



Public Consultation Report

Prepared by:
Cedar LNG Partners LP
Cedar LNG Project
Revision 0
March 12, 2025





Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Public Engagement.....	1
2.1 Open House.....	1
2.2 Stakeholder Letter.....	2
2.3 Public Notification	2
2.4 Website	3
3.0 Public Comment Period.....	3
4.0 Next Steps.....	3

1.0 Introduction

Cedar LNG Partners LP, by its general partner Cedar LNG Partners (GP) Ltd. (Cedar), a Haisla Nation-led partnership with Pembina Pipeline Corporation, is proposing to construct and operate the Cedar LNG Project (the Project), a floating liquefied natural gas (FLNG) export facility in Kitimat, British Columbia, Canada, within the traditional territory of the Haisla Nation. The Project underwent an environmental assessment from 2019 to 2023 and received an environmental assessment certificate (EAC) under British Columbia's *Environmental Assessment Act* (EAC #23-01) and a positive Decision Statement under Canada's *Impact Assessment Act* in March 2023. The Project commenced construction in July 2024.

Since the conclusion of the federal and provincial environmental assessment processes, Cedar has advanced the engineering project design, refined the capital costs, and made a positive final investment decision. This work has resulted in the selection of a catenary mooring system for the FLNG facility, refinement of the Project's layout, and identified opportunities to reduce environmental impacts. The proposed changes align with Haisla Nation values and priorities of promoting environmental responsibility and sustainable development, while minimizing impacts on land and water.

This amendment application is for the following proposed changes to the Project:

- Add the option to relocate the 8.5-kilometre (km) long, 287 kilovolt (kV) transmission line from the original Transmission Line Corridor between the Minette BC Hydro Substation and the Facility Area, downslope toward Douglas Channel, and to increase its right-of-way from 45 metres (m) to 90 m
- Add a new 2.8 km long, 25 kV distribution powerline line along the Bish Creek Forest Service Road (FSR) to the Facility Area
- Expand the Marine Terminal Area to encompass the anchors for the FLNG facility's catenary mooring system.

This Public Consultation Report (the Report) has been prepared as requested by the Environmental Assessment Office. It includes public engagement activities in support of the Amendment Application

2.0 Public Engagement

Public engagement in support of the Amendment Application focused on notifying local communities and stakeholders about Cedar's proposed changes, and Project updates through a variety of opportunities, including in-person and online.

2.1 Open House

Cedar hosted a community open house on December 10, 2024, in Kitimat, British Columbia. Materials available at the open house consisted of display boards and fact sheets, and Cedar team members were available to answer questions. This open house was attended by more than 170 individuals.

Open house attendees expressed an interest in a range of topics, particularly:

- **Employment and training** – The majority of attendees at the open house were interested in learning more about potential employment opportunities during construction and operations as well as other benefits from the project.
- **Project amendments** – Several members from local community organizations attended the open house to learn more about the proposed project refinements, and the related amendments to the Environmental Assessment Certificate and Federal Decision Statement.
- **General project information** – Many individuals attended to learn more about the project generally, including the design, timeline and benefits.

2.2 Stakeholder Letter

Cedar distributed a letter by email to the local community organizations listed below notifying them of the proposed project amendments and the open house on December 3, 2024. The email also extended an offer to meet with the project team should they be interested.

- District of Kitimat
- Kitimat RCMP
- Kitimat Fire Department
- Kitimat Economic Development Association
- Douglas Channel Watch
- Kitimat Valley Naturalists
- Tamitik Status of Women
- Kitimat Chamber of Commerce (who shared with their members)
- Kitimat Food Bank
- Kitimat Housing Society
- Aluminum City Telethon

2.3 Public Notification

Cedar notified community members about the open house as follows:

- **Print advertising** – Advertisements were placed in the print and online versions of the Northern Sentinel newspaper on November 28 and December 5, 2024.
- **Social media** – Cedar provided notice of the open house and availability of information through its Facebook page on November 25, December 4, and December 10, 2024. Haisla Nation reshared these posts on their Facebook page. An additional summary post following the open house was posted to the Cedar LNG Facebook page on December 13, 2024.
- **Posters** – Posters providing open house notification were hung in the following locations:
 - Haisla Recreation Centre

- Haisla Nation Council Administration Building
- Kitimat City Centre Mall
- Service BC Centre Kitimat
- Kitamaat Valley Education Society

2.4 Website

Notification methods provided a link to [Cedar's website](#) where information related to the Project's Amendment Application were posted, including:

- Links to the B.C. EAO page and the Cedar's Amendment Application
- Display boards summarising the proposed amendments and other project information from the open house
- Fact Sheets summarising the proposed amendments and other project information such as employment and training, and a project update

3.0 Public Comment Period

The EAO held a 30-day public comment period on the Amendment Application from January 28 to February 27, 2025.

Cedar advertised the EAO-led public comment period in the Northern Sentinel newspaper on January 30 and February 13, 2025. Cedar also placed advertisements in the digital edition of the Northern Sentinel over the duration of the public comment period.

Six (6) comments were provided to the EAO through the EAO's EPIC website during the public comment period. Responses to these comments are provided in Appendix A. While many of the comments submitted were statements not requiring response, Cedar has responded to all questions received as part of the comment period.

4.0 Next Steps

As Project construction continues, Cedar is committed to continuing to provide information and opportunities for feedback to community groups, stakeholders, and the public. Planned engagement activities include:

- Updating the public and interested parties through the Project website and newsletter.
- Hosting community meetings with interested parties on a range of topics including the Project's environmental program and training and employment opportunities, as appropriate.
- Continuing discussions with landowners, tenure holders and tenants to establish and maintain access agreements, as required.

Appendix A

Responses to Public Comments

Topic	Comment	Cedar's Response
Acoustics	More industrial development = more noise pollution	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to the acoustic environment as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.3 of the Amendment Application.
	I understand there is a risk of great explosions from such facilities, which could be one among other negative acoustic effects.	Potential Project-related malfunctions and accidents are assessed in Section 9.0 of the Application for an EAC. There are no interactions identified between the proposed changes to the Project and malfunctions or accidents.
Vegetation	Bigger than initially proposed area to be disturbed = loss of vegetation ecosystem, baseline flora disruption	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to vegetation as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.4 of the Amendment Application. As described in Section 7.4, the alternative transmission line no longer affects old forest, avoiding the 12.3 ha of old forest loss for the approved transmission line, as well as the 75 ha potentially affected by edge effects. Disturbance to blue-listed ecosystems and wetlands has also been reduced by 64% and 80%, respectively.
	The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation resources.	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to vegetation as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.4 of the Amendment Application. As described in Section 7.4, the alternative transmission line no longer affects old forest, avoiding the 12.3 ha of old forest loss for the approved transmission line, as well as the 75 ha potentially affected by edge effects. Disturbance to blue-listed ecosystems and wetlands has also been reduced by 64% and 80%, respectively.
Wildlife	Much larger footprint of acreage devastated interferes with wildlife corridors, habitat, feeding, nesting & resting sites	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to wildlife as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.5 of the Amendment Application.
	The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" wildlife.	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to wildlife as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.5 of the Amendment Application.
Freshwater Fish	All destructive industrial excavation, ground & stream disruption traumatizes fish in freshwater	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to freshwater fish as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.6 of the Amendment Application.
Marine Resources	Effluents from upstream destruction flow down into estuary = cause silt, scum, rock, clay deposit to interfere with current fish, intertidal marine ecosystem balance	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to marine resources as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.7 of the Amendment Application.
	The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar.	The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to marine resources as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.7 of the Amendment Application. Effects to wildlife as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.5 of the Amendment Application.
Land and Resource Use	Industry of this type shuts out user friendly applications for the common folk; wildlife, natural shore, undisturbed landscape cannot recover from extreme reorganizing such as this amendment proposes	The Facility Area is located on private property owned by the Haisla Nation. The physical components of the Project are located entirely within the municipal boundaries of the District of Kitimat. Zoning for the Project Area is M1 (Manufacturing Zone), which permits industrial and port development, and the proposed transmission line right-of-way crosses lands zoned M1 and G5 (Forestry Zone), which permits forestry activities by the District of Kitimat's Official Community Plan (District of Kitimat 2020). The location of the alternative transmission line is predominantly on private land rather than provincial Crown land, and will reduce the potential effects on tenured and non-tenured land and resource use, relative to development of the transmission line within the approved Transmission Line Corridor.

Topic	Comment	Cedar's Response
	<p>The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far.</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to land and resource use as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.8 of the Amendment Application.</p>
	<p>widening the right of way means a greater area that is excluded from other use including traditional uses by indigenous folks. The use of herbicides and other means to control vegetation reduces the availability of that space for normal biosphere, creatures and plants. The proximity closer to the water is an increased risk for herbicides and other unnatural agents to wash into the water and pollute local wildlife.</p>	<p>The Facility Area is located on private property owned by Haisla Nation. The physical components of the Project are located entirely within the municipal boundaries of the District of Kitimat. Zoning for the Project Area is M1 (Manufacturing Zone), which permits industrial and port development, and the proposed transmission line right-of-way crosses lands zoned M1 and G5 (Forestry Zone), which permits forestry activities by the District of Kitimat's Official Community Plan (District of Kitimat 2020). The location of the alternative transmission line predominantly on private land rather than provincial Crown land will reduce the potential effects on tenured and non-tenured land and resource use, relative to development of the transmission line within the approved Transmission Line Corridor.</p>
Marine Use	<p>A major BIG thorn in everyone's side gashing through natural habitat, devastating the balance of intertidal lifeforms, effectively annihilating the seasonal flow of migrating fauna, fish= eulachon, salmon= our keystone species which needs protection, NOT this grip into extinction</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts. Effects to marine resources as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.7 of the Amendment Application.</p>
	<p>The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far.</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water. Effects to marine resources as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.7 of the Amendment Application.</p>
Heritage	<p>Site as of yet gently coaxed into discovery of previous temporary residence, leave well enough alone, who profits from this but industry? not locals, visitors, or communities in the whole region.</p>	<p>The Project is anticipated to be the first Indigenous-majority owned export facility in Canada. It is also a key element of the Haisla Nation's economic and social development strategy and will further advance reconciliation by allowing the Haisla Nation to—for the first time ever—directly own and participate in a major industrial development in its territory. Within the context of the Canadian constitution and existing Canadian laws, the Project is also in keeping with the spirit and intent of Article 32 of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples for Haisla Nation. The physical components of the Project are located entirely within the traditional territory of Haisla Nation, and the components within the Facility Area are on fee-simple lands owned by the Haisla Nation.</p>
	<p>The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far. Thus our natural heritage is affected negatively.</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water.</p>
Potential Effects on Indigenous Interests	<p>The lies fed to our Indigenous leadership are abhorrent ! Industry on this scale cares not a speck for the following generations who rely on natural sustainability, not cash buyouts for fake yes votes; time to lay the total truth on the table for all concerned citizen to acknowledge just how UN-SUSTAINABLE this is</p>	<p>This comment is noted.</p>
	<p>The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far. Thus our natural heritage is affected negatively. And thus indigenous interests are affected negatively, whether the local band(s) recognize it or not.</p>	<p>The Project is anticipated to be the first Indigenous-majority owned export facility in Canada. It is also a key element of the Haisla Nation's economic and social development strategy and will further advance reconciliation by allowing the Haisla Nation to—for the first time ever—directly own and participate in a major industrial development in its territory. Furthermore, within the context of the Canadian constitution and existing Canadian laws, the Project is also in keeping with the spirit and intent of Article 32 of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples for Haisla Nation. The physical components of the Project are located entirely within the traditional territory of Haisla Nation, and the components within the Facility Area are on fee-simple lands owned by Haisla Nation. Effects to Indigenous interests as a result of the changes to the Project are assessed in Section 8.0 of the Amendment Application. The proposed changes will occur within Haisla Nation territory. The proposed changes do not overlap with Kitselas First Nation, Kitsumkalum First Nation, Gitga'at First Nation, Gitxaala Nation, Metlakatla First Nation, Lax Kw'alaams Band, or Haida Nation territories, nor do they overlap with Métis Nation British Columbia area of interest. Through Cedar's discussions with Indigenous nations, no concerns regarding the changes to the Project have been identified.</p>

Topic	Comment	Cedar's Response
	<p>The shoreline and fore shore (have I used the word right?) are in my mind of more interest to indigenous folks, rather than a path up above, away from the water. It doesn't make sense to have this ugly wide transmission line running closer to the water, preventing other uses of the land (hiking, hunting gathering mushrooms etc.) Having it up the top of the hill further away from the water seems like the lower of the two impacts from the perspective of what land is likely to be more valuable to indigenous folks for traditional uses. (I speak only based on what I know, and I may not have a complete picture here). I figure someone needs to speak up for indigenous folks if these foreign financed mega extraction projects are going to keep coming at us.</p>	<p>The Project is located on private property owned by Haisla Nation that was acquired for the purpose of developing energy projects. The physical components of the Project are located entirely within the municipal boundaries of the District of Kitimat. Zoning for the Project Area is M1 (Manufacturing Zone), which permits industrial and port development, and the proposed transmission line right-of-way crosses lands zoned M1 and G5 (Forestry Zone), which permits forestry activities by the District of Kitimat's Official Community Plan (District of Kitimat 2020).</p> <p>The location of the alternative transmission line predominantly on private land rather than provincial Crown land will reduce the potential effects on tenured and non-tenured land and resource use, relative to development of the transmission line within the approved Transmission Line Corridor.</p>
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<p>More heavy equipment terrorizing the land= more greenhouse gas emissions</p>	<p>The Haisla-led and owned project will be one of the lowest carbon intensity LNG facilities in the world and is able to achieve this best-in-class profile by sourcing clean, renewable electricity from BC Hydro to power the liquefaction process. Cedar is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water. Effects to GHG emissions as a result of the changes to the Project are assessed in Section 9.0 of the Amendment Application.</p>
Other Potential Effects	<p>The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far. Thus our natural heritage is affected negatively. And thus indigenous interests are affected negatively, whether the local band(s) recognize it or not. And any greenhouse gas emissions are unwelcome.</p>	<p>The Haisla-led and owned project will be one of the lowest carbon intensity LNG facilities in the world and is able to achieve this best-in-class profile by sourcing clean, renewable electricity from BC Hydro to power the liquefaction process. Cedar is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water. Effects to GHG emissions as a result of the changes to the Project are assessed in Section 9.0 of the Amendment Application.</p>
Human Health	<p>Conflict and disagreements breaking families apart, causing extreme levels of tension, resulting in mental health breakdowns + outrageous drug uses, overdoses & death</p>	<p>Potential Project-related effects to human and community well-being are assessed in Section 22.0 of the Application for an EAC. There are no interactions identified between the proposed changes to the Project and human and community well-being.</p>
Mitigation Measures	<p>For one thing, I am concerned about the normal natural movement of whales, salmon & other fish & seabirds and creatures being blocked by an expansion of this project. And the proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far. Thus our natural heritage is affected negatively. And thus indigenous interests are affected negatively, whether the local band(s) recognize it or not. And any greenhouse gas emissions are unwelcome. And any expansion creates an even greater explosion risk.</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water. Effects to marine resources as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.7 of the Amendment Application. Effects to GHG emissions as a result of the changes to the Project are assessed in Section 9.0 of the Amendment Application.</p> <p>Potential Project-related malfunctions and accidents are assessed in Section 9.0 of the Application for an EAC. There are no interactions identified between the proposed changes to the Project and malfunctions and accidents.</p>
Human Health	<p>We are all connected, relationships link our widespread communities across vast tracts of land, waterways, creatures, floras, mycelliums = undeniable ripple effect cause all manner of traumas</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water.</p>
Human Health	<p>I fully support the project. My only concern is that the Bish Creek FSR not be permanently closed to the public. A temporary closure is understandable, but it important to the community that it be reopened and in good condition after construction is complete.</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project will not result in long-term closures of the Bish Creek Forest Service Road (FSR). There may be temporary disturbances to traffic as a result of construction; however, Cedar will work to minimize these disturbances, and they would be undertaken with permission from the Ministry of Forests.</p>
Human Health	<p>The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far. Thus our natural heritage is affected negatively. And thus indigenous interests are affected negatively, whether the local band(s) recognize it or not. And any greenhouse gas emissions are unwelcome.</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water. Effects to wildlife as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.5 of the Amendment Application. Effects to GHG emissions as a result of the changes to the Project are assessed in Section 9.0 of the Amendment Application.</p>
Mitigation Measures	<p>Let it be known That the Gitanyow community now fully opposes this old agreement, has declared publicly how outdated and no longer valid the agreement is</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project is a Haisla Nation majority-owned development with the Facility Area located on private property owned by Haisla Nation in their traditional territory.</p>

Topic	Comment	Cedar's Response
	<p>The proposed expansions or maintenance of this system threaten "under and above water" vegetation & wildlife, both locally and at a distance, since wildlife move, and resources to build come from afar, which also affects the weather near and far. Thus our natural heritage is affected negatively. And thus indigenous interests are affected negatively, whether the local band(s) recognize it or not. And any greenhouse gas emissions are unwelcome.</p>	<p>The Cedar LNG Project, a Haisla-led and owned project, is being purposefully designed to promote environmental responsibility and sustainable development, by actively working to reduce, and where possible, avoid adverse impacts to the land and the water. Effects to wildlife as a result of changes to the Project are assessed in Section 7.5 of the Amendment Application. Effects to GHG emissions as a result of the changes to the Project are assessed in Section 9.0 of the Amendment Application.</p>