subaqueous Rock Column Leachate –DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-15	Test Results used in Defining Rock Source Terms – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-16	Summary of Waste Tonnages – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-17	Summary of Tailings ABA Results – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-18	Summary of Rietveld XRD Results for Tailings Samples – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-19	Elemental Analyses of Tailings –DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-20	Maximum Concentrations in Tailings Subaqueous Column Leachate – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-21	Component Inputs, Outputs, and Source Terms – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.1-22	Key to Appendices Containing Water Quality Results – DRAFT
Table 2.7.2.2-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.2-2	Key Indicators and Measurable Parameters for Atmospheric Environment
Table 2.7.2.2-3	VEC Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.2-4	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Assessed included as Air Emission Sources in the Prosperity EA
Table 2.7.2.2-5	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Changes as a Result of the New Prosperity Project
Table 2.7.2.2-6	Maximum Predicted Ground-level Concentrations Associated with the 2009 Prosperity and 2012 New Prosperity Projects
Table 2.7.2.2-7	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Criteria Air Contaminants (CACs) for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.2-8	Summary of Effects Assessment for Atmospheric Environment
Table 2.7.2.3-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.3-2	Noise Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.2.3-3	VEC Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.3-4	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Assessed included as Noise Emission Sources in the Prosperity EA
Table 2.7.2.3-5	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Changes as a Result of the New Prosperity Project

Table 2.7.2.3-6	Predicted Highest Sound Level along OSC 1.5 km criteria boundary during Operations
Table 2.7.2.3-7	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Ambient Sound Levels for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.3-8	Summary of Effects Assessment for Acoustic Environment
Table 2.7.2.4A-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.4A-2	Water Balance Project Schedule
Table 2.7.2.4A-3	Production Schedule
Table 2.7.2.4A-4	Summary of Hydrometeorological Estimates for Water Balance Modelling
Table 2.7.2.4A-5	Closure Water Balance Results
Table 2.7.2.4A-6	Summary of Catchment Areas
Table 2.7.2.4A-7	Lower Fish Creek Annual Flow Volumes
Table 2.7.2.4A-8	Fish Lake Inlet Annual Flow Volumes
Table 2.7.2.4A-9	Beece Creek (H8c) Annual Flow Volumes
Table 2.7.2.4A-10	Estimated Long-Term Project Area Monthly Flows for Baseline Conditions
Table 2.7.2.4A-11	Seasonal Flow Distributions for Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4A-12	Seasonal Flow Distributions for Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4A-13	Seasonal Flow Distributions for Beece Creek
Table 2.7.2.4A-14	Average Measured, Estimated Long-Term and Simulated Stream Flows at Station H4b
Table 2.7.2.4A-15	Predicted Annual Average Groundwater Flows to Fish, Big Onion, Little Onion and Wasp Lakes
Table 2.7.2.4A-16	Summary of Predicted Baseflow and Groundwater Inflows to Lakes – Best Estimate Parameters
Table 2.7.2.4B-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.4B-2	Measurable Parameters for Potential Water / Sediment Quality and Aquatic Ecology Environmental Effects
Table 2.7.2.4B-3	Water / Sediment Quality and Aquatic Ecology Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.4B-4	Mine Site Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.2.4B-5	Data Sources for the Water Fish Lake Water Quality Model
Table 2.7.2.4B-6	Data Sources for the Water Fish Lake Water Quality Model
Table 2.7.2.4B-7	Measured Fish Lake Scavenging Rates
Table 2.7.2.4B-8	Predicted Evaporation and Direct Precipitation Values for Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-9	Predicted Water Quality Results for Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-10	Comparison of the average elemental concentrations in Fish Lake - Years 1-16, 17-20-21-30, 31-40 and 40-112 with Water Quality Guidelines and Standards
Table 2.7.2.4B-11	Minimum, Average and Maximum Concentrations in Fish Lake for Years 1 through 112
Table 2.7.2.4B-12	Predicted Water Quality in Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4B-13	Predicted Water Quality in Fish Lake Tributary 1
Table 2.7.2.4B-14	Table of Exceedances of Water Quality Guidelines Based on Minimum, Average and Maximum Predicted Concentrations for Years 1 Through 40+ In Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4B-15	Comparison of Years 1-16, 17-20-21-30, 31-40 and 40-112 with Water Quality Guidelines and Standards In Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4B-16	Predicted water Quality for Fish Creek Mixing Point 1 (µg/L)
Table 2.7.2.4B-17	Predicted Water Quality for Fish Creek Mixing Point 2 (µg/L)
Table 2.7.2.4B-18	Predicted Water Quality for Taseko River Mixing Point 1 (µg/L)
Table 2.7.2.4B-19	Predicted water Quality for Wasp Lake (µg/L)
Table 2.7.2.4B-20	Predicted water Quality for Beece Creek Mixing Point #1 (µg/L)

