

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program

Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Canada Ltd.
December 2017



Statoil



Statoil Canada Ltd. gratefully acknowledges the contribution of the various firms that participated in the completion of this Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

The EIS and its supporting studies were prepared by an integrated team comprised of personnel from Amec Foster Wheeler and Stantec Consulting, in association with RPS, JASCO Applied Sciences, and Environmental Research Consulting.

Suggested Citation: Statoil Canada Ltd. (2017). Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement. Prepared by Amec Foster Wheeler and Stantec Consulting. St. John's, NL Canada. November 2017.

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND TABLE OF CONCORDANCE

Statoil Canada Ltd. (Statoil), in association with its partners BP Canada Energy Group ULC, BG International Limited, Chevron Canada Limited and ExxonMobil Canada Ltd., is proposing to undertake an exploration / delineation / appraisal drilling program and associated activities (herein referred to as exploration drilling) in the Flemish Pass area of the Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore Area between 2018 and 2027 (herein referred to as the Project).

It has been determined that, under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012* (CEAA 2012), that the drilling of a well on ELs 1139, 1140, 1141, and 1142 constitutes a “designated project” under Section 10 of the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities*. This document is an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) submitted to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEA Agency) to fulfil the requirements of the EIS Guidelines, issued in December 2016, under CEAA 2012.

The mapping and much of the content of this EIS addresses the Project Area for, and components and activities associated with, both the Statoil Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program, and the ExxonMobil Canada Ltd. Eastern Newfoundland Offshore Exploration Drilling Project, which are undergoing separate but concurrent environmental assessment (EA) review under CEAA 2012.

The Project activities described herein are standard components of an offshore exploration drilling program, and include:

- Presence and operation of a drilling installation (including lights, noise, air emissions, anchoring)
- Drilling and associated marine discharges (including fluids and cuttings)
- Formation flow testing with flaring
- Wellhead decommissioning
- Geophysical / geohazard / wellsite / seabed surveys and vertical seismic profiling surveys
- Geological / geotechnical / environmental surveys / autonomous underwater vehicle / remotely operated vehicle / video surveys
- Supply and servicing

The Project includes the drilling, testing, and eventual decommissioning of exploratory wells within the ELs identified above, using one or more drilling installations, which may include a semi-submersible and/or drill ship. Over the course of the anticipated duration of the Project, it is estimated that up to 30 wells could be drilled by Statoil, with specific wellsite locations being selected as planning and design activities progress. The Project also includes supporting activities that are often associated with offshore exploration drilling, including: possible delineation drilling in the case of a hydrocarbon discovery, geohazard / wellsite surveys, vertical seismic profiling, possible batch drilling, formation flow testing with flaring, geotechnical surveys, environmental surveys, remotely operated vehicle / video surveys, and associated supply and service activities.

The environmental effects analysis within the EIS is focused on the identification and assessment of potential adverse environmental effects of the Project on Valued Components (VCs). VCs are environmental attributes associated with the Project that are of particular value or interest because they have been identified to be of concern to Indigenous peoples, regulatory agencies, the Operator,

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

resource managers, scientists, key stakeholders, and/or the general public. The following six VCs were selected to facilitate a focused and effective EA process that complies with government requirements and supports public review:

- Marine Fish and Fish Habitat
- Marine and Migratory Birds
- Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles
- Special Areas
- Indigenous Communities and Activities
- Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users

The potential environmental effects of the Project are assessed in Chapters 8-15 using a standard framework for the assessment of each VC. Evaluation tables and matrices are used to document the assessment. Residual Project-related environmental effects (i.e., those environmental effects that remain after the planned mitigation measures have been applied) are characterized for each VC using specific analytical criteria (i.e., magnitude, geographic extent, duration, frequency, reversibility, and certainty). The significance of residual Project-related environmental effects is then determined based on pre-defined standards or thresholds.

The EA methods used in the preparation of this EIS include an evaluation of the residual environmental effects that may result from routine Project activities as well as from accidental events. The evaluation of potential cumulative environmental effects considers whether there is potential for the residual environmental effects of the Project to interact cumulatively with the residual environmental effects of other past, present, or future (i.e., certain, or reasonably foreseeable) physical activities in the vicinity of the Project. Mitigation measures have been proposed to address potential Project and cumulative environmental effects and address all components of the Project scope. They include general Project-wide mitigation measures, best management practices and VC-specific mitigation measures. Residual environmental effects are evaluated in consideration of these mitigation measures.

The residual adverse environmental effects resulting from planned Project activities are predicted to be not significant. With the implementation of proposed mitigation measures, most environmental effects are predicted to be reversible, of short to medium duration, low to moderate magnitude, and localized geographic extent. As there are no Indigenous communities or other aspects of the physical / cultural heritage and other socioeconomic components and activities of these groups located within or near the Project Area / Local Study Area, and because the environmental changes and effects of the Project will not likely extend to and affect lands and resources that are currently used by these groups for traditional purposes, the Project will not have adverse residual effects on this VC.

With respect to accidental events, the probability of hydrocarbon releases are calculated based on historical information. Although significant release or spill is extremely unlikely, the trajectory and fate of hypothetical batch spills and subsurface blowouts are modelled, which can be used by the Operator to inform emergency response and contingency plans. Residual environmental effects resulting from accidental events are evaluated in consideration of the modelling results and the response and contingency measures. The residual adverse environmental effects for most VCs are predicted to be not significant, although a significant residual effect to Marine and Migratory Birds could occur (although not likely) depending on the timing, location, and duration of a spill and the

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

effectiveness of mitigation measures. To reduce the likelihood of accidental events, the Operator will design the Project and conduct all activities with a focus on safety and pollution prevention.

In summary, with the implementation of proposed mitigation measures, residual adverse environmental effects of routine Project activities are predicted to be not significant for all VCs. The Operator has and will continue to follow a performance-based assessment and continuous improvement approach with respect to environmental management of the Project using the Corporate Management System.

A concordance table (Table 1) is provided to demonstrate compliance with the EIS Guidelines and indicate where requirements have been addressed in this EIS document.

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

GUIDELINES FOR THE PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
 pursuant to the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*
Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Project
Statoil Canada Ltd.

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
1. INTRODUCTION	
the EIS must include a full description of the changes the project will cause to the environment that may result in adverse effects on areas of federal jurisdiction (i.e. section 5 of CEAA 2012) including changes that are directly linked or necessarily incidental to any federal decisions that would permit the project to be carried out.	1.4; Chapters 8-12
The EIS must also include a list of key mitigation measures that the proponent proposes to undertake in order to avoid or minimize any adverse environmental effects of the project. It is the responsibility of the proponent to provide sufficient data and analysis on potential changes to the environment to ensure a thorough evaluation of the environmental effects of the project by the Agency.	1.4; Chapters 8-12
2. GUIDING PRINCIPLES	
2.1. Environmental assessment as a planning and decision making tool	1.4
2.2. Public participation	
The proponent is required to provide current information about the project to the public and especially to the communities likely to be most affected by the project	1.4; Chapter 3
2.3. Engagement with Indigenous groups	
The proponent is expected to engage with potentially affected groups, beginning as early as possible in the project planning process.	1.1.2; 1.4; Chapter 3
The proponent shall provide potentially affected groups with opportunities to learn about the project and its potential effects and to make their concerns known about the project's potential effects and discuss measures to mitigate those effects.	1.4; Chapter 3
The proponent is strongly encouraged to work with potentially affected groups to establish an engagement approach.	1.1.2; Chapter 3
The proponent will make reasonable efforts to integrate Aboriginal traditional knowledge into the assessment of environmental effects.	Chapter 3; 4.3.3; 7.3

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
2.4. Application of the precautionary approach	
The proponent will demonstrate that all aspects of the project have been examined and planned in a careful and precautionary manner in order to avoid significant adverse environmental effects	Chapter 2
3. SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	
3.1. Designated project	
On August 8, 2016 Statoil Canada Ltd., the proponent of the Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program provided a project description to the Agency. Based on this project description, the Agency has determined that an EA is required under CEAA 2012 and will include the following project components and activities:	1.3.2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the mobilization, operation and demobilization of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units designed for year-round operations for the drilling, testing and abandonment of up to thirty exploration wells within exploration licences operated by Statoil Canada Ltd. (1139, 1140, 1141 and 1142), including consideration of any proposed safety exclusion zones. Drilling may occur in various water depths under consideration, with various types of drilling units, and with multiple drilling units operating simultaneously; 	4.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – vertical seismic profiling surveys and in-water works (e.g. wellsite surveys) to support the specific exploration wells under consideration, but excluding surveys potentially required to support conduct of the EA (e.g. environmental baseline surveys) and surveys related to the broader delineation of resources; and 	4.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the loading, refuelling and operation of marine support vessels (i.e. for re-supply and transfer of materials, fuel, and equipment and on-site safety during drilling activities and transport between the supply base and Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit(s)) and helicopter support (i.e. for crew transport and delivery of light supplies and equipment) including transportation to the Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit. 	4.1
Note: If the proponent becomes the operator of additional exploration licenses within the Flemish Pass and submits corresponding information to the Agency prior to the submission of the EIS, the Agency will consider whether activities on these additional licences may be incorporated into the scope of this EA.	
3.2. Factors to be considered	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – environmental effects of the project, including the environmental effects of malfunctions or accidents that may occur in connection with the project and any cumulative environmental effects that are likely to result from the project in combination with other physical activities that have been or will be carried out; 	4.1; Chapters 8-15
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the significance of the effects referred to above; 	4.1; 8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4; 15.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – comments from the public; 	3.5; 4.1

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
– mitigation measures that are technically and economically feasible and that would mitigate any significant adverse environmental effects of the project;	4.1; Chapters 8.0-13.0; 15.0
– the requirements of the follow-up program in respect of the project;	4.1; Chapters 8.0-13.0
– the purpose of the project;	2.2; 4.1
– alternative means of carrying out the project that are technically and economically feasible and the environmental effects of any such alternative means;	2.10.1; 4.1
– any change to the project that may be caused by the environment; and	4.1; 4.6; Chapter 16
– the results of any relevant regional study pursuant to CEAA 2012.	1.3.2; 4.1; 4.3
3.2.1. Changes to the environment	
Under CEAA 2012, an examination of environmental effects that result from changes to the environment as a result of the project being carried out or as a result of the federal government exercising any power duty or function that would allow the project to be carried out must be considered in the EIS.	4.1; Chapters 8-13
In scoping the potential changes to the environment that may occur, the proponent should consider any potential changes in the physical environment such as changes to air quality, water quality and quantity, and physical disturbance of land that could reasonably be expected to occur.	4.1; 4.3; Chapters 8-13
3.2.1. Valued components to be examined	
The proponent must conduct and focus its analysis on VCs as they relate to section 5 of CEAA 2012, including the ones identified in Section 6.3 (Part 2) of these guidelines that may be affected by changes in the environment, as well as species at risk and their critical habitat as per the requirement outlined in section 79 of the Species at Risk Act.	1.4.2; 4.1; Chapters 8-12
The list of VCs presented in the EIS will be completed according to the evolution and design of the project and reflect the knowledge acquired through public consultation and engagement with Indigenous groups.	Chapter 3; 4.1; 4.2
The EIS will describe what methods were used to predict and assess the adverse environmental effects of the project on these valued components.	4.3; 4.4; 4.5; 8.3.1; 9.3.1; 10.3.1; 11.3.1; 12.3.1; 13.3.1
The VCs will be described in sufficient detail to allow the reviewer to understand their importance and to assess the potential for environmental effects arising from the project activities.	Chapters 5-7

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<p>The EIS will provide a rationale for selecting specific VCs and for excluding any VCs or information specified in these guidelines. Challenges may arise regarding particular exclusions, so it is important to document the information and the criteria used to justify the exclusion of a particular VC or piece of information. Justification may be based on, for example, primary data collection, computer modelling, literature references, public participation or engagement with Indigenous groups, or expert input or professional judgement.</p>	4.2
<p>The EIS will identify those VCs, processes, and interactions that either were identified to be of concern during any workshops or meetings held by the proponent or that the proponent considers likely to be affected by the project. In doing so, the EIS will indicate to whom these concerns are important (i.e. the public or Indigenous groups) and the reasons why, including environmental, cultural, historical, social, economic, recreational, and aesthetic considerations, and traditional knowledge. If comments are received on a component that has not been included as a VC, these comments will be summarized and the rationale for excluding the component will address the comments.</p>	Chapter 3.0; 4.2
<p>3.2.1. Spatial and temporal boundaries</p>	
<p>The spatial and temporal boundaries used in the EA may vary depending on the VC and will be considered separately for each VC, including for VCs related to the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal peoples, or other environmental effects referred to under paragraph 5(1)(c) of CEAA 2012. The proponent is encouraged to consult with the Agency, federal and provincial government departments and agencies, local government, and Indigenous groups, and take into account public comments when defining the spatial and temporal boundaries used in the EIS.</p>	4.3.1; 8.1; 9.1; 10.1; 11.1; 12.1; 13.1
<p>The EIS will describe the spatial boundaries, including local and regional study areas, of each VC to be used in assessing the potential adverse environmental effects of the project and provide a rationale for each boundary. Spatial boundaries will be defined taking into account the appropriate scale and spatial extent of potential environmental effects, community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge, current or traditional land and resource use by Indigenous groups, ecological, technical, social, and cultural considerations.</p>	8.1; 9.1; 10.1; 11.1; 12.1; 13.1
<p>The temporal boundaries of the EA will span all phases of the project determined to be within the scope of this EA as specified under section 3.1 above. If effects are predicted after project decommissioning, this should be taken into consideration in defining boundaries. Community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge should factor into decisions around defining temporal boundaries.</p>	4.3.1.1
<p>If the temporal boundaries do not span all phases of the project, the EIS will identify the boundaries used and provide a rationale.</p>	4.3.1

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
4 PREPARATION AND PRESENTATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	
4.1. Guidance	
The proponent is encouraged to consult relevant Agency policy and guidance on topics to be addressed in the EIS, and to liaise with the Agency during the planning and development of the EIS. The proponent is also encouraged to consult relevant guidance from other federal departments.	1.0; 1.4.2; 4.1; 4.2; 4.3.1; 4.3.2
Submission of regulatory and technical information necessary for federal authorities to make their regulatory decisions during the conduct of the EA is at the discretion of the proponent. Although that information is not necessary for the EA decision, the proponent is encouraged to submit it concurrent with the EIS. While the EIS must outline applicable federal authorizations required for the project to proceed, the proponent must provide information relevant to the regulatory role of the federal government. It should be noted that the issuance of these other applicable federal legislative, regulatory, and constitutional requirements is within the purview of the relevant federal authorities, and are subject to separate processes post EA decision.	1.3; 1.4.2
4.2. Use of information	
4.2.1. Government expert advice	
The Agency will advise the proponent of the availability of pertinent information or knowledge or expert and specialist knowledge received from other federal authorities or other levels of government so that it can be incorporated into the EIS.	Noted
4.2.2. Community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge	
The proponent will incorporate into the EIS the community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge to which it has access or that is acquired through public participation and engagement with Indigenous groups, in keeping with appropriate ethical standards and obligations of confidentiality.	Chapter 3.0; 4.1; 7.3
The proponent will integrate Aboriginal traditional knowledge into all aspects of its assessment including both methodology (e.g. establishing spatial and temporal boundaries, defining significance criteria) and analysis (e.g. baseline characterization, effects prediction, development of mitigation measures).	Chapter 3.0; 4.1; 7.3
Agreement should be obtained from Indigenous groups regarding the use, management, and protection of their existing traditional knowledge information during and after the EA.	Chapter 3.0; 4.1; 7.3

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
4.2.3. Existing information	
<p>In preparing the EIS, the proponent can use existing information relevant to the project, if applicable. When relying on existing information to meet requirements of the EIS Guidelines, the proponent will either include the information directly in the EIS or clearly direct the reader to where it may obtain the information (i.e. through cross-referencing).</p>	Chapters 5.0-7.0
<p>When relying on existing information to support the effects assessment, the proponent will provide a rationale to support the use of the information in relation to the specific project (separate factual lines of evidence from inference, and state any limitations on the inferences or conclusions that can be drawn from the existing information. In such circumstances, the proponent will clearly describe potential or known data or knowledge gaps, and describe how such gaps have been addressed in the assessment of the project.</p>	4.3.3; 6.2.1; 10.3.3; 10.3.7; 10.3.8; 10.5.2 Chapters 5 to 7
4.2.1. Confidential information	
<p>In implementing CEAA 2012, the Agency is committed to promoting public participation in the EA of projects and providing access to the information on which EAs are based. All documents prepared or submitted by the proponent or any other stakeholder in relation to the EA are included in the Canadian Environmental Assessment Registry and made available to the public on request. For this reason, the EIS will not contain information that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is sensitive or confidential (i.e. financial, commercial, scientific, technical, personal, cultural or other nature), that is treated consistently as confidential, and the person affected has not consented to the disclosure; or • may cause substantial harm to a person or specific harm to the environment through its disclosure. 	Confidential information is not included in the EIS.
4.3. Study strategy and methodology	
<p>It is possible these guidelines may include matters which, in the judgement of the proponent, are not relevant or significant to the project. If such matters are omitted from the EIS, the proponent will clearly indicate it in the EIS, and provide a justification so the Agency, federal authorities, Indigenous groups, the public and any other interested party have an opportunity to comment on this decision. Where the Agency disagrees with the proponent's decision, it will require the proponent to provide the specified information.</p>	4.2; 4.3.3; 7.3
The assessment will include the following general steps:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ identifying the activities and components of the project; 	1.2.2; 2.5; 4.1; 4.3; 8.5.2; 9.5.1; 10.5.1; 11.4.1; 12.4.1; 13.4.1

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
✓ predicting potential changes to the environment;	4.1; 8.2; 9.2; 10.2; 11.2; 12.2; 13.2
✓ predicting and evaluating the likely effects on identified VCs;	4.1; 4.2; 8.5.2; 8.5.8; 9.2; 9.5.2; 10.2; 10.5.1; 11.2; 11.4.1; 12.2; 12.4.1; 13.2; 13.4.1
✓ identifying technically and economically feasible mitigation measures for any significant adverse environmental effects;	4.1; 8.3.2; 9.3.2; 10.3.2; 11.3.2; 12.3.2; 13.3.2
✓ determining any residual environmental effects;	4.1; 8.5.8; 9.5.1; 10.5.1; 11.4.1; 12.4.1; 13.4.1
✓ considering cumulative effects of the project in combination with other physical activities that have been or will be carried out; and	4.4; Chapter 14
✓ determining the potential significance of any residual environmental effect following the implementation of mitigation measures.	4.3.2; 4.3.3; 8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4; 14.2.6; 14.3.6; 14.4.6; 14.5.5; 14.6.3; 14.7.5; 16.3
For each VC, the EIS will describe the methodology used to assess project-related effects.	4.3; 4.3.3; 4.4; 4.5; 8.3.1; 9.3.1; 10.3.1; 11.3.1; 12.3.1; 13.3.1
The EIS could include an analysis of the pathway of the effects of environmental changes on each VC.	4.1; 8.2; 9.2; 10.2; 11.2; 12.2; 13.2
The EIS will document where and how scientific, engineering, community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge were used to reach conclusions.	Chapters 5.0-7.0; 8.3; 8.4; 8.5; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5; 10.3; 10.4; 10.5; 11.3; 11.4; 12.3; 12.4; 13.3; 13.4; 16.2; 16.3
Assumptions will be clearly identified and justified.	4.3.3; Chapter 15
All data, models and studies will be documented such that the analyses are transparent and reproducible.	2.13; 4.7; 8.7; 10.7; 15.6 Appendices C, D, E, G

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<p>All data collection methods will be specified. The uncertainty, reliability, sensitivity, and conservativeness of models used to reach conclusions must be indicated.</p>	<p>4.3; 4.3.3; 4.4; 4.5; 8.3.1; 9.3.1; 10.3.1; 11.3.1; 12.3.1; 13.3.1; 15.2; 15.3; 15.4 Appendices C, D, E, G</p>
<p>The EIS will identify all significant gaps in knowledge and understanding related to key conclusions, and the steps to be taken by the proponent to address these gaps. Where the conclusions drawn from scientific, engineering and technical knowledge are inconsistent with the conclusions drawn from Aboriginal traditional knowledge, the EIS will present each perspective on the issue and a statement of the proponent's conclusions.</p>	<p>4.3.3; 5.8.2.1; 7.1.6; 10.3.3; 10.3.7; 10.3.8; 10.5.2</p>
<p>The EIS will include a description of the environment (both biophysical and human), including the components of the existing environment and environmental processes, their interrelations as well as the variability in these components, processes, and interactions over time scales appropriate to the likely effects of the project. The description will be sufficiently detailed to characterize the environment before any disturbance to the environment due to the project and to identify, assess and determine the significance of the potential adverse environmental effects of the project. These data should include results from studies done prior to any physical disruption of the environment due to project related activities. The information describing the existing environment may be provided in a stand-alone chapter of the EIS or may be integrated into clearly defined sections within the effects assessment of each VC. This analysis will include environmental conditions resulting from historical and present activities in the local and regional study areas.</p>	<p>Chapters 5-7</p>
<p>If the baseline data have been extrapolated or otherwise manipulated to depict environmental conditions in the study areas, modelling methods and equations will be described and will include calculations of margins of error and other relevant statistical information, such as confidence intervals and possible sources of error. The proponent will provide the references used in creating their approach to baseline data gathering, including identifying where appropriate, the relevant federal or provincial standards. The proponent is encouraged to discuss the timeframe and considerations for its proposed baseline data with the Agency prior to submitting its EIS.</p>	<p>8.3.1; 8.3.4; 9.3.1; 10.3.1, Chapter 5, Chapter 15 Appendix E</p>

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<p>In describing and assessing effects to the physical and biological environment, the proponent will take an ecosystem approach that considers both scientific and community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge and perspectives regarding ecosystem health and integrity. The proponent will consider the resilience of relevant species populations, communities, and their habitats. The assessment of environmental effects on Aboriginal peoples, pursuant to paragraph 5(1)(c) of CEAA 2012, will undergo the same rigour and type of assessment as any other VC (including setting of spatial and temporal boundaries, identification and analysis of effects, identification of mitigation measures, determination of residual effects, identification and a clear explanation of the methodology used for assessing the significance of residual effects and assessment of cumulative effects).</p>	<p>Chapter 3.0; 7.3; Chapter 12.0; Chapter 14.0</p>
<p>The proponent will consider the use of both primary and secondary sources of information regarding baseline information, changes to the environment and the corresponding effect on health, socio- economics, physical and cultural heritage and the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes.</p>	<p>Chapters 5.0-7.0; 4.3; 4.3.3; 4.4; 4.5; 8.3.1; 9.3.1; 10.3.1; 11.3.1; 12.3.1; 13.3.1</p>
<p>The proponent will provide Indigenous groups the opportunity to review and provide comments on the information used for describing and assessing effects on Aboriginal peoples (further information on engaging with Indigenous groups is provided in Part 2, Section 5 of this document). Where there are discrepancies in the views of the proponent and Indigenous groups on the information to be used in the EIS, the EIS will document these discrepancies and the rationale for the proponent’s selection of information.</p>	<p>Chapter 3.0; 7.3; Chapter 12.0</p>
<p>The assessment of the effects of each of the project components and physical activities, in all phases, will be based on a comparison of the biophysical and human environments between the predicted future conditions with the project and the predicted future conditions without the project. In undertaking the environmental effects assessment, the proponent will use best available information and methods. All conclusions will be substantiated. Predictions will be based on clearly stated assumptions. The proponent will describe how each assumption has been tested. With respect to quantitative models and predictions, the EIS will document the assumptions that underlie the model, the quality of the data and the degree of certainty of the predictions obtained.</p>	<p>Entire EIS</p>

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
4.4. Presentation and organization of the environmental impact statement	
<p>To facilitate the identification of the documents submitted and their placement in the Canadian Environmental Assessment Registry, the title page of the EIS and its related documents will contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - project name and location - title of the document, including the term “environmental impact statement” - subtitle of the document - name of the proponent - date of submission of the EIS 	Title page
<p>The EIS will be written in clear, precise language. A glossary defining technical words, acronyms and abbreviations will be included. The EIS will include charts, diagrams, tables, maps, and photographs, where appropriate, to clarify the text. Perspective drawings that clearly convey the various components of the project will also be provided. Wherever possible, maps will be presented in common scales and datum to allow for comparison and overlay of mapped features.</p>	Entire EIS
<p>Detailed studies (including all relevant and supporting data and methodologies) will be provided in separate appendices and will be referenced by appendix, section, and page in the text of the main document.</p>	Throughout EIS Appendices C, D, E, G
<p>The EIS will explain how information is organized in the document. This will include a table of contents with a list of all tables, figures, and photographs referenced in the text.</p>	Table of Contents
<p>A complete list of supporting literature and references will also be provided.</p>	1.6; 2.13; 4.7; 5.9; 6.5; 7.5; 8.7; 9.7; 10.7; 12.6; 13.6; 14.9; 15.6; 16.4; 17.6
<p>A table of concordance, which cross references the information presented in the EIS with the information requirements identified in the EIS Guidelines, will be provided.</p>	Table of Concordance
4.5. Summary of the environmental impact statement	
<p>The proponent will prepare a summary of the EIS in both of Canada’s official languages (French and English) to be provided to the Agency at the same time as the EIS that will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a concise description of all key components of the project and related activities; - a summary of the engagement with Indigenous groups, and the participation of the public and government agencies, including a summary of the issues raised and the proponent’s responses; - an overview of expected changes to the environment; 	Plain Language Summary

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - an overview of the key environmental effects of the project, as described under section 5 of CEAA 2012, and proposed technically and economically feasible mitigation measures; - an overview of how factors under paragraph 19(1) of CEAA 2012 were considered; <p>the proponent’s conclusions on the residual environmental effects of the project, and the significance of those effects, after taking into account the mitigation measures.</p>	
<p>The summary is to be provided as a separate document and should be structured as follows:</p>	Plain Language Summary
1. Introduction and EA context	
2. Project overview	
3. Alternative means of carrying out the project	
4. Public participation	
5. Engagement with Indigenous Groups	
<p>6. Summary of environmental effects assessment for each valued component, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. description of the baseline b. anticipated changes to the environment c. anticipated effects d. mitigation measures e. significance of residual effects 	
7. Follow-up and monitoring programs proposed	
<p>The summary will have sufficient details for the reader to understand the project, any potential environmental effects, proposed mitigation measures, and the significance of the residual effects. The summary will include key maps illustrating the project location and key project components.</p>	
Part 2 – Content of the Environmental Impact Statement	
1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	1.0
1.1. The proponent	1.1
In the EIS, the proponent will:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provide contact information (e.g. name, address, phone, fax, email); 	1.1.2; 1.1.3

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - identify itself and the name of the legal entity(ies) that would develop, manage, and operate the project; - describe corporate and management structures; - specify the mechanism used to ensure that corporate policies will be implemented and respected for the project; and - identify key personnel, contractors, and/or sub-contractors responsible for preparing the EIS. 	
<p>1.2. Project overview</p> <p>The EIS will describe the project, key project components and associated activities, scheduling details, the timing of each phase of the project and other key features. If the project is part of a larger sequence of projects, the EIS will outline the larger context.</p>	1.2; 1.2.2 Chapter 2
<p>1.1 Project location</p>	
<p>The EIS will contain a description of the geographical setting in which the project will take place. This description will focus on those aspects of the project and its setting that are important in order to understand the potential environmental effects of the project. The following information will be included:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection coordinates of the main project site; 	1.2.1; 2.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - current land and resource use in the area; 	1.2.3; 2.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - distance of the project facilities and components to any federal lands; 	1.2.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the environmental significance and value of the geographical setting in which the project will take place and the surrounding area; 	1.2.3; 2.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - environmentally sensitive areas, such as national, provincial, and regional parks, ecological reserves, ecologically and biologically significant areas, fishery closure areas, vulnerable marine ecosystems, and habitats of federally or provincially listed species at risk and other sensitive areas; 	1.2.3; 2.4; 6.1.9; 6.2.5; 6.3.8; 6.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - description of local and Indigenous communities; and 	1.2.3; 7.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - traditional territories and/or consultation areas, treaty lands, and Indian Reserve lands. 	1.2.3; 7.3
<p>1.4. Regulatory framework and the role of government</p>	
<p>The EIS will identify:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - any federal power, duty or function that may be exercised that would permit the carrying out (in whole or in part) of the project or associated activities; 	1.3; 1.3.1

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
- legislation and other regulatory approvals that are applicable to the project at the federal, provincial, regional, and municipal levels;	1.3.1; 1.3.1.2
- government policies, resource management plans, planning or study initiatives pertinent to the project and/or EA and their implications;	1.3.1.2
- any treaty, self-government, or other agreements between federal or provincial governments and Indigenous groups that are pertinent to the project and/or EA;	7.3
- any relevant land use plans, or land zoning; and	1.3
- regional, provincial, and/or national objectives, standards or guidelines that have been used by the proponent to assist in the evaluation of any predicted environmental effects.	1.3; 1.4.2
2. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION AND ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	
2.1. Purpose of the project	
The EIS will describe the purpose of the project by providing the rationale for the project, explaining the background, the problems, or opportunities that the project is intended to satisfy and the stated objectives from the perspective of the proponent. If the objectives of the project are related to broader private or public sector policies, plans or programs, this information will also be included.	2.2
The EIS will also describe the predicted environmental, economic, and social benefits of the project. This information will be considered in assessing the justifiability of any significant adverse residual environmental effects as defined in section 5 of CEEA 2012, if such effects are identified.	2.2; 2.2.1
2.2. Alternative means of carrying out the project	
The EIS will identify and consider the environmental effects of alternative means of carrying out the project that are technically and economically feasible.	2.10
<p>The proponent will complete the following procedural steps for addressing alternative means:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify the alternative means to carry out the project. - Identify the effects of each technically and economically feasible alternative means. - Select the approach for the analysis of alternative means (i.e. identify a preferred means or bring forward alternative means). - Assess the environmental effects of the alternative means. 	2.10

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
In its alternative means analysis, the proponent will address, at a minimum, the following project components:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - choice of drilling fluid (i.e. water-based drilling mud or synthetic-based drilling mud); - choice of drilling unit (i.e. drillship or semi-submersible); - management of drilling wastes (i.e. disposal on seabed or into water column, recover and ship to shore, re-inject); - water management and location of the final effluent discharge points; and - alternative ways to light the platform at night (or flare at night when testing the well), to reduce attraction and associated mortality of birds, such as by installing flare shields 	2.10
The EIS should include a discussion on how wastes and potential associated toxic substances would be minimized. The proponent should also discuss any alternatives that would enable it to achieve these objectives and adopt best practices in waste management and treatment.	2.9; 2.10; 2.11
<p>With the objective of minimizing potential environmental impacts of discharges to the marine environment, the proponent should identify the quantity and type of chemicals (or constituents) that may be used in support of the proposed project that are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - included on the <i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act's</i> List of Toxic Substances; - not included on the OSPAR[1] Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment (PLONOR) list of chemicals and have a PARCOM[2] Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme Hazard Rating of A, B or purple, orange, blue, or white; or - not included on the PLONOR list of chemicals and have not been assigned a PARCOM Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme Hazard Rating. 	2.10
Alternatives to the use of the above-listed chemicals (e.g. through alternative means of operating or use of less-toxic alternatives) should be discussed in the EIS.	2.10.1.7
The Agency recognizes that projects may be in the early planning stages when the EIS is being prepared. Where the proponent has not made final decisions concerning the placement of project infrastructure, the technologies to be used, or that several options may exist for various project components, the proponent shall conduct an environmental effects analysis at the same level of detail for each of the various options available (alternative means) within the EIS.	2.10

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
3.1. Project components	
The EIS will describe the project, by presenting the project components, associated and ancillary works, and other characteristics that will assist in understanding the environmental effects. This will include:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - maps, at an appropriate scale, of the project location; - project components; - boundaries and UTM coordinates of the proposed exploration licences (1139, 1140, 1141 and 1142); - the major existing infrastructure; - adjacent land and resource uses; and - any important environmental features. 	2.3; 2.4; 2.5
If the project is part of a larger sequence of projects, the proponent will outline the larger context and present the relevant references, if available.	This project is a stand-alone project, and not part of any other project.
In its EIS, the proponent will describe:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the Mobile Offshore Drilling Units and/or drill ships and their operations (drilling, testing, abandonment) in locations and water depths under consideration; 	2.1; 2.3; 2.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the size and types of vessels that will be used including navigation activities (i.e. routes, number, and frequency of trips) and icebreaking activities (time of year, frequency, duration, expected start and end dates); 	2.1; 2.5.2.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - helicopters, including routes, number, and frequency of trips; 	2.1; 2.5.2.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - vertical seismic profiling or any other in-water works (e.g. wellsite surveys) to support the specific exploration wells under consideration, but excluding surveys potentially required to support the conduct of the EA (e.g. environmental baseline surveys) and surveys related to the broader delineation of resources; 	2.1; 2.5.2.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - reagent requirements and uses (e.g. volumes, storage, types); 	2.5.1.1; 2.9; 2.10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - petroleum products (e.g. source, volume, storage); 	2.5.1.1; 2.9; 2.10

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
- the nature, composition, and fate (e.g. areal extent) of drilling wastes (e.g. muds, cuttings) at various water depths and at various stages of drilling, including during riserless drilling and drilling with the marine riser in place, using dispersion modeling;	2.5; 2.9; 2.10.1.3
- the management or disposal of wastes (e.g. type and constituents of waste, quantity, treatment, and method of disposal) including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ drilling muds, drill solids; ✓ bilge and ballast water; ✓ deck drainage; ✓ cooling water; ✓ fire control system test water; ✓ operational discharges from subsea systems and the installation of subsea systems; ✓ sewage and food wastes; ✓ well treatment or testing fluids; and ✓ other operational discharges. 	2.5; 2.9; 2.10
- contributions to atmospheric emissions, including emissions profile (i.e. type, rate and source) for activities including routine or upset flaring, routine drilling, testing, shipping etc.;	2.9.1
- sources and extent of light, heat, and noise;	2.9.5
- transfers of bulk materials (e.g. mud) and fuel;	2.5.1.1; 2.9; 2.10.1.3
- number of employees and transportation of employees;	2.2.1.3; 2.5.1.1; 2.5.2.6; 2.6
- drinking and industrial water requirements (source, quantity required, need for water treatment);	2.5.1.1; 2.9.4
- energy supply (source, quantity);	2.5.1.1; 2.9.1
- waste disposal (types of waste, methods of disposal, quantity); and	2.9
- components requiring construction or modification at the supply base(s) under consideration.	2.5.2.5
3.2. Project activities	
The EIS will include descriptions of the drilling, testing and decommissioning, suspension or abandonment of exploration wells associated with the proposed project.	2.1; 2.5

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
This will include descriptions of the activities to be carried out during each phase, the location of each activity, expected outputs and an indication of the activity's magnitude and scale. Water depths for potential drill sites will be specified.	2.5.1; 2.5.2
Although a complete list of project activities should be provided, the emphasis will be on activities with the greatest potential to have environmental effects. Sufficient information will be included to predict environmental effects and address concerns identified by the public and Indigenous groups. Highlight activities that involve periods of increased environmental disturbance or the release of materials into the environment.	2.5.1; 2.5.2
The EIS will include a summary of the changes that have been made to the project since originally proposed, including the benefits of these changes to the environment, Indigenous groups, and the public.	2.8
The EIS will include a schedule including time of year, frequency, and duration for all project activities. The information will include a description of:	2.7
3.2.1. Drilling and testing activities	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - operation of the Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit and/or drill ships, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ drilling at various water depths and in locations under consideration ✓ well flow testing ✓ waste management - water management 	2.1; 2.5; 2.9
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - vertical seismic profile surveys; equipment requirements (type, quantity); and 	2.1; 2.5.2.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - storage and management of hazardous materials, fuels, and residues. 	2.9; 2.10
3.2.2. Supply and servicing	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - vessel support, including loading, refuelling and operation of marine support vessels (i.e. for transfer, re-supply, and on-site safety during drilling activities); and 	2.5.2.6
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - helicopter support (i.e. crew transport and delivery of supplies and equipment). 	2.5.2.6
3.2.1 Decommissioning, suspension, or abandonment of wells	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the preliminary outline of a well decommissioning, suspension and abandonment plan for wells at varying water depths 	2.1; 2.5.2.7

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND CONCERNS	
The EIS will describe the ongoing and proposed public participation activities that the proponent will undertake or that it has already conducted on the project. It will provide a description of efforts made to distribute project information and provide a description of information and materials that were distributed during the consultation process.	Chapter 3
The EIS will indicate the methods used, where the consultation was held, the persons and organizations consulted, the concerns voiced and the extent to which this information was incorporated in the design of the project as well as in the EIS.	3.2; 3.3; 3.4
The EIS will provide a summary of key issues raised related to the project and its potential effects to the environment as well as describe any outstanding issues and ways to address them.	3.4
5. ENGAGEMENT WITH INDIGENOUS GROUPS AND CONCERNS RAISED	
For the purposes of developing the EIS, the proponent will engage with Indigenous groups that may be affected by the project, to obtain their views on:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - effects of changes to the environment on Aboriginal peoples (health and socio-economic conditions; physical and cultural heritage, including any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological, or architectural significance; and current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes) pursuant to paragraph 5(1)(c) of CEAA 2012; and 	3.3; 3.3.1; 3.3.2; 3.3.3; 3.3.4 7.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - potential adverse impacts of the project on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests, in respect of the Crown’s duty to consult, and where appropriate, accommodate Aboriginal peoples. 	3.3; 3.3.1; 3.3.2; 3.3.3; 3.3.4; 7.3
With respect to potential adverse impacts of the project on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests, the EIS will document for the groups identified in Section 5.1 below (or in subsequent correspondence from the Agency):	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests, when this information is directly provided by a group to the proponent, the Agency or is available through public records, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o geographical extent, nature, frequency and timing of the practice or exercise of the right; and, o maps and data sets (e.g. fish catch numbers); 	7.3; Chapter 12.0

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – potential adverse impacts of each of the project components and physical activities, in all phases, on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests. This assessment is to be based on a comparison of the exercise of the identified rights, title, and related interests between the predicted future conditions with the project and the predicted future conditions without the project. Include the perspectives of potentially impacted groups where these were provided to the proponent by the groups; 	12.2; 12.3; 12.4.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – measures identified to accommodate potential adverse impacts of the project on the potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests. These measures will be written as specific commitments that clearly describe how the proponent intends to implement them, and may go beyond mitigation measures that are developed to address potential adverse environmental effects; 	12.3; 12.3.2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – potential adverse impacts on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests that have not been fully mitigated or accommodated as part of the EA and associated engagement with Indigenous groups. The proponent will also take into account the potential adverse impacts that may result from the residual and cumulative environmental effects. Include the perspectives of potentially affected groups where these were provided to the proponent by the groups. 	12.2; 12.3; 12.4.1; 14.6
<p>The information sources, methodology and findings of the assessment of paragraph 5(1)(c) effects under CEEA 2012 may be used to inform the assessment of potential adverse impacts of the project on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests. However, there may be distinctions between the adverse impacts on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests and paragraph 5(1)(c) effects under CEEA 2012. The proponent will carefully consider the potential distinction between these two aspects and, where there are differences, will include the relevant information in its assessment.</p>	7.3; 12.3.2
<p>In terms of gathering views from potentially affected groups with respect to both environmental effects of the project and the potential adverse impacts of the project on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests, the EIS will document:</p>	Chapter 3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – VCs suggested by groups for inclusion in the EIS, whether they were included, and the rationale for any exclusions; specific suggestions raised by each group for mitigating the effects of changes to the environment on Aboriginal peoples or accommodating potential adverse impacts of the project on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests; 	3.3; 3.4; 12.2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – views expressed by each group on the effectiveness of the mitigation or accommodation measures; 	3.3; 3.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – from the proponent’s perspective, any potential cultural, social, and/or economic impacts or benefits to each group identified that may arise as a result of the project. Include the perspectives of potentially affected groups where these were provided to the proponent by the groups; 	3.3; 3.4; 12.0

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
– any other comments, specific issues and concerns raised by potentially affected groups and how they were responded to or addressed;	3.3; 3.4
– changes made to the project design and implementation directly as a result of discussions with potentially affected groups;	2.8
– where and how Aboriginal traditional knowledge was incorporated into the environmental effects assessment (including methodology, baseline conditions and effects analysis for all VCs) and the consideration of potential adverse impacts on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests, and related mitigation measures; and	3.3; 7.3; 12.2; 12.3
– any additional issues and concerns raised by potentially affected groups in relation to the environmental effects assessment and the potential adverse impacts of the project on potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests.	3.3; 7.3; 12.2; 12.3
The Agency recommends the proponent create a tracking table of key issues raised by each group, including the concerns raised related to the project, proposed mitigation measures, and where appropriate, a reference to the proponent’s analysis in the EIS.	Chapter 3
5.1. Indigenous groups and engagement activities	
With respect to engagement activities, the EIS will document:	
– the engagement activities undertaken with each group prior to the submission of the EIS, including the date and means of engagement (e.g. meeting, mail, telephone);	3.3; 3.3.1; 3.3.2; 3.3.3; 3.3.4;
– any future planned engagement activities; and	3.3.2; 3.4
– how engagement activities by the proponent allowed groups to understand the project and evaluate its effects on their communities, activities, potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interests.	3.3; 7.3; 12.2; 12.3
In preparing the EIS, the proponent will ensure that groups have access to timely and relevant information on the project and how the project may adversely impact them. The proponent will structure its engagement activities to provide adequate time for groups to review and comment on the relevant information. Engagement activities are to be appropriate to the groups’ needs, arranged through discussions with the groups and in keeping with established consultation protocols, where available. The EIS will describe all efforts, successful or not, taken to solicit the information required from groups to support the preparation of the EIS.	3.3; 7.3; 12.2

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<p>The proponent will ensure that views of groups are recorded and that groups are provided with opportunities to validate the interpretation of their views. The proponent will keep detailed tracking records of its engagement activities, recording all interactions with groups, the issues raised by each group and how the proponent addressed the concerns raised. The proponent will share these records with the Agency.</p>	3.3; 3.4
<p>For the groups listed below, the proponent will ensure they are notified about key steps in the EIS development process and of opportunities to provide comments on key EA documents and/or information to be provided regarding their community. The proponent will ensure these groups are reflected in the baseline information and assessment of potential environmental effects as described under paragraph 5(1)(c) of CEAA 2012 and/or impacts to potential or established section 35 rights, including title and related interest in the EIS. These groups include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – <i>the Labrador Inuit (Nunatsiavut Government),</i> – <i>the Labrador Innu (Innu Nation),</i> – <i>the NunatuKavut Community Council,</i> 	Chapters 3, 7 and 12
<p>In addition, for the purposes of good governance, the proponent should also provide information to and discuss potential environmental effects from the Project, as described under section 5(1)(c) of CEAA 2012, with the Qalipu Mi'kmaq First Nation Band and the Miawpukek First Nation.</p>	7.3.1; Chapter 12
<p>6. EFFECTS ASSESSMENT</p>	
<p>6.1. Project setting and baseline conditions</p>	
<p>Based on the scope of the project described in Section 3 (Part 1), the EIS will present baseline information in sufficient detail to enable the identification of how the project could affect the VCs and an analysis of those effects. Should other VCs be identified during the conduct of the EA, the baseline condition for these components will also be described in the EIS.</p> <p>As a minimum, the EIS will include a description of the following environmental components.</p>	Chapters 5-7

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.1.1. Atmospheric environment	
The EIS will describe the atmospheric environment and climate at the project site and within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions, such as:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – ambient air quality in the project areas and in the airshed likely to be affected by the project, including consideration of the following contaminants: total suspended particulates (TSP), fine particulates smaller than 2.5 microns (PM2.5), respirable particulates of less than 10 microns (PM10), carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur oxides (SOx), nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), hydrogen sulfide (H2S) and any other potentially toxic air pollutants 	5.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – identify and quantify existing greenhouse gas emissions by individual pollutant measured as kilotonnes of CO2 equivalent per year in the project study areas; 	2.9.1; 5.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – direct and indirect sources of air emissions; 	2.9.1; 5.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – current provincial/territorial/federal limits for greenhouse gas emission targets; and 	2.9.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – information on the variation in weather conditions over the project area using historical records of relevant meteorological parameters, including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ precipitation (rain and snow); ✓ air temperature (mean, maximum and minimum temperatures); ✓ wind speed and direction; ✓ freezing spray; ✓ lightning; and ✓ visibility. 	5.3; 5.8.1; 16.1.1
Particular attention should also be given to the analysis of extreme meteorological events that have the potential to result in adverse effects on the project (e.g. high wind events).	5.3
Relevant marine climate data sources should be consulted, including but not limited to data from Environment and Climate Change Canada moored weather buoys and any offshore platforms operating in the Eastern Newfoundland Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) area. Data from the International Comprehensive Atmosphere Ocean Dataset (ICOADS), the United States of America National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) database of tropical cyclone activity in the North Atlantic, NOAA’s Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR), and the Canadian Lightning Detection Network.	Chapter 5.0

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.1.2. Marine environment	
The EIS will describe the marine environment within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or by accidents and malfunctions, including:	
– marine water quality (e.g. water temperature, turbidity, salinity, and pH);	5.5.4
– marine geology and geomorphology (i.e. bottom sediments, including quality, thickness, grain size, and mobility);	5.1.2
– physical oceanography including surface and subsurface current patterns, current velocities, waves, storm surges, long shore drift processes, tidal patterns, and tide gauges levels for the site, in proximity to the site, and along the marine transportation routes with consideration of predicted climate change effects	5.5
– available bathymetric information (e.g. maximum and mean water depths) for the site and along marine transportation routes if applicable	5.2
– ice climate in the regional study area, including ice formation and thickness, breakup, and movement;	5.7; 5.8.3
– ice conditions along the marine transportation routes with consideration of predicted climate change and its possible effect on the timing of ice formation in the future;	5.7; 5.8.3
– fast-ice characteristics, including its surface area and seasonal stability along the marine transportation routes;	5.7
– marine plants, including all benthic and detached algae, marine flowering plants, brown algae, red algae, green algae, and phytoplankton;	6.1.4
– acoustic environment (ambient noise levels from natural sources, shipping, seismic surveys, and other sources), including information on geographic extent and temporal variations and how the acoustic environment may be affected by the project.	5.6
When describing the baseline marine environment, relevant data sources should be consulted. In addition to data sources discussed under Atmospheric Environment and Climate (some of which contain marine data), the proponent should consult MSC50 Wind and Wave Hindcast Data, and long term gridded hourly wind and wave measurements for the North Atlantic.	Chapter 5.0

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.1.3 Fish and fish habitat	
The EIS will describe fish and fish habitat within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or by accidents and malfunctions, including:	
– a characterization of fish populations on the basis of species and life stage, including information on the surveys carried out (e.g. location of sampling stations, catch methods, date of catches, species, catch per-unit effort) and the source of data available (e.g. government and historical databases, commercial fishing data);	6.1.1-6.1.9
– a description of primary and secondary productivity in affected water bodies with a characterization of seasonal variability;	6.1; 6.4
– a list of any fish or invertebrate species at risk that are known to be present; and	6.1.8
– benthic flora and fauna and their associated habitat, including sensitive features such as corals and sponges (Note: a benthic habitat survey (ROV / camera), including transects of seafloor in the area of the well locations, may be required).	6.1.6
Emphasis will be placed on the waters likely to be affected by the project and their physical characteristics, water, and sediment quality. Hence, for all areas in which effects are anticipated, the EIS will describe the biophysical water and sediment characteristics, including: – a description of the physical and biological characteristics of the fish and fish habitat likely to be directly or indirectly affected by the project;	6.1; 8.2
– maps, at a suitable scale, indicating the surface area of potential or confirmed fish habitats and a description of these habitats as determined by water depths, type of substrate (sediments), aquatic vegetation, and potential use (i.e. spawning, rearing, nursery, feeding, overwintering, migration routes, etc.). Where appropriate, this information should be linked to water depths (bathymetry) to identify the extent of a water body’s littoral / photic zone;	Chapter 6; Figure 6-9
– quality, thickness, grain size and mobility of bottom sediments; and	5.1.2
– a discussion of sea bottom stability at the project site.	5.1.4
Any sampling survey methods used by the proponent will be described in order to allow experts to ensure the quality of the information provided. If previous studies on the habitat in the study area were conducted, they are to be submitted with the EIS.	Section 6.1.6

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.1.4 Migratory birds and their habitat	
The EIS will describe migratory and non-migratory marine birds and their habitat at the project site and within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions.	6.2
Migratory birds are protected under the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act</i> (MBCA) and associated regulations. Preliminary data from existing sources will be gathered, including information such as:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – birds and their habitats that are found or are likely to be found in the study area. This description may be based on existing sources, but supporting evidence is required to demonstrate that the data used are representative of the avifauna and habitats found in the study area. The existing data must be supplemented by surveys, if required; 	6.2.1; 6.2.2; 6.2.3; 6.2.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – abundance, distribution, and life stages of migratory and non-migratory birds likely to be affected in the project area based on existing information, or surveys, as appropriate, to provide current field data; 	6.2.1; 6.2.2; 6.2.3; 6.2.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – year-round migratory bird use of the area (e.g. winter, spring migration, breeding season, fall migration), based on preliminary data from existing sources and surveys to provide current field data if appropriate; and 	6.2.1; 6.2.2; 6.2.3; 6.2.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – areas of concentration of migratory birds, such as for breeding, feeding or resting. 	6.2.1; 6.2.2; 6.2.3; 6.2.4
Other relevant datasets should be consulted, such as those available from the Canadian Wildlife Service (e.g. Eastern Canadian Seabirds at Sea (ECSAS), Programme intégré de recherches sur les oiseaux pélagiques (PIROP)), the Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre (ACDC), recovery strategies, management plans, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Environment and Climate Change Wildlife Division, previous petroleum operations in the area and university or other research programs, if available.	Chapter 6
6.1.5. Species at Risk	
The EIS will describe federal species at risk and their habitat at the project site and within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions, such as:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – a list of all potential or known federally listed species at risk that may be affected by the project, using existing data and literature as well as surveys to provide current field data; 	6.1.8; 6.2.4; 6.3.7

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – a list of all federal species designated by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) for listing on Schedule 1 of the Species at Risk Act. This will include those species in the risk categories of extirpated, endangered, threatened and of special concern; 	6.1.8; 6.2.4; 6.3.7
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – any published studies that describe the regional importance, abundance, and distribution of species at risk including management plans, recovery strategies or plans. The existing data must be supplemented by surveys, if required; and 	Chapter 6.0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – residences, seasonal movements, movement corridors, habitat requirements, key habitat areas, identified and proposed critical habitat and/or recovery habitat (where applicable) and general life history of species at risk that may occur in the project area, or be affected by the project. 	6.1; 6.2; 6.3; 6.4
<p>The following information sources on species at risk and species of conservation concern should be among those consulted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Species at Risk Act Registry (www.sararegistry.gc.ca); ✓ COSEWIC; ✓ Relevant government agencies; ✓ Local naturalist and interest groups; and ✓ Indigenous groups and First Nations. 	Chapter 6.0
6.1.6. Marine mammals	
The EIS will describe marine mammals and their habitat at the project site and within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions, such as:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – marine mammal species that may be present, the times of year they are present, the ranges of the species and their migration patterns, and 	6.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – important areas in the vicinity of the drilling sites or supply routes (e.g. for mating, breeding, feeding and nursing of young) or that could be impacted by the project (e.g. acoustics, spills, etc.). 	6.3; 6.3.8
6.1.7. Marine turtles	
The EIS will describe marine turtles and their habitat at the project site and within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions, such as:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – marine turtle species that may be present, the times of year they are present, the ranges of the species and their migration patterns; and 	6.3.6

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
– important areas in the vicinity of the drilling sites or supply routes (e.g. for mating, breeding, and feeding) or that could be impacted by the project (e.g. routine discharges, spills, etc.).	6.3.8
6.1.8. Indigenous peoples	
Baseline information will describe and characterize the elements in paragraph 5(1)(c) of CEAA 2012 based on the spatial and temporal scope selected for the EA according to the factors outlined in Part 1, Section 3.2.3 of this document. Baseline information will also characterize the regional context of each of the elements of paragraph 5(1)(c) of CEAA 2012 to support the assessment of project related effects and cumulative effects. Baseline information will be sufficient to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of each VC.	7.3
Baseline information for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes will focus on the traditional activity (e.g. fishing) and include a characterization of all attributes of the activity that can be affected by environmental change. This includes not only identifying species of importance, but also assessing the quality and quantity of preferred traditional resources and locations, timing (e.g. seasonality, access restrictions, distance from community), ambient/sensory environment (e.g. noise, air quality, visual landscape, presence of others) and cultural environment (e.g. historical/generational connections, preferred areas). As applicable, specific aspects that will be considered include, but are not limited to:	
– current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes, including:	
– location of traditional territory (including maps where available);	7.3.1-7.3.5
✓ commercial and traditional fishing activity within the project’s potential zone of influence, including licences and maps;	7.3.1-7.3.5
✓ fish, wildlife, birds, plants, or other natural resources of importance for traditional use;	7.3.1-7.3.5
✓ places where fish, wildlife, birds, plants, or other natural resources are harvested, including places that are preferred;	7.3.1-7.3.5
✓ access and travel routes for conducting traditional practices;	7.3.1-7.3.5
✓ frequency, duration, or timing of traditional practices; and	7.3.1-7.3.5
✓ cultural values associated with the area affected by the project and the traditional uses identified.	7.3.1-7.3.5
– any Project components and a description of any activities (e.g. exclusion zones) that may affect commercial fisheries or other uses;	12.2; 13.2
– human health, primarily with respect to potential contamination of food sources;	7.3.1-7.3.5

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
– location of reserves and communities; and	7.3.1-7.3.5
– physical and cultural heritage (including any site, structure, or thing of archaeological, paleontological, historical, or architectural significance).	7.3; 12.2; 12.3.2
Any other baseline information that supports the analysis of predicted effects on Indigenous peoples will be included as necessary. The EIS will also indicate how input from groups, including Aboriginal traditional knowledge, was used in establishing the baseline conditions related to health and socio- economics, physical and cultural heritage and current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes.	7.3
6.1.9. Other changes to the environment arising as a result of a federal decision or due to changes on federal lands, in another province or outside Canada	17.5.3
Should there be the potential for a change to the environment arising as a result of a federal decision(s), or on federal lands, lands in another province or lands outside Canada, the EIS will include baseline information on the environmental component likely to be affected (if this information is not already covered in other subsections of these guidelines).	17.5.3
6.1.9.1. Special areas	
<p>The EIS will describe special areas (e.g. species at risk critical habitat that has been designated and that has been proposed or that may be under consideration, Important Bird Areas, Migratory Bird Sanctuaries, ecological reserves, etc.) at the project site and within areas that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="218 971 1440 1032">– Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas (e.g. The Southeast Shoal and Tail of the Banks, The Northeast Shelf and Slope, Lily Canyon-Carson Canyon, and The Virgin Rocks) <li data-bbox="218 1040 1440 1102">– Fishery Closure Areas (e.g. Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization Coral Closures, Orphan Knoll Seamount) <li data-bbox="218 1110 1062 1141">– Preliminary Representative Marine Areas (South Grand Bank Area) 	<p>6.4.4</p> <p>6.4.4</p> <p>6.4.5</p>
The EIS will describe the distances between the edge of the project area (i.e. drill sites and marine transportation routes) and special areas. It shall state the rationale for designating specific areas as “special” (i.e. the defining environmental features of the special area).	6.4.1; 6.4.2; 6.4.3; 6.4.4; 6.4.5

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.1.9.2. Human environment	
With respect to potential effects on the human environment, non-Indigenous people and the related VCs, baseline information will describe and characterize the following that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions. At a minimum, this should include:	
– any federal lands, lands located outside the province or Canada that may be affected by the project operations or by accidents and malfunctions;	7.1; 7.2; 7.3
– the current and historical use of waters that may be affected by routine project operations or by accidents and malfunctions, including:	
– current commercial and recreational fishing activity, including licence holders and species fished;	7.1.3
✓ other ocean uses (e.g. shipping, research, oil, and gas, military, ocean infrastructure [e.g. subsea cable]);	7.2
– the location of and proximity of any permanent, seasonal, or temporary residences or camps that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions;	7.4.1
– health and socio-economic conditions that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions, including the functioning and health of the socio-economic environment, encompassing a broad range of matters that affect communities in the study area in a way that recognizes interrelationships, system functions and vulnerabilities;	7.3; 7.4.2
– physical and cultural heritage, including structures, sites, or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological, or architectural significance that could be affected by routine project operations or accidents and malfunctions;	7.4.3
– the rural and urban settings that could be affected by routine project activities or accidents and malfunctions; and	7.4.1
– any project components and activities (e.g. exclusion zones) that may affect commercial or recreational fisheries or other uses.	Chapter 11-13; 15.1.2
The EIS should also discuss the potential to encounter unexploded ordnance (UXOs), based on consultation with the Department of National Defence.	1.3.3; 7.2.4

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.2. Predicted changes to the physical environment	
The EA will include a consideration of the predicted changes to the environment as a result of the project being carried out or as a result of any powers, duties or functions that are to be exercised by the federal government in relation to the project. These predicted changes to the environment are to be considered in relation to each phase of the project (e.g. drilling, testing, decommissioning, suspension, abandonment) and are to be described in terms of the magnitude, geographic extent, timing, duration, frequency, ecological and social context, and whether the environmental changes are reversible or irreversible.	8.2; 8.3; 8.4; 8.5; 9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5; 10.2; 10.3; 10.4; 10.5; 11.2; 11.3; 11.4; 12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2; 13.3; 13.4; 14.2.2; 14.3.2; 14.4.2; 14.5.2; 14.6.2; 14.7.2
The EIS will include stand-alone sections that summarize those changes that may be caused by the project on the components of the environment listed in paragraph 5(1)(a) of CEEA 2012, namely fish and fish habitat, aquatic species, and migratory birds.	8.3; 8.4; 9.3; 9.4; 10.3; 10.4
The EIS will include a stand-alone section that summarizes any change the project may cause to the environment that may occur on federal lands or lands outside the province in which the project is to be located (including outside of Canada).	Chapters 8-13
In situations where the project requires one or more federal decisions identified in section 5(2), the EIS will also include a stand-alone section that describes any change that may be caused by the project on the environment that is directly linked or necessarily incidental to these decisions (e.g. changes to commercial fishing).	17.5.3
6.3. Predicted effects on valued components	
Based on the predicted changes to the environment identified in Section 6.2 above, the proponent is to assess the environmental effects of the project on the following VCs. All interconnections between VCs and between changes to multiple VCs will be described:	
6.3.1. Fish and fish habitat	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the identification of any potential adverse effects to fish and fish habitat as defined in subsection 2(1) of the Fisheries Act, including the calculations of any potential habitat loss (temporary or permanent) in terms of surface areas (e.g. spawning grounds, juvenile, rearing and feeding areas), and in relation to availability and significance. The assessment will include a consideration of: 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ effects on water quality including changes to chemical composition, temperature, oceanographic conditions, etc.; 	8.2; 8.3; 8.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ the geomorphological changes and their effects on hydrodynamic conditions and fish habitats (e.g. modification of benthic habitat including corals and sensitive habitat, area affected by drilling waste, disturbance to water column); 	8.2; 8.3

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
✓ the modifications of hydrological and hydrometric conditions on fish habitat and on the fish species' life cycle activities (e.g. reproduction, juvenile, rearing, and feeding, movements);	8.2; 8.3
✓ any potential imbalances in the food web in relation to baseline conditions;	8.2; 8.3
✓ underwater noise and vibration emissions from project activities (i.e. drilling, vertical seismic profiling, offshore supply vessel operation, well abandonment) and how it may affect fish health and behaviour;	8.2; 8.3.3
✓ effects on the primary and secondary productivity of water bodies and how project-related effects may affect fish food sources;	8.2; 8.3; 8.5.1
– the effects of changes to the aquatic environment on fish and their habitat, including:	
✓ the anticipated changes in the composition and characteristics of the populations of various fish species, including shellfish and forage fish including mortality of fish, eggs, and larvae; environment and species (e.g. corals, plants);	8.2; 8.3; 8.4
✓ any modifications in migration or local movements during and after project activities (e.g. vertical seismic profiling, drilling);	8.2; 8.3; 8.4
✓ any modifications and use of habitats by federally or provincially listed fish species;	8.2; 8.4
– a discussion of the effects of drilling waste disposal on marine benthos and other components of the aquatic environment, recognizing that the disposal of these wastes is expected to be a primary cause of effect on benthos;	8.2; 8.3.3; 8.3.4
– a discussion of the length of time it would take for the benthic environment to return to baseline conditions in water depths within which the Project would occur;	8.2; 8.3.3.3; 8.3.4.3
– a discussion of how project timing correlates to key fisheries windows and any potential effects resulting from overlapping periods; and	8.2; 8.3; 13.2; 13.3
– a discussion of how data examining the deposition of drilling-related wastes (e.g. fluid, mud residues, cuttings) and acoustic monitoring data would be collected during and after drilling operations and how this would be used to verify effects predictions.	8.2; 8.3.3; 8.3.4
6.3.2. Marine plants	
– effects on marine plants, including all benthic and detached algae, marine flowering plants, brown algae, red algae, green algae, and phytoplankton.	8.2; 8.3

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.3.3. Marine mammals	
– effects on marine mammals, including but not limited to:	
✓ mortality and other effects from vessel collisions or disturbance; and	10.2; 10.3; 10.4; 10.5
✓ direct and indirect effects caused by increased disturbance (e.g. noise, light, vibrations) including mortality, physical injury and behavioural changes (e.g. habitat avoidance, disruption to feeding behaviour, deviation in migration routes, communication masking, discomfort and behavioural disturbance).	10.2; 10.3; 10.4; 10.5
6.3.4. Marine turtles	
– effects on marine turtles, including but not limited to:	
✓ mortality and other effects from vessel collisions or disturbance; and	10.2; 10.3; 10.4; 10.5
✓ direct and indirect effects caused by increased disturbance (e.g. noise, light, vibrations) including mortality, physical injury and behavioural changes (e.g. habitat avoidance, disruption to feeding behaviour, deviation in migration routes, communication masking, discomfort and behavioural disturbance).	10.2; 10.3; 10.4; 10.5
6.3.5. Migratory birds	
– direct and indirect adverse effects on migratory birds, including population level effects that could be caused by all project activities, including but not limited to:	
✓ noise disturbance from seismic equipment including both direct effects (physiological), or indirect effects (foraging behaviour of prey species);	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ physical displacement as a result of vessel presence (e.g. disruption of foraging activities);	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ night-time illumination levels from lights and flares during different weather conditions and seasons and during different project activities (e.g. drilling, well testing) and associated nocturnal disturbance (e.g. increased opportunities for predators, attraction to the drilling unit and vessels and subsequent collision or exposure to vessel-based threats, incineration in flares, disruption of normal activities);	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ exposure to spilled contaminants (e.g. fuel, oils) and operational discharges (e.g. deck drainage, gray water, black water);	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ attraction of, and increase in, predator species as a result of waste disposal practices (i.e. sanitary and food waste) and the presence of incapacitated/dead prey near the Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit or support vessels;	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
✓ physical harm or mortality from flaring on the drilling unit or other vessel based threats;	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ collision risk with the drilling unit and other project infrastructure;	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ the effects of oil spills in the nearshore or that reach land on landbird species;	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ change in marine habitat quality from drill muds and cuttings and sedimentation; and	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
✓ indirect effects caused by increased disturbance (e.g. noise, light, presence of workers), relative abundance movements and changes in migratory bird habitat.	9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5
6.3.6. Species at risk	
– the potential effects of the project on federally listed species at risk and those species listed by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada classified as extirpated, endangered, threatened or of special concern (flora and fauna) and their critical habitat, including:	
✓ alteration of habitat (including critical habitat) features;	8.4; 9.4; 10.4
✓ direct and indirect effects from noise, vibrations, and increased exposure to contaminants of concern;	8.4; 9.4; 10.4
✓ a discussion of migration patterns of federal species at risk and related effects (e.g. displacement, increased risk of collision); and	8.4; 9.4; 10.4
✓ direct and indirect effects on the survival or recovery of federally listed species (list species).	8.4; 9.4; 10.4
6.3.7. Indigenous peoples	
With respect to Indigenous peoples, a description and analysis of how changes to the environment caused by the project will affect the following activities exercised by each Indigenous group, as applicable to the proposed project:	7.3; 12.0
– current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes. This assessment will characterize the effects on the use or activity (e.g. fishing) as a result of the underlying changes to the environment (i.e. how will the activity change if the project proceeds). The underlying changes to the environment will also be described, including, but not limited to:	7.3
✓ any changes to resources (fish, birds, or other natural resources) used for traditional purposes (e.g. fishing, use of sacred sites);	12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ effects on food, social, ceremonial, and commercial fishing;	12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ a discussion of how drilling activities correlates to key fisheries windows, and any potential impacts resulting from overlapping periods;	12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2; 13.3; 13.4

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
✓ changes related to species important to Indigenous people’s current use of resources, including changes to key habitat;	12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ any changes or alterations to access into the areas used for traditional purposes and commercial fishing, including implementation of exclusion zones;	12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ any changes to the environment that affect cultural value or importance associated with traditional uses or areas affected by the project (e.g. values or attributes of the area that make it important as a place for inter-generational teaching of language or traditional practices, communal gatherings, integrity of preferred traditional practice areas);	12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ how timing of project activities (e.g. drilling, flaring) have the potential to interact with the timing of traditional practices, and any potential effects resulting from overlapping periods;	12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ consideration of the regional context for traditional use and the value of the project area in that regional context, including alienation of lands from traditional use;	7.3; 12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ any changes to environmental quality (e.g. air, water), the sensory environment (e.g. noise, light, visual landscape), or perceived disturbance of the environment (e.g. fear of contamination of water or country foods) that could detract from use of the area or lead to avoidance of the area;	12.2; 12.3; 12.4
✓ an assessment of the potential to return affected areas to pre-project conditions to support traditional practices;	13.3; 13.4
– human health, focusing on effects on health outcomes or risks in consideration of, but not limited to, potential changes in water quality (recreational and cultural uses), availability of country foods (e.g. marine species), and noise exposure. When risks to human health due to changes in one or more of these components are predicted, a complete Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) examining all exposure pathways for pollutants of concern may be necessary to adequately characterize potential risks to human health. Where adverse health effects are predicted, any incidental effects such as effects on current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes will also be assessed. The proponent must provide a justification if it determines that an assessment of the potential for contamination of country foods is not required or if some contaminants are excluded from the assessment;	12.1; 12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2
– socio-economic conditions, including, but not limited to:	
✓ the use of navigable waters	7.2; 7.3
✓ commercial fishing (e.g. catch rates, exclusion zones, gear damage or loss, well abandonment, marketability of seafood products) and food security	Chapter 13
✓ commercial outfitters	7.1.10

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
✓ recreational use	13.1; 13.2
– physical and cultural heritage, and structures, sites, or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological, or architectural significance to groups, including, but not limited to:	12.1;13.2
✓ the loss or destruction of physical and cultural heritage	12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2
✓ changes to access to physical and cultural heritage	12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2
✓ changes to the cultural value or importance associated with physical and cultural heritage	12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2
– other effects of changes to the environment on groups should be reflected as necessary.	12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2
6.3.8. Other valued components that may be affected as a result of a federal decision or due to effects on federal lands, another province or outside Canada	
<p>If there is the potential for a change to the environment arising as a result of a federal decision(s), for example an authorization under section 138(1) of the <i>Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Atlantic Accord Implementation Act</i> or section 35 of the <i>Fisheries Act</i>, the EIS should include a description of the specific project components for which a federal authorisation/decision is required, and an assessment of any other VCs (not already covered in other subsections of these guidelines) that may be affected by the changes to the environment caused by these specific project components. If there is the potential for the project to result in environmental changes on federal lands (or waters), another province, or another country, then VCs of importance not already identified should be included. For example, if the project will result in the generation of greenhouse gas emissions, the EIS should include a description of the project’s greenhouse gas emissions in a regional, provincial, national, or international context if applicable.</p>	17.5.3
Suggested VCs are noted below for this project.	
6.3.8.1. Air quality and greenhouse gas emissions	
– comparison of anticipated air quality concentration against the Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) for fine particulate matter or other relevant federal and/or provincial criteria for other contaminants of potential concern;	5.4; 7.4.2; 8.3.8; 8.5
– description of all methods and practices (e.g. control equipment) that will be implemented to minimize and control atmospheric emissions throughout the project life cycle. If the best available technologies are not included in the project design, the proponent will need to provide a rationale for the technologies selected;	2.9.1

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – an estimate of the direct greenhouse gas emissions associated with all phases of the project (i.e. including drilling, well testing and marine and helicopter transportation) as well as any mitigation measures proposed to minimize greenhouse gas emissions. This information is to be presented by individual pollutant and should also be summarized in CO₂ equivalent per year. The proponent is responsible for the following: 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ provide an estimate of the contribution of the project emissions at the local, provincial, and federal scale, and indicate the category into which the project falls in terms of the relative magnitude of its contribution to greenhouse gas emissions (project with low, medium, or high emission rates); 	2.9.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ justify all estimated emissions and emission factors used; 	2.9.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ provide the estimation or derivation method, and disclose and describe all assumptions and emission intensity factors used; 	2.9.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ compare and assess the level of estimated emissions to the regional, provincial, and federal emission targets; 	2.9.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ provide information related to the project’s electrical demand and sources of electrical power for equipment, i.e. the project’s main source and any other additional sources (generators, etc.), as appropriate; 	2.5.1.1; 2.9.1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – changes in ambient noise levels; and 	2.9; 5.6; 7.4.2; 8.2; 8.3; 8.5; 9.2; 9.3; 9.5; 10.2; 10.3; 10.5; 11.2; 11.3; 11.4; 12.2; 12.4; 13.2; 13.3; 14.2; 14.3; 14.4; 15.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – changes in night-time light levels. 	2.9; 9.2; 9.3; 9.4; 0.5; 10.2; 10.3; 10.5; 11.2; 11.3; 11.4; 12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2; 13.3; 14.2; 14.3; 14.4; 15.5
<p>6.3.8.2. Commercial fisheries</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – effects of changes to the environment on commercial fishing activities (e.g. effects on fished species affecting fisheries success, displacement from fishing areas (e.g. exclusion zones), gear loss or damage); 	13.2; 13.3; 13.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – a discussion of how drilling activities correlates to key commercial fisheries windows, and any potential impacts resulting from overlapping periods; 	13.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – effects from subsea infrastructure that could be left in place (e.g. wellheads) following abandonment; and 	13.3

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
– changes to habitat of commercial fish species (e.g. noise, water, and sediment quality).	Chapter 8; 13.3
6.3.8.3. Special areas	
– effects on special areas, including, but not limited to:	
✓ use of dispersants, and	11.2; 11.3
✓ change to habitat quality (e.g. noise, light, water, sediment quality).	11.2; 11.3
6.3.8.4. Human environment	
– effects of changes to the environment on health and socio-economic conditions, physical and cultural heritage and any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological, or architectural value, including, but not limited to the following, as applicable:	
✓ recreational activities;	Chapter 12; 13.1; 13.2
✓ other ocean uses;	Chapter 13
✓ socio-economic conditions;	12.2; 12.3; 12.4; Chapter 13
✓ human health;	12.1; 12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2
✓ physical and cultural heritage (e.g. shipwrecks);	12.2; 12.3; 12.4; 13.2
✓ rural and urban settings that could be affected by routine activities and/or accidents and malfunctions.	7.4.1; 13.2
6.4 Mitigation measures	
Every EA conducted under CEAA 2012 will consider measures that are technically and economically feasible and that would mitigate any significant adverse environmental effects of the project. Under CEAA 2012, mitigation measures include measures to eliminate, reduce or control the adverse environmental effects of a designated project, as well as restitution for damage to the environment through replacement, restoration, compensation, or other means. Measures will be specific, achievable, measurable, and verifiable, and described in a manner that avoids ambiguity in intent, interpretation, and implementation. Mitigation measures may be considered for inclusion as conditions in the EA decision statement and/or in other compliance and enforcement mechanisms provided by other authorities' permitting or licensing processes.	4.3.3; 8.3; 9.3; 10.3; 11.3; 12.3; 13.3; 15.5; 16.2

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<p>The EIS will describe the standard mitigation practices, policies and commitments that constitute technically and economically feasible mitigation measures and that will be applied as part of standard practice regardless of location. The EIS will then describe the project’s environmental protection plan and its environmental management system, through which the proponent will deliver this plan. The plan will provide an overall perspective on how potentially adverse effects would be minimized and managed over time. The EIS will further discuss the mechanisms the proponent would use to require its contractors and sub-contractors to comply with these commitments and policies and with auditing and enforcement programs.</p>	<p>1.1.2; 4.3.4; 8.3; 9.3; 10.3; 11.3; 12.3; 13.3; 15.5; 16.2</p>
<p>The EIS will then describe mitigation measures that are specific to each environmental effect identified. Mitigation measures will be written as specific commitments that clearly describe how the proponent intends to implement them and the environmental outcome the mitigation measure is designed to address. The EIS will describe mitigation measures in relation to species and/or critical habitat listed under the <i>Species at Risk Act</i>. These measures will be consistent with any applicable recovery strategy and action plans.</p>	<p>8.3; 9.3; 10.3; 11.3; 12.3; 13.3; 16.2</p>
<p>The EIS will specify the actions, works, minimal disturbance footprint techniques, best available technology, corrective measures, or additions planned during the project’s various phases to eliminate or reduce the significance of adverse effects. The EIS will also present an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed technically and economically feasible mitigation measures. The reasons for determining if the mitigation measure reduces the significance of an adverse effect will be made explicit. The proponent is also encouraged to identify mitigation measures for effects that are adverse although not significant.</p>	<p>4.3.3</p>
<p>The EIS will indicate what other technically and economically feasible mitigation measures were considered, and explain why they were rejected. Trade-offs between cost savings and effectiveness of the various forms of mitigation measures will be justified. The EIS will identify who is responsible for the implementation of these measures and the system of accountability.</p>	<p>4.3.3</p>
<p>Where mitigation measures are proposed to be implemented for which there is little experience or for which there is some question as to their effectiveness, the potential risks and effects to the environment should those measures not be effective will be clearly and concisely described. In addition, the EIS will identify the extent to which technological innovations will help mitigate environmental effects. Where possible, it will provide detailed information on the nature of these measures, their implementation, management, and the requirements of the follow-up program.</p>	<p>2.11; 17.4</p>
<p>Adaptive management is not considered as a mitigation measure, but if the follow-up program (refer to Section 8 below) indicates that corrective action is required, the proposed approach for managing the action should be identified.</p>	<p>4.3.3; 17.4</p>

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.5. Significance of residual effects	
<p>After having established the technically and economically feasible mitigation measures, the EIS will present any residual environmental effects of the project on the VCs identified in Section 6.3 above. The residual effects, even if very small or deemed insignificant, will be described.</p>	<p>4.3.2; 8.3; 8.4; 8.5; 9.3; 9.4; 9.5; 10.3; 10.4; 10.5; 11.3; 11.4; 12.3; 12.4; 13.3; 13.4; 14.2.6; 14.3.6; 14.4.6; 14.5.5; 14.6.3; 14.7.5; 15.5; 16.2; 16.3</p>
<p>The EIS will then provide a detailed analysis of the significance of the residual environmental effects that are considered adverse following the implementation of mitigation measures, using guidance described in Section 4 of the Agency’s Operational Policy Statement, <i>Determining Whether a Project is Likely to Cause Significant Adverse Environmental Effects under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act</i>,.201215.</p>	<p>4.3.2; 8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4; 14.2.6; 14.3.6; 14.4.6; 14.5.5; 14.6.3; 14.7.5; 15.5; 16.3</p>
<p>The EIS will identify the criteria used to assign significance ratings to any predicted adverse effects. It will contain clear and sufficient information to enable the Agency, technical and regulatory agencies, Indigenous groups, and the public to review the proponent’s analysis of the significance of effects. The EIS will document the terms used to describe the level of significance.</p>	<p>4.3.3; 8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4; 15.5</p>
<p>The following criteria should be used in determining the significance of residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – magnitude – geographic extent – timing – duration – frequency – reversibility – ecological and social context – existence of environmental standards, guidelines, or objectives for assessing the effect 	<p>4.3.3; 8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4; 15.5</p>
<p>In assessing significance against these criteria, the proponent will, where possible, use relevant existing regulatory documents, environmental standards, guidelines, or objectives such as prescribed maximum levels of emissions or discharges of specific hazardous agents into the environment. The EIS will contain a section which explains the assumptions, definitions and limits to the criteria mentioned above in order to maintain consistency between the effects on each VC.</p>	<p>Chapters 8-13</p>

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
Where significant adverse effects are identified, the EIS will set out the probability (likelihood) that they will occur, and describe the degree of scientific uncertainty related to the data and methods used within the framework of this environmental analysis.	4.3.3; 8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4; 15.5
6.6. Other effects to consider	
6.6.1. Effects of potential accidents or malfunctions	Chapter 15
The failure of certain works caused by equipment malfunctions, human error, or exceptional natural events (e.g. earthquake, hurricane, submarine landslide) could cause major environmental effects. The proponent will therefore conduct an analysis of the risks of accidents and malfunctions, determine their effects, and present preliminary emergency response measures.	Chapter 15
Taking into account the lifespan of different project components, the proponent will identify the probability of potential accidents and malfunctions related to the project, including an explanation of how those events were identified, potential consequences (including the environmental effects as defined in section 5 of CEAA 2012), the plausible worst case scenarios for each accident and malfunction type and the environmental effects of these scenarios. The EIS will identify the measures to be put in place to prepare, prevent for and respond to all such scenarios (e.g. contingency and emergency procedures). The EIS will also describe the existing mechanisms and arrangements with response organizations for emergency response within the spatial extent of the project.	15.1; 15.2; 15.3; 15.4; 15.5
This assessment will include an identification of the magnitude of an accident and/or malfunction, including the quantity, mechanism, rate, form and characteristics of the contaminants and other materials likely to be released into the environment during the accident and malfunction events and would potentially result in an adverse environmental effect as defined in section 5 of CEAA 2012. The spatial boundaries will identify the areas that could potentially be affected by a worst-case scenario for each accident type.	15.1-15.5
The EIS will describe the safeguards that have been established to protect against such occurrences and the contingency and emergency response procedures that would be put in place if such events do occur.	15.1; 15.2; 15.5
The effects of accidental spills and blowouts will therefore require assessment in the EIS, including fate and behaviour modelling, and hydrologic trajectory modelling for worst-case large-scale spill scenarios that may occur, including any assumptions, limitations, and formulated hypotheses, accompanied by supporting documentation of methodologies and the cumulative results of the modelling.	15.3; 15.4; 15.5

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<p>Results should be reported in a manner that illustrates the effects of varying weather and oceanographic conditions that may occur throughout the year, and should include a projection for spills originating at the site and followed until the slick volume is reduced to a negligible amount or until a shoreline is reached. Spill scenarios should also consider potential worst-cases, including when species at risk and high concentrations of marine birds or fish are present or for areas important for reproduction. A discussion on water depth and its effect on blow-out rate and spill trajectory modelling assumptions must be provided. Where well locations have not yet been identified, points of origin selected for spill trajectory models should be conservative (e.g. selecting a potential location within the proposed drilling area that is closest to a sensitive feature or that could result in greatest effects).</p>	15.3; 15.4
<p>Based on the results of the spill modelling and analysis in the EIS, an emergency response plan (e.g. oil spill contingency plan) for spills (small and large) and blowouts will be required. At a minimum, an outline of the emergency response plan along with key commitments is required in the EIS. Depending on the outcomes of the effects analysis, specific detail on key components of the plan will be required in the EIS. The proponent should commit to finalizing the plan in consultation with regulators prior to the application of permits. The EIS shall include a discussion on the use, availability (including nearest location), timing (testing and mobilizing) and feasibility of a capping stack to stop a blowout and resultant spills. If dispersants are to be used, the proponent shall consider associated environmental effects in the EIS (e.g. effects on marine life) and provide a plan for their use. The environmental effects of other measures outlined in the emergency response plan should also be considered (e.g. effects from burns). The EIS shall include the means by which design and/or operational procedures, including follow-up measures, will be implemented to mitigate significant adverse effects from malfunctions and/or accidental events.</p>	1.1.2; 8.5; 15.1
<p>The potential to encounter shallow gas pockets, and associated implications, should also be discussed.</p>	16.1.4
<p>The EIS should also consider effects of accidents in the near-shore environment (e.g. spills and ship groundings, as applicable) and of spills reaching shore; including effects on species at risk and their critical habitat, colonial nesters and concentrations of birds, and their habitat. The proponent will also demonstrate what long-term actions it would be prepared to undertake to remediate spill-affected lands and waters.</p>	15.1; 15.4; 15.5
<p>The EIS should include a summarization of the nature, extent and magnitude of spills, and accidental releases related to existing production installations and past exploration drilling programs in the Newfoundland and Labrador offshore. Comparisons with similar settings (e.g. in the Ormen Lange field in Norway and elsewhere) would also be meaningful for deep water drilling where there is very low probability but very high consequences associated with landsliding.</p>	15.5

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
6.6.2. Effects of the environment on the project	
The EIS will take into account how local conditions and natural hazards, such as severe and/or extreme weather conditions and external events (e.g. icebergs, seismic events, and submarine landslide potential), could adversely affect the project and how this in turn could result in effects to the environment (e.g. extreme environmental conditions result in malfunctions and accidental events) with consideration of predicted climate change effects. These events will be considered in different probability patterns (e.g. 5- year event vs. 100-year event).	Chapter 16
The EIS will provide details of planning, design and construction strategies intended to minimize the potential environmental effects of the environment on the project.	16.2
6.6.3. Cumulative effects assessment	
The proponent will identify and assess the project’s cumulative effects using the approach described in the Agency’s Operational Policy Statement entitled <i>Addressing Cumulative Environmental Effects under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012 and the guide entitled Technical Guidance for Assessing Cumulative Environmental Effects under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012.</i>	Chapter 14
<p>In its EIS, the proponent will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Identify and provide a rationale for the VCs that will constitute the focus of the cumulative effects assessment, focussing the cumulative effects assessment on the VCs most likely to be affected by the project and other project and activities. To this end, the proponent must consider, without limiting itself thereto, the following components likely to be affected by the project: 	Chapter 14
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ fish and fish habitat, ✓ migratory birds, ✓ marine mammals and marine turtles, ✓ species at risk, ✓ marine plants, ✓ special areas, ✓ commercial fisheries, ✓ Indigenous peoples, ✓ air quality and greenhouse gases, and ✓ human environment. 	Chapter 14

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Identify and justify the spatial and temporal boundaries for the cumulative effect assessment for each VC selected. The boundaries for the cumulative effects assessments will generally be different for each VC considered. These cumulative effects boundaries will also generally be larger than the boundaries for the corresponding project effects. 	14.1.2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Identify the sources of potential cumulative effects. Specify other projects or activities that have been or that are likely to be carried out that could cause effects on each selected VC within the boundaries defined, and whose effects would act in combination with the residual effects of the project. This assessment may consider the results of any relevant study conducted by a committee established under section 73 or 74 of CEAA 2012. 	14.1.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Assess the cumulative effects on each VC selected by comparing the future scenario with the project and without the project. Effects of past activities (activities that have been carried out) will be used to contextualize the current state of the VC. In assessing the cumulative effects on current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes, the assessment will focus on the cumulative effects on the relevant activity (e.g. fishing). 	14.2-14.7
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Describe the mitigation measures that are technically and economically feasible. The proponent shall assess the effectiveness of the measures applied to mitigate the cumulative effects. In cases where measures exist that are beyond the scope of the proponent’s responsibility that could be effectively applied to mitigate these effects, the proponent will identify these effects and the parties that have the authority to act. In such cases, the EIS will summarize the discussions that took place with the other parties in order to implement the necessary measures over the long term. 	1.1.2; 4.3.3; 8.3; 9.3; 10.3; 11.3; 12.3; 13.3; 15.2; 16.2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Determine the significance of the cumulative effects; and 	14.2.6; 14.3.6; 14.4.6; 14.5.5; 14.6.3; 14.7.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Develop a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the assessment or to dispel any uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of mitigation measures for certain cumulative effects. 	14.8
<p>The proponent is encouraged to consult with key stakeholders and Indigenous groups prior to finalizing the choice of VCs and the appropriate boundaries to assess cumulative effects.</p>	Chapter 3; 14.1.1
7. SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	
The EIS will contain a table summarizing the following key information:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – potential environmental effects on valued components; 	8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4; 15.5; Table 17.1

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – proposed mitigation measures to address the effects identified above; and 	8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4, Table 17.2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – potential residual effects and the significance of the residual environmental effects. 	8.5; 9.5; 10.5; 11.4; 12.4; 13.4, Table 17.3
<p>The summary table will be used in the EA Report prepared by the Agency. An example of a format for the key summary table is provided in Appendix 1 of this document.</p>	Table 17.3
<p>In a second table, the EIS will summarize all key mitigation measures and commitments made by the proponent which will more specifically mitigate any significant adverse effects of the project on VCs (i.e. those measures that are essential to ensure that the project will not result in significant adverse environmental effects).</p>	Table 17.2
<p>8. FOLLOW-UP AND MONITORING PROGRAMS</p>	
<p>A follow-up program is designed to verify the accuracy of the effects assessment and to determine the effectiveness of the measures implemented to mitigate the adverse effects of the project. Considerations for developing a follow-up program include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – whether the project will impact environmentally sensitive areas/VCs or protected areas or areas under consideration for protection; – the nature of Indigenous and public concerns raised about the project; – the accuracy of predictions; – whether there is a question about the effectiveness of mitigation measures or the proponent proposes to use new or unproven techniques and technology; – the nature of cumulative environmental effects; – the nature, scale, and complexity of the program; and – whether there was limited scientific knowledge about the effects in the EA. 	8.6; 9.6; 10.6; 11.5; 12.5; 13.5; 17.4

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
8.1. Follow-up program	
<p>The EIS shall present a preliminary follow-up program and shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – objectives of the follow-up program and the VCs targeted by the program; – list of elements requiring follow-up; – number of follow-up studies planned as well as their main characteristics (list of parameters to be measured, planned implementation timetable, etc.); – intervention mechanism used in the event that an unexpected deterioration of the environment is observed; – mechanism to disseminate follow-up results among the concerned populations; – accessibility and sharing of data for the general population; – opportunity for the proponent to include the participation of Indigenous groups and stakeholders on the affected territory, during the development and implementation of the program; and – involvement of local and regional organizations in the design, implementation and evaluation of the follow-up results as well as any updates, including a communication mechanism between these organizations and the proponent. 	8.6; 9.6; 10.6; 11.5; 12.5; 13.5; 17.4
<p>The discussion / description of follow-up and monitoring programs relative to the currently proposed drilling program should include a short summary of the design and results/outcomes of monitoring programs that have been undertaken for previously assessed and/or completed offshore exploration drilling programs in similar environments and how these will be factored into the verification of impact predictions and design of the follow up and monitoring for the current exploration drilling program.</p>	17.4
8.2. Monitoring	
<p>The proponent will prepare an environmental monitoring program for all phases of the project.</p>	2.11; 17.4
<p>Specifically, the environmental impact statement shall present an outline of the preliminary environmental monitoring program, including the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – identification of the interventions that pose risks to one or more of the environmental and/or valued components and the measures and means planned to protect the environment; 	2.11; 17.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – identification of regulatory instruments that include a monitoring program requirement for the valued components; 	1.4.2; 1.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – description of the characteristics of the monitoring program where foreseeable (e.g. location of interventions, planned protocols, list of measured parameters, analytical methods employed, schedule, human and financial resources required); 	9.6; 10.6; 13.5; 17.4

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Statoil Guidelines	EIS Reference
– description of the proponent’s intervention mechanisms in the event of the observation of non-compliance with the legal and environmental requirements or with the obligations imposed on contractors by the environmental provisions of their contracts;	Chapter 2
– guidelines for preparing monitoring reports (number, content, frequency, format) that will be sent to the authorities concerned; and	9.6; 10.6; 17.4
– plans to engage Indigenous groups in monitoring, where appropriate.	12.5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Identification and Overview of the Operator.....	1
	1.1.1 Statoil’s Offshore Experience.....	2
	1.1.2 Statoil’s Management System.....	3
	1.1.3 Statoil Contacts.....	4
1.2	Project Location and Overview.....	4
	1.2.1 Project Location.....	5
	1.2.2 Key Project Components and Activities.....	7
1.3	Regulatory Framework and the Role of Government.....	7
	1.3.1 The Accord Acts.....	8
	1.3.1.1 Land Tenure and Licencing.....	8
	1.3.1.2 Other Licences, Authorizations, and Approvals.....	8
	1.3.2 Environmental Assessment under CEAA 2012.....	10
	1.3.3 Other Potential Regulatory and Policy Requirements and Interests.....	11
1.4	Purpose and Organization of the EIS.....	12
	1.4.1 EIS Coordination – Statoil and ExxonMobil.....	13
	1.4.2 EIS Organization and Content.....	13
1.5	Key Legislation, Regulations, and Associated Approvals.....	16
1.6	References.....	16
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	27
2.1	Project Scope.....	27
2.2	Purpose of the Project.....	27
	2.2.1 Environmental, Economic, and Social Benefits.....	29
	2.2.1.1 Energy Diversification and Sustainable Development.....	29
	2.2.1.2 Benefits to the Provincial Economy and Community Investment.....	30
	2.2.1.3 Employment Benefits.....	31
	2.2.1.4 Knowledge Benefits.....	32
2.3	Project Location and Designated Project Area.....	32
2.4	Resource Use and Environmental Features.....	36
2.5	Project Components and Activities.....	37
	2.5.1 Drilling Installation.....	37
	2.5.1.1 Drilling Installation Selection and Regulatory Approval Process.....	38
	2.5.1.2 Semi-submersible Drilling Unit.....	39
	2.5.1.3 Drill Ship.....	39
	2.5.2 Project Activities.....	41
	2.5.2.1 Wellsite Surveys – Drill Planning.....	41
	2.5.2.2 Mobilization of the Drilling Installation.....	43
	2.5.2.3 Offshore Well Drilling.....	44
	2.5.2.4 Formation Flow Testing with Flaring.....	46
	2.5.2.5 Geophysical, Environmental and Geotechnical Surveys.....	46
	2.5.2.6 Supply and Servicing.....	48
	2.5.2.7 Well Suspension, Abandonment, Decommissioning and Demobilization.....	50
2.6	Project Personnel.....	52
2.7	Project Schedule.....	53

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

2.8	Summary of Changes to the Project	55
2.9	Waste Discharges and Emissions.....	55
2.9.1	Air Emissions.....	56
2.9.1.1	Criteria Air Contaminants.....	57
2.9.1.2	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	61
2.9.1.3	Summary and Potential for Accumulation and Interactions of Air Emissions (Cumulative Effects).....	62
2.9.2	Hazardous and Non-hazardous Wastes.....	63
2.9.3	Drilling Waste	63
2.9.3.1	Drill Mud and Cuttings.....	63
2.9.3.2	Cement	66
2.9.4	Liquid Wastes.....	67
2.9.5	Heat, Light, and Sound Emissions	68
2.9.5.1	Light and Heat Emissions	68
2.9.5.2	Sound Emissions	68
2.10	Alternative Means of Carrying Out the Project.....	69
2.10.1	Identification and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	70
2.10.1.1	Drilling Fluids Selection.....	71
2.10.1.2	Drilling Installation Selection	72
2.10.1.3	Drilling Waste Management.....	73
2.10.1.4	Water Management and Location of Final Effluent Discharge Points.....	75
2.10.1.5	Offshore Drilling Installation Lighting	75
2.10.1.6	Formation Flow Testing and Nighttime Flaring	76
2.10.1.7	Chemical Selection	77
2.11	Environmental Planning and Management	81
2.11.1	Safety and Sustainability Policies.....	81
2.11.1.1	Our Approach to Safety	82
2.11.1.2	Our Approach to Sustainability	82
2.12	Environmental Planning	84
2.12.1	Project Planning, Assessment, and Implementation: Application of the Precautionary Principle	84
2.12.2	Environmental Management	86
2.12.3	Environmental Monitoring.....	86
2.13	References	87
3.0	REGULATORY, INDIGENOUS, AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	90
3.1	EIS Guidelines	90
3.2	Government Departments and Agencies	91
3.3	Indigenous Groups.....	93
3.3.1	Aboriginal and Treaty Rights	94
3.3.2	Assessment of Potential Environmental Effects on Indigenous Peoples – CEAA 2012, Section 5(1)(c).....	95
3.3.3	Engagement with Indigenous Groups	96
3.3.4	Newfoundland and Labrador Indigenous Groups.....	100
3.3.4.1	Labrador Inuit (Nunatsiavut Government).....	100
3.3.4.2	Labrador Innu (Innu Nation).....	103
3.3.4.3	NunatuKavut Community Council	106
3.3.4.4	Miawpukek First Nation.....	107

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

3.3.4.5	Qalipu Mi'kmaq First Nation	110
3.3.4.6	Mi'kmaq Alsumk Mowimsikik Kaqoey Association	112
3.3.5	Maritime Provinces and Quebec Indigenous Groups	113
3.4	Stakeholder Meetings and Discussions	142
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT SCOPE, APPROACH, AND METHODS	146
4.1	Scope of the Environmental Assessment and Factors Considered	146
4.2	Identification and Selection of Valued Components	148
4.3	Environmental Effects Assessment (Planned Project Components and Activities)	153
4.3.1	Environmental Assessment Study Areas and Effects Evaluation Criteria	154
4.3.1.1	Study Areas	154
4.3.1.2	Significance Criteria	157
4.3.2	Potential Environmental Changes, Effects, and Associated Parameters	158
4.3.3	Environmental Effects Assessment and Mitigation	158
4.4	Cumulative Environmental Effects	161
4.5	Accidental Events	161
4.6	Effects of the Environment on the Project	161
4.7	References	162
5.0	EXISTING PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	163
5.1	Geology and Geomorphology	163
5.1.1	Bedrock Geology	164
5.1.2	Geomorphology and Surficial Geology	164
5.1.3	Seismicity	169
5.1.4	Geohazards	170
5.2	Bathymetry	173
5.3	Climatology	175
5.3.1	Wind Speed and Direction	175
5.3.1.1	Project Area – Northern Section	178
5.3.1.2	Project Area – Southern Section	183
5.3.1.3	Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	186
5.3.2	Air Temperature	186
5.3.2.1	Project Area – Northern Section	186
5.3.2.2	Project Area – Southern Section	188
5.3.2.3	Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	190
5.3.3	Precipitation	190
5.3.3.1	Project Area – Northern Section	190
5.3.3.2	Project Area – Southern Section	192
5.3.3.3	Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	194
5.3.3.4	Lightning	194
5.3.4	Fog and Visibility	196
5.3.4.1	Project Area – Northern Section	196
5.3.4.2	Project Area – Southern Section	199
5.3.4.3	Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	201
5.4	Air Quality	201
5.5	Oceanography	202
5.5.1	Waves	202

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

5.5.1.1	Project Area – Northern Section	203
5.5.1.2	Project Area – Southern Section.....	207
5.5.1.3	Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	210
5.5.2	Ocean Currents	210
5.5.2.1	Project Area – Northern Section	213
5.5.2.2	Project Area – Southern Section.....	216
5.5.2.3	Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	222
5.5.3	Extreme Events	222
5.5.4	Seawater Properties (Temperature, Salinity, pH, Turbidity).....	223
5.5.4.1	Project Area – Northern Section	223
5.5.4.2	Project Area – Southern Section.....	226
5.5.4.3	pH and Turbidity.....	226
5.5.5	Tides.....	230
5.5.6	Storm Surge	231
5.6	Ambient Noise.....	231
5.6.1	Measured Soundscape near Drilling Operations.....	231
5.6.2	Soundscape by Band	234
5.6.3	Effects of Vessels on the Soundscape	242
5.6.4	Summary of Effects of Sources on the Soundscape	243
5.7	Ice Conditions	244
5.7.1	Sea Ice	244
5.7.1.1	Project Area – Northern Section	250
5.7.1.2	Project Area – Southern Section.....	252
5.7.1.3	Potential Vessel Traffic Routes	254
5.7.2	Icebergs.....	257
5.7.2.1	Project Area – Northern Section	261
5.7.2.2	Project Area – Southern Section.....	262
5.7.2.3	Potential Vessel Traffic Routes	264
5.7.3	Marine Icing.....	264
5.7.3.1	Project Area – Northern Section	264
5.7.3.2	Project Area – Southern Section.....	265
5.7.3.3	Potential Vessel Traffic Routes	266
5.8	Climate Change	266
5.8.1	Atmospheric Climate Changes	267
5.8.1.1	Wind.....	267
5.8.1.2	Temperature	267
5.8.1.3	Precipitation	267
5.8.1.4	Storms.....	270
5.8.2	Oceanographic Changes.....	270
5.8.2.1	Ocean-Water Temperatures	270
5.8.2.2	Waves	273
5.8.2.3	Currents	275
5.8.2.4	Sea Level	275
5.8.3	Ice Conditions.....	276
5.8.3.1	Sea Ice.....	276
5.8.3.2	Icebergs	276
5.9	References	277

TABLE OF CONTENTS

6.0	EXISTING BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT	285
6.1	Marine Fish and Fish Habitat	285
6.1.1	Approach and Key Information Sources	285
6.1.1.1	Canadian Research Vessel Multi-Species Surveys	288
6.1.1.2	International Research Vessel Surveys	289
6.1.1.3	Other Information Sources	289
6.1.1.4	Use and Adequacy of Existing Environmental Information for EIS Purposes	289
6.1.2	Trophic Linkages and Community Change	291
6.1.3	Key Marine Assemblages	292
6.1.4	Plankton, Plants and Macroalgae	293
6.1.5	Pelagic Macroinvertebrates	301
6.1.6	Benthic Invertebrates	306
6.1.6.1	Grand Banks Shelf (Project Area – Northern and Southern Sections)	308
6.1.6.2	Grand Bank Slope (Project Area – Southern Section)	310
6.1.6.3	Flemish Pass and Flemish Cap (Project Area – Northern and Southern Sections)	310
6.1.6.4	Orphan Basin (Project Area – Northern Section)	313
6.1.6.5	Corals and Sponges	315
6.1.6.6	Video Surveys of Previous Statoil Exploration Wellsites in the Project Area	329
6.1.6.7	Key Reproduction Times and Areas for Benthic Invertebrates	332
6.1.7	Finfish (Demersal and Pelagic Species)	334
6.1.7.1	Grand Bank Shelf and Slope (Project Area – Northern and Southern Sections)	334
6.1.7.2	Flemish Cap (Project Area – Northern and Southern Sections)	357
6.1.7.3	Flemish Cap and Grand Banks Slope (Project Area – Northern and Southern Sections)	365
6.1.7.4	Migratory Atlantic Salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	368
6.1.7.5	Key Spawning Times and Areas for Finfish	373
6.1.8	Species at Risk	374
6.1.9	Summary of Key Areas and Times	387
6.1.10	Special Areas of Importance to Marine Fish	391
6.2	Marine and Migratory Birds	396
6.2.1	Approach and Key Information Sources	396
6.2.2	Seabirds	397
6.2.2.1	Cormorants	400
6.2.2.2	Gannets	402
6.2.2.3	Phalaropes	402
6.2.2.4	Gulls	405
6.2.2.5	Terns	416
6.2.2.6	Alcids	418
6.2.2.7	Jaegers and Skuas	422
6.2.2.8	Fulmars and Shearwaters	427
6.2.2.9	Storm-petrels	430
6.2.3	Other Marine-Associated Avifauna	433

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

	6.2.3.1	Waterfowl and Divers.....	433
	6.2.3.2	Shorebirds.....	436
	6.2.3.3	Landbirds	437
	6.2.4	Species at Risk.....	437
	6.2.5	Summary of Key Areas and Times	445
6.3		Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles	451
	6.3.1	Approach and Key Information	452
	6.3.2	Overview.....	453
	6.3.3	Mysticetes (Baleen Whales).....	458
	6.3.3.1	Non-SAR Mysticete Species Descriptions and General Distributions	461
	6.3.4	Odontocetes (Toothed Whales).....	464
	6.3.4.1	Non-SAR Odontocetes Species Descriptions and General Distributions	469
	6.3.5	Phocids.....	484
	6.3.5.1	Phocid Species Descriptions and General Distributions.....	484
	6.3.6	Sea Turtles	485
	6.3.6.1	Non-SAR Sea Turtles Species Descriptions and General Distributions	486
	6.3.7	Species at Risk.....	488
	6.3.7.1	Listed Species Descriptions and General Distributions	490
	6.3.8	Summary of Key Areas and Times	506
6.4		Special Areas	512
	6.4.1	Approach and Key Information Sources.....	512
	6.4.2	Canadian Designations and their Management	514
	6.4.2.1	Bioregions and Large Ocean Management Areas.....	514
	6.4.2.2	Marine Protected Areas and Areas of Interest.....	517
	6.4.2.3	Fisheries Closure Areas within Canada’s Exclusive Economic Zone.....	518
	6.4.2.4	Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas	519
	6.4.2.5	National Marine Conservation Areas and Preliminary Representative Marine Areas.....	522
	6.4.2.6	National Wildlife Areas, Marine Wildlife Areas and Migratory Bird Sanctuaries	525
	6.4.2.7	National Parks and Historic Sites.....	526
	6.4.3	Newfoundland and Labrador Designations and their Management	527
	6.4.3.1	Provincial Wilderness and Ecological Reserves	527
	6.4.3.2	Provincial Parks and Protected Areas	528
	6.4.3.3	Provincial Historic Sites	531
	6.4.4	International Designations and their Management	531
	6.4.4.1	Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems	532
	6.4.4.2	NAFO Fisheries Closure Areas.....	535
	6.4.5	Other Identified Marine Special Areas.....	540
	6.4.5.1	Important Bird Areas	540
	6.4.5.2	UNESCO World Heritage Sites.....	545
	6.4.5.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance	546
	6.4.5.4	Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network	546
	6.4.5.5	The UNESCO World Biosphere Reserve Program.....	546
6.5		References	546

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7.0	EXISTING HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	579
7.1	Commercial Fisheries.....	579
7.1.1	Administrative Areas and Key Information Sources.....	579
7.1.2	Historic Overview of Domestic Commercial Fisheries	582
7.1.3	Current Domestic Fisheries.....	584
	7.1.3.1 Project Area - Northern Section	587
	7.1.3.2 Project Area - Southern Section.....	588
	7.1.3.3 Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	589
7.1.4	Location and Timing of Harvest.....	590
7.1.5	International Fisheries.....	594
7.1.6	Description of Key Fisheries by Species	599
	7.1.6.1 Northern Shrimp	599
	7.1.6.2 Snow Crab.....	604
	7.1.6.3 Groundfish Species.....	609
7.1.7	Fishing Gear	619
	7.1.7.1 Project Area - Northern Section	622
	7.1.7.2 Project Area - Southern Section.....	622
	7.1.7.3 Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	622
7.1.8	Potential Commercial Fisheries.....	622
7.1.9	Aquaculture.....	623
7.1.10	Recreational Fishing	623
7.2	Other Human Components and Activities	624
7.2.1	Marine Research.....	624
	7.2.1.1 Project Area - Northern Section	628
	7.2.1.2 Project Area - Southern Section.....	628
	7.2.1.3 Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	628
7.2.2	Marine Shipping	628
7.2.3	Other Offshore Oil and Gas Activity.....	630
	7.2.3.1 Project Area - Northern Section	630
	7.2.3.2 Project Area – Southern Section.....	630
	7.2.3.3 Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	630
7.2.4	Military Operations	632
7.2.5	Other Marine Infrastructure	632
	7.2.5.1 Project Area - Northern Section	632
	7.2.5.2 Project Area - Southern Section.....	632
	7.2.5.3 Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	632
7.3	Indigenous Communities and Activities	636
7.3.1	Newfoundland and Labrador	638
	7.3.1.1 Labrador Inuit.....	638
	7.3.1.2 Labrador Innu (Innu Nation)	647
	7.3.1.3 NunatuKavut Community Council	652
	7.3.1.4 Qalipu Mi'kmaq First Nation	661
	7.3.1.5 Miawpukek First Nation.....	664
7.3.2	Mi'kmaq of the Maritime Provinces.....	665
	7.3.2.1 The Mi'kmaq of Nova Scotia	668
	7.3.2.2 Mi'kmaq of Prince Edward Island.....	709
	7.3.2.3 Mi'kmaq of New Brunswick	714

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

7.3.3	Wolastoqiyik of New Brunswick (Maliseet)	733
	7.3.3.1 Kingsclear First Nation.....	734
	7.3.3.2 Madawaska Maliseet First Nation	736
	7.3.3.3 Oromocto First Nation.....	738
	7.3.3.4 Tobique First Nation.....	741
	7.3.3.5 St. Mary's First Nation.....	743
	7.3.3.6 Woodstock First Nation.....	746
7.3.4	Peskotomuhkati Nation (Passamaquoddy).....	749
7.3.5	Mi'kmaq and Innu of Québec.....	749
	7.3.5.1 Mi'kmaq of Québec.....	751
	7.3.5.2 Québec Innu	761
7.4	Other Aspects of the Human Environment.....	773
	7.4.1 Rural and Urban Setting.....	773
	7.4.2 Human Health	775
	7.4.3 Physical and Cultural Heritage	775
7.5	References	775

TABLE OF CONTENTS

8.0	MARINE FISH AND FISH HABITAT: ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	790
8.1	Environmental Assessment Study Areas and Effects Evaluation Criteria	790
8.2	Potential Environmental Changes, Effects, and Associated Parameters	793
8.3	Environmental Effects Assessment and Mitigation	797
8.3.1	Approach and Methods	797
8.3.2	Summary of Key Mitigation	799
8.3.3	Presence and Operation of Drilling Installations	800
8.3.3.1	Underwater Noise and Vibrations	800
8.3.3.2	Light Emissions and Other Environmental Discharges	801
8.3.3.3	Interaction with Benthic Environment	802
8.3.3.4	Aquatic Invasive Species	803
8.3.3.5	Summary	804
8.3.4	Drilling and Associated Marine Discharges	804
8.3.4.1	Water-Based Drilling Muds	805
8.3.4.2	Synthetic-Based Drilling Muds	806
8.3.4.3	Sedimentation and Burial	808
8.3.4.4	Project-Specific Modelling of Drilling Discharges	810
8.3.4.5	Potential Biological Effects of Drill Cuttings Deposition	818
8.3.4.6	Recovery and Recolonization	820
8.3.4.7	Summary	820
8.3.5	Formation Flow Testing with Flaring	821
8.3.6	Wellhead Decommissioning	822
8.3.7	Project-related Surveys	824
8.3.7.1	Geophysical, Geohazard, Wellsite, Seabed and VSP Surveys	824
8.3.7.2	Geological, Geotechnical and Environmental Surveys	827
8.3.8	Supply and Servicing	828
8.4	Species at Risk: Overview of Potential Effects and Key Mitigation	830
8.4.1	Wolffish Species	836
8.4.2	Grenadiers, Plaice, Spiny Dogfish, and Skates	837
8.4.3	Atlantic Cod and Cusk	838
8.4.4	Atlantic Salmon and American Eel	839
8.4.5	Redfish Species	841
8.4.6	Pelagic Species (Sharks and Tuna)	841
8.5	Significance of Residual Environmental Effects	842
8.5.1	Residual Environmental Effects Summary	843
8.5.2	Determination of Significance	868
8.6	Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up	868
8.7	References	869
9.0	MARINE AND MIGRATORY BIRDS: ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	884
9.1	Environmental Assessment Study Areas and Effects Assessment Criteria	884
9.2	Potential Environmental Changes, Effects, and Associated Parameters	887
9.3	Environmental Effects Assessment and Mitigation	890
9.3.1	Approach and Methods	890

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

9.3.2	Summary of Key Mitigations	890
9.3.3	Presence and Operation of Drilling Installation	891
9.3.4	Drilling and Associated Marine Discharges.....	895
9.3.5	Formation Flow Testing with Flaring.....	897
9.3.6	Wellhead Decommissioning	898
9.3.7	Project-Related Surveys	899
9.3.8	Supply and Servicing	901
9.4	Species at Risk: Overview of Potential Effects and Key Mitigation	903
9.5	Significance of Residual Environmental Effects.....	906
9.5.1	Residual Environmental Effects Summary.....	907
9.5.2	Determination of Significance.....	930
9.6	Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up.....	930
9.7	References	930
10.0	MARINE MAMMALS AND SEA TURTLES: ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	937
10.1	Environmental Assessment Study Areas and Effects Evaluation Criteria.....	937
10.2	Potential Environmental Changes, Effects, and Associated Parameters.....	940
10.3	Environmental Effects Assessment and Mitigation	944
10.3.1	Approach and Methods.....	945
10.3.2	Summary of Key Mitigation.....	949
10.3.3	Presence and Operation of Drilling Installation	950
10.3.4	Drilling and Associated Marine Discharges.....	954
10.3.5	Formation Flow Testing with Flaring.....	956
10.3.6	Wellhead Decommissioning	956
10.3.7	Project-Related Surveys	956
10.3.8	Supply and Servicing	961
10.4	Species at Risk: Overview of Potential Effects and Key Mitigation	962
10.4.1	Beluga Whale.....	963
10.4.2	Blue Whale.....	963
10.4.3	Bowhead Whale.....	968
10.4.4	Fin Whale	968
10.4.5	Harbour Porpoise.....	968
10.4.6	Killer Whale.....	969
10.4.7	North Atlantic Right Whale.....	969
10.4.8	Northern Bottlenose Whale.....	969
10.4.9	Sowerby's Beaked Whale.....	970
10.4.10	Leatherback Sea Turtle	970
10.4.11	Loggerhead Sea Turtle	970
10.5	Significance of Residual Environmental Effects.....	971
10.5.1	Residual Environmental Effects Summary.....	971
10.5.2	Determination of Significance.....	994
10.6	Environmental Monitoring and Follow-Up	995
10.7	References	995
11.0	SPECIAL AREAS: ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT.....	1006
11.1	Environmental Assessment Study Areas and Effects Evaluation Criteria.....	1006
11.2	Potential Environmental Changes, Effects, and Associated Parameters.....	1009

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

11.3	Environmental Effects Assessment and Mitigation	1011
11.3.1	Approach and Methods	1011
11.3.2	Summary of Key Mitigation	1011
11.3.3	Environmental Effects Assessment (All Project Components and Activities).....	1011
11.4	Significance of Residual Environmental Effects.....	1019
11.4.1	Residual Environmental Effects Summary.....	1020
11.4.2	Determination of Significance.....	1020
11.5	Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up.....	1020
12.0	INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES AND ACTIVITIES: ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	1035
12.1	Environmental Assessment Study Areas and Effects Evaluation Criteria.....	1037
12.2	Potential Environmental Changes, Effects, and Associated Parameters.....	1039
12.3	Environmental Effects Assessment and Mitigation	1043
12.3.1	Approach and Methods	1043
12.3.2	Environmental Effects Assessment (All Project Components and Activities).....	1044
12.3.2.1	Health and Socioeconomic Conditions.....	1044
12.3.2.2	Current Use of Lands and Resources for Traditional Purposes	1045
12.3.2.3	Physical and Cultural Heritage, Including Structures, Sites or Things of Historical, Archaeological, Paleontological or Architectural Significance.....	1066
12.4	Significance of Residual Environmental Effects.....	1066
12.4.1	Residual Environmental Effects Summary.....	1066
12.4.2	Determination of Significance.....	1081
12.5	Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up.....	1081
12.6	References	1082
13.0	COMMERCIAL FISHERIES AND OTHER OCEAN USERS: ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT.....	1089
13.1	Environmental Assessment Study Areas and Effects Evaluation Criteria.....	1089
13.2	Potential Environmental Changes, Effects, and Associated Parameters.....	1092
13.3	Environmental Effects Assessment and Mitigation	1096
13.3.1	Approach and Methods	1096
13.3.2	Summary of Key Mitigation	1096
13.3.3	Presence and Operation of Drilling Installation (Including Drilling and Associated Marine Discharges).....	1098
13.3.4	Formation Flow Testing with Flaring.....	1100
13.3.5	Wellhead Decommissioning	1100
13.3.6	Project-Related Surveys	1102
13.3.7	Supply and Servicing	1103
13.4	Significance of Residual Environmental Effects.....	1104
13.4.1	Residual Environmental Effects Summary.....	1105
13.4.2	Determination of Significance.....	1105
13.5	Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up.....	1122
13.6	References	1122
14.0	CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	1124

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

14.1	Approach and Methods.....	1124
14.1.1	Identification of Valued Components.....	1124
14.1.2	Spatial and Temporal Boundaries	1127
14.1.3	Sources of Potential Cumulative Effects	1128
14.1.4	Assessing Cumulative Effects on Each VC.....	1134
14.1.5	Mitigation	1135
14.1.6	Determination of Significance.....	1136
14.1.7	Follow-up.....	1136
14.2	Marine Fish and Fish Habitat (including Species at Risk)	1136
14.2.1	Past and On-going Effects (Baseline).....	1136
14.2.2	Potential Project-Related Contributions to Cumulative Effects.....	1137
14.2.3	Future Projects and Activities and Their Effects	1138
14.2.4	Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects	1141
	14.2.4.1 Project Area – Northern Section	1142
	14.2.4.2 Project Area – Southern Section	1143
14.2.5	Species at Risk.....	1143
14.2.6	Cumulative Effects Summary and Evaluation	1144
14.3	Marine and Migratory Birds (including Species at Risk)	1145
14.3.1	Past and On-going Effects (Baseline).....	1145
14.3.2	Potential Project-Related Contributions to Cumulative Effects.....	1148
14.3.3	Future Projects and Activities and Their Effects	1148
14.3.4	Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects	1151
	14.3.4.1 Project Area – Northern Section	1152
	14.3.4.2 Project Area – Southern Section	1152
14.3.5	Species at Risk.....	1152
14.3.6	Cumulative Effects Summary and Evaluation	1153
14.4	Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles (including Species at Risk)	1153
14.4.1	Past and On-going Effects (Baseline).....	1153
14.4.2	Potential Project-Related Contributions to Cumulative Effects.....	1157
14.4.3	Future Projects and Activities and Their Effects	1157
14.4.4	Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects	1160
	14.4.4.1 Project Area – Northern Section	1162
	14.4.4.2 Project Area – Southern Section	1163
14.4.5	Species at Risk.....	1163
14.4.6	Cumulative Effects Summary and Evaluation	1165
14.5	Special Areas 1165	
14.5.1	Past and On-going Effects (Baseline).....	1169
14.5.2	Potential Project-Related Contributions to Cumulative Effects.....	1169
14.5.3	Future Projects and Activities and Their Effects	1170
14.5.4	Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects	1171
	14.5.4.1 Project Area – Northern Section	1173
	14.5.4.2 Project Area – Southern Section	1173
14.5.5	Cumulative Effects Summary and Evaluation	1173
14.6	Indigenous Communities and Activities.....	1174
14.6.1	Past and On-going Effects (Baseline).....	1174
14.6.2	Potential Project-Related Contributions to Cumulative Effects.....	1177
14.6.3	Cumulative Effects Summary and Evaluation	1177
14.7	Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users	1180
14.7.1	Past and On-going Effects (Baseline).....	1180
14.7.2	Potential Project-Related Contributions to Cumulative Effects.....	1181

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

14.7.3	Future Projects and Activities and Their Effects	1182
14.7.4	Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects	1183
14.7.4.1	Project Area - Northern Section.....	1185
14.7.4.2	Project Area - Southern Section	1186
14.7.5	Cumulative Effects Summary and Evaluation	1187
14.8	Monitoring and Follow-up	1187
14.9	References	1190
15.0	ACCIDENTAL EVENTS.....	1196
15.1	Spill Prevention and Response	1196
15.1.1	Spill Prevention and Well Control.....	1197
15.1.1.1	Spill Prevention	1197
15.1.1.2	Well Control and Blowout Prevention.....	1197
15.1.2	Contingency Planning and Emergency Response.....	1198
15.1.2.1	Contingency Planning.....	1198
15.1.2.2	Well Capping and Containment Plan	1200
15.1.2.3	Spill Response	1201
15.2	Potential Accidental Event Scenarios.....	1210
15.2.1	Local Conditions and Natural Hazards.....	1210
15.2.2	Vessel Collision.....	1210
15.2.2.1	Transit to and from Project Area.....	1210
15.2.2.2	Within the Project Area	1211
15.2.3	Dropped Objects.....	1212
15.2.4	Loss of Drilling Installation Stability or Structural Integrity	1213
15.2.5	Loss of Well Control.....	1213
15.2.6	Potential Spill Scenarios	1216
15.2.6.1	Subsurface Blowout.....	1216
15.2.6.2	Operational Batch Diesel Spill	1217
15.3	Spill Risk and Probabilities	1217
15.3.1	Historical Spill Data - Canada-NL Offshore Area.....	1219
15.3.1.1	Sources of Oil Inputs in Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore	1219
15.3.1.2	Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore Spill Data	1219
15.3.2	Probabilities of Spills from the Project.....	1222
15.3.2.1	Probability of Batch Spills	1222
15.3.2.2	Probability of Blowouts.....	1224
15.3.3	Summary.....	1226
15.4	Fate and Behaviour of Potential Spills	1226
15.4.1	Study Area and Scenarios	1227
15.4.2	Overall Modelling Approach.....	1227
15.4.2.1	Stochastic Approach.....	1227
15.4.2.2	Deterministic Approach.....	1233
15.4.3	Model Input Data.....	1233
15.4.4	Model Results	1235
15.4.4.1	Stochastic Results	1236
15.4.4.2	Deterministic Results	1256
15.4.4.3	Marine Diesel Batch Spills	1259
15.4.4.4	Uncertainties	1259
15.4.4.5	Summary of Modelling Results.....	1264
15.5	Environmental Effects Assessment.....	1264

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

15.5.1	Marine Fish and Fish Habitat.....	1266
	15.5.1.1 Introduction	1266
	15.5.1.2 Potential Issues and Interactions.....	1266
	15.5.1.3 Residual Environmental Effects Assessment and Evaluation	1271
	15.5.1.4 Summary.....	1275
	15.5.1.5 Determination of Significance.....	1276
15.5.2	Marine and Migratory Birds.....	1276
	15.5.2.1 Introduction	1276
	15.5.2.2 Potential Issues and Interactions.....	1277
	15.5.2.3 Residual Environmental Effects Assessment and Evaluation	1279
	15.5.2.4 Summary.....	1283
	15.5.2.5 Determination of Significance.....	1283
15.5.3	Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles.....	1284
	15.5.3.1 Introduction	1284
	15.5.3.2 Potential Issues and Interactions.....	1285
	15.5.3.3 Residual Environmental Effects Assessment and Evaluation	1287
	15.5.3.4 Summary.....	1290
	15.5.3.5 Determination of Significance.....	1290
15.5.4	Special Areas	1291
	15.5.4.1 Introduction	1291
	15.5.4.2 Potential Issues and Interactions.....	1291
	15.5.4.3 Environmental Effects Assessment and Evaluation.....	1292
	15.5.4.4 Summary.....	1294
	15.5.4.5 Determination of Significance.....	1295
15.5.5	Indigenous Communities and Activities.....	1296
	15.5.5.1 Potential Issues and Interactions.....	1296
	15.5.5.2 Residual Environmental Effects Assessment and Evaluation	1299
	15.5.5.3 Summary.....	1303
	15.5.5.4 Determination of Significance.....	1303
15.5.6	Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users	1304
	15.5.6.1 Introduction	1304
	15.5.6.2 Potential Issues and Interactions.....	1305
	15.5.6.3 Residual Environmental Effects Assessment and Evaluation	1307
	15.5.6.4 Summary.....	1310
	15.5.6.5 Determination of Significance.....	1311
15.6	References	1312
16.0	EFFECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT ON THE PROJECT	1327
16.1	Key Environmental Considerations	1327
	16.1.1 Weather Conditions	1328
	16.1.2 Oceanographic Conditions	1328
	16.1.3 Sea Ice, Icebergs and Superstructure Icing	1329
	16.1.4 Geological Stability and Seismicity.....	1330
16.2	Assessing and Mitigating Potential Effects of the Environment on the Project.....	1332
	16.2.1 Weather Conditions	1333
	16.2.2 Oceanographic Conditions	1334
	16.2.3 Sea Ice, Icebergs, and Superstructure Icing	1335
	16.2.4 Geological Stability and Seismicity.....	1338
16.3	Residual Effects Summary	1338
16.4	References	1339

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

17.0	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	1342
17.1	Summary of Potential Effects	1342
17.2	Summary of Mitigation and Commitments	1342
17.3	Residual Environmental Effects	1342
17.4	Follow-Up and Monitoring	1360
17.4.1	Follow-up Programs	1360
17.4.2	Environmental Monitoring and Observations	1361
17.4.3	Adaptive Management Measures	1362
17.5	Summary of Predicted Environmental Changes and Effects and Their Relationship to Federal Jurisdiction and Decisions	1366
17.5.1	Changes to Environmental Components with Federal Jurisdiction	1367
17.5.2	Changes to the Environment that Would Occur on Federal Lands, in Another Province, or Outside Canada	1369
17.5.3	Changes to the Environment that are Directly Linked or Necessarily Incidental to Federal Decisions	1371
17.6	Conclusion	1375
17.7	References	1378

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A EIS Guidelines
- Appendix B Key Personnel Responsible for EIS Preparation
- Appendix C Eastern Newfoundland Drilling Noise Assessment: Qualitative Assessment of Radiated Sound Levels and Acoustic Propagation Conditions (Quijano et al. 2017)
- Appendix D Marine Mammals and Ambient Sound Sources in the Flemish Pass: Analysis from 2014 and 2015 Acoustic Recordings (Maxner et al. 2017)
- Appendix E Trajectory Modelling in Support of the Statoil Exploration Drilling Project (RPS 2017)
- Appendix F Species Names
- Appendix G Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program, Drill Cuttings Modelling (Amec Foster Wheeler 2017)
- Appendix H Capping Stack Technology Details

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Project Area and Associated Licenses.....	6
Figure 2-1	Project Area and Corner Point Coordinates.....	28
Figure 2-2	Schematic of a Semi-submersible and Drill Ship.....	37
Figure 2-3	West Hercules – Example of a Semi-Submersible.....	40
Figure 2-4	Stena Carron - Example of a Drill Ship.....	40
Figure 2-5	Potential Aircraft and Supply Vessel Transit Routes (for illustrative purposes).....	51
Figure 2-6	Chemical Selection Flowchart (NEB et al. 2009).....	79
Figure 4-1	Regional Study Area	155
Figure 5-1	Geological Overview (Bedrock).....	165
Figure 5-2	Geomorphologic Features.....	166
Figure 5-3	Seabed Features.....	167
Figure 5-4	Seismic Hazard Map	169
Figure 5-5	Earthquake Epicentres (1985-2017) and Seismotectonic Setting.....	171
Figure 5-6	General Bathymetry and Ocean Current Circulation	174
Figure 5-7	Location of the MSC50 Nodes Selected to Describe Wind and Wave Conditions .	176
Figure 5-8	Monthly Wind Roses, MSC50 Node M3012443 (1962–2015), Project Area – Northern Section	179
Figure 5-9	Annual Wind Rose, MSC50 Node M3012443 (1962–2015), Project Area – Northern Section	180
Figure 5-10	Statoil Exploration Wells, Project Area – Northern Section, 2013-2017	181
Figure 5-11	Statoil Exploration Wells, Project Area – Northern Section, 2013-2016, Drilling Installation History	182
Figure 5-12	Monthly Wind Roses, MSC50 Node M6010089 (1962–2015), Project Area – Southern Section.....	184
Figure 5-13	Annual Wind Rose, MSC50 Node M6010089 (1962 – 2015), Project Area – Southern Section.....	185
Figure 5-14	Air Temperature, Project Area – Northern Section.....	187
Figure 5-15	Air Temperature, Project Area – Southern Section	189
Figure 5-16	Frequency of Occurrence (Percent) of Precipitation by Type, Project Area – Northern Section	191
Figure 5-17	Frequency of Occurrence (Percent) of Thunderstorm and Hail, Project Area – Northern Section	192
Figure 5-18	Frequency of Occurrence (Percent) of Precipitation by Type Project Area – Southern Section	193
Figure 5-19	Frequency of Occurrence (Percent) of Thunderstorm and Hail, Project Area – Southern Section.....	194
Figure 5-20	Average Start (top) and End (bottom) Dates of the Lightning Season for Eastern Canada (1999-2013)	195
Figure 5-21	Frequency of Occurrence of Visibility, Project Area – Northern Section	197
Figure 5-22	Frequency of Occurrence of Visibility, Statoil Exploration Wells, 2013-2016	198
Figure 5-23	Frequency of Occurrence of Visibility Types, Project Area – Southern Section.....	200
Figure 5-24	Frequency of Occurrence of Visibility, Hibernia Platform	201
Figure 5-25	Monthly Wave Roses, MSC50 Node M3012443 (1962 – 2015), Project Area – Northern Section	204

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 5-26	Annual Wave Rose, MSC50 Node M3012443 (1962 – 2015), Project Area – Northern Section	205
Figure 5-27	Wave Monitoring Summary Plot, Statoil Flemish Pass MetOcean Program	207
Figure 5-28	Monthly Wave Roses, MSC50 Node M6010089 (1962 – 2015), Project Area – Southern Section	208
Figure 5-29	Annual Wave Rose, MSC50 Node M6010089 (1962 – 2015), Project Area – Southern Section	209
Figure 5-30	Comparison of Significant Wave Height: Hibernia and MSC50 Node M6011605	210
Figure 5-31	Location of ODI Current Measurements	212
Figure 5-32	Mean and Maximum Ocean Currents	213
Figure 5-33	Mean and Maximum Current Speeds, Project Area – Northern Section	214
Figure 5-34	Flemish Pass Statoil Current Monitoring, CM-1, Progressive Vector Plot, 66 m	215
Figure 5-35	Flemish Pass Statoil Current Monitoring, CM-2, Progressive Vector Plot, 65 m	216
Figure 5-36	Mean and Maximum Current Speeds, Project Area – Southern Section	217
Figure 5-37	Monthly Current Roses, Near-Surface, Hibernia, Sep 2015 to Oct 2016	219
Figure 5-38	Monthly Current Roses, Mid-Depth, Hibernia, Sep 2015 to Oct 2016	220
Figure 5-39	Monthly Current Roses, Near-Bottom, Hibernia, Sep 2015 to Oct 2016	221
Figure 5-40	Overview of pH for the Atlantic Ocean from the WOCE	229
Figure 5-41	Surface pH data from Hibernia EEM Program, December 9, 2015	230
Figure 5-42	Statoil CM2 Recorder, ESRF Station 19 Recorder, and Statoil 2015-2016 Drilling Locations off the East Coast of Newfoundland	232
Figure 5-43	Baseline Sound Levels	233
Figure 5-44	Project Areas Showing Locations of the Existing Oil Production Platforms and the JASCO Year-Long Acoustic Recorders (Yellow Dots) Deployed as Part of an ESRF Program	235
Figure 5-45	10-125,000 Hz Band: Distribution of One-minute SPL for Selected Locations from JASCO’s 2015-2016 ESRF Data Set	237
Figure 5-46	10-45 Hz Band: Distribution of One-minute SPL for Selected Locations from JASCO’s 2015-2016 ESRF Data Set	238
Figure 5-47	45-225 Hz Band: Distribution of One-Minute SPL for Selected Locations from JASCO’s 2015-2016 ESRF Data Set	239
Figure 5-48	225-2,250 Hz Band: Distribution of one-minute SPL for Selected Locations from JASCO’s 2015-2016 ESRF Data Set	240
Figure 5-49	2,250-18,000 Hz band: Distribution of one-minute SPL for selected locations from JASCO’s 2015-2016 ESRF data set.	241
Figure 5-50	18,000-90,000 Hz Band: Distribution of One-Minute SPL for Selected Locations from JASCO’s 2015-2016 ESRF Data Set	242
Figure 5-51	Daily Unweighted Sound Exposure levels for Stations 4, 19, 8, and 18	243
Figure 5-52	Project Area Locations Used for Sea Ice Characterization	245
Figure 5-53	Ice Concentrations from an Aerial Perspective	249
Figure 5-54	Median of Predominant Ice Type When Ice Is Present, Week of Mar 26	252
Figure 5-55	Median of Predominant Ice Type When Ice Is Present, Week of Apr 02	254
Figure 5-56	Frequency of Presence of Sea Ice, Week of Mar 12	255
Figure 5-57	Median of Ice Concentration When Ice Is Present, Week of Feb 26	256
Figure 5-58	Median of Predominant Ice Type When Ice Is Present, Week of Apr 23	257

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 5-59	Sub-Regions for Iceberg Characterization for the Project Area	259
Figure 5-60	Iceberg Sightings by Month (1985-2014), Project Area (Northern and Southern Sections)	259
Figure 5-61	Iceberg Sightings by Year (1985-2014), Project Area – Northern Section	260
Figure 5-62	Iceberg Sightings by Year (1985-2014), Project Area – Southern Section	260
Figure 5-63	Iceberg Sightings by Size Category (1985-2014), Project Area (Northern and Southern Sections)	261
Figure 5-64	Recorded Icebergs Sightings in 2015, Newfoundland Offshore	263
Figure 5-65	Icing Potential, Project Area – Northern Section	265
Figure 5-66	Icing Potential, Project Area – Southern Section	266
Figure 5-67	Projected Changes in Median (Left) and Maximum (Right) Annual Sustained Wind Speeds for the Mid-21 st Century, Using Six-Member Climate Model Ensemble Forced by the RCP 8.5 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Scenario	268
Figure 5-68	Projected Changes in the Annual Percentage of Days When Daily Max Wind Speed Is >14.4 m/s (fWsB7, Top Left), >17.2 m/s (fWsB8, Top Right), >20.8 m/s (fWsB9, Bottom Left), and >24.7 m/s (fWsB10, Bottom Right)	269
Figure 5-69	Changes in Mean Monthly Water Temperature From 1976-1995 to 1996-2015 at Approximately 5 m, Based on ECMWF Reanalysis Data	271
Figure 5-70	Ensemble Agreement of Projected Near-Surface Ocean-Water Temperature Projections	272
Figure 5-71	Representative GCM Projection of 6 m Depth Ocean Water Temperature Change	273
Figure 5-72	Projected Changes in Median (Left) and Maximum (Right) Annual Wave Heights for the Mid-21 st Century, Using a Six-Member Climate Model Ensemble Forced by the RCP 8.5 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Scenario	274
Figure 5-73	Projected Changes in the Annual Percentage of Days When Daily Max Significant Wave Height is >2.5 m (fHsRo, Left) And >6.0 m (fHsHi, Right)	275

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6-1	Primary Water Depth Zones of the Project Area and Surrounding Marine Environments	286
Figure 6-2	Distribution of Chlorophyll Irradiance Measured from NASA Satellite Imagery of the North Atlantic - Winter (December-February) 2016	295
Figure 6-3	Distribution of Chlorophyll Irradiance Measured from NASA Satellite Imagery of the North Atlantic - Spring (March-May) 2016	296
Figure 6-4	Distribution of Chlorophyll Irradiance Measured from NASA Satellite Imagery of the North Atlantic - Summer (June-August) 2016.....	297
Figure 6-5	Distribution of Chlorophyll Irradiance Measured from NASA Satellite Imagery of the North Atlantic - Fall (September-November) 2016	298
Figure 6-6	Northern Shrimp Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	302
Figure 6-7	Northern Shrimp Distribution and Abundance on the Flemish Cap as Compiled from NAFO RV Trawl Survey Data (2012-2015)	303
Figure 6-8	General Regions Used to Describe the Benthic Environment.....	307
Figure 6-9	Summary of Regional Coral Distributions Compiled from Canadian RV Data and Literature Sources	319
Figure 6-10	Summary of Coral Distributions in and Around the Project Area – Northern Section	320
Figure 6-11	Summary of Coral Distributions in and Around the Project Area – Southern Section	321
Figure 6-12	Summary of Regional Sponge Distributions Compiled from Canadian RV Data and Literature Sources	324
Figure 6-13	Summary of Sponge Distributions in and Around the Project Area – Northern Section	325
Figure 6-14	Summary of Sponge Distributions in and Around the Project Area – Southern Section	326
Figure 6-15	Recent Well Site Locations with Video Footage in the Project Area	330
Figure 6-16	Capelin Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	338
Figure 6-17	Lanternfish Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	339
Figure 6-18	Sand Lance Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	340
Figure 6-19	Deepwater Redfish Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	341
Figure 6-20	American Plaice Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	342
Figure 6-21	Sculpin (Triglops sp.) Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	343
Figure 6-22	Hookear Sculpin Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	344
Figure 6-23	Yellowtail Flounder Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	345

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 6-24	Common Grenadier Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	346
Figure 6-25	Greenland Halibut Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	347
Figure 6-26	Vahl's Eelpout Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	348
Figure 6-27	Eelpout (<i>Lycodes</i> sp.) Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	349
Figure 6-28	Blue Hake Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	350
Figure 6-29	Roughhead Grenadier Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	351
Figure 6-30	Longnose Eel Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	352
Figure 6-31	Depth Distributions of Fish Captured in EU RV Surveys of the Flemish Cap and Adjacent Slope (2004-2012)	358
Figure 6-32	Distributions of Atlantic Cod on the Flemish Cap	360
Figure 6-33	Distributions of American Plaice on the Flemish Cap	361
Figure 6-34	Distributions of Deepwater Redfish on the Flemish Cap	362
Figure 6-35	Distributions of Greenland Halibut on the Flemish Cap	363
Figure 6-36	Distributions of Roughhead Grenadier on the Flemish Cap	364
Figure 6-37	Migratory Path of a Female White Shark from Temperate Habitats to the Vicinity of the Project Area	367
Figure 6-38	General Location of Currents and Summary Geographic Locations	370
Figure 6-39	Research Vessel Catches of Atlantic Salmon in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean, 1965-1985	371
Figure 6-40	Numbers of Salmon Tagged on and East of the Grand Bank in May 1979 and 1980, and Subsequent Recaptures in the Coastal Fishery and in Rivers	372
Figure 6-41	Striped Wolffish Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data	382
Figure 6-42	Spotted Wolffish Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data	383
Figure 6-43	Northern Wolffish Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data	384
Figure 6-44	Atlantic Cod Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data	385
Figure 6-45	Thorny Skate Distribution and Abundance as Compiled from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data	386
Figure 6-46	Overall Abundance of Organisms (Fish and Commercially Important Invertebrate Species) Inventoried from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	388
Figure 6-47	Total Species Richness (Fish and Commercially Important Invertebrate Species) Inventoried from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	389
Figure 6-48	Total Biomass (Fish and Commercially Important Invertebrate Species) Inventoried from Canadian RV Trawl Survey Data (2008-2012)	390
Figure 6-49	Seabird Colony Locations Eastern Newfoundland	399

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 6-50	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Cormorant Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	401
Figure 6-51	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Northern Gannet Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016).....	403
Figure 6-52	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Phalarope Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	404
Figure 6-53	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Black-legged Kittiwake Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016).....	406
Figure 6-54	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Large Gull Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	407
Figure 6-55	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Tern Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	419
Figure 6-56	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Dovekie Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	423
Figure 6-57	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Murre Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	424
Figure 6-58	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Other Alcid Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	425
Figure 6-59	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Jaeger and Skua Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016).....	426
Figure 6-60	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Northern Fulmar Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016).....	428
Figure 6-61	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Shearwater Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	429
Figure 6-62	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Storm-petrel Observations in the Waters Off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016).....	432
Figure 6-63	Seasonal Distribution of ECSAS Waterfowl Observations in the Waters off Eastern Newfoundland (2001 – 2016)	435
Figure 6-64	Summary of Seasonal Presence of Marine-associated Birds off Eastern Newfoundland	446
Figure 6-65	Important Bird Areas in Eastern Newfoundland	450
Figure 6-66	Opportunistic Sightings of non-SAR Mysticetes (1952 to 2015).....	459
Figure 6-67	Opportunistic Sightings of Unidentified Mysticetes (1980 to 2015)	460
Figure 6-68	Humpback Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	462
Figure 6-69	Minke Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area.....	463
Figure 6-70	Sei Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	465
Figure 6-71	Opportunistic Sightings of non-SAR Odontocetes (1958 to 2015)	467
Figure 6-72	Opportunistic Sightings of Unidentified Odontocetes (1958 to 2015).....	468
Figure 6-73	Atlantic Spotted Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	470
Figure 6-74	Atlantic White-sided Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area.....	471
Figure 6-75	Common Bottlenose Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area.....	472
Figure 6-76	False Killer Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area.....	474
Figure 6-77	Long-finned Pilot Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	475
Figure 6-78	Risso’s Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area.....	477
Figure 6-79	Short-beaked Common Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	478

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 6-80	Sperm Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	479
Figure 6-81	Spinner Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	481
Figure 6-82	Striped Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	482
Figure 6-83	White-beaked Dolphin Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	483
Figure 6-84	Opportunistic Sea Turtle Sightings (1938 to 2015).....	487
Figure 6-85	Opportunistic Sightings of Listed Marine Mammals (1867 to 2015).....	489
Figure 6-86	Beluga Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	491
Figure 6-87	Blue Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	493
Figure 6-88	Bowhead Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	495
Figure 6-89	Fin Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	497
Figure 6-90	Harbour Porpoise Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	498
Figure 6-91	Killer Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	500
Figure 6-92	North Atlantic Right Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	501
Figure 6-93	Northern Bottlenose Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area	503
Figure 6-94	Sowerby’s Beaked Whale Sightings in Eastern NL Offshore Area.....	505
Figure 6-95	Initial Overview of the Project Area, Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes and Some Key Special Areas off Eastern Newfoundland	515
Figure 6-96	Canadian Marine Protected Areas, Federal Fisheries Closure Areas and Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas.....	516
Figure 6-97	Federal Preliminary Representative Marine Areas, Migratory Bird Sanctuaries, National Parks and National Historic Sites.....	523
Figure 6-98	Provincial Protected and Special Areas	529
Figure 6-99	International Special Areas	533
Figure 6-100	Important Bird Areas	541

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 7-1	Fisheries Management Areas Offshore Newfoundland and Labrador	580
Figure 7-2	Annual Commercial Fish Landings, Newfoundland Region, All Species 1990 to 2015	583
Figure 7-3	Annual Commercial Fish Landings, Newfoundland Region, Groundfish, Shrimp and Snow Crab, and Other Species, 1990 to 2015	583
Figure 7-4	Quantity of Harvest by Year, Project Area and RSA, All Species, 2011 to 2015...	585
Figure 7-5	Value of Harvest, Project Area and RSA, All Species, 2011 to 2015.....	585
Figure 7-6	Domestic (Canadian) Harvesting Locations, All Species, All Months, 2011 - 2015	591
Figure 7-7	Seasonality of Domestic Offshore Fishing Activity, All Species, 2011 to 2015.....	592
Figure 7-8	Domestic (Canadian) Harvesting Locations, All Species, Quarterly, 2011 to 2015	593
Figure 7-9	Harvest from NAFO Divisions 3KLMNO, Canadian vs. International Fleets, NAFO Managed Stocks, 2010 to 2015.....	594
Figure 7-10	International Harvest by Month, Total, All Species, 2010 to 2015.....	595
Figure 7-11	NAFO Fishing Footprint	597
Figure 7-12	Intensity of Bottom Fishing Activities in the NAFO Fishing Footprint between 2008 and 2012.....	598
Figure 7-13	Northern Shrimp Fishery Management Areas	600
Figure 7-14	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Northern Shrimp, 2011 – 2015	601
Figure 7-15	Northern Shrimp Harvest by Month, Area, 2011 to 2015.....	602
Figure 7-16	Crab Management Areas	605
Figure 7-17	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Snow Crab, 2011 – 2015.....	607
Figure 7-18	Snow Crab Harvest by Month, Area, 2011 to 2015	608
Figure 7-19	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Yellowtail Flounder, 2011 – 2015	610
Figure 7-20	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Greyscale / Witch Flounder, 2011-2015	611
Figure 7-21	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Atlantic Cod, 2011 – 2015	612
Figure 7-22	Domestic Harvesting Locations, American Plaice, 2011-2015.....	613
Figure 7-23	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Redfish, 2011 – 2015	614
Figure 7-24	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Atlantic Halibut, 2011 – 2015.....	615
Figure 7-25	Domestic Harvesting Locations, Greenland Halibut, 2011 – 2015.....	616
Figure 7-26	Groundfish Harvest by Month, Area, All Species 2011 to 2015	617
Figure 7-27	Fixed Gear Domestic Harvesting Locations, All Species, 2011 – 2015	620
Figure 7-28	Mobile Gear Domestic Harvesting Locations, All Species, 2011 – 2015.....	621
Figure 7-29	Locations of DFO Research Vessel Transects, 2014 and 2015	625
Figure 7-30	DFO-Fishing Industry Post-season Crab Survey Locations.....	627
Figure 7-31	Existing Marine Shipping Lanes and Transit Routes	629
Figure 7-32	Oil and Gas Wells Drilled Offshore Eastern Newfoundland	631
Figure 7-33	Known Shipwreck and Legacy Sites in Offshore Newfoundland and Labrador....	634
Figure 7-34	Subsea Cable Locations Offshore Newfoundland and Labrador	635
Figure 7-35	Indigenous Communities and Lands in Labrador	639
Figure 7-36	Indigenous Communities and Lands in Newfoundland.....	640
Figure 7-37	Commonly Consumed Country Foods in Nunatsiavut.....	645
Figure 7-38	Current Land Use and Important Sites used by the NunatuKavut Community Council	660
Figure 7-39	Location of First Nation Communities in Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island..	666

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 7-40 Location of First Nation Communities in New Brunswick..... 715
Figure 7-41 Selected Québec First Nations Reserves..... 750

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 8-1 Environmental Assessment Study Areas: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat 791

Figure 8-2 Representative Sites Used for Drill Cuttings Modelling 811

Figure 9-1 Environmental Assessment Study Areas: Marine and Migratory Birds 886

Figure 10-1 Environmental Assessment Study Areas: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles 938

Figure 11-1 Environmental Assessment Study Areas: Special Areas..... 1007

Figure 11-2 Overview of Special Areas that Overlap with the Project Area and Potential Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes 1018

Figure 12-1 Environmental Assessment Study Areas: Indigenous Communities and Activities 1038

Figure 12-2 Designatable Units (DU) for Atlantic Salmon in Eastern Canada (Reproduced from COSEWIC 2010)..... 1054

Figure 12-3 Domestic Commercial Harvesting Locations: Swordfish (2011-2015) 1065

Figure 13-1 Environmental Assessment Study Areas: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users 1091

Figure 14-1 Other Projects and Activities Considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment (Including Distances from Project Area – Northern Section) 1132

Figure 14-2 Other Projects and Activities Considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment (Including Distances from Project Area – Southern Section)..... 1133

Figure 15-1 Annual probability of average surface oil thickness >0.04 µm (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass Site..... 1238

Figure 15-2 Summer probability of average surface oil thickness >0.04 µm (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site 1239

Figure 15-3 Winter probability of average surface oil thickness > 0.04 µm (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site 1240

Figure 15-4 Annual probability of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations >1 µg/L at some depth in the water column (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site..... 1241

Figure 15-5 Summer probability of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations >1 µg/L at some depth in the water column (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site..... 1242

Figure 15-6 Winter probability of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations >1 µg/L at some depth in the water column (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site..... 1243

Figure 15-7 Annual probability of shoreline contact >1 g/m² (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site..... 1244

Figure 15-8 Summer probability of shoreline contact >1 g/m² (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site..... 1245

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 15-9	Winter probability of shoreline contact $>1 \text{ g/m}^2$ (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Eastern Flemish Pass site.....	1246
Figure 15-10	Annual probability of average surface oil thickness $>0.04 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1247
Figure 15-11	Summer probability of average surface oil thickness $>0.04 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1248
Figure 15-12	Winter probability of average surface oil thickness $>0.04 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1249
Figure 15-13	Annual probability of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations $>1 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$ at some depth in the water column (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site	1250
Figure 15-14	Summer probability of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations $>1 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$ at some depth in the water column (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site	1251
Figure 15-15	Winter probability of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations $>1 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$ at some depth in the water column (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site	1252
Figure 15-16	Annual probability of shoreline contact $>1 \text{ g/m}^2$ (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1253
Figure 15-17	Summer probability of shoreline contact $>1 \text{ g/m}^2$ (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1254
Figure 15-18	Winter probability of shoreline contact $>1 \text{ g/m}^2$ (top) and minimum time to threshold exceedance (bottom) resulting from a subsurface blowout at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1255
Figure 15-19	Surface oil thickness for marine diesel batch spills of 100 L (top) and 1,000 L (bottom) at the Eastern Flemish Pass site	1260
Figure 15-20	Maximum total hydrocarbon concentration (THC) at any depth in the water column for marine diesel batch spills of 100 L (top) and 1,000 L (bottom) at the Eastern Flemish Pass site.....	1261
Figure 15-21	Surface oil thickness for marine diesel batch spills of 100 L (top) and 1,000 L (bottom) at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1262
Figure 15-22	Maximum total hydrocarbon concentration (THC) at any depth in the water column for marine diesel batch spills of 100 L (top) and 1,000 L (bottom) at the Northern Flemish Pass site.....	1263

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	EIS Organization and Content.....	13
Table 1.2	Summary of Key Relevant Legislation, Regulations, and Guidelines.....	17
Table 2.1	Project Area – Northern Section Coordinates	33
Table 2.2	Project Area – Southern Section Coordinates.....	33
Table 2.3	Exploration Licence 1135 - Corner Point Coordinates	33
Table 2.4	Exploration Licence 1137 - Corner Point Coordinates	34
Table 2.5	Exploration Licence 1139 - Corner Point Coordinates	34
Table 2.6	Exploration Licence 1140 - Corner Point Coordinates	35
Table 2.7	Exploration Licence 1141 - Corner Point Coordinates	35
Table 2.8	Exploration Licence 1142 - Corner Point Coordinates	36
Table 2.9	Typical Well Design Various Water	44
Table 2.10	Project Schedule – For a Single Well Drilling Campaign.....	54
Table 2.11	Gaseous Emissions Factors for Large Stationary Diesel Internal Combustion Sources	58
Table 2.12	Emission Factors for Offshore Supply Vessels	58
Table 2.13	Emissions Factors for Helicopter Operation	59
Table 2.14	Emissions Factors for Flaring during a Formation Flow Test	60
Table 2.15	Daily Air Contaminant Emissions for the Drilling Installation, Supply Vessels, Helicopters and Flaring	60
Table 2.16	Greenhouse Gas Emission Factors for the Drilling Installation, Supply Vessels and Helicopter	61
Table 2.17	Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Project Activity	61
Table 2.18	Drill Mud and Cuttings Discharge Volumes	64
Table 2.19	Comparison of Water-based and Synthetic-based Drilling Muds	72
Table 2.20	Comparison of Drilling Installation Options.....	73
Table 2.21	Comparison of Drilling Waste Disposal Options.....	74
Table 2.22	Comparison of Lighting Options	76
Table 2.23	Comparison of Flaring at Night Options – Conventional Formation Flow Test.....	77
Table 2.24	Legislation and Guidelines for Offshore Chemical Management	78
Table 3.1	Meetings and Discussions with Government Departments and Agencies	92
Table 3.2	Indigenous Groups in Newfoundland and Labrador, the Maritime Provinces and Quebec and their Identified Interest in the Projects and Their EAs.....	98
Table 3.3	Engagement Activities with Nunatsiavut Government and Key Outcomes	100
Table 3.4	Engagement Activities with Innu Nation and Key Outcomes.....	103
Table 3.5	Engagement Activities with NunatuKavut Community Council and Key Outcomes	106
Table 3.6	Engagement Activities with Miawpukek First Nation and Key Outcomes.....	107
Table 3.7	Engagement Activities with Qalipu Mi'kmaq First Nation Band and Key Outcomes	110
Table 3.8	Engagement Activities with MAMKA and Key Outcomes.....	112
Table 3.9	Engagement Activities with Prince Edward Island Indigenous Groups and Key Outcomes	113
Table 3.10	Engagement Activities with Nova Scotia Indigenous Groups and Key Outcomes ..	116

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 3.11	Engagement Activities with New Brunswick Indigenous Groups and Key Outcomes	127
Table 3.12	Engagement Activities with Quebec Indigenous Groups and Key Outcomes	138
Table 3.13	Meetings and Discussions with Stakeholder Organizations	143
Table 4.1	Identified VCs and the Rationale for their Selection	149
Table 4.2	Identified VCs and Potential Considerations Relevant to CEAA 2012	151
Table 4.3	Environmental Effects Descriptors	160
Table 5.1	Location of the MSC50 Nodes Selected to Describe Wind and Wave Conditions	177
Table 5.2	Wind Statistics	177
Table 5.3	Wind Statistics, Statoil Exploration Wells, 2013-2016	182
Table 5.4	Wind Statistics: Hibernia Platform	185
Table 5.5	Monthly Air Temperature (°C) Statistics, Project Area – Northern Section	186
Table 5.6	Monthly Air Temperature (°C) Statistics, Statoil Exploration Wells, 2013-2016	187
Table 5.7	Monthly Air Temperature (°C) Statistics, Project Area – Southern Section	188
Table 5.8	Monthly Air Temperature (°C) Statistics, Hibernia Platform	189
Table 5.9	Frequency of Occurrence (Percent) of Precipitation and Thunderstorms, Project Area – Northern Section	191
Table 5.10	Frequency of Occurrence (Percent) of Precipitation and Thunderstorms, Project Area – Southern Section	193
Table 5.11	Monthly and Annual Frequencies (Percent) of Occurrence of Visibility, Project Area – Northern Section	196
Table 5.12	Monthly and Annual Frequencies (Percent) of Occurrence of Visibility, Statoil Exploration Wells, 2013-2016	197
Table 5.13	Monthly and Annual Frequencies (Percent) of Occurrence of Visibility, Project Area – Southern Section	199
Table 5.14	Monthly and Annual Frequencies (Percent) of Occurrence of Visibility, Hibernia Platform	200
Table 5.15	2015 Facility Reported CAC Emissions (NPRI Reporting) – NL Offshore Area Production Platforms	202
Table 5.16	2015 Facility Reported GHG Emissions – NL Offshore Area Production Platforms	202
Table 5.17	Wave Statistics	205
Table 5.18	Wave Statistics: Hibernia Platform	209
Table 5.19	Number of ODI Ocean Current Records	211
Table 5.20	Flemish Pass Statoil Current Monitoring, CM-1, Current Statistics	214
Table 5.21	Flemish Pass Statoil Current Monitoring, CM-2, Current Statistics	215
Table 5.22	Monthly Current Statistics, Hibernia, 2015-2016	218
Table 5.23	Extreme Wind and Wave Estimates, MSC50 Node M3012443 (1962–2015), Project Area – Northern Section	222
Table 5.24	Extreme Wind and Wave Estimates, MSC50 Node M6010089 (1962–2015), Project Area – Southern Section	223
Table 5.25	Monthly Sea Temperature Profile Statistics, Project Area – Northern Section	224
Table 5.26	Monthly Salinity Profile Statistics, Project Area – Northern Section	225
Table 5.27	Monthly Sea Temperature Profile Statistics, Project Area – Southern Section	227
Table 5.28	Monthly Salinity Profile Statistics, Project Area – Southern Section	228

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 5.29	Tidal Predictions	230
Table 5.30	Frequency Bands and Noise-generating Mechanisms Discussed in the Analysis	234
Table 5.31	Frequency of Presence of Sea Ice (%).....	246
Table 5.32	Median of Ice Concentration, When Ice is Present	247
Table 5.33	Median of Predominant Ice Type, When Ice is Present	248
Table 5.34	Stage of Development, Sea Ice.....	249
Table 5.35	Sub-Regions for Iceberg Characterization for the Project Area	258

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF TABLES

Table 6.1	Some Key Information Sources Used to Describe Marine Fish and Fish Habitat ..287
Table 6.2	Summary of Prevalent Species of Macroinvertebrates that Feed in the Pelagic Environment Sampled Around the Flemish Cap in Canadian and EU Surveys (1977-2012)304
Table 6.3	Dominant Invertebrate (Abundance and Biomass) Species Representative of the Grand Bank Shelf308
Table 6.4	Dominant Invertebrate Species Representative of the Slopes of the Grand Banks310
Table 6.5	Dominant Invertebrate Species Representative of the Flemish Cap.....311
Table 6.6	Dominant Invertebrate Species Representative of the Flemish Pass313
Table 6.7	Dominant Invertebrate Species within the Orphan Basin ¹314
Table 6.8	Corals Occurring within the Project Area and Adjacent Marine Environments.....316
Table 6.9	Sponge Species Occurring within the Project Area and Adjacent Environments...322
Table 6.10	Summary of Known and Potential Coral / Sponge Occurrence in the ELs that Comprise the Project.....327
Table 6.11	Previous Statoil Exploration Wells in the Project Area Where Seabottom Video Surveys Were Conducted and Analyzed.....329
Table 6.12	Dominant Species observed near two well sites in Northern Section of the Project Area331
Table 6.13	Summary of Depth Categories used to Present Canadian RV Survey Data (2008-2012)334
Table 6.14	Summary of Key Fish Species from all Canadian RV Survey Sets Collected within the Project Area 2008-2012 (Includes Shelf and Slope Species).....336
Table 6.15	Numerically Dominant Fish Species (95% of Overall Abundance) in the Project Area – Northern Section by Depth Zone (Canadian RV Surveys, 2008-2012)353
Table 6.16	Numerically Dominant Fish Species (95% of Overall Abundance) in the Project Area – Southern Section by Depth Zone (Canadian RV Surveys, 2008-2012)355
Table 6.17	Numerically Dominant Fish Species in the Project Area by Depth Zone (European Union RV Surveys, 2004-2013).....359
Table 6.18	Dominant Species by Depth Zone Found in Flemish Cap and Grand Banks Slope Deepwater Longline Surveys.....365
Table 6.19	Spawning Periods and Locations of Some Key Fish Species373
Table 6.20	Marine Fish Species at Risk that are Known to or May Occur within the Project Area375
Table 6.21	Summary of Habitat, Distribution, and Ecology of Species of Conservation Concern378
Table 6.22	Summary of Some Key Regional and Seasonal Considerations Relevant to Marine Fish and Fish Habitat within the Project Area.....391
Table 6.23	Special Areas and their Importance to Marine Fish and Fish Habitat391
Table 6.24	Seabirds that are Known or Likely to Occur off Eastern Newfoundland.....397
Table 6.25	Cormorant Colony Locations in Eastern Newfoundland.....400
Table 6.26	Northern Gannet Colony Locations in Eastern Newfoundland402
Table 6.27	Gull Colony Locations in Eastern Newfoundland408
Table 6.28	Tern Colony Locations in Eastern Newfoundland416

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 6.29	Alcid Colony Locations in Eastern Newfoundland	420
Table 6.30	Norther Fulmar Colony Locations in Eastern Newfoundland.....	427
Table 6.31	Leach’s Storm-petrel Colony Locations in Eastern Newfoundland.....	430
Table 6.32	Overview of Waterfowl that are Known or Likely to Occur off Eastern Newfoundland	433
Table 6.33	Overview of Shorebirds that are Known or Likely to Occur off Eastern Newfoundland	436
Table 6.34	Avian Species at Risk and their Likelihood of Occurrence	438
Table 6.35	Important Bird Areas in Eastern Newfoundland	447
Table 6.36	EBSAs and Their Importance to Seabirds.....	451
Table 6.37	Marine Mammals that May Occur in the Project Area and Surrounding Marine Environments	454
Table 6.38	Sea Turtle Species that May Occur in the Project Area and Surrounding Marine Environments	457
Table 6.39	Opportunistic Sightings of Non-SAR Mysticetes Reported in the Project Area	461
Table 6.40	Opportunistic Sightings of Non-SAR Odontocetes Reported in the Project Area...	466
Table 6.41	Opportunistic Sightings of Listed Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles Reported in the Project Area (1758 – 2015)	490
Table 6.42	Proximity of Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas off Eastern Newfoundland and their Relevance to Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles.....	507
Table 6.43	Potential Marine Mammal Presence off Eastern Newfoundland	509
Table 6.44	Potential Sea Turtle Presence off Eastern Newfoundland	511
Table 6.45	Some Key Information Sources Used to Describe Special Areas	512
Table 6.46	Marine Protected Areas in Newfoundland and Labrador.....	517
Table 6.47	Federal Fisheries Closure Areas off Eastern Newfoundland.....	518
Table 6.48	Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas off Eastern Newfoundland	519
Table 6.49	Preliminary Representative Marine Areas off Eastern Newfoundland.....	524
Table 6.50	Migratory Bird Sanctuaries in Newfoundland	525
Table 6.51	Coastal National Parks and Historic Sites in Eastern Newfoundland.....	526
Table 6.52	Coastal Provincial Ecological Reserves in Eastern Newfoundland	527
Table 6.53	Coastal Provincial Parks and Protected Areas in Eastern Newfoundland.....	530
Table 6.54	Coastal Provincial Historic Sites in Eastern Newfoundland.....	531
Table 6.55	Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems off Eastern Newfoundland.....	534
Table 6.56	NAFO Fisheries Closure Areas off Eastern Newfoundland.....	536
Table 6.57	Important Bird Areas in Eastern Newfoundland	542
Table 6.58	World Heritage Sites in Newfoundland and Labrador	545

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF TABLES

Table 7.1	Key NAFO Divisions and Unit Areas that Overlap the Project Area and RSA.....	581
Table 7.2	Commercial Fisheries License Information.....	584
Table 7.3	Offshore Harvest by Species, 2011 to 2015 - Annual Quantity and Value.....	586
Table 7.4	Species with the Highest Recorded Number of Domestic Landings Within the RSA, 2011 to 2015.....	587
Table 7.5	Offshore Harvest by Species within the Project Area - Northern Section, 2011 to 2015, Annual Quantity and Value	587
Table 7.6	Species with the Highest Recorded Number of Landings within the Project Area - Northern Section, 2011 to 2015	588
Table 7.7	Offshore Harvest by Species Within the Project Area - Southern Section, 2011 to 2015, Annual Quantity and Value.....	589
Table 7.8	Species with the Highest Recorded Number of Landings within the Project Area - Southern Section, 2011 to 2015.....	589
Table 7.9	International Fish Catches by NAFO Division (t), 2010 to 2015.....	594
Table 7.10	NAFO Divisions 3KLMNO Primary Harvested Species by Quantity (t), 2011 to 2015	596
Table 7.11	Northern Shrimp Quotas and Harvest within SFA 6, 2016.....	602
Table 7.12	Northern Shrimp Quotas (tonnes) within SFA 6 and 7, 2010 to 2016	603
Table 7.13	Midshore and Offshore Snow Crab Quotas and Harvest Within NAFO Divisions 3LN, 2015.....	606
Table 7.14	Fixed and Mobile Gear Used in Offshore Newfoundland and Labrador.....	619
Table 7.15	Eastern Newfoundland Aquaculture Operations.....	623
Table 7.16	Eastern Newfoundland Recreational Groundfish Fishery, 2016	624
Table 7.17	Schedule of DFO Research Vessel Surveys, 2017	626
Table 7.18	Subsea Cables Within the Project Area - Northern Section.....	633
Table 7.19	Labrador Inuit Population Overview, 2016.....	641
Table 7.20	Housing Characteristics by Community, 2011	642
Table 7.21	Economic Indicators for the Labrador Inuit Communities, 2011.....	643
Table 7.22	Labrador Innu General Overview, 2016	648
Table 7.23	Economic Indicators for the Labrador Innu Communities, 2011	649
Table 7.24	Population Characteristics for Southern Labrador Communities, 2016.....	653
Table 7.25	Housing Characteristics by Community, Southern Labrador Communities, 2011 .	654
Table 7.26	Services Provided within Select Southern Labrador Communities	655
Table 7.27	Economic Indicators for the Southern Labrador Communities, 2011	658
Table 7.28	Housing Characteristics by Community, 2011	670
Table 7.29	Economic Indicators for the Acadia First Nation, 2011	671
Table 7.30	FSC Licence Locations for Acadia First Nation	672
Table 7.31	Housing Characteristics for Annapolis Valley First Nation, 2011	674
Table 7.32	Economic Indicators for the Annapolis Valley First Nation.....	675
Table 7.33	FSC Licence Locations for Annapolis Valley First Nation	676
Table 7.34	Housing Characteristics for Bear River First Nation, 2011.....	677
Table 7.35	Economic Indicators for the Bear River First Nation	677
Table 7.36	FSC Licence Locations for Bear River First Nation	679
Table 7.37	Housing Characteristics for Eskasoni First Nation, 2011	680

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 7.38	Economic Indicators for Eskasoni First Nation, 2011	681
Table 7.39	FSC Licence Locations for Eskasoni First Nation.....	682
Table 7.40	Housing Characteristics for Glooscap First Nation, 2011	683
Table 7.41	Economic Indicators for Glooscap First Nation, 2011	683
Table 7.42	FSC Licence Locations for Glooscap First Nation	684
Table 7.43	Housing Characteristics for Membertou First Nation, 2011	686
Table 7.44	Economic Indicators for Membertou First Nation, 2011	687
Table 7.45	FSC Licence Locations for Membertou First Nation	688
Table 7.46	Housing Characteristics for Paq'tnkek First Nation, 2011.....	689
Table 7.47	Economic Indicators for Paq'tnkek Mi'kmaw Nation, 2011	690
Table 7.48	Housing Characteristics for Pictou Landing First Nation, 2011	692
Table 7.49	Economic Indicators for Pictou Land First Nation, 2011	692
Table 7.50	Housing Characteristics for Potlotek First Nation, 2011.....	694
Table 7.51	Economic Indicators for Potlotek First Nation, 2011	694
Table 7.52	FSC Licence Locations for Potlotek First Nation	695
Table 7.53	Housing Characteristics for Wagmatcook First Nation, 2011.....	697
Table 7.54	Economic Indicators for Wagmatcook First Nation, 2011	697
Table 7.55	FSC Licence Locations for Wagmatcook First Nation	698
Table 7.56	Housing Characteristics for Waycobah First Nation, 2011.....	700
Table 7.57	Economic Indicators for Waycobah First Nation, 2011	700
Table 7.58	FSC Licence Locations for Waycobah First Nation	701
Table 7.59	Housing Characteristics for Millbrook First Nation, 2011	703
Table 7.60	Economic Indicators for Millbrook First Nation, 2011.....	703
Table 7.61	FSC Licence Locations for Millbrook First Nation.....	704
Table 7.62	Housing Characteristics for Sipekne'katik First Nation, 2011.....	706
Table 7.63	Economic Indicators for Sipekne'katik First Nation, 2011	706
Table 7.64	FSC Licence Locations for Sipekne'katik First Nation	708
Table 7.65	Housing Characteristics for Abegweit First Nation, 2011.....	710
Table 7.66	Economic Indicators for Abegweit First Nation, 2011	711
Table 7.67	Housing Characteristics for Lennox First Nation, 2011.....	712
Table 7.68	Economic Indicators for Lennox Island First Nation, 2011.....	713
Table 7.69	Housing Characteristics for Elsipogtog First Nation, 2011.....	716
Table 7.70	Economic Indicators for the Elsipogtog First Nation, 2011	717
Table 7.71	Housing Characteristics for Fort Folly First Nation, 2011.....	718
Table 7.72	Economic Indicators for the Fort Folly First Nation, 2011	719
Table 7.73	FSC Licence Locations for Fort Folly First Nation	719
Table 7.74	Housing Characteristics for Eel Ground First Nation, 2011	720
Table 7.75	Economic Indicators for the Eel Ground First Nation, 2011	721
Table 7.76	Housing Characteristics for Pabineau First Nation, 2011	722
Table 7.77	Economic Indicators for the Pabineau First Nation, 2011	722
Table 7.78	Housing Characteristics for Esgenoôpetitj First Nation, 2011	724
Table 7.79	Economic Indicators for the Esgenoôpetitj First Nation, 2011	724
Table 7.80	Housing Characteristics for Bouctouche First Nation, 2011.....	725
Table 7.81	Economic Indicators for the Buctouche First Nation, 2011	726
Table 7.82	Housing Characteristics for Indian Island First Nation, 2011	727

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 7.83	Economic Indicators for the Indian Island First Nation, 2011	728
Table 7.84	Housing Characteristics for Eel River Bar First Nation, 2011	729
Table 7.85	Economic Indicators for the Eel River Bar First Nation, 2011	730
Table 7.86	Housing Characteristics for Metepenagiag Mi'gmaq Nation, 2011	731
Table 7.87	Economic Indicators for the Metepenagiag Mi'gmaq Nation, 2011	732
Table 7.88	Housing Characteristics for Kingsclear First Nation, 2011	734
Table 7.89	Economic Indicators for the Kingsclear First Nation, 2011	735
Table 7.90	FSC Licence Locations for Kingsclear First Nation.....	736
Table 7.91	Housing Characteristics for Madawaska First Nation, 2011	737
Table 7.92	Economic Indicators for the Madawaska First Nation, 2011	737
Table 7.93	Housing Characteristics for Oromocto First Nation, 2011.....	739
Table 7.94	Economic Indicators for the Oromocto First Nation, 2011	739
Table 7.95	FSC Licence Locations for Oromocto First Nation.....	740
Table 7.96	Housing Characteristics for Tobique First Nation, 2011.....	742
Table 7.97	Economic Indicators for the Tobique First Nation, 2011	742
Table 7.98	Housing Characteristics for St. Mary's First Nation, 2011.....	744
Table 7.99	Economic Indicators for the St. Mary's First Nation, 2011	744
Table 7.100	FSC Licence Locations for St. Mary's First Nation	745
Table 7.101	Housing Characteristics for Woodstock First Nation, 2011	747
Table 7.102	Economic Indicators for the Woodstock First Nation, 2011	747
Table 7.103	FSC Licence Locations for Woodstock First Nation.....	748
Table 7.104	Québec First Nations Communities.....	749
Table 7.105	Registered First Nations Population, May 2017	752
Table 7.106	Population Characteristics, 2016.....	753
Table 7.107	Indigenous Identity and Language, 2011	753
Table 7.108	Population Characteristics, 2016.....	758
Table 7.109	Indigenous Identity and Language, 2011	758
Table 7.110	Registered Population, May 2017	762
Table 7.111	Population Characteristics, 2016.....	762
Table 7.112	Aboriginal Identity, 2011.....	763
Table 7.113	Species Harvested by the Innu of Ekuanitshit (1951-1982).....	766
Table 7.114	Population Characteristics, 2016.....	769
Table 7.115	Aboriginal Identity, 2011.....	769
Table 7.116	Species Harvested by the Innu of Nutashkuan (1951-1982)	771

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF TABLES

Table 8.1	Potential Project-Related Environmental Changes and Potential Effects: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat	795
Table 8.2	Potential Project-VC Interactions: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat.....	796
Table 8.3	WBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at NPA.....	812
Table 8.4	SBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at NPA	813
Table 8.5	WBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at EPA.....	814
Table 8.6	SBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at EPA	814
Table 8.7	WBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at SPA.....	815
Table 8.8	SBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at SPA	816
Table 8.9	WBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at JDB	817
Table 8.10	SBM Material Settled and Cuttings Thickness by Distance from Well Site at JDB	818
Table 8.11	Marine Fish Species at Risk: Potential Interactions with Project Components by Life History Stage.....	831
Table 8.12	Marine Fish Species at Risk: Analysis of Potential Environmental Interactions and Effects	832
Table 8.13	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat – Overall Project.....	846
Table 8.14	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat – EL 1135	850
Table 8.15	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat – EL 1137	853
Table 8.16	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat – EL 1139	856
Table 8.17	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat – EL 1140	859
Table 8.18	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat – EL 1141	862
Table 8.19	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat – EL 1142	865
Table 9.1	Potential Project-Related Environmental Changes and Potential Effects: Marine and Migratory Birds	888
Table 9.2	Potential Project-VC Interactions: Marine and Migratory Birds.....	889
Table 9.3	Marine and Migratory Bird Species at Risk: Analysis of Potential Environmental Interactions and Effects	903
Table 9.4	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine and Migratory Birds – Overall Project.....	908
Table 9.5	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine and Migratory Birds – EL 1135	912
Table 9.6	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine and Migratory Birds – EL 1137	915

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 9.7	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine and Migratory Birds – EL 1139	918
Table 9.8	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine and Migratory Birds – EL 1140	921
Table 9.9	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine and Migratory Birds – EL 1141	924
Table 9.10	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine and Migratory Birds – EL 1142	927
Table 10.1	Potential Project-Related Environmental Changes and Potential Effects: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles	942
Table 10.2	Potential Project-VC Interactions: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles.....	944
Table 10.3	Acoustic Threshold Levels for Permanent Threshold Shift Onset	947
Table 10.4	Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle Species at Risk: Analysis of Potential Environmental Interactions and Effects	964
Table 10.5	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – Overall Project.....	972
Table 10.6	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – EL 1135	976
Table 10.7	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – EL 1137	979
Table 10.8	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – EL 1139	982
Table 10.9	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – EL 1140	985
Table 10.10	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – EL 1141	988
Table 10.11	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – EL 1142	991
Table 11.1	Potential Project-Related Environmental Changes and Potential Effects: Special Areas	1010
Table 11.2	Potential Project-VC Interactions: Special Areas	1010
Table 11.3	Special Areas: Summary of Minimum Distances from the Project Area and Closest Vessel and Aircraft Traffic Routes	1013
Table 11.4	Special Areas Overlapping with Project Exploration Licences	1019
Table 11.5	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Special Areas – Project Overall .	1021
Table 12.1	Potential Project-Related Environmental Changes and Potential Effects: Indigenous Communities and Their Activities	1040
Table 12.2	Potential Project-VC Interactions: Indigenous Communities and Their Activities	1042
Table 12.3	Marine-Associated Species Used by Indigenous Groups (As Identified Through Engagement) and their Potential for Interaction with the Project	1047
Table 13.1	Potential Project-Related Environmental Changes and Potential Effects: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users.....	1094
Table 13.2	Potential Project-VC Interactions: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users	1095
Table 13.3	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users – Overall Project	1106

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 13.4	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users – EL 1135	1110
Table 13.5	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users – EL 1137	1112
Table 13.6	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users – EL 1139	1114
Table 13.7	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users – EL 1140	1116
Table 13.8	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users – EL 1141	1118
Table 13.9	Environmental Effects Assessment Summary: Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users – EL 1142	1120
Table 14.1	Environmental Components Considered and Included in the Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	1125
Table 14.2	Other Projects and Activities Considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment	1129
Table 14.3	Potential Interactions with Other Projects and Activities Considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	1135
Table 14.4	Marine Fish and Fish Habitat: Other Projects and Activities and their Potential Environmental Effects.....	1138
Table 14.5	Summary of Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects: Marine Fish and Fish Habitat	1146
Table 14.6	Marine and Migratory Birds: Other Projects and Activities and their Environmental Effects	1149
Table 14.7	Summary of Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects: Marine and Migratory Birds	1154
Table 14.8	Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles: Other Projects and Activities and their Environmental Effects.....	1158
Table 14.9	Summary of Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects: Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles	1166
Table 14.10	Special Areas: Other Projects and Activities and their Environmental Effects	1170
Table 14.11	Special Areas Overlapping with Project Area	1171
Table 14.12	Summary of Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects: Special Areas.....	1175
Table 14.13	Summary of Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects: Indigenous Communities and Activities	1178
Table 14.14	Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users: Other Projects and Activities and their Environmental Effects.....	1182
Table 14.15	Summary of Potential Cumulative Environmental Effects: Commercial Fisheries and Other Human Activities	1187
Table 15.1	Spill Response Tactics.....	1204
Table 15.2	Modelled Hypothetical Spill Scenarios for Project.....	1218
Table 15.3	Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore Exploration and Production Oil Spills (1997-2015).....	1220
Table 15.4	Oil Types in Spills in Offshore Newfoundland-Labrador	1221
Table 15.5	Spill Volumes for Exploration in Newfoundland-Labrador	1221
Table 15.6	Spill Volumes for Development / Production in Newfoundland-Labrador	1221
Table 15.7	Probability of Batch Spills for Project Based on Exploratory Drilling Time	1222

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

Table 15.8	Probabilities of Project Drilling Installation Batch Spillage by Volume Category..	1223
Table 15.9	Probability of SBM Spills for Project Based on Exploratory Drilling Time	1223
Table 15.10	Mean Probabilities of Subsurface Releases for the Project.....	1225
Table 15.11	Probabilities of Project Well Blowouts by Volume Category	1225
Table 15.12	Probabilities of Project Scenario Spillage.....	1226
Table 15.13	Thresholds Used to Define Areas and Volumes Exposed Above Levels of Concern.....	1230
Table 15.14	Physical Properties for the Two Oil Products Used in Modelling.....	1234
Table 15.15	Fraction of the Whole Oil Comprised of Different Distillation Cuts for the Two Oil Products	1235
Table 15.16	Predicted Areas, Volumes, and Lengths Exceeding the Identified Thresholds for Surface Oil Thickness, Water Column Concentration, and Mass per Unit Area on Shorelines for the Northern Flemish Pass site and the Eastern Flemish Pass Sites	1237
Table 15.17	Probability of Shoreline Contamination and Minimum Time for Predicted Oil Exposure Exceeding 1 g/m ²	1256
Table 15.18	Summary of Residual Accidental Event-Related Environmental Effects on Marine Fish and Fish Habitat.....	1275
Table 15.19	Summary of Residual Accidental Event-Related Environmental Effects on Marine and Migratory Birds.....	1283
Table 15.20	Summary of Residual Accidental Event-Related Environmental Effects on Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles.....	1290
Table 15.21	Summary of Residual Accidental Event-Related Environmental Effects on Special Areas	1295
Table 15.22	Summary of Residual Accidental Event-Related Environmental Effects on Indigenous Communities and Activities.....	1304
Table 15.23	Summary of Residual Accidental Event-Related Environmental Effects on Commercial Fisheries and Other Ocean Users	1311
Table 17.1	Potential Project-VC Interactions Summary.....	1343
Table 17.2	Summary of Mitigation and Commitments	1347
Table 17.3	Summary of Residual Effects for Planned Project Components and Activities....	1351
Table 17.4	Summary of Residual Effects for Accidental Events	1358
Table 17.5	Summary of Monitoring Programs for Routine Project Activities.....	1363
Table 17.6	Other Potential Environmental Changes Linked to the Exercise of Power or Performance of Duty or Function by a Federal Authority.....	1373
Table 17.7	Summary of Residual Environmental Effects for Routine Operations, Accidental Events, and Cumulative Effects.....	1376

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF ACRONYMS

2-D	Two-dimensional
3-D	Three-dimensional
AAROM	Aboriginal Aquatic Resource and Oceans Management
ACSS	Atlantic Canada Shorebird Survey
ADCP	Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler
ADW	Approval to drill a well
AFS	Aboriginal Fisheries Strategy
AICFI	Atlantic Integrated Commercial Fisheries Initiative
AIP	Agreement-in-principle
AMIK	Agence Mamu Innu Kaikusseht
ANSMC	Assembly of Nova Scotia Mi'kmaq Chiefs
AOI	Areas of Interest
APC	Atlantic Policy Congress
AQHI	Air Quality Health Index
AUV	Autonomous underwater vehicle
AZMP	Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program
BIO	Bedford Institute of Oceanography
BMP	Best management practice
BOP	Blowout preventer
CAM	Conseil des Atikamekws et des Montagnais
CEAA 2012	<i>Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012</i>
CEA Agency	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency
CEPA, 1999	<i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act</i>
CI	Confidence interval
CIS	Canadian Ice Service
CMA	Crab management area
C-NLOPB	Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore Petroleum Board
CNSOPB	Canada-Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Board
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
COSEWIC	Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada
CPAWS	Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society
CSAS	Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat
CV	Coefficient of variation
CWS	Canadian Wildlife Services
DFO	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
DND	Department of National Defence
DNV	Det Norske Veritas

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

DOEC	Department of Environment and Climate Change
DP	Dynamic positioning
DU	Designatable Units
EA	Environmental assessment
EBSA	Ecologically and Biologically Significant Area
ECCC	Environment and Climate Change Canada
ECSAS	Eastern Canadian Seabirds at Sea Program
EEM	Environmental Effects Monitoring
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EL	Exploration Licence
EPAQ	Québec School of Fisheries and Aquaculture
EPCMP	Environmental Protection and Compliance Monitoring Plan
ESRF	Environmental Sciences Research Fund
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FCA	Fisheries Closure Area
FFAW-Unifor	Food, Fish and Allied Workers-Unifor
FNI	Federation of Newfoundland Indians
FPSO	Floating production, offloading, and storage (facility)
FSC	Food, Social, and Ceremonial
FY	First year
GBS	gravity base structure
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GESAMP	Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of marine Environmental Protection
GFD	Gesgapegiag Fisheries Department
GHCS	Gesgapegiag Health and Community Services
GHG	Greenhouse gas
HBC	Hudson's Bay Company
HVGB	Happy Valley-Goose Bay
Hs	Significant wave height
Hz	Hertz
IBA	Important Bird Area
iBOF	Inner Bay of Fundy
ICOADS	International Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set
ICUN	International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources
IIP	International Ice Patrol
IMO	International Maritime Organization
INAC	Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

IWC	International Whaling Commission
KMKNO	Kwilmu'kw Maw-klusuaqn Negotiation Office
LCHS	Listuguj Community Health Services
LFA	Lobster Fishing Area
LIA	Labrador Inuit Association
LILCA	Labrador Inuit Land Claims Agreement
LMG	Listuguj Mi'gmaq Government
LISA	Labrador Inuit Settlement Area
LSA	Local Study Area
MAMKA	Mi'kmaq Alsumk Mowimsikik Koqoey Association
MANMAR	Manual of Marine Observations
MARPOL	International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships
MBCA	<i>Migratory Birds Convention Act</i>
MBES	multibeam echosounder
MBS	Migratory Bird Sanctuary
MCPEI	Mi'kmaq Confederacy of Prince Edward Island
MFN	Miawpukek First Nation
MITC	Mamit Innuat Tribal Council
MMAFMA	Mi'gmaq Maliseet Aboriginal Fisheries Management Association
MNWA	Marine National Wildlife Area
MOG	Micmacs of Gesgapegiag
MPA	Marine Protected Area
MSW	Multi-sea-winter
MTD	Mass transport deposits
MTI	Mi'gmawe' Tplu'taqn Incorporated
NAFO	Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization
NASCO	North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization
NB	New Brunswick
NCC	NunatuKavut Community Council
NCS	Norwegian Continental Shelf
NEB	National Energy Board
NL	Newfoundland and Labrador
NL ESA	<i>Newfoundland and Labrador Endangered Species Act</i>
NMCA	National Marine Conservation Area
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
NOROG	Norwegian Oil and Gas Authority
NPRI	National Pollutant Release Inventory

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

NRA	NAFO Regulatory Area
NRCan	Natural Resources Canada
NS	Nova Scotia
NWA	National Wildlife Area
OA	Operations Authorization
OAA	Office of Aboriginal Affairs
OBIS	Ocean Biogeographic Information System
OBM	Oil-base mud
OCNS	Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme
OCSG	Offshore Chemical Selection Guidelines
ODI	Ocean Data Inventory
OWTG	Offshore Waste Treatment Guidelines
PAL	Provincial Airlines
PBGB-LOMA	Placentia Bay Grand Banks Large Ocean Management Area
PEI	Prince Edward Island
PM _{2.5}	Particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter
PM ₁₀	Particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PNET	predicted no effect threshold
PTS	Permanent threshold shift
QC	Quebec
QMFNB	Qalipu Mikmaq First Nation Band
R&D	Research and development
RCM	Recording current meter
RCMP	Royal Canadian Mounted Police
RMA	Representative marine area
ROV	Remotely operated vehicle
RSA	Regional Study Area
RV	Research vessel
SAR	Species at Risk
SARA	<i>Species at Risk Act</i>
SBM	Synthetic-based mud
SBP	sub-bottom profiler
SCC	Supreme Court of Canada
SDL	Significant Discovery Licence
SEA	Strategic environmental assessment
SFA	Shrimp Fishing Area
SGRIMM	Société de gestion des rivières Manitou et Mingan
SO ₂	Sulphur dioxide
SOCC	Species of conservation concern

Flemish Pass Exploration Drilling Program – Environmental Impact Statement

SOCP	Statement of Canadian Practice with respect to the Mitigation of Seismic Sound in the Marine Environment
SPE	Society of Petroleum Engineers
SPL	Sound pressure level
SPLcum	Cumulative sound pressure level
SPLpeak	Peak sound pressure level
SSS	Side-scan sonar
SST	Sea surface temperature
TC	Transport Canada
TDR	Time and depth recorder
TNASS	Trans North Atlantic Sightings Survey
Tp	Peak wave spectral period
TPM	Total particulate matter
TTS	Temporary threshold shift
UDG	Ulnooweg Development Group
UN	United Nations
UXO	Unexploded ordinance
VC	Valued Component
VME	Vulnerable Marine Ecosystem
VOC	Volatile organic compounds
VON	Victorian Order of Nurses
VSP	Vertical seismic profiling
WBM	Water-based mud
WCCTV	Wagmatcook Community Cable Television
WG-EAFM	NAFO Joint Fisheries Commission-Scientific Council Working Group on Ecosystem Approach Framework to Fisheries Management
WHS	World Heritage Site
WHSRN	Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network
WOCE	World Ocean Circulation Experiment
WWF	World Wildlife Fund