

## **MINISTRY OF HERITAGE, SPORT, TOURISM AND CULTURE INDUSTRIES**

### **CULTURAL HERITAGE**

1. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **cultural heritage** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
2. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **cultural heritage** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
3. Are any mitigation measures proposed by the proponent related to **cultural heritage** known to be standard mitigation or are otherwise well understood? Please provide details. (if applicable)
4. Based on the Initial Project Description, the Agency understands that the Project would be subject to the *Ontario Heritage Act*. How would the requirements under the *Ontario Heritage Act* address adverse effects and/or set conditions related to **cultural heritage**?
5. Can you please confirm whether the proponents' description of the procedures under the *Ontario Heritage Act*, regarding the discovery of archaeological resources is appropriate? Will MHSTCI provide any additional oversight with regard to archaeological resources and their discovery?

### **OTHER**

6. Are there additional issues that should be addressed in the impact assessment of the Project, should the Agency determine that an impact assessment is required? For each issue, provide a concise, plain language summary that is appropriate for inclusion in the Summary of Issues.

## **MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, CONSERVATION AND PARKS**

### **GROUNDWATER**

1. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **groundwater** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
2. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **groundwater** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
3. Are any mitigation measures proposed by the proponent related to **groundwater** known to be standard mitigation or are otherwise well understood? Please provide details. (if applicable)
4. Has the proponent considered the appropriate federal legislative, regulatory, permitting and/or policy processes related to **groundwater**? (if applicable)

### **DRINKING WATER SOURCES**

5. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **drinking water sources** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
6. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **drinking water sources** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
7. Are any mitigation measures proposed by the proponent related to **drinking water sources** known to be standard mitigation or are otherwise well understood? Please provide details. (if applicable)
8. Has the proponent considered the appropriate federal legislative, regulatory, permitting and/or policy processes such as the *Clean Water Act* related to **drinking water sources**? (if applicable)

## **SURFACE WATER**

9. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **surface water quality** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
10. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **surface water quality** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
11. Are any mitigation measures proposed by the proponent related to **surface water quality** known to be standard mitigation or are otherwise well understood? Please provide details. (if applicable)
12. Would the Environmental Compliance Approval, or any other permits or approvals issued by your ministry address or set conditions for managing adverse changes to **surface water quality** due to project-related releases (runoff, discharge, construction dewatering) or activities (construction dewatering)? If so, please indicate how, if possible.

## **NOISE**

13. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with the **acoustic environment** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
14. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to the **acoustic environment** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
15. Are any mitigation measures proposed by the proponent related to the **acoustic environment** known to be standard mitigation or are otherwise well understood? Please provide details. (if applicable)
16. Would the Environmental Compliance Approval for Noise address or set conditions for managing project related impacts on noise levels in a manner that takes into account potential current uses of lands and resources by Indigenous communities? If so, please indicate how, if possible.

## **OTHER**

17. Are there additional issues that should be addressed in the impact assessment of the Project, should the Agency determine that an impact assessment is required? For each issue, provide a concise, plain language summary that is appropriate for inclusion in the Summary of Issues.

# **MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD AND RURAL AFFAIRS**

## **AGRICULTURAL LAND**

1. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **agricultural land** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
2. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **agricultural land** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
3. Has the proponent considered the appropriate federal legislative, regulatory, permitting and/or policy processes related to **agricultural land**? (if applicable)

## **AGRICULTURAL USES AND ACTIVITIES**

4. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **agricultural uses and activities** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
5. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **agricultural uses and activities** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
6. Would an approval under *Planning Act*, or any other permits or approvals issued by OMAFRA, address or set conditions for managing adverse changes to **agricultural uses and activities** due to the Project? If so, indicate how, if possible.

## **AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS AND INFRASTRUCTURE**

7. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **agricultural operations and infrastructure** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
8. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **agricultural operations and infrastructure** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
9. Would an approval under *Planning Act*, or any other permits or approvals issued by OMAFRA, address or set conditions for managing adverse changes to **agricultural operations and infrastructure** due to the Project? If so, indicate how, if possible.

## **AGRI-FOOD NETWORK**

10. Has the proponent articulated effects associated with **agri-food network** effectively? Please provide details. If not, what are specific issues that require attention by the proponent?
11. Has the proponent clearly demonstrated how any adverse effects to **agri-food network** would be managed, mitigated and/or avoided to your department/agency's satisfaction? Please provide details.
12. Would an approval under *Planning Act*, or any other permits or approvals issued by OMAFRA, address or set conditions for managing adverse changes to **agri-food network** due to the Project? If so, indicate how, if possible.

## **OTHER**

13. Are there additional issues that should be addressed in the impact assessment of the Project, should the Agency determine that an impact assessment is required? For each issue, provide a concise, plain language summary that is appropriate for inclusion in the Summary of Issues.