- Table 2.7.2.4B-21 Predicted water Quality for Little Onion Lake ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-22 Predicted water Quality for Big Onion Lake ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-23 Table of Exceedances of Water Quality Guidelines Based on Minimum, Average and Maximum Predicted Concentrations for Years 1 Through 40+ - Lower Fish Creek (If applicable)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-24 Table of Exceedances of Water Quality Guidelines Based on Minimum, Average and Maximum Predicted Concentrations for Years 1 Through 40+ - Taseko River (If applicable)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-25 Table of Exceedances of Water Quality Guidelines Based on Minimum, Average and Maximum Predicted Concentrations for Years 1 Through 40+ - Wasp Lake (If applicable)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-26 Table of Exceedances of Water Quality Guidelines Based on Minimum, Average and Maximum Predicted Concentrations for Years 1 Through 40+ - Beece Creek (If applicable)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-27 Table of Exceedances of Water Quality Guidelines Based on Minimum, Average and Maximum Predicted Concentrations for Years 1 Through 40+ - Little Onion Lake (If applicable)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-28 Table of Exceedances of Water Quality Guidelines Based on Minimum, Average and Maximum Predicted Concentrations for Years 1 Through 40+ - Big Onion Lake (If applicable)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-29 Bioconcentration Factors Used to Predict Metal Accumulation in Fish Tissue Post-closure
- Table 2.7.2.4B-30 Fish Tissue Metal Concentration Predictions; Fish Creek and Taseko River
- Table 2.7.2.4B-31 Summary of exceedances (Year 1 through 112) compared with toxicity or other data used to establish guidelines
- Table 2.7.2.4B-32 Summary of exceedances in Fish Lake (Years 1-16, 17-20-21-30, 31-40 and 40-112 compared with toxicity or other data used to establish guidelines
- Table 2.7.2.4B-33 BLM Input Parameters are Limiting Ranges
- Table 2.7.2.4B-34 Model Input Parameters for the Five Operating Periods (Using Spring/Summer Mean Average Concentrations for Modelled Parameters)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-35 BLM Results for Copper
- Table 2.7.2.4B-36 BLM Results for Cadmium
- Table 2.7.2.4B-37 BLM Results for Silver
- Table 2.7.2.4B-38 Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Water Quality Changes in Fish Lake
- Table 2.7.2.4B-39 Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Water Quality Changes in Fish Lake Tributaries
- Table 2.7.2.4B-40 Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Water Quality Changes in Adjacent Streams and Rivers
- Table 2.7.2.4B-41 Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Water Quality Changes in Adjacent Lakes
- Table 2.7.2.4B-42. Metal and Organic Carbon Levels in Sediment of Fish, Little Fish and Wasp Lakes, 1997 and 2011 (mean, n = 5 replicates)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-43 Applicable Sediment Quality Guidelines
- Table 2.7.2.4B-44 Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Sediment Quality & Benthic Invertebrates
- Table 2.7.2.4B-45 Hydraulic Residence Time for Fish Lake Under Different Flow Regimes

- Table 2.7.2.4B-46 Summary of BATHTUB Predicted Fish Lake TP Concentrations Compared to Lake Trophic Status from Nordin (1985), Wetzel (1975, 2001), and CCME (2007)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-47 Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Changes in Aquatic Ecology (Fish Lake)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-48 Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Changes in Aquatic Ecology (Adjacent Lakes)
- Table 2.7.2.4B-49 Summary of Water Quality Effects Assessment for Fish Lake
- Table 2.7.2.4B-50 Summary of Water Quality Effects Assessment for Fish Lake Tributaries
- Table 2.7.2.4B-51 Summary of Water Quality Effects Assessment in Adjacent Streams and Rivers
- Table 2.7.2.4B-52 Summary of Water Quality Effects Assessment in Adjacent Lakes
- Table 2.7.2.4B-53 Summary of Effects Assessment for Sediment Quality
- Table 2.7.2.4B-54 Summary of Effects Assessment for Aquatic Ecology – Lentic Invertebrates
- Table 2.7.2.4B-55 Summary of Effects Assessment for Aquatic Ecology – Lotic Invertebrates
- Table 2.7.2.5-1 Project Scoping Table
- Table 2.7.2.5-2 Fish and Fish Habitat Potential Environmental Effects Associated with the New Prosperity Project
- Table 2.7.2.5-3 Mine Site Study Area Comparison
- Table 2.7.2.5-4 Specified Minimum Riparian Management Area (RMA) Slope Distances for Stream Riparian Classes (FRPA, 2004)
- Table 2.7.2.5-5 Specified Minimum Riparian Management Area (RMA) Slope Distances for Lake Riparian Classes (FRPA, 2004)
- Table 2.7.2.5-6 Widths of the “zone of sensitivity” (ZOS) for large woody debris and bank stability as specified under the Riparian Areas Regulations of the Fish Protection Act
- Table 2.7.2.5-7 Widths of the “zone of sensitivity” (ZOS) for shade and litterfall and terrestrial impacts as specified under the Riparian Areas Regulations of the Fish Protection Act.
- Table 2.7.2.5-8 Baseline Conditions (In-stream Habitat) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
- Table 2.7.2.5-9 Baseline Conditions (In-stream Habitat Attributes for Fish-Bearing Reaches) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
- Table 2.7.2.5-10 Baseline Conditions (Rainbow Trout Spawning Habitat) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
- Table 2.7.2.5-11 Predicted direct effects (HADD) of the New Prosperity project on Fish and Fish Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
- Table 2.7.2.5-12 Indirect effects (HADD) of the New Prosperity Project on Fish and Fish Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
- Table 2.7.2.5-13 Predicted direct effects under Metal Mining Effluent Regulation (Schedule 2) of the New Prosperity Project on Fish and Fish Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
- Table 2.7.2.5-14 Summary of predicted effects to fish and fish habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek of the New Prosperity project
- Table 2.7.2.5-15 Estimated habitat suitability indices (HSI) for Rainbow Trout by life history stage within a stream habitat type, Taseko Mines Ltd., April 2012
- Table 2.7.2.5-16 Summary of predicted effects (habitat units) on Rainbow Trout stream habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
- Table 2.7.2.5-17 Summary of predicted non-MMER effects on stream habitats based on stream flow duration, Taseko Mines Ltd., April 2012
- Table 2.7.2.5-18 Summary of predicted MMER effects on Rainbow Trout stream and lake habitats based on stream flow duration, Taseko Mines Ltd., March 2012

Table 2.7.2.5-19	Comparison of aquatic effects of the New Prosperity Project on Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-20	Baseline Conditions (Lakes Physical Habitat) in Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-21	Summary of Project Effects on Baseline Conditions (Rainbow Trout Lake Habitat) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-22	Habitat suitability indices (HSI) for Rainbow Trout by life history stage within a lake habitat type (from Bradbury et al. 1999)
Table 2.7.2.5-23	Summary of predicted MMER effects (habitat units) on Rainbow Trout lake habitat (Little Fish Lake), Taseko Mines Ltd., March 2012
Table 2.7.2.5-24	Summary of reduction of indirect effects (m2 and habitat units) associated with flow augmentation mitigation strategy for Fish Creek Reach 8 and Fish Lake Tributary 1
Table 2.7.2.5-25	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects
Table 2.7.2.5-26	Summary of Effects Assessment for Fish and Fish Habitat
Table 2.7.2.6-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.6-2	Potential Environmental Effects on Terrain Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-3	Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.2.6-4	Effects Characterization for Terrain Stability
Table 2.7.2.6-5	Summary of Areas Exhibiting Evidence of Instability within Individual Local Study Areas
Table 2.7.2.6-6	Potential Environmental Effects on Terrain Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-7	Summary of Effects on Terrain
Table 2.7.2.6-8	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.6-9	Scope of Assessment Summary for Reclamation Suitability
Table 2.7.2.6-10	Potential Environmental Effects on Soils Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-11	Effects Characterization for Soils
Table 2.7.2.6-12	Recommended Soil Quality Guidelines for Metal Concentrations
Table 2.7.2.6-13	Criteria for Evaluating the Suitability of Root Zone Material in the Eastern Slopes Region
Table 2.7.2.6-14	Reclamation Suitability Ratings
Table 2.7.2.6-15	Soil Reclamation Suitability Areas and Percentage for the Mine Site LSA
Table 2.7.2.6-16	Compaction and Rutting Risk by Soil Map Unit within the Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.6-17	Compaction and Rutting Risk within the Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.6-18	Erosion Hazard Rating for the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.7.2.7-19	Soil Map Units and the Corresponding Topsoil Depths for the Mine Site
Table 2.2.7.2-20	Estimated Volumes of Topsoil for the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.7.2.6-21	Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-22	Potential Environmental Effects and Associated Parameters for Soil Physical Properties
Table 2.7.2.6-23	Estimated Soil Loss Associated with Mine Site Development
Table 2.7.2.7-24	Residual Project Effects Associated with Soil Loss
Table 2.7.2.6-25	Soil Metal Concentrations at the Camp Based on the TSP Model and the PM2.5 Model
Table 2.7.2.6-26	Summary of Project Effects on Reclamation Suitability for the Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.6-27	Summary of Effects on Soils
Table 2.7.2.6-28	Mitigation Measures for Effects on Soil
Table 2.7.2.7-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.7-2	Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.2.7-3	Vegetation Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.7-4	VEC - Key Indicator Project Effects Scoping Table

Table 2.7.2.7-5	Mine Site Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.2.7-6	Availability of Old Forest at Baseline—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-7	Old Growth Management Areas for New Prosperity Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-8	Project Effects to Old Forest
Table 2.7.2.7-9	Baseline Wetland Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-10	Project Effects to Wetland Ecosystems
Table 2.7.2.7-11	Baseline Riparian Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-12	Project Effects on Riparian Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-13	Baseline Grassland Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-14	Grassland Benchmark Areas for New Prosperity Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-15	Project Effects on Grassland Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-16	Rare Plant Listing Update
Table 2.7.2.7-17	Rare Plant Occurrences within the Mine Site LSA
Table 2.7.2.7-18	Rare Ecosystem Listing Update
Table 2.7.2.7-19	Project Effects on Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-20	Country Food Plant Species
Table 2.7.2.7-21	Project Effects on Country Foods through Direct Vegetation Loss—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-22	Project Effects through Loss of Sites Potentially Supporting Country Food Plants—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-23	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Vegetation for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.7-24	Summary of Effects Assessment for Vegetation
Table 2.7.2.8-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.8-2	Wildlife Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.8-3	Wildlife Key Indicator Scoping Table
Table 2.7.2.8-4	Key Indicators which are not Assessed Further
Table 2.7.2.8-5	Comparison of Habitat Availability in Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance between Prosperity and New Prosperity for Key Indicators with TEM-based Habitat Models
Table 2.7.2.8-6	Project-related Changes in Mule Deer Winter Shelter and Moose Winter Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance
Table 2.7.2.8-7	Rational for Selection of Wildlife Species for Inclusion in the Assessment of Project Effects on Wildlife Health
Table 2.7.2.8-8	Local Population Effect Predictions for Wildlife Species in Reference to the Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.8-9	Change in Habitat Availability from Baseline to Maximum Disturbance
Table 2.7.2.8-10	Mitigation Measures Applicable to New Prosperity Activities
Table 2.7.2.8-11	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Wildlife for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.8-12	Summary of Effects Assessment for Wildlife
Table 2.7.3.1-1	Potentially Significant Interactions of the Project with Resource Uses
Table 2.7.3.1-2	Key Indicators for Resource Uses and Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.3.1-3	Summary of Spatial Boundary Definitions
Table 2.7.3.1-4	Distribution of Project Footprint in SRMP Land Units (hectares)
Table 2.7.3.1-5	Commercial Recreation Tenures in the MDA
Table 2.7.3.1-6	Guide Territories in the MDA
Table 2.7.3.1-7	Trap Lines Located in the MDA
Table 2.7.3.1-8	Agricultural Capability within Project Components
Table 2.7.3.1-9	Range Tenures in the MDL
Table 2.7.3.3-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal

Table 2.7.3.3-2	Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.3.3-3	HHERA Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.3.3-4	VEC - Key Indicator Project Effects Scoping Table
Table 2.7.3.3-5	Mine Site Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.3.3-6	Changes in Soil Quality at Worst Case Site (O1) as a Result of Project Activities to Assess Human Health Risk
Table 2.7.3.3-7	Changes in Soil Quality at Worst Case Site (O1) as a Result of Project Activities to Assess Ecological Risk
Table 2.7.3.3-8	Hazard Quotients for Plants
Table 2.7.3.3-9	Changes in Water Quality as a Result of Project Activities
Table 2.7.3.3-10	Predicted Hazard Quotients for Toddlers and Adults Consuming Country Foods in the Local Study Area Assuming a Conservative 2.6% Increase in Modelled Concentrations
Table 2.7.3.3-11	Changes in Metal Concentrations in Fish Tissue as a Result of Post-closure Water Discharges to the Taseko River and Fish Lake
Table 2.7.3.3-12	Predicted Hazard Quotients for Toddlers Consuming Fish in the LSA
Table 2.7.3.3-13	Predicted Hazard Quotients for Adults Consuming Fish in the LSA
Table 2.7.3.3-14	Maximum Predicted CAC Concentrations at Fish Lake and Nemaiah Valley throughout the Project
Table 2.7.3.3-15	Evaluation of Potential Cumulative Effects on Human Health and Terrestrial Ecological Receptors
Table 2.7.3.3-16	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects
Table 2.7.3.3-17	Summary of Effects Assessment for Human Health
Table 2.7.3.4-1	Economic Benefits Data
Table 2.7.5-1	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Trapping Areas identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-2	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Hunting Areas identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-3	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Harvesting Areas Identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-4	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Berry Picking Areas Identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-5	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Camping and Watering Areas identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-6	...
Table 2.7.5-7	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects on Current Use of Lands and Resources
Table 2.7.5-8	Summary of Effects Assessment for Current Use for Traditional Purposes
Table 2.7.5-9	...
Table 2.7.5-10	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects on Cultural Heritage Resources
Table 2.7.5-11	Summary of Effects Assessment for Cultural Heritage
Table 2.7.6-1	Description of Possible Accident and Malfunction Scenarios
Table 2.7.6-2	Interaction of Project Related Accidents, Malfunctions and Unplanned Events with the Environment
Table 2.8-1	New Prosperity Project – Priority Objectives
Table 2.8.1-1	Conceptual Management Plans
Table 2.8.1-2	Criteria for Evaluating the Suitability of Root Zone Material in the MDA

Table 2.8.1-3	Reclamation Suitability Ratings
Table 2.8.1-4	Areas Covered by Proposed Mine Features
Table 2.8.1-5	Soil Volumes Required for Reclamation
Table 2.8.1-6	Estimated Soil Salvage Volumes and Stockpile Locations
Table 2.8.1-7	Soil Stockpile Characteristics and Volumes
Table 2.8.1-8	Design Parameters for Sediment Control Ponds
Table 2.8.1-9	Mined Materials and Tonnages
Table 2.8.1-10	Summary of Waste Management Facilities, Source Materials and Criteria Used for Classification
Table 2.8.2.2-1	Pre- and Post-Closure Ecosystem Units for the New Prosperity Copper-Gold Project Mine Footprint
Table 2.8.2.2-2	Linkages between Key Reclamation Species and William Case Species
Table 2.8.2.2-3	Soil Volumes Required for Reclamation
Table 2.8.2.2-4	Candidate Grass and Legume Species for Interim Reclamation
Table 2.8.2.2-5	Candidate Species for Final Reclamation
Table 2.8.3-1	Summary of Follow-Up and Monitoring
Table 2.9-1	Table of Commitments

DRAFT

FIGURES

- Figure 1.1.1-1 General Arrangement – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
- Figure 2.2.2-1 Project Location
- Figure 2.2.3-1 Regional Location of New Prosperity Mine Site, Access Road and Transmission Line
- Figure 2.2.3-2 Mine Site General Location – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
- Figure 2.2.3-3 Construction Phase Schedule
- Figure 2.2.3-4 Operation and Decommissioning Phase Schedule
- Figure 2.2.4-1 Condemnation Drilling
- Figure 2.2.4-2 General Arrangement – End of Year 1
- Figure 2.2.4-3 General Arrangement – End of Year 3
- Figure 2.2.4-4 General Arrangement – End of Year 16
- Figure 2.2.4-5 General Arrangement – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
- Figure 2.2.5-1 General Arrangement – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
- Figure 2.2.5-2 Geotechnical Pit Slope Design Sectors Plan
- Figure 2.2.5-3 Pit Slope Design–Pit Wall Depressurization Plan
- Figure 2.2.5-4 Water Management – End of Year 1
- Figure 2.2.5-5 General Arrangement – Water Management Structures
- Figure 2.2.5-6 Water Management – End of Year 16
- Figure 2.2.5-7 Main Embankment
- Figure 2.2.5-8 South Embankment
- Figure 2.2.5-9 West Embankment
- Figure 2.2.5-10 Filling Schedule
- Figure 2.2.6-1 Construction Phase Schedule
- Figure 2.2.6-2 Operations and Closure Schedule
- Figure 2.2.6-3 Conceptual Reclamation Plan
- Figure 2.4.3.1-1 Tailings Storage Facility Sites
- Figure 2.4.3.1-2 T2 - Fish Creek South – General Arrangement
- Figure 2.4.3.1-3 T6 - Tête Angela Creek – General Arrangement
- Figure 2.6.1.1-1 Geology at the Bedrock–Overburden Interface
- Figure 2.6.1.1-2 Overburden Isopach Plan
- Figure 2.6.1.1-3 Geology Level Plan 1402.5 m
- Figure 2.6.1.1-4 Generalized Geological Cross-Section 10000N
- Figure 2.6.1.1-5 Alteration Level Plan 1402.5 m
- Figure 2.6.1.1-6 Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 1402.5 m
- Figure 2.6.1.2-1 Location of Site-Specific Air Quality Dustfall Monitoring Stations
- Figure 2.6.1.2-2 Summary of Wind Speed and Wind Direction Data Based on Site-Specific Climate Monitoring
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-1 Stream, River and Lake Sampling Sites in the New Prosperity Project Study Area (1992–2006)
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-2 Principal Components Analysis of Water Quality Data for Streams in the Prosperity Project Area
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-3 Mercury Concentrations in Rainbow Trout Muscle and Liver Samples from the Taseko River, Fish Creek, Fish Lake and Little Fish Lake (mean and standard error)
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-4 Selenium Concentrations in Rainbow Trout Muscle and Liver Samples from the Taseko River, Fish Creek, Fish Lake and Little Fish Lake (mean and standard error)

- Figure 2.6.1.4A-5 Antimony, Arsenic and Copper Levels in New Prosperity Project Streams, 1997 (mean, n=5)
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-6 Iron, Manganese and Nickel Levels in New Prosperity Project Streams, 1997 (mean, n=5)
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-7 Metal Levels in New Prosperity Project Lakes, 1997 (mean of five replicates)
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-8 Metal Levels in New Prosperity Project Lakes, 2011 (mean of five replicates)
- Figure 2.6.1.4A-9 Metal Levels in Taseko and Big Onion Lakes
- Figure 2.6.1.4B-1 Regional Streamflow and Weather Stations
- Figure 2.6.1.4B-2 Historic Project Area Streamflow and Meteorology Stations
- Figure 2.6.1.4B-3 Current Project Area Streamflow and New Meteorology Stations
- Figure 2.6.1.4B-4 2007 Unit Runoff Hydrograph H17B, H6B and H4C
- Figure 2.6.1.4C-1 Regional Hydrogeology Investigation Plan
- Figure 2.6.1.5-1 General Arrangement with Fish Reaches
- Figure 2.6.1.5-2 Chilko Circuit Boat Counts, 2006 and 2007
- Figure 2.6.1.5-3 Stream, River and Lake Sampling Sites in the Project Study Area (1992–2006)
- Figure 2.6.1.5-4 Principal Components Analysis of Water Quality Data for Streams in the Prosperity Project Area
- Figure 2.6.1.6-1 Local Study Areas and Regional Study Area for Terrain and Soils
- Figure 2.6.1.6-2 Terrain and Soils Field Plot Locations of the Mine Site
- Figure 2.6.1.6-3 Terrain and Soils Field Plot Locations of the Transmission Line Corridor
- Figure 2.6.1.6-4 Terrain and Soils Field Plot Locations of the Access Road Local Study Area
- Figure 2.6.1.6-5 Slopes for the Regional Study Area of the Mine Site
- Figure 2.6.1.6-6 Slopes for the Access Road Local Study Area
- Figure 2.6.1.6-7 Dominant Surficial Materials and Existing Disturbed Areas of the Mine Site
- Figure 2.6.1.6-8 Dominant Surficial Materials of the Access Road Local Study Area (Southern Section)
- Figure 2.6.1.6-9 Dominant Surficial Materials of the Access Road Local Study Area (Central Section)
- Figure 2.6.1.6-10 Dominant Surficial Materials of the Access Road Local Study Area (Northern Section)
- Figure 2.6.1.6-11 Map Symbol Description
- Figure 2.6.1.6-12 Soils of the Mine Site Local Study Area and Regional Study Area
- Figure 2.6.1.6-13 Soils of the Access Road Local Study Area (Southern Section)
- Figure 2.6.1.6-14 Soils of the Access Road Local Study Area (Central Section)
- Figure 2.6.1.6-15 Soils of the Access Road Local Study Area (Northern Section)
- Figure 2.6.1.6-16 Canadian Land Inventory Soils of the Transmission Line Local Study Area
- Figure 2.6.1.6-17 Locations of Elevated Metals in Soil within the Mine Site for Baseline
- Figure 2.6.4.1-1 Proposed New Prosperity Gold-Copper Project Mine Site and Offsite Infrastructure in Relation to First Nations Traditional Territories, Rights and Title Area and Communities—Regional Context
- Figure 2.6.4-2 Tsilhqot'in Place Names in the Regional Study Area
- Figure 2.6.4-3 Aboriginal Title and Rights Areas—Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia, 2007 BCSC 1700
- Figure 2.6.4-4 Tsilhqot'in Territory Circa 1850 AD with Current Reserves
- Figure 2.6.4-5 Photograph of the Settlement at Little Fish Lake Pre-2009 Renovations
- Figure 2.7.1.4-1 Location of Inclusion List Projects or Activities
- Figure 2.7.2.1-1 Site Overview
- Figure 2.7.2.1-2 Site Plan at Maximum Disturbance Arrangement
- Figure 2.7.2.1-3 Post-Closure Site Plan
- Figure 2.7.2.1-4 Long Section of Fish Creek Valley

- Figure 2.7.2.1-5 Drill Hole Locations (1969-1994)
Figure 2.7.2.1-6 Drill Hole Locations (1996 to 1998)
Figure 2.7.2.1-7 Regional Geology
Figure 2.7.2.1-8 OVB Surficial Geology
Figure 2.7.2.1-9 Overburden Isopach
Figure 2.7.2.1-10 Overburden Level Plan 1567.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-11 Overburden Level Plan 1522.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-12 Overburden Level Plan 1477.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-13 Overburden Level Plan 1432.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-14 Overburden Level Plan 1387.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-15 Geology at the Overburden Bedrock Interface
Figure 2.7.2.1-16 Geology Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-17 Geology Level Plan 1207.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-18 Geology Level Plan 997.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-19 Generalized Geological Cross-Section 9900E
Figure 2.7.2.1-20 Generalized Geological Cross-Section 10000N
Figure 2.7.2.1-21 Alteration at the Overburden Bedrock Interface
Figure 2.7.2.1-22 Alteration Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-23 Alteration Level Plan 1207.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-24 Alteration Level Plan 997.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-25 Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-26 Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 1207.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-27 Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 997.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-28 Conceptual Model of Geographical (Structural) and Geologic Domains
Figure 2.7.2.1-29 Phase 1 Metallurgical Sample Locations
Figure 2.7.2.1-30 Phase 5 Overburden Sample Locations
Figure 2.7.2.1-31 Sulphate Sulphur vs. Total Sulphur for All Lithologies
Figure 2.7.2.1-32 Carbonate Composition as Determined by Microprobe Analysis
Figure 2.7.2.1-33 Comparison of QXRD-TIC and Analytical TIC
Figure 2.7.2.1-34 Comparison of $IC_{Ca,Mg}$ and NP
Figure 2.7.2.1-35 NP vs. AP by Lithology
Figure 2.7.2.1-36 NP vs. AP by Alteration Unit
Figure 2.7.2.1-37 Overburden NP vs. AP
Figure 2.7.2.1-38 Continuous NP/AP vs. Depth
Figure 2.7.2.1-39 Continuous NP/AP Drill Traces
Figure 2.7.2.1-40 Overburden NP vs. AP
Figure 2.7.2.1-41 NP vs TIC-NP for Tertiary Basalt
Figure 2.7.2.1-42 $(Ca+Mg)/SO_4$ vs. SO_4
Figure 2.7.2.1-43 HCT Sulphate Release vs. Sulphide Sulphur Content
Figure 2.7.2.1-44 Timing of Onset of Acid Generation
Figure 2.7.2.1-45 Timing of Final Pit Wall Exposure
Figure 2.7.2.1-46 Map of Alteration Types in Ultimate Pit Wall
Figure 2.7.2.1-47 Pit Wall Age and Surface Area by Alteration Type
Figure 2.7.2.1-48 EMPA Results for Tailings Carbonates
Figure 2.7.2.1-49 Sulphate and Total Sulphur for All Lithologies
Figure 2.7.2.1-50 Comparison of Tailings NP to AP
Figure 2.7.2.1-51 Schematic Water and Load Balance - Operations

- Figure 2.7.2.1-52 Schematic Water and Load Balance – Post-Closure
- Figure 2.7.2.3-1 Noise Study Area
- Figure 2.7.2.3-2 Operation Noise Contour – Daytime
- Figure 2.7.2.3-3 Operation Noise Contour – Nighttime
- Figure 2.7.2.3-4 Construction Noise Contour – Daytime
- Figure 2.7.2.3-5 Closure Noise Contour – Daytime
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-1 Catchment Areas
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-2 Water Management - End of Year 1
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-3 Water Management - End of Year 3
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-4 Water Management - End of Year 16
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-5 Water Management – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-6 Water Management – Closure Phase I (Years 21-30)
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-7 Water Management – Closure Phase II (Years 31-44)
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-8 Water Management – Final Reclamation Plan
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-9 Water Balance Schematic for Operations
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-10 TSF Seepage Flow Chart
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-11 Operations Water Balance Results
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-12 Closure Water Balance Results
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-13 Pre-Development and Post-development Flow Rates for Fish Creek (H4b)
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-14 Pre-Development and Post-development Flow Rates for Upper Fish Creek (H17b)
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-15 Pre-Development and Post-development Flow Rates for Beece Creek (H8c)
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-16 Fish Lake Level Fluctuation Model Calculated and Measured Outlet Flows
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-17 Simulated vs. Observed Hydraulic Head
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-18 Pre-Development Water Table
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-19 Mining Year 17 Water Table and Hydraulic Heads
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-20 Dewatering Wells Scenario: Predicted Groundwater Inflows to the Open Pit and Dewatering Wells
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-21 Predicted Solute Concentration from the TSF at the End of Year 17
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-22 Mining Year 100 Water Table and Hydraulic Heads
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-23 Predicted Pit Lake Stage
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-24 Predicted Groundwater Flows to the Pit Lake
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-25 Predicted Groundwater Flows to Fish Lake
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-26 Predicted Groundwater Flows to Big Onion Lake During Closure
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-27 Predicted Groundwater Flows to Little Onion Lake During Closure
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-28 Predicted Baseflow to the Taseko River During Closure
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-29 Predicted Groundwater Flow to Wasp Lake during Closure
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-30 Predicted Groundwater Flow from TSF during Closure
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-31 Potential Seepage Pathways during Closure
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-32 Predicted Solute Concentration at the End of Year 50
- Figure 2.7.2.4A-33 Predicted Solute Concentration at the End of Year 100
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-1 Graphical presentation of Fish Lake Concentrations – Orange Dashed Line (CCME); Red Dashed Line (BC Guidelines)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-2 Upper Fish Creek Contaminant Concentrations Red Dashed (CCME Guidelines), Orange Dashed (BC Guidelines)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-3 Tributary 1 Concentrations Red Dashed (CCME Guidelines), Orange Dashed (BC Guidelines)

- Figure 2.7.2.4B-4 WQ Mixing Points
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-5 WQ Mixing Points in the Taseko, Chilco, Chilcotin and Fraser Rivers
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-6 Water Quality Figures for Fish Creek Mixing Point 1 – Red Dashed Line (CCME Guidelines) - Orange Dashed Line (BC WQG) - Green Dashed Line (Baseline)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-7 Water Quality Figures for Fish Creek Mixing Point 2 – Red Dashed Line (CCME Guidelines) - Orange Dashed Line (BC WQG) - Green Dashed Line (Baseline)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-8 Water Quality Figures for Taseko River Mixing Point 1 – Red Dashed Line (CCME Guidelines) - Orange Dashed Line (BC WQG) - Green Dashed Line (Baseline)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-9 Water Quality Figures for Wasp Lake – Red Dashed Line (CCME Guidelines) - Orange Dashed Line (BC WQG) - Green Dashed Line (Baseline)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-10 Water Quality Figures for Beece Creek mixing Point 1 – Red Dashed Line (CCME Guidelines) - Orange Dashed Line (BC WQG) - Green Dashed Line (Baseline)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-11 Water Quality Figures for Little Onion Lake – Red Dashed Line (CCME Guidelines) - Orange Dashed Line (BC WQG) - Green Dashed Line (Baseline)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-12 Water Quality Figures for Big Onion Lake – Red Dashed Line (CCME Guidelines) - Orange Dashed Line (BC WQG) - Green Dashed Line (Baseline)
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-14 Predicted Fish Lake Phytoplankton Biomass and Total Phosphorus Concentration Under Different Nutrient Loading Scenarios
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-15 Predicted Fish Lake Total Phosphorus Concentrations and Secchi Depth Under Different Loading Scenarios
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-16 Predicted Fish Lake Total Phosphorus and Ortho-phosphate Concentrations Under Different Nutrient Loading Scenarios
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-17 Predicted Fish Lake Total Phosphorus Concentrations and Carlson TSI-P Index Under Different Nutrient Loading Scenarios
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-18 Predicted Fish Lake Total Phosphorus Concentrations and Carlson TSI-Chl a Index Under Different Nutrient Loading Scenarios
- Figure 2.7.2.4B-19 Predicted Fish Lake Total Phosphorus Concentrations and Carlson TSI-Secchi a Index Under Different Nutrient Loading Scenarios
- Figure 2.7.2.5-1 General Layout with Local Study Area (LSA) Boundary, Stream Reaches and Fish Distribution
- Figure 2.7.2.6-1 Comparison of Prosperity and New Prosperity Study Areas for Terrain and Soils
- Figure 2.7.2.6.2 Potentially Unstable Slopes and Mass Wasting Areas for the Mine Site Local Study Area and the Regional Study Area
- Figure 2.7.2.6-3 Potential Groundwater Changes at Operations in Areas of Rapid Mass Movement 2-17
- Figure 2.7.2.6-5 Soil Reclamation Suitability within the Mine Site
- Figure 2.7.2.6-4 Potential Groundwater Changes at Post-Closure in Areas of Rapid Mass Movement
- Figure 2.7.2.6-6 Compaction and Rutting Risk for the Mine Site
- Figure 2.7.2.6-7 Soil Erosion Hazards for the Mine Site at Baseline
- Figure 2.7.2.6-8 Topsoil Depths for the Mine Site
- Figure 2.7.2.6-9 Locations of Elevated Metals in Soil within the Mine Site for Baseline
- Figure 2.7.2.6-10 Soil Erosion Hazards for the Mine Site at Post-closure
- Figure 2.7.2.6-11 Areas of Soil Loss at Post-closure
- Figure 2.7.2.6-12 Potential Groundwater Change Effects on Soil Moisture at Operations

- Figure 2.7.2.6-13 Potential Groundwater Change Effects on Soil Moisture at Post-closure
- Figure 2.7.2.8-1 Comparison of Prosperity and New Prosperity Study Areas for Wildlife
- Figure 2.7.3.1-1 Local Study Areas for New Prosperity and Previous Project
- Figure 2.7.3.2-1 General Arrangement – Water Management Structures
- Figure 2.7.3.2-2 Water Management – End of Year 1
- Figure 2.7.3.2-3 Water Management – End of Year 16
- Figure 2.7.3.2-4 Water Management – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
- Figure 2.7.3.2-5 Water Management – Closure Phase I (Years 21-30)
- Figure 2.7.3.2-6 Water Management – Closure Phase II (Years 31-44)
- Figure 2.7.3.4-1 Contribution to Gross Domestic Product
- Figure 2.7.3.4-2 Total Employment BC and Williams Lake Local Area
- Figure 2.7.3.4-3 Wages and Salaries Paid
- Figure 2.7.3.4-4 Payments to Government
- Figure 2.7.4.1-1 Contribution to Gross Domestic Product
- Figure 2.7.5-1 First Nation Traditional Use – Fishing Areas – 2009
- Figure 2.7.5-2 First Nation Traditional Use – Fishing Areas – 2012
- Figure 2.7.5-3 First Nation Traditional Use – Trapping Areas – 2009
- Figure 2.7.5-4 First Nation Traditional Use – Trapping Areas – 2012
- Figure 2.7.5-5 First Nation Traditional Use – Hunting Areas – 2009
- Figure 2.7.5-6 First Nation Traditional Use – Hunting Areas – 2012
- Figure 2.7.5-7 First Nation Traditional Use – Berry Picking Areas – 2009
- Figure 2.7.5-8 First Nation Traditional Use – Berry Picking Areas – 2012
- Figure 2.7.5-9 First Nation Traditional Use – Harvesting Areas – 2009
- Figure 2.7.5-10 First Nation Traditional Use – Harvesting Areas – 2012
- Figure 2.7.5-11 First Nation Traditional Use – Camps & Water Sources – 2009
- Figure 2.7.5-12 First Nation Traditional Use – Camps & Water Sources – 2012
- Figure 2.8.2.2-1 Pre-Development Wildlife Habitat Capability for the New Prosperity Copper-Gold Project Footprint
- Figure 2.8.2.2-2 Post-Closure Wildlife Habitat Capability for the New Prosperity Copper-Gold Project Footprint
- Figure 2.8.2.3-1 Post-Closure Mine Site Configuration

APPENDICES

2.2.5-A	Conceptual Design of Fish Lake Flood Control Dam
2.6.1.2-A	Air Quality Monitoring Program Prosperity Mine Site 2010-2011
2.6.1.4B-A	Baseline Watershed Model for New Prosperity Project
2.6.1.4D-A	Baseline Groundwater Hydrology Assessment
2.6.1.5-A	Rainbow Trout Distribution in Selected Fish Creek and Fish Lake, July 2011
2.6.1.5-B	Rainbow Trout Overwintering Assessment in Upper Fish Creek and Fish Lake Tributary
2.7.2.1-A	Phase 5 Humidity Cell Test Results: Tables
2.7.2.1-B	Phase 5 Humidity Cell Test Results: Charts
2.7.2.1-C	Phase 5 Waste Rock Column Test Results: Tables
2.7.2.1-D	Phase 5 Waste Rock Column Test Results: Charts
2.7.2.1-E	Mine Rock Source Term Inputs to Water Quality Predictions
2.7.2.1-F	Phase 5 Tailings Humidity Cell Test Results
2.7.2.1-G	Phase 5 Tailings Column Test Results
2.7.2.1-H	Tailings Source Term Inputs to Water Quality Predictions
2.7.2.1-I	Water Quality Prediction Results
2.7.2.1-I.1	Water Quality Prediction Results- Upper Fish Creek
2.7.2.1-I.2	Water Quality Prediction Results- Tributary 1
2.7.2.1-I.3	Water Quality Prediction Results- Open Pit
2.7.2.1-I.4	Water Quality Prediction Results- South Embankment Seepage Pond
2.7.2.1-I.5	Water Quality Prediction Results- West Embankment Seepage Pond
2.7.2.1-I.6	Water Quality Prediction Results- Main Embankment Seepage Pond 1
2.7.2.1-I.7	Water Quality Prediction Results- Main Embankment Seepage Pond 2
2.7.2.1-I.8	Water Quality Prediction Results- Tailings Storage Facility Pond
2.7.2.1-I.9	Water Quality Prediction Results- Plant Site
2.7.2.1-I.10	Water Quality Prediction Results- Non-PAG Waste Rock Stockpile
2.7.2.1-I.11	Water Quality Prediction Results- Ore Stockpile
2.7.2.1-I.12	Water Quality Prediction Results- Crusher Pad
2.7.2.1-I.13	Water Quality Prediction Results- Mine Site Roads
2.7.2.2-A	Atmospheric Environment Figures
2.7.2.4-A	Lake Level Fluctuation Predictions for Fish Lake
2.7.2.4-B	New Prosperity – Water Management Report
2.7.2.4-C	Numerical Hydrogeologic Analysis
2.7.2.5-A	Fisheries Act Compensation Plan
2.7.2.5-B	MMER (Schedule 2) Compensation Plan
2.7.2.5-C	Compensation Component Location Maps
2.7.2.7-A	Vegetation and Wetland Baseline Report and Appendices
2.7.2.7-B	Madrone Vegetation Data Report 1997
2.7.2.7-C	Rare Plant Survey
2.7.2.7-D	Trace Elements in Vegetation
2.7.2.7-E	Expanded Legend
2.7.2.7-F	Access Road TEM Summary
2.7.2.7-G	Rare Plant Survey February 2007
2.7.2.7-H	Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern
2.7.2.7-I	Wetland Survey

2.7.2.7-J	Vascular and Non-Vascular Plant Species List
2.7.2.7-K	Invasive Plant Strategy
2.7.2.7-L	Grasslands of Southern Cariboo and Taseko Areas
2.7.2.8-A	EAO Table of Commitments
2.7.2.8-B	Habitat Compensation Reference Document Draft
2.7.3.1-A	Comparison of Land and Resource Indicators for Previous and Current MDL
2.7.3.1-B	Commercial Recreation Tenure at Fish Lake

DRAFT