

Howse Property Annual Report April 2020 - March 2021 Activities



June 2021

REPORT PREPARED BY:

TATA STEEL MINERALS CANADA

ADAM CALVERT

Environmental Field Technician

DAVID HEAD

Project Lead

PALLAV SINHA

Environmental Coordinator

JEAN-FRANCOIS DION

Senior Environmental Technician

COCO CALDERHEAD

Community Affairs Manager

REPORT REVISED BY:

TATA STEEL MINERALS CANADA

MARIANA TRINDADE

Corporate Environmental Manager



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 | How | se Property project Update1 |
|--------|------------------|--|
| 2 | Gene | eral Conditions1 |
| 3 | Fish | and Fish Habitat2 |
| | 3.1 | Erosion and sediment control2 |
| | 3.2 | Follow Up Program2 |
| | 3.3 | Groundwater Levels |
| | 3.4 | Snow Sampling2 |
| 4 | Migr | atory Birds3 |
| | 4.1 | Bank Swallow |
| | 4.2 | Howse Wetland Monitoring (avifauna habitat)3 |
| 5 | Heal | th and socio-economic conditions of Indigenous peoples3 |
| | 5.1 | Air Quality3 |
| | 5.2 | Country Foods |
| 6 | Curr | ent Use of Lands and Resources for Traditional Purposes3 |
| | 6.1 | Follow Up Program3 |
| | 6.2 | Caribou4 |
| 7 0 | Phys r archit | ical and cultural heritage and structures, sites or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological ectural significance |
| 8 | Cum | ulative Effects4 |
| 9 | Accio | lents and Malfunctions |
| | 9.1 | Communication Plan4 |
| 1 | 0 Sche | dules and Record Keeping4 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. | Table of | Concordance for | Conditions | | | | | 5 |
|----------|----------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|---|
|----------|----------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|---|



LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix 1 Surface Water Quality Certificates | 19 |
|--|-----|
| Appendix 2 Lake Water Level Monitoring Report | 20 |
| Appendix 3 Wetland Water Levels Report | 21 |
| Appendix 4 Air Monitoring Certificates of Analysis | 22 |
| Appendix 5 Consultation and Engagement Log | .23 |



1 HOWSE PROPERTY PROJECT UPDATE

As of March 31st, 2021, Tata Steel Minerals Canada (TSMC) has not started any work, including any construction activities, on the Howse Property Project and the development of the Howse Property is not in TSMC's 5-year mining plan. As per the Annual Report requirement of the Howse Property Iron Mine Project Decision Statement issued in June 2018, the present report covers the pre-construction phase for the reporting period of April 1st, 2020 to March 31st, 2021

A Table of Concordance for Conditions is provided at the end of the text.

2 GENERAL CONDITIONS

Section 2 covers Conditions 2.1-2.13

As per condition 2.5.21, the Wetland Monitoring Plan was modified during the reporting year (see Section 4.2 for details) and request for feedback was forwarded via email to members of all five Indigenous groups on September 14th, 2018, with the invitation to submit comments. No feedback was received.

No other updates were done on the follow-up programs and there have been no changes to the project during the reporting year.

As per Condition 2.10, TSMC's landing webpage went live in February, 2021. TSMC's Howse Annual Reports for the years ending 31 March, 2019, and 2020 were made available during this medium. The present annual report also appears on the TSMC webpage along with TSMC's Dust Management Strategy, Communication Plan and Cultural Heritage Control Plan.

3 FISH AND FISH HABITAT

3.1 Erosion and sediment control

TSMC's Environment team conducted revegetation trials at the Pinette Lake pad in 2020. Willow cutting were planted in exposed areas in an effort to mitigate erosion at this site.

Currently, there is no deposition of deleterious substances in waters frequented by fish in relation to the Howse Property Project, which is not started.

3.2 Follow Up Program

3.2.1 Surface Water Quality

Surface water quality samples were taken between June 20th and September 23rd, 2019 for four quarters (taken at least 1 month apart). These samples are collected as a part of the baseline monitoring of surface water quality for the Water Chemistry Analysis Program in the creeks and lakes in conjunction with the effluent discharge when the Howse project will go into the construction and subsequently into the mining phase. The locations sampled are Triangle Lake (TL), Burnetta Creek (BC), Burnetta Lake (BL), Pinette Lake (SW5) and 4 points along Goodream Creek



Figure 1. Willow stems planted in 2020

(SW1,2,3 and 4) that fall into the watershed and might be affected by Howse operations.

Sampling results for the baseline surface water quality monitoring are presented in Appendix I.

3.2.2 Lake Water Levels

Appendix II presents estimated daily water surface elevations based on hydrometric data recorded at 5 sites (lakes O'Nelly, Triangle, Morley, Pinette, and Burnetta ["sites" and "lakes" are used interchangeably in this report]). The data covers the period from August 14, 2019 to July 26, 2020. Water depths were monitored using Rugged TROLL 200 data loggers. Atmospheric pressure was monitored at O'Nelly, Triangle, Pinette, and Burnetta sites using a Rugged BaroTROLL data logger. No atmospheric pressure data logger was installed at the Morley site.

The report outlines recommendations for monitoring equipment at the site(s). These adjustments will be made by TSMC in 2021.

3.3 Groundwater Levels

See Section 4.2 for Howse Wetland Monitoring.

3.4 Snow Sampling

Snow sampling is to be conducted to assess dustfall amounts during the winter months. TSMC's Follow up program for air quality, which includes provisions for snow sampling, is set to be implemented from the start of construction to the end of decommissioning of the Designated Project.

4 MIGRATORY BIRDS

4.1 Bank Swallow

No Bank Swallows were observed in the designated Howse project area between April 1st, 2020 to March 31st, 2021.

4.2 Howse Wetland Monitoring (avifauna habitat)

Results of measurement of water levels at wetlands are presented in Appendix III Groundwater levels.

For the 2021 campaign, measurements should be carried out on monthly basis during the summer months, by recording the water depth from the top of the well. This will be done in 2021.

5 HEALTH AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

5.1 Air Quality

TSMC's Follow up program for air quality is set to be implemented from the start of construction to the end of decommissioning of the Designated Project.

Certificates of analysis for air sampling programs for NO2 and dustfall are provided in Appendix IV Air Monitoring.

TSMC continues to limit the traffic from its site into the local community in order to minimize dust effects.

Vehicle traffic from the mine site to Schefferville was reduced further at the onset of the COVID-10 pandemic.

Following multiple consultations with community leadership from the Schefferville area, and in order to minimize

an exposure risks for workers and for community members, TSMC took the following measures which also had a positive effect on air quality:

- prevented any workers from leaving site to go to Schefferville unless to take outgoing charter;
- incoming and outgoing flights were limited to once every two weeks; subsequently, rotations were
 extended to every three weeks to increase the isolation period at the mine site;
- charter passengers bypass the inside of the Schefferville airport deplane/embark directly between the plane to a bus traveling directly to site. shuttle service maintained with a local Indigenous company for travel to the airport on shift-change days.

5.2 Country Foods

Under the Country Food Follow Up Plan, the Proponent is committed to duplicating the Country Foods sampling program 2 years after the commencement of the Howse Operations phase and, subsequently, every five years for the duration of the operations phase.

6 CURRENT USE OF LANDS AND RESOURCES FOR TRADITIONAL PURPOSES

6.1 Follow Up Program

Bypass road upgrades have not commenced and are not required to commence until the Construction Phase starts on the Howse Project.

Repair works to the bypass road were planned during the reporting period for execution in Summer 2021. The circumstances around the COVID-19 pandemic prevented the carrying-out of repair works in Summer 2020. Indigenous groups have been kept apprised of developments on this matter through the Joint Community Health, Safety & Environment meetings and periodic correspondence (see attached Community Engagement & Consultation log for the current reporting period).

6.2 Caribou

TSMC no longer has a formal arrangement to receive caribou data. This issue is being resolved. No data is available for the reporting year.

6.8.2

TSMC communicated progress and high-level results of its current monitoring programs to Indigenous groups during its Joint Community Health, Safety and Environment Committee meetings, held in this reporting period on 9 July, 2020 and 28 October, 2020 (see attached Community Engagement & Consultation Log).

PHYSICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE AND STRUCTURES, SITES OR THINGS OF HISTORICAL, ARCHAEOLOGICAL, PALEONTOLOGICAL OR ARCHITECTURAL SIGNIFICANCE

All conditions pertaining to Conditions 7.1-7.6 were respected during the reporting year.

8 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

As the Howse Project is not yet in the Construction Phase, this requirement is not yet in place.

9 ACCIDENTS AND MALFUNCTIONS

There were no incidents on Howse Property infrastructure during the reporting year.

9.1 Communication Plan

No changes were made to the Communication Plan during the reporting year.

10 SCHEDULES AND RECORD KEEPING

Conditions 10.1-10.4 of the Howse Property Decision Statement indicate how the Proponent will submit to the Agency schedules associated with the Howse Property Project after the start of construction. Currently, this is not applicable, as construction phase has not started.

TSMC has maintained all records required to demonstrate compliance with the conditions of the release of the Howse Property Project.

The Annual Report requirements under conditions 2.8 and 2.9 of the Howse Property Iron Mine Project Decision Statement issued in June 2018 are presented below for the reporting period of April 1st, 2020 to March 31st, 2021. The item number in Table 1 below corresponds to the section number in the text above.

Table 1. Table of Concordance for Conditions

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Gen | 2. General Conditions | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | The Proponent shall ensure that its actions in meeting the conditions set out in this Decision Statement are considered in a careful and precautionary manner, promote sustainable development, are informed by the best information and knowledge available at the time the Proponent takes action, including community and Indigenous traditional knowledge, are based on methods and models that are recognized by standard-setting bodies, are undertaken by qualified individuals, and have applied the best available economically and technically feasible technologies. | TSMC is committed to follow best practices for all its activities. | | | | | | |
| 2.2 | The Proponent shall, where consultation is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement: | TSMC is committed to follow this | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1 provide a written notice of the opportunity for the party or parties being consulted to present their views and information on the subject of the consultation; | requirement for all consultation activities. | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.2 provide sufficient information on the scope and the subject matter of the consultation and a reasonable period of time to permit the party or parties being consulted to prepare their views and information; | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.3 undertake an impartial consideration of all views and information presented by the party or parties being consulted on the subject matter of the consultation; and | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.4 advise in a timely manner the party or parties being consulted on how the views and information received have been considered by the Proponent. | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 | The Proponent shall, where consultation with Indigenous groups is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, communicate with each Indigenous group with respect to the manner by which to satisfy the consultation requirements referred to in condition 2.2, including methods of notification, the type of information, the period of time to be provided when seeking input, the process to be used by the Proponent to undertake impartial consideration of all views and information presented on the subject of the consultation, the period of time to advise Indigenous groups of how their views and information were considered by the Proponent and the means by which Indigenous groups will be advised. | TSMC is committed to follow this requirement for all consultation activities. | | | | | | |
| 2.4 | The Proponent shall, where a follow-up program is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, determine the following information, for each follow-up program: | Existing follow-up programs for TSMC's DSO and Howse sites, | | | | | | |
| | 2.4.1 the methodology, location, frequency, timing and duration of monitoring associated with the follow-up program; | include this information. | | | | | | |
| | 2.4.2 the scope, content and frequency of reporting of the results of the follow-up program; | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4.3 the levels of environmental change relative to baseline conditions that would require the Proponent to implement modified or additional mitigation measure(s), including instances where the Proponent may require Designated Project activities to be stopped; and | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4.4 the technically and economically feasible mitigation measures to be implemented by the Proponent if monitoring conducted as part of the follow-up program shows that the levels of environmental change referred to in condition 2.4.3 have been reached or exceeded. | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 | The Proponent shall submit the information referred to in condition 2.4 to the Agency prior to the implementation of each follow-up program. The Proponent shall update that information in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities during the implementation of each follow-up program, and shall provide the updated | No updates were done on the follow-up program during this reporting year | | | | | | |

| | CEAA Release Condition | | 2019 Activities |
|------|---|---|---|
| | information to the Agency, Indigenous groups and relevant authorities within 30 days of the information being updated. | | |
| 2.6 | The Proponent shall, where a follow-up program is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement: | • | This was complied with |
| | 2.6.1 conduct the follow-up program according to the information determined pursuant to condition 2.4; | | |
| | 2.6.2 undertake monitoring and analysis to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to the particular condition and/or to determine the effectiveness of any mitigation measure(s); | | |
| | 2.6.3 determine whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required based on the monitoring and analysis undertaken pursuant to condition 2.6.2; and | | |
| | 2.6.4 if modified or additional mitigation measures are required pursuant to condition 2.6.3, implement these mitigation measures in a timely manner and monitor them pursuant to condition 2.6.2. | | |
| 2.7 | Where consultation with Indigenous groups is a requirement of a follow-up program, the Proponent shall discuss with each Indigenous group opportunities for the participation of that Indigenous group in the implementation of the follow-up program, including the analysis of the follow-up results and whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required, as set out in condition 2.6. | • | TSMC is committed to follow this requirement for all consultation activities. |
| 2.8 | The Proponent shall, commencing in the reporting year during which the Proponent begins the implementation of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement, prepare an annual report that sets out: | • | SMC has produced an annual eport for its 2018-2019, and |
| | 2.8.1 the activities undertaken by the Proponent in the reporting year to comply with each of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement; | | 2019-2020 activities and the current report covers 2020-2021 |
| | 2.8.2 how the Proponent complied with condition 2.1; | | activities. |
| | 2.8.3 for conditions set out in this Decision Statement for which consultation is a requirement, how the Proponent considered any views and information that the Proponent received during or as a result of the consultation; | | |
| | 2.8.4 the information referred to in conditions 2.4 and 2.5 for each follow-up program; | | |
| | 2.8.5 the results of the follow-up program requirements identified in conditions 3.6, 4.7, 4.8, 5.9, 5.10, 6.6, 6.7, and 7.5; and | | |
| | 2.8.6 any modified or additional mitigation measures implemented or proposed to be implemented by the Proponent, as determined under condition 2.6. | | |
| 2.9 | The Proponent shall submit to the Agency the annual report referred to in condition 2.8, including an executive summary in both official languages, no later than June 30 following the reporting year to which the annual report applies. | • | TSMC is committed to comply with this condition |
| 2.10 | The Proponent shall publish on the Internet, or any medium which is publicly available, the annual reports and the executive summaries referred to in conditions 2.8 and 2.9, the dust management strategy referred to in condition 5.7, the communication plan referred to in condition 6.8, the cultural heritage control plan referred to in condition 7.6, the communication plan referred to in condition 9.5, the schedules referred to in conditions 10.1, and 10.2, and any update(s) or revision(s) to the above documents, upon submission of these documents to the parties referenced in the respective conditions. The Proponent shall keep these documents publicly available for 25 years following the end of operation, or until the end of decommissioning of the Designated Project, whichever comes first. The Proponent shall notify the Agency and Indigenous groups of the availability of these documents within 48 hours of their publication. | • | Annual reports have been placed on TSMC's website: https://www.tatasteelcanada.co m/ |

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities |
|---------|---|--|
| 2.11 | The Proponent shall notify the Agency and Indigenous groups in writing no later than 60 days after the day on which there is a transfer of ownership, care, control or management of the Designated Project in whole or in part. | TSMC is committed to comply with this condition |
| 2.12 | The Proponent shall consult with Indigenous groups prior to initiating any material change(s) to the Designated Project that may result in adverse environmental effects and shall notify the Agency in writing no later than 60 days prior to initiating the change(s). | There were no changes to the Designated Project in the reporting year. |
| 2.13 | In notifying the Agency pursuant to condition 2.12, the Proponent shall provide the Agency with a description of the potential adverse environmental effects of the change(s) to the Designated Project, the proposed mitigation measures and follow-up requirements to be implemented by the Proponent and the results of the consultation with Indigenous groups. | TSMC is committed to comply with this condition |
| 3. Fish | and fish habitat | |
| 3.1 | The Proponent shall implement erosion and sedimentation control measures within the Designated Project area during all phases of the Designated Project to avoid the deposit of deleterious substances in waters frequented by fish. | There is no deposition of deleterious substances in waters frequented by fish in relation to the Howse Property Project, which is not started. |
| 3.2 | The Proponent shall collect site runoff and pit dewatering water into HowseA and Timmins4 sedimentations ponds. The Proponent shall treat water at the sedimentation ponds prior to its discharge into the environment, if necessary, to meet the requirements of subsection 36(3) of the Fisheries Act. | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| 3.3 | The Proponent shall use a time delay blasting technique when blasting. | Not applicable as there is no activity, including blasting, on the Howse Property. |
| 3.4 | The Proponent shall not set the blast charge per delay to above 1092 kilograms. | Not applicable as there is no activity, including blasting, on the Howse Property |
| 3.5 | The Proponent shall manage waste rock acid generation taking into account the Mine Environment Neutral Drainage program's <i>Prediction Manual for Drainage Chemistry from Sulphidic Geological Materials</i> . | TSMC is committed to comply with this condition once the Project starts. |
| 3.6 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to fish and fish habitat and to determine the effectiveness of mitigation measures referred to in conditions 3.1 to 3.5. The Proponent shall provide the follow-up program to the Agency prior to construction. The Proponent shall implement the follow-up program from the start of construction to the end of decommissioning. The Proponent shall review and update the follow-up program in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities and shall provide this update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall: | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency and Indigenous groups in Spring 2018. |
| | 3.6.1 monitor water quality and quantity parameters as per the Water Management Plan (October 2015) in the environmental impact statement and at locations outlined in figure 1 of the Proponent's final response to Information Request 106 (July 24, 2017), including: | TSMC is committed to comply with this condition, see below |
| | 3.6.1.1 water levels in Triangle Lake, Morley Lake, Burnetta Lake and Pinette Lake; | Water gauges were installed at these locations in fall 2017 |
| | | Data collection has been, and |

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities |
|---------|--|---|
| | | continues to be taken, continuously since that time |
| | 3.6.1.2 groundwater levels at monitoring well locations outlined in figure 1 or equivalent locations where groundwater may be impacted by the Designated Project; | Additional monitoring wells will be installed at the beginning of the construction phase near Triangle Lake |
| | 3.6.1.3 iron concentration at the final discharge points of the HowseA and Timmins 4 sedimentation ponds; | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| | 3.6.1.4 effluent quality at the final discharge points of the HowseA and Timmins 4 sedimentation ponds, in accordance with the Metal Mining Effluent Regulations and taking into account the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment's Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life; and | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| | 3.6.1.5 water quality between the HowseA sedimentation pond final discharge point and Triangle Lake, and in Triangle Lake, Burnetta Lake and Pinette Lake. | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| | 3.6.2 update the hydrogeological groundwater model from the Proponent's final response to Information Request 106 (July 24, 2017) at the end of mining phases I, II and III based on the results from 3.6.1; and | Updates will be done following the mining phases |
| | 3.6.3 monitor fish and fish habitat in Triangle Lake, Burnetta Lake, Pinette Lake and Goodream Creek. | Not applicable at this time |
| 4. Migi | ratory birds | |
| 4.1 | The Proponent shall carry out the Designated Project in a manner that protects migratory birds and avoids harming, killing or disturbing migratory birds or destroying, disturbing or taking their nests or eggs. In this regard, the Proponent shall take into account Environment and Climate Change Canada's Avoidance Guidelines. The Proponent's actions when taking into account the Avoidance Guidelines shall be in compliance with the Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994 and with the Species at Risk Act. | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| 4.2 | The Proponent shall have a qualified individual survey, during operation, the mine pit walls annually during the nesting period to determine if bank swallows (Riparia riparia) are using the open pit as a nesting site. The Proponent shall conduct an additional survey one to two days prior to undertaking any new activity associated with the Designated Project during the nesting period areas where bank swallows (Riparia riparia) may occur. The Proponent shall identify, in consultation with Environment and Climate Change Canada and other relevant authorities, and implement a setback distance in which no Designated Project activity shall take place around any bank swallow (Riparia riparia) nest(s) found and shall maintain the setback distance until the young have permanently left the area of the nest. The Proponent shall implement additional measures to deter bank swallows (Riparia riparia) from nesting in the area prior to the next breeding period. | Not applicable as the operations phase has not begun at Howse |
| 4.3 | The Proponent shall notify Environment and Climate Change Canada if it finds bank swallow (<i>Riparia riparia</i>) nests within the Designated Project area. | Bank Swallow were not observed in the Howse Property area during the reporting year |
| 4.4 | The Proponent shall control lighting required for the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Designated Project, including direction, timing and intensity, to avoid adverse effects on migratory birds, while meeting health and safety requirements. | Not applicable as construction activities have not begun at Howse |
| 4.5 | The Proponent shall prohibit vehicles and heavy equipment associated with the Designated Project from entering wetlands except those affected by components of the Designated Project as identified in figure 7-33 of the | No vehicles and/or heavy equipment entered wetlands |

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities |
|---------|---|--|
| | environmental impact statement. | during the reporting year. |
| 4.6 | The Proponent shall not undertake vehicle, machinery and equipment cleaning, fueling and maintenance and shall not store substance with the potential to cause harmful effects to the receiving environment, within 20 metres of any wetland. | This was respected in the reporting year. |
| 4.7 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with relevant authorities, a follow-up program to determine the effectiveness of all mitigation measures to avoid harm to migratory birds, their eggs and nests. The Proponent shall provide the follow-up program to the Agency prior to construction. The Proponent shall implement the follow-up program during all phases of the Designated Project. The Proponent shall review and update the follow-up program in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities and shall provide this update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall: | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018. |
| | 4.7.1 conduct migratory bird surveys in the Triangle Lake, Burnetta Lake and Pinette Lake watersheds every year for the first three years following completion of construction. After three years, the Proponent shall determine, in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, the frequency of additional surveys based on the results of the follow-up program. | Not applicable at this time. |
| 4.8 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement a follow-up program to verify the predictions of the environmental assessment as it pertains to the adverse environmental effects of the Designated Project on wetland functions that support migratory birds, and to determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures referred to in conditions 4.5 and 4.6 during all phases of the Designated Project. The Proponent shall provide the follow-up program to the Agency prior to construction. The Proponent shall review and update the follow-up program in consultation with Indigenous groups and Environment and Climate Change Canada and shall provide this update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall: | This condition was complied with. |
| | 4.8.1 have a qualified individual conduct a wetland disturbance survey every five years, with the first survey conducted at the start of construction, to assess wetland functions that support migratory birds; and | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| | 4.8.2 monitor groundwater levels associated with the wetlands located north of the open pit to verify the effects of pit dewatering on wetlands. Monitoring wells shall be spaced no more than 50 metres apart and measurements shall be taken every two weeks during operation. | This information is provided in the annual report. |
| 5. Heal | th and socio-economic conditions of Indigenous peoples | |
| 5.1 | The Proponent shall, in consultation with Indigenous groups, undertake progressive reclamation of the areas disturbed by the Designated Project, including by stabilizing, compacting and revegetating with native plant species overburden stockpiles and waste rock piles. | Not applicable, as the Designated project area has not been disturbed. |
| 5.2 | Using a qualified individual, the Proponent shall design overburden stockpiles and waste rock piles, in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, and in consideration of reducing effects to viewscapes. The Proponent shall implement the design throughout all phases of the Designated Project. | The design of the overburden stockpiles and waste rock piles was completed during the Howse EIS. |
| 5.3 | The Proponent shall apply dust suppressant on the Howse haul road during all phases of the Designated Project to control the release of dust. The Proponent shall select, in consultation with relevant authorities, dust suppressants with the least potential effects on human health and the environment. | Not applicable at this time |
| 5.4 | The Proponent shall control dust, if observed visually, during the unloading of ore from trucks, except if not feasible for safety reasons. | Not applicable at this time |

| | CEAA Release Condition | | 2019 Activities |
|------|---|---|---|
| 5.5 | The Proponent shall implement measures to mitigate dust emissions at the conveyor transfer and drop points when the conveyor is active, in the drum scrubber when ore is mixed and at the crude ore recovery tunnel, the secondary crusher and the dryer during ore processing activities | - | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| 5.6 | The Proponent shall fill borehole necks with clean crushed rock to reduce dust and gas emissions from blasting during construction and operation. | - | Not applicable, as the Project has not started. |
| 5.7 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, a dust management strategy to control dust generated by vehicles associated with the Designated Project using the road to Schefferville and for vehicles entering Schefferville. The Proponent shall implement the strategy during all phases of the Designated Project. The Proponent shall provide the dust management strategy to the Agency prior to the start of construction. The Proponent shall review and update the dust management strategy in consultation with Indigenous groups, relevant authorities and the Town of Schefferville prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. | - | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018. |
| 5.8 | Throughout all phases of the Designated Project, the Proponent shall implement incentive measures to reduce the number of vehicles from the Designated Project, including by providing shuttle buses to transport workers to and from the Designated Project area. | | TSMC is complying with this condition. |
| 5.9 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to air quality and the effects of dust on the health of Indigenous peoples and to determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures referred to in conditions 5.3 to 5.8. The Proponent shall provide the follow-up program to the Agency prior to the start of construction. The Proponent shall implement the follow-up program from the start of construction to the end of decommissioning of the Designated Project. The Proponent shall review and update the follow-up program in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities and shall provide the update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall: | | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 |
| | 5.9.1 monitor air quality at receptors R3, R9, R10, R16, R18, R24, R36, R38 and R40 identified by the Proponent in Table 7-13 of the environmental impact statement, including for total particulate matter, particulate matter less than 10 microns, particulate matter less than 2.5 microns, dustfall, nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides, carbon monoxide, and periodic monitoring of nitrogen dioxides after blasting activities; | | |
| | 5.9.2 monitor dust generation and deposition from the Designated Project at locations potentially affected by the Designated Project, using a dust tracking system and mobile monitoring equipment; | | |
| | 5.9.3 analyse concentrations of contaminants of concern in dust, including a minimum of one sampling of heavy metal content between the months of June and August of every year that analyses are conducted; and | | |
| | 5.9.4 if the results of the follow-up program demonstrate that modified or additional mitigation measures are required, as determined in condition 2.6, at the Howse mini-plant, Designated Project roads, waste rock piles or overburden stockpiles, the Proponent shall implement modified or additional mitigation measures. | | |
| 5.10 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first, and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to country foods. Country foods may include game birds, mammals, fish, and plant species. The Proponent shall implement the follow-up program. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall: | | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 |
| | 5.10.1 sample country food species commonly consumed by Indigenous groups and identified in consultation with Indigenous groups including brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) and lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush); | | |

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities |
|---------|---|---|
| | 5.10.2 sample species identified in condition 5.10.1 for heavy metals, and other contaminants of concern identified in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities; | |
| | 5.10.3 sample in areas where Indigenous groups harvest country foods and that may be adversely affected by the Designated Project and in a control site that is not affected by activities of the Designated Project. Fish sampling shall include sampling in Goodream Creek, Triangle Lake, and Pinette Lake; and | |
| | 5.10.4 start sampling two years after the start of operation and continue sampling at a frequency and for a duration determined in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities. | |
| 6. Curi | rent use of lands and resources for traditional purposes | |
| 6.1 | The Proponent shall upgrade, from the start of construction, a bypass road around the Designated Project in order to provide access for Indigenous groups to Pinette Lake, Kauteitnat and the Howells River Valley. The Proponent shall maintain the bypass road at least twice per calendar year until the end of decommissioning to ensure its usability. | Not applicable, as the Construction Phase of the Project has not started. |
| 6.2 | The Proponent shall upgrade, from the start of construction, a bypass road around the Direct Shipping Ore 4 area in order to provide access for Indigenous groups to hunting grounds to the northwest of the Designated Project near the Kivivic and Goodwood deposits. The Proponent shall maintain the bypass road at least twice per calendar year until the end of decommissioning to ensure its usability. | Not applicable at this time |
| 6.3 | The Proponent shall not use the bypass roads, referred to in conditions 6.1 and 6.2, for Designated Project activities, except when undertaking the maintenance of those bypass roads as required by conditions 6.1 and 6.2, or if required for safety or emergency reasons. | The Proponent has not used the bypass road for any Project activities during the reporting year (this road is accessed only for the purposes of environmental monitoring, and only when no other access exists) |
| 6.4 | The Proponent shall prohibit employees and contractors associated with the Designated Project from fishing and hunting within the designated project area, unless an employee or a contractor is provided access by the Proponent for traditional purposes or for exercising Aboriginal rights, to the extent that such access is safe. | This was respected during the reporting year |
| 6.5 | If the Proponent is made aware of or observes caribou within a 20-kilometre radius of the active pit or of the Howse mini-plant, the Proponent shall consult the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Fisheries and Land Resources to determine the appropriate course of action. | TSMC is not aware of any caribou within 20km of the active pit or the Howse mini-Plant |
| 6.6 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement during all phases of the Designated Project, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to the adverse effects of the Designated Project on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes and to determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures referred to in conditions 6.1 to 6.4, including maintenance of the bypass roads. The Proponent shall provide the follow-up program to the Agency prior to the start of construction. The Proponent shall review and update the follow-up program in consultation with Indigenous groups and shall provide this update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 |
| 6.7 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement during all phases of the Designated Project, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to the adverse effects of the Designated Project on the George River herd of Eastern migratory caribou (Rangifer tarandus caribou). The Proponent shall provide the follow-up program to the Agency prior to the start of construction. The Proponent | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 |

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities |
|--------|---|---|
| | shall review and update the follow-up program in consultation with Indigenous groups and the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, and shall provide this update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall monitor movement of the George River herd of Eastern migratory caribou (<i>Rangifer tarandus caribou</i>) and develop and implement modified or additional mitigation measures if the range of the George River herd of Eastern migratory caribou (<i>Rangifer tarandus caribou</i>) expands to occupy areas within a 20-kilometre radius of the Designated Project. | |
| 6.8 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, a communication plan to share information related to the Designated Project with Indigenous groups. The Proponent shall implement and maintain the communication plan up to date during all phases of the Designated Project. The communication plan shall include procedures, including timing, for sharing information on the following: | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 TSMC is committed to comply |
| | 6.8.1 the Designated Project activities requiring notification to Indigenous groups and the timing of these notifications. For blasting, the Proponent shall advertise blasting schedules via local radio stations and directly to Indigenous groups at a minimum 48 hours prior to each blasting event; | with this condition |
| | 6.8.2 follow-up activities and monitoring results referred to in conditions 3.6, 4.7, 4.8, 5.9, 5.10, 6.6, 6.7, and 7.5; and | |
| | 6.8.3 temporary and permanent restrictions on access to traditional territories, including the location and timing of these restrictions, the availability of alternate routes, and the timing of maintenance activities for the bypass roads as per 6.1 and 6.2. | |
| 6.9 | The Proponent shall develop, as part of the communication plan referred to in condition in 6.8, procedures for Indigenous groups to provide feedback to the Proponent about adverse environmental effects caused by the Designated Project related to access to and use of traditional territories, traffic, air quality, including dust and dust deposition, and country foods and procedures for the Proponent to document and respond in a timely manner to the feedback received and demonstrate how issues have been addressed. The Proponent shall implement these procedures during all phases of the Designated Project. | These procedures were in place during the reporting year |
| 6.10 | The Proponent shall provide Indigenous groups with the schedules referred to in conditions 10.1 and 10.2 and updates or revisions to the initial schedules pursuant to condition 10.3 and 10.4 at the same time these documents are provided to the Agency. | Not applicable at this time |
| 7. Phy | sical and cultural heritage and structures, sites or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological or a | rchitectural significance |
| 7.1 | If requested by Indigenous groups 48 hours prior to their planned use of Kauteitnat, the Proponent shall refrain from blasting for a period of 24 hours during that time of planned use of Kauteitnat, or less if Indigenous groups are no longer using Kauteitnat. | Not applicable |
| 7.2 | The Proponent shall not conduct any Designated Project activity to the south of proposed water diversion ditch, identified in figure 2 in the environmental assessment report, except for activities required for the construction and maintenance of the diversion ditch. The Proponent shall clearly identify the exclusion zone with signage on the ground, within its lease area, posted at the edge of the exclusion zone. | Not applicable as no project activity has taken place |
| 7.3 | During the months of June, July, August and September, the Proponent shall not blast more than twice in a week and more than five times per month. | Not applicable as no project activity has taken place |
| 7.4 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement during all phases of the Designated Project, a protocol for receiving complaints related to the exposure to noise from the Designated Project. The Proponent shall provide the protocol to the Agency and Indigenous groups prior to the start of construction. The Proponent | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 |

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities |
|---------|---|---|
| | shall review and update the protocol in consultation with Indigenous groups and shall provide this update to the Agency and Indigenous groups prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. The Proponent shall respond to any noise complaints within 48 hours of the complaint being received and shall implement corrective actions to reduce exposure to noise in a timely manner. | |
| 7.5 | The Proponent shall develop prior to construction, and implement during all phases of the Designated Project, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to the effects of the Designated Project on the use of cultural and other sites as a result of noise levels. The Proponent shall provide the follow-up program to the Agency prior to the start of construction. The Proponent shall review and update the follow-up program in consultation with Indigenous groups and shall provide this update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall: | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 |
| | 7.5.1 monitor noise levels at receptor sites R9, R10, R11, R13 and R24 identified by the Proponent in figure 7.10 of the environmental impact statement. The Proponent shall implement modified or additional mitigation measures if noise levels at these sites exceed 5 decibels above the baseline noise levels as a result of the Designated Project, except during blasting. | |
| 7.6 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement during all phases of the Designated Project a cultural heritage control plan. The Proponent shall provide the cultural heritage control plan to the Agency prior to the start of construction. The Proponent shall review and update the plan in consultation with Indigenous groups and the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador and shall provide this update to the Agency prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. If any previously unidentified structures, sites or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance are discovered within the Designated Project area by the Proponent or brought to the attention of the Proponent by an Indigenous group or another party during construction, the Proponent shall: | All required programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018 |
| | 7.6.2 delineate an area of at least 30 metres around the discovery as a no-work zone. The no-work requirement shall not apply to action(s) required to be undertaken to protect the integrity of the discovery; | |
| | 7.6.3 have a qualified individual conduct an assessment at the location of the discovery; | |
| | 7.6.4 inform Indigenous groups within 24 hours of the discovery, and allow for monitoring by Indigenous groups during work related to the discovery; and | |
| | 7.6.5 comply, in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, with all applicable legislative or legal requirements and associated regulations and protocols respecting the discovery, recording, transferring and safekeeping of previously unidentified structures, sites or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance. | |
| 8. Cun | nulative Effects | |
| 8.1 | The Proponent shall participate in regional initiative(s), if requested by a relevant authority or the Town of Schefferville, relating to the monitoring, assessment and management of cumulative environmental effects, including cumulative health effects related to dust likely to result from the Designated Project in combination with other mining activities that have or will be carried out in the region, should there be any such initiative(s) during the construction and operation phases of the Designated Project. | TSMC will continue to participate in regional initiatives if requested by regional Indigenous groups and/or authorities |
| 9. Acci | dents and malfunctions | |
| 9.1 | The Proponent shall take all reasonable measures to prevent accidents and malfunctions that may result in adverse environmental effects. The measures taken by the Proponent shall include measures to prevent slope | TSMC's environmental protection plan (EPP) and EPP and ERP lists |

| | CEAA Release Condition | | 2019 Activities |
|-----|--|---|--|
| | failures, sedimentation pond failures, ditch failures, destabilization of waste rock piles and overburden stockpiles, and rock slides. | • | measures to prevent accidents and malfunctions In 2020, TSMC's EPP was updated. Those documents contain a retroaction process in which TSMC improves measures to prevent accidents and malfunctions. |
| 9.2 | The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement during all phases of the Designated Project, an accident and malfunction response plan. The accident and malfunction plan shall include the types of accidents and malfunctions that may cause adverse environmental effects, and response plans for slope failures, sedimentation pond failures, ditch failures, destabilization of waste rock piles and overburden stockpiles, or rock slides in addition to all emergency response plans identified in the environmental impact statement. The Proponent shall provide the accident and malfunction response plan to the Agency prior to the start of construction. | - | Follow-up programs and plans for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018. |
| 9.3 | The Proponent shall review and update the measures to be implemented to prevent accidents and malfunctions and the accidents and malfunctions response plan in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities prior to operation or within 120 days of the issuance of this Decision Statement, whichever comes first. | • | Not applicable for this reporting year. |
| 9.4 | In the event of an accident or malfunction with the potential to cause adverse environmental effects, the Proponent shall implement the accidents and malfunctions response plan referred to in condition 9.2 or any subsequent update(s) referred to in condition 9.3 and shall: | • | Not applicable for this reporting year. |
| | 9.4.1 notify, as soon as possible, Indigenous groups and relevant authorities of the accident or malfunction, and notify the Agency in writing no later than 24 hours following the accident or malfunction. When notifying Indigenous groups and in the notification to the Agency, the Proponent shall specify; | | |
| | 9.4.1.1 the date the accident or malfunction occurred; | | |
| | 9.4.1.2 a description of the accident or malfunction; | | |
| | 9.4.1.3 a list of all substances potentially released in the environment as a result of the accident or malfunction. | | |
| | 9.4.2 implement immediate measures to mitigate any adverse environmental effects caused by the accident or malfunction; | | |
| | 9.4.3 submit a written report to the Agency no later than 30 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction took place. The written report shall include: | • | Not applicable for this reporting year. |
| | 9.4.3.1 a description of the accident or malfunction and of its adverse environmental effects; | | |
| | 9.4.3.2 the measures that were taken by the Proponent to mitigate the adverse environmental effects caused by the accident or malfunction; | | |
| | 9.4.3.3 any view(s) from Indigenous groups and advice from relevant authorities received with respect to the accident or malfunction, its adverse environmental effects and the measures taken by the Proponent to mitigate these adverse environmental effects; | | |
| | 9.4.3.4 a description of any residual adverse environmental effects and any modified or additional measures | | |

| | CEAA Release Condition | 2019 Activities |
|---------|---|---|
| | required by the Proponent to mitigate residual adverse environmental effects; and | |
| | 9.4.3.5 details concerning the implementation of the accident or malfunction response plan referred to in condition 9.2 or any subsequent update(s) referred to in condition 9.3. | |
| | 9.4.4 submit a written report to the Agency no later than 90 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction took place, on the changes made to avoid a subsequent occurrence of the accident or malfunction and on the implementation of any modified or additional measure(s) to mitigate and monitor residual adverse environmental effects and to carry out any required progressive reclamation, taking into account the information submitted in the written report pursuant to condition 9.4.3. The report shall include all additional views from Indigenous groups and advice from relevant authorities since the views and advice referred to in condition 9.4.3.3 have been received by the Proponent. | Not applicable for this reporting year. |
| 9.5 | The Proponent shall develop a communication plan in consultation with Indigenous groups. The Proponent shall develop the communication plan prior to construction and shall implement and keep it up to date during all phases of the Designated Project. The plan shall include: | Follow-up programs for the Howse Project were submitted to the Agency in Spring 2018. |
| | 9.5.1 the types of accidents and malfunctions requiring the Proponent to notify the respective Indigenous groups; | |
| | 9.5.2 the manner by which Indigenous group shall be notified by the Proponent of an accident or malfunction and of any opportunities for the Indigenous groups to assist in the response to the accident or malfunction; and | |
| | 9.5.3 the contact information of the representatives of the Proponent that the Indigenous groups may contact and of the representatives of the respective Indigenous groups to which the Proponent provides notification. | |
| 10. Scl | nedules | |
| 10.1 | The Proponent shall submit to the Agency a schedule for all conditions set out in this Decision Statement no later than 30 days after the start of construction. The schedule shall detail all activities planned to fulfill each condition set out in this Decision Statement and the commencement and estimated completion month(s) and year(s) for each of these activities. | Not applicable, as construction phase has not started. |
| 10.2 | The Proponent shall submit to the Agency a schedule outlining all activities required to carry out all phases of the Designated Project no later than 30 days after the start of construction. The schedule shall indicate the commencement and estimated completion month(s) and year(s) and duration of each of these activities. | Not applicable, as construction phase has not started. |
| 10.3 | The Proponent shall submit to the Agency in writing an update to schedules referred to in conditions 10.1 and 10.2 every year no later than June 30, until completion of all activities referred to in each schedule. | Not applicable, as construction phase has not started. |
| 10.4 | The Proponent shall provide to the Agency revised schedules if any change(s) are made to the initial schedules referred to in condition 10.1 and 10.2 or to any subsequent update(s) referred to in condition 10.3, upon revision of the schedules. | Not applicable, as construction phase has not started. |
| 11. Re | cord Keeping | |
| 11.1 | The Proponent shall maintain all records required to demonstrate compliance with the conditions set out in this Decision Statement. The Proponent shall provide the aforementioned records to the Agency upon demand within a timeframe specified by the Agency. | TSMC is committed to comply with this condition. |
| 11.2 | The Proponent shall retain all records referred to in condition 11.1 at a facility in Canada. The records shall be retained and made available throughout construction and operation and for 25 years following the end of operation or until the end of decommissioning of the Designated Project, whichever comes first. The Proponent shall notify the Agency at least 30 days prior to any change to the physical location of the facility where the records are retained, and shall provide to the Agency the address of the new location. | TSMC is committed to comply with this condition. |

Appendix 1 Surface Water Quality Certificates



Your Project #: Howse Quarterly Surface Water Site#: 00025 Site Location: NL SURFACE WATER Your C.O.C. #: 777606-01-01

Attention: Mariana Trindade

TATA Steel Minerals Canada 1000, Rue Sherbrooke Ouest Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2020/07/13 Report #: R6244262 Version: 2 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: COG3472

Received: 2020/07/02, 08:02

Sample Matrix: Water # Samples Received: 9

| | | Date | Date | | |
|--|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| Carbonate, Bicarbonate and Hydroxide | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | N/A | SM 23 4500-CO2 D |
| Alkalinity | 8 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00013 | EPA 310.2 R1974 m |
| Alkalinity | 1 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | ATL SOP 00013 | EPA 310.2 R1974 m |
| Chloride | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00014 | SM 23 4500-Cl- E m |
| Colour | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00020 | SM 23 2120C m |
| Organic carbon - Diss (DOC) (2) | 3 | N/A | 2020/07/07 | ATL SOP 00203 | SM 23 5310B m |
| Organic carbon - Diss (DOC) (2) | 1 | N/A | 2020/07/08 | ATL SOP 00203 | SM 23 5310B m |
| Organic carbon - Diss (DOC) (2) | 5 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00203 | SM 23 5310B m |
| Dissolved Oxygen (1) | 9 | 2020/07/03 | 2020/07/03 | CAM SOP-00427 | SM 23 4500 O G m |
| Conductance - water | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00004 | SM 23 2510B m |
| Hardness (calculated as CaCO3) | 4 | N/A | 2020/07/07 | ATL SOP 00048 | Auto Calc |
| Hardness (calculated as CaCO3) | 5 | N/A | 2020/07/08 | ATL SOP 00048 | Auto Calc |
| Mercury - Total (CVAA,LL) | 9 | 2020/07/09 | 2020/07/10 | ATL SOP 00026 | EPA 245.1 R3 m |
| Metals Water Total MS | 9 | 2020/07/03 | 2020/07/07 | ATL SOP 00058 | EPA 6020B R2 m |
| Ion Balance (% Difference) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | N/A | Auto Calc. |
| Anion and Cation Sum | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | N/A | Auto Calc. |
| Nitrogen Ammonia - water | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/08 | ATL SOP 00015 | EPA 350.1 R2 m |
| Nitrogen - Nitrate + Nitrite | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00016 | USGS I-2547-11m |
| Nitrogen - Nitrite | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00017 | SM 23 4500-NO2- B m |
| Nitrogen - Nitrate (as N) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | ATL SOP 00018 | ASTM D3867-16 |
| Phenols (4AAP) (1) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/07 | CAM SOP-00444 | OMOE E3179 m |
| рН (3) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00003 | SM 23 4500-H+ B m |
| Phosphorus - ortho | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | ATL SOP 00021 | SM 23 4500-P E m |
| Sat. pH and Langelier Index (@ 20C) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | ATL SOP 00049 | Auto Calc. |
| Sat. pH and Langelier Index (@ 4C) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | ATL SOP 00049 | Auto Calc. |
| Reactive Silica | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00022 | EPA 366.0 m |
| Sulphate | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/09 | ATL SOP 00023 | ASTM D516-16 m |
| Sulphide (1) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/03 | CAM SOP-00455 | SM 23 4500-S G m |
| Total Dissolved Solids (Filt. Residue) | 9 | 2020/07/03 | 2020/07/13 | ATL SOP 00009 | SM 23 2540C m |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS calc) | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | N/A | Auto Calc. |
| Organic carbon - Total (TOC) (2) | 7 | N/A | 2020/07/10 | ATL SOP 00203 | SM 23 5310B m |
| Organic carbon - Total (TOC) (2) | 2 | N/A | 2020/07/11 | ATL SOP 00203 | SM 23 5310B m |



Your Project #: Howse Quarterly Surface Water Site#: 00025 Site Location: NL SURFACE WATER Your C.O.C. #: 777606-01-01

Attention: Mariana Trindade

TATA Steel Minerals Canada 1000, Rue Sherbrooke Ouest Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2020/07/13 Report #: R6244262 Version: 2 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C0G3472 Received: 2020/07/02. 08:02

Sample Matrix: Water # Samples Received: 9

| | | Date | Date | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| Phosphorus Total Colourimetry | 9 | 2020/07/06 | 2020/07/08 | ATL SOP 00057 | EPA 365.1 R2 m |
| Total Suspended Solids | 6 | 2020/07/03 | 2020/07/06 | ATL SOP 00007 | SM 23 2540D m |
| Total Suspended Solids | 3 | 2020/07/03 | 2020/07/07 | ATL SOP 00007 | SM 23 2540D m |
| Turbidity | 9 | N/A | 2020/07/06 | ATL SOP 00011 | EPA 180.1 R2 m |

Remarks:

Bureau Veritas Laboratories are accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 for specific parameters on scopes of accreditation. Unless otherwise noted, procedures used by BV Labs are based upon recognized Provincial, Federal or US method compendia such as CCME, MELCC, EPA, APHA.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with procedures and practices ordinarily exercised by professionals in BV Labs profession using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures (except where otherwise agreed by the client and BV Labs in writing). All data is in statistical control and has met quality control and method performance criteria unless otherwise noted. All method blanks are reported; unless indicated otherwise, associated sample data are not blank corrected. Where applicable, unless otherwise noted, Measurement Uncertainty has not been accounted for when stating conformity to the referenced standard.

BV Labs liability is limited to the actual cost of the requested analyses, unless otherwise agreed in writing. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. BV Labs has been retained to provide analysis of samples provided by the Client using the testing methodology referenced in this report. Interpretation and use of test results are the sole responsibility of the Client and are not within the scope of services provided by BV Labs, unless otherwise agreed in writing. BV Labs is not responsible for the accuracy or any data impacts, that result from the information provided by the customer or their agent.

Solid sample results, except biota, are based on dry weight unless otherwise indicated. Organic analyses are not recovery corrected except for isotope dilution methods.

Results relate to samples tested. When sampling is not conducted by BV Labs, results relate to the supplied samples tested.

This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Reference Method suffix "m" indicates test methods incorporate validated modifications from specific reference methods to improve performance.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

(1) This test was performed by Bureau Veritas Laboratories Mississauga

(2) TOC / DOC present in the sample should be considered as non-purgeable TOC / DOC.

(3) The APHA Standard Method require pH to be analyzed within 15 minutes of sampling and therefore field analysis is required for compliance. All Laboratory pH analyses in this report are reported past the APHA Standard Method holding time.



Your Project #: Howse Quarterly Surface Water Site#: 00025 Site Location: NL SURFACE WATER Your C.O.C. #: 777606-01-01

Attention: Mariana Trindade

TATA Steel Minerals Canada 1000, Rue Sherbrooke Ouest Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2020/07/13 Report #: R6244262 Version: 2 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C0G3472 Received: 2020/07/02, 08:02

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Maryann Comeau, Project Manager Email: Maryann.COMEAU@bvlabs.com Phone# (902)420-0203 Ext:298

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

| BV Labs ID | | MZX233 | | MZX234 | | MZX235 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| | | 15:03 | | 14:14 | | 14:26 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00689 | | 00690 | | 00691 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-SW1-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-SW2-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-SW3-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Calculated Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| Anion Sum | me/L | 0.00 | 6814973 | 0.00 | 6814973 | 0.00 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Bicarb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | ND | 6814969 | ND | 6814969 | ND | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Calculated TDS | mg/L | 2.0 | 6814977 | 3.0 | 6814977 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 6814977 |
| Carb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | ND | 6814969 | ND | 6814969 | ND | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Cation Sum | me/L | 0.0400 | 6814973 | 0.0400 | 6814973 | 0.0400 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Hardness (CaCO3) | mg/L | ND | 6814971 | 1.1 | 6814971 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6814971 |
| Ion Balance (% Difference) | % | 100 | 6814972 | 100 | 6814972 | 100 | N/A | 6814972 |
| Langelier Index (@ 20C) | N/A | NC | 6814975 | NC | 6814975 | NC | | 6814975 |
| Langelier Index (@ 4C) | N/A | NC | 6814976 | NC | 6814976 | NC | | 6814976 |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | ND | 6814974 | ND | 6814974 | ND | 0.050 | 6814974 |
| Saturation pH (@ 20C) | N/A | NC | 6814975 | NC | 6814975 | NC | | 6814975 |
| Saturation pH (@ 4C) | N/A | NC | 6814976 | NC | 6814976 | NC | | 6814976 |
| Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | mg/L | ND | 6825145 | ND | 6825145 | ND | 5.0 | 6825145 |
| Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | mg/L | ND | 6826510 | ND | 6826510 | ND | 1.0 | 6826510 |
| Colour | TCU | 46 | 6826524 | 28 | 6826524 | 28 | 5.0 | 6826524 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 26 | 6829481 | 28 | 6829481 | 520 | 10 | 6829481 |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | mg/L | ND | 6826532 | ND | 6826532 | ND | 0.050 | 6826532 |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | ND | 6826534 | ND | 6826534 | ND | 0.010 | 6826534 |
| Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | mg/L | ND | 6824684 | ND | 6824697 | ND | 0.050 | 6824675 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | 4.3 | 6820214 | 4.1 | 6824348 | 4.1 | 0.50 | 6824348 |
| Total Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | 4.4 | 6828948 | 4.0 | 6828949 | 4.1 | 0.50 | 6828949 |
| Orthophosphate (P) | mg/L | ND | 6826526 | ND | 6826526 | ND | 0.010 | 6826526 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | mg/L | 9.58 | 6817737 | 11.1 | 6817737 | 10.3 | | 6817737 |
| рН | рН | 5.91 | 6826454 | 5.80 | 6826454 | 5.77 | | 6826454 |
| Phenols-4AAP | mg/L | ND | 6820470 | ND | 6820470 | ND | 0.0010 | 6820470 |
| Total Phosphorus | mg/L | ND | 6820550 | ND | 6820550 | ND | 0.020 | 6820550 |
| Reactive Silica (SiO2) | mg/L | 1.3 | 6826516 | 2.2 | 6826516 | 2.1 | 0.50 | 6826516 |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | ND | 6817176 | ND | 6817176 | ND | 1.0 | 6817176 |
| Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | mg/L | ND | 6826513 | ND | 6826513 | ND | 2.0 | 6826513 |
| Sulphide | mg/L | ND | 6818395 | ND | 6818395 | ND | 0.020 | 6818395 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | |

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

N/A = Not Applicable

ND = Not detected



| BV Labs ID | | MZX233 | | MZX234 | | MZX235 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|------|----------|
| Sampling Data | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| | | 15:03 | | 14:14 | | 14:26 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00689 | | 00690 | | 00691 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-SW1-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-SW2-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-SW3-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Turbidity | NTU | 0.68 | 6820039 | 0.19 | 6820039 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 6820039 |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 4.1 | 6826452 | 4.1 | 6826452 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 6826452 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control Batch | | | | | | | | |



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

| BV Labs ID | | MZX236 | | MZX237 | | MZX238 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------------|--------|----------|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| | | 13:37 | | 15:36 | | 12:02 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00692 | | 00693 | | 00475 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-SW4-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-SW5-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-BC-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Calculated Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| Anion Sum | me/L | 0.210 | 6814973 | 0.0500 | 6814973 | 0.00 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Bicarb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | 7.4 | 6814969 | ND | 6814969 | ND | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Calculated TDS | mg/L | 13 | 6814977 | 5.0 | 6814977 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 6814977 |
| Carb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | ND | 6814969 | ND | 6814969 | ND | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Cation Sum | me/L | 0.170 | 6814973 | 0.0500 | 6814973 | 0.0600 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Hardness (CaCO3) | mg/L | 7.6 | 6814971 | 1.6 | 6814971 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 6814971 |
| Ion Balance (% Difference) | % | 10.5 | 6814972 | 0.00 | 6814972 | 100 | N/A | 6814972 |
| Langelier Index (@ 20C) | N/A | -3.42 | 6814975 | NC | 6814975 | NC | | 6814975 |
| Langelier Index (@ 4C) | N/A | -3.67 | 6814976 | NC | 6814976 | NC | | 6814976 |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | 0.13 | 6814974 | ND | 6814974 | ND | 0.050 | 6814974 |
| Saturation pH (@ 20C) | N/A | 10.3 | 6814975 | NC | 6814975 | NC | | 6814975 |
| Saturation pH (@ 4C) | N/A | 10.5 | 6814976 | NC | 6814976 | NC | | 6814976 |
| Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | mg/L | 7.4 | 6825145 | ND | 6825145 | ND | 5.0 | 6825145 |
| Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | mg/L | ND | 6826510 | ND | 6826510 | ND | 1.0 | 6826510 |
| Colour | TCU | 19 | 6826524 | 8.7 | 6826524 | 40 | 5.0 | 6826524 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 30 | 6829481 | 18 | 6829481 | 20 | 10 | 6829481 |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | mg/L | 0.13 | 6826532 | ND | 6826532 | ND | 0.050 | 6826532 |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | ND | 6826534 | ND | 6826534 | ND | 0.010 | 6826534 |
| Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | mg/L | ND | 6824675 | ND | 6824697 | ND | 0.050 | 6824684 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | 1.8 | 6820214 | 1.7 | 6826544 | 4.9 | 0.50 | 6822188 |
| Total Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | 1.9 | 6828948 | 1.6 | 6828949 | 5.2 | 0.50 | 6828949 |
| Orthophosphate (P) | mg/L | ND | 6826526 | ND | 6826526 | ND | 0.010 | 6826526 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | mg/L | 11.0 | 6817737 | 10.4 | 6817737 | 9.59 | | 6817737 |
| рН | рН | 6.84 | 6826454 | 6.49 | 6826454 | 5.79 | | 6826454 |
| Phenols-4AAP | mg/L | ND | 6820470 | ND | 6820470 | ND | 0.0010 | 6820470 |
| Total Phosphorus | mg/L | ND | 6820550 | ND | 6820550 | ND | 0.020 | 6820550 |
| Reactive Silica (SiO2) | mg/L | 2.7 | 6826516 | 1.3 | 6826516 | 2.6 | 0.50 | 6826516 |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | ND | 6817677 | 1.4 | 6817677 | ND | 1.0 | 6817677 |
| Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | mg/L | 2.4 | 6826513 | 2.3 | 6826513 | ND | 2.0 | 6826513 |
| Sulphide | mg/L | ND | 6818395 | ND | 6818403 | ND | 0.020 | 6818395 |

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

N/A = Not Applicable

ND = Not detected



| BV Labs ID | | MZX236 | | MZX237 | | MZX238 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------------|------|----------|
| Sompling Data | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| | | 13:37 | | 15:36 | | 12:02 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00692 | | 00693 | | 00475 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-SW4-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-SW5-Q1-2020 | QC Batch | HOW-BC-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Turbidity | NTU | 0.43 | 6820039 | 0.69 | 6820039 | 1.2 | 0.10 | 6820039 |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 18 | 6826452 | 4.4 | 6826452 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 6826452 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control Batch | | | | | | | | |



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

| BV Labs ID | | MZX239 | | | MZX240 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|----------|----------------|--------|----------|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/06/26 | | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| | | 14:28 | | | 11:23 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00476 | | | 00477 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-BL-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch | HOW-TL-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Calculated Parameters | | | | | | | |
| Anion Sum | me/L | 0.570 | N/A | 6814973 | 0.330 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Bicarb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | 25 | 1.0 | 6814969 | 13 | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Calculated TDS | mg/L | 32 | 1.0 | 6814977 | 20 | 1.0 | 6814977 |
| Carb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | ND | 1.0 | 6814969 | ND | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Cation Sum | me/L | 0.490 | N/A | 6814973 | 0.310 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Hardness (CaCO3) | mg/L | 23 | 1.0 | 6814971 | 13 | 1.0 | 6814971 |
| Ion Balance (% Difference) | % | 7.55 | N/A | 6814972 | 3.13 | N/A | 6814972 |
| Langelier Index (@ 20C) | N/A | -1.91 | | 6814975 | -2.54 | | 6814975 |
| Langelier Index (@ 4C) | N/A | -2.16 | | 6814976 | -2.79 | | 6814976 |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | ND | 0.050 | 6814974 | 0.082 | 0.050 | 6814974 |
| Saturation pH (@ 20C) | N/A | 9.25 | | 6814975 | 9.78 | | 6814975 |
| Saturation pH (@ 4C) | N/A | 9.50 | | 6814976 | 10.0 | | 6814976 |
| Inorganics | | - | | | | | |
| Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | mg/L | 25 | 5.0 | 6825145 | 13 | 5.0 | 6825145 |
| Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | mg/L | ND | 1.0 | 6826510 | ND | 1.0 | 6826510 |
| Colour | TCU | 5.2 | 5.0 | 6826524 | 14 | 5.0 | 6826524 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 38 | 10 | 6829481 | 27 | 10 | 6829481 |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | mg/L | ND | 0.050 | 6826532 | 0.082 | 0.050 | 6826532 |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | ND | 0.010 | 6826534 | ND | 0.010 | 6826534 |
| Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | mg/L | ND | 0.050 | 6824684 | ND | 0.050 | 6824697 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | ND | 0.50 | 6820214 | 1.4 | 0.50 | 6824348 |
| Total Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | ND | 0.50 | 6828949 | ND (1) | 5.0 | 6828949 |
| Orthophosphate (P) | mg/L | ND | 0.010 | 6826526 | ND | 0.010 | 6826526 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | mg/L | 10.8 | | 6817737 | 10.6 | | 6817737 |
| рН | рН | 7.34 | | 6826454 | 7.24 | | 6826454 |
| Phenols-4AAP | mg/L | ND | 0.0010 | 6820470 | ND | 0.0010 | 6820470 |
| Total Phosphorus | mg/L | ND | 0.020 | 6820550 | 0.024 | 0.020 | 6820550 |
| Reactive Silica (SiO2) | mg/L | 5.1 | 0.50 | 6826516 | 3.9 | 0.50 | 6826516 |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | ND | 1.0 | 6817677 | 38 | 1.0 | 6817677 |
| Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | mg/L | 3.3 | 2.0 | 6826513 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 6826513 |
| | | | | | | | |

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

N/A = Not Applicable

ND = Not detected

(1) Elevated reporting limit due to turbidity.



| BV Labs ID | | MZX239 | | | MZX240 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------|----------------|-------|----------|
| Sampling Data | | 2020/06/26 | | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| | | 14:28 | | | 11:23 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00476 | | | 00477 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-BL-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch | HOW-TL-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Sulphide | mg/L | ND | 0.020 | 6818395 | ND | 0.020 | 6818395 |
| Turbidity | NTU | 0.25 | 0.10 | 6820039 | 3.6 | 0.10 | 6820039 |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 50 | 1.0 | 6826452 | 29 | 1.0 | 6826452 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control Batch | | | | | | | |
| ND = Not detected | | | | | | | |



| BV Labs ID | | MZX241 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|----------|
| Comulius Data | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| Sampling Date | | 12:11 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00708 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-ML-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Calculated Parameters | | | | |
| Anion Sum | me/L | 0.200 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Bicarb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | 5.5 | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Calculated TDS | mg/L | 11 | 1.0 | 6814977 |
| Carb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3) | mg/L | ND | 1.0 | 6814969 |
| Cation Sum | me/L | 0.130 | N/A | 6814973 |
| Hardness (CaCO3) | mg/L | 5.9 | 1.0 | 6814971 |
| Ion Balance (% Difference) | % | 21.2 | N/A | 6814972 |
| Langelier Index (@ 20C) | N/A | -3.57 | | 6814975 |
| Langelier Index (@ 4C) | N/A | -3.82 | | 6814976 |
| Nitrate (N) | mg/L | ND | 0.050 | 6814974 |
| Saturation pH (@ 20C) | N/A | 10.4 | | 6814975 |
| Saturation pH (@ 4C) | N/A | 10.7 | | 6814976 |
| Inorganics | 1 | | | |
| Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | mg/L | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6826557 |
| Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | mg/L | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6826830 |
| Colour | TCU | 16 | 5.0 | 6826840 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 20 | 10 | 6829481 |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | mg/L | ND | 0.050 | 6826861 |
| Nitrite (N) | mg/L | ND | 0.010 | 6826871 |
| Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | mg/L | ND | 0.050 | 6824675 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | 2.2 | 0.50 | 6824348 |
| Total Organic Carbon (C) | mg/L | 2.4 | 0.50 | 6828949 |
| Orthophosphate (P) | mg/L | ND | 0.010 | 6826857 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | mg/L | 10.5 | | 6817737 |
| рН | рН | 6.87 | | 6826454 |
| Phenols-4AAP | mg/L | ND | 0.0010 | 6820470 |
| Total Phosphorus | mg/L | ND | 0.020 | 6820550 |
| Reactive Silica (SiO2) | mg/L | 0.96 | 0.50 | 6826834 |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | 1.6 | 1.0 | 6817677 |
| Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | mg/L | 2.9 | 2.0 | 6826831 |
| Sulphide | mg/L | ND | 0.020 | 6818395 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control Batch | | | | |
| N/A = Not Applicable | | | | |
| ND = Not detected | | | | |



| BV Labs ID | | M7X241 | | |
|--|-------|---------------------|------|----------|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/06/26 12:11 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00708 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-ML-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Turbidity | NTU | 1.3 | 0.10 | 6820039 |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 14 | 1.0 | 6826452 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch | | | | |



MERCURY BY COLD VAPOUR AA (WATER)

| BV Labs ID | | MZX233 | MZX234 | MZX235 | MZX236 | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-----------|
| Someling Date | | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | | |
| Sampling Date | | 15:03 | 14:14 | 14:26 | 13:37 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00689 | 00690 | 00691 | 00692 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-SW1-Q1-2020 | HOW-SW2-Q1-2020 | HOW-SW3-Q1-2020 | HOW-SW4-Q1-20 | 20 RD | DL QC Bat |
| Vetals | | | | | | | |
| otal Mercury (Hg) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.0 | 13 682664 |
| <pre> Reportable Detectior</pre> | n Limit | | | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control | Batch | | | | | | |
| ND = Not detected | | | | | | | |
| | | N47V227 | N 47/220 | M7X220 | N 47/2 40 | | |
| BV Labs ID | | IVIZX237 | IVIZX238 | IMZX239 | IVIZXZ40 | | |
| Sampling Date | | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | | |
| | | 15:36 | 12:02 | 14:28 | 11:23 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00693 | 00475 | 00476 | 00477 | | |
| | UNI | TS HOW-SW5-Q1-202 | 20 HOW-BC-Q1-2020 | HOW-BL-Q1-2020 | HOW-TL-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Metals | | | | | | | |
| Total Mercury (Hg) | ug | L ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.013 | 6826643 |
| RDL = Reportable Detec | tion Limit | | | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Cont | rol Batch | | | | | | |
| ND = Not detected | | | | | | | |

| BV Labs ID | | MZX241 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|----------|--|--|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/06/26 12:11 | | | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | | | |
| Sample # | | 00708 | | | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-ML-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch | | |
| Metals | | | | | | |
| Total Mercury (Hg) | ug/L | ND | 0.013 | 6826643 | | |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control Batch | | | | | | |
| ND = Not detected | | | | | | |



ELEMENTS BY ICP/MS (WATER)

| BV Labs ID | | MZX233 | MZX234 | MZX235 | MZX236 | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|----------|--|
| Courseling Data | | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | | | |
| | | 15:03 | 14:14 | 14:26 | 13:37 | | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | | | |
| Sample # | | 00689 | 00690 | 00691 | 00692 | | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-SW1-Q1-2020 | HOW-SW2-Q1-2020 | HOW-SW3-Q1-2020 | HOW-SW4-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Total Aluminum (Al) | ug/L | 66 | 54 | 53 | 25 | 5.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Antimony (Sb) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Arsenic (As) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Barium (Ba) | ug/L | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Beryllium (Be) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Bismuth (Bi) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Boron (B) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 50 | 6818014 | |
| Total Cadmium (Cd) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.010 | 6818014 | |
| Total Calcium (Ca) | ug/L | 240 | 240 | 240 | 1400 | 100 | 6818014 | |
| Total Chromium (Cr) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Cobalt (Co) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.40 | 6818014 | |
| Total Copper (Cu) | ug/L | ND | ND | 0.88 | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 | |
| Total Iron (Fe) | ug/L | 340 | 73 | 70 | ND | 50 | 6818014 | |
| Total Lead (Pb) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 | |
| Total Magnesium (Mg) | ug/L | ND | 130 | 130 | 1000 | 100 | 6818014 | |
| Total Manganese (Mn) | ug/L | 22 | 6.4 | 6.2 | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Molybdenum (Mo) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Nickel (Ni) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Phosphorus (P) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 100 | 6818014 | |
| Total Potassium (K) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | 220 | 100 | 6818014 | |
| Total Selenium (Se) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 | |
| Total Silver (Ag) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 | |
| Total Sodium (Na) | ug/L | 310 | 320 | 320 | 360 | 100 | 6818014 | |
| Total Strontium (Sr) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | 3.4 | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Thallium (TI) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 | |
| Total Tin (Sn) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Titanium (Ti) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Uranium (U) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 | |
| Total Vanadium (V) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 | |
| Total Zinc (Zn) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 5.0 | 6818014 | |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control Ba | atch | | | | | | | |

ND = Not detected


ELEMENTS BY ICP/MS (WATER)

| BV Labs ID | | MZX237 | MZX238 | MZX239 | MZX240 | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| | | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | 2020/06/26 | <u> </u> | |
| Sampling Date | | 15:36 | 12:02 | 14:28 | 11:23 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00693 | 00475 | 00476 | 00477 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-SW5-Q1-2020 | HOW-BC-Q1-2020 | HOW-BL-Q1-2020 | HOW-TL-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Metals | | | | | | | |
| Total Aluminum (Al) | ug/L | 25 | 140 | 6.3 | 93 | 5.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Antimony (Sb) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Arsenic (As) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Barium (Ba) | ug/L | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Beryllium (Be) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Bismuth (Bi) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Boron (B) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 50 | 6818014 |
| Total Cadmium (Cd) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.010 | 6818014 |
| Total Calcium (Ca) | ug/L | 340 | 290 | 4400 | 2400 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Chromium (Cr) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Cobalt (Co) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.40 | 6818014 |
| Total Copper (Cu) | ug/L | ND | 0.57 | ND | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 |
| Total Iron (Fe) | ug/L | 65 | 140 | ND | 330 | 50 | 6818014 |
| Total Lead (Pb) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 |
| Total Magnesium (Mg) | ug/L | 180 | 320 | 2900 | 1700 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Manganese (Mn) | ug/L | 11 | 10 | 2.4 | 17 | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Molybdenum (Mo) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Nickel (Ni) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Phosphorus (P) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Potassium (K) | ug/L | 110 | ND | 300 | 290 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Selenium (Se) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 |
| Total Silver (Ag) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 |
| Total Sodium (Na) | ug/L | 360 | 340 | 660 | 500 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Strontium (Sr) | ug/L | 2.1 | ND | 5.7 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Thallium (Tl) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 |
| Total Tin (Sn) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Titanium (Ti) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | 2.8 | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Uranium (U) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 |
| Total Vanadium (V) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Zinc (Zn) | ug/L | ND | ND | ND | ND | 5.0 | 6818014 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection | Limit | • | · | · | <u> </u> | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control B | Batch | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



ELEMENTS BY ICP/MS (WATER)

| BV Labs ID | | MZX241 | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/06/26 | | |
| Sampling Date | | 12:11 | | |
| COC Number | | 777606-01-01 | | |
| Sample # | | 00708 | | |
| | UNITS | HOW-ML-Q1-2020 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Metals | | | | |
| Total Aluminum (Al) | ug/L | 40 | 5.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Antimony (Sb) | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Arsenic (As) | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Barium (Ba) | ug/L | 1.4 | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Beryllium (Be) | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Bismuth (Bi) | ug/L | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Boron (B) | ug/L | ND | 50 | 6818014 |
| Total Cadmium (Cd) | ug/L | ND | 0.010 | 6818014 |
| Total Calcium (Ca) | ug/L | 1200 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Chromium (Cr) | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Cobalt (Co) | ug/L | ND | 0.40 | 6818014 |
| Total Copper (Cu) | ug/L | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 |
| Total Iron (Fe) | ug/L | 60 | 50 | 6818014 |
| Total Lead (Pb) | ug/L | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 |
| Total Magnesium (Mg) | ug/L | 710 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Manganese (Mn) | ug/L | 4.2 | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Molybdenum (Mo) | ug/L | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Nickel (Ni) | ug/L | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Phosphorus (P) | ug/L | ND | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Potassium (K) | ug/L | 170 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Selenium (Se) | ug/L | ND | 0.50 | 6818014 |
| Total Silver (Ag) | ug/L | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 |
| Total Sodium (Na) | ug/L | 210 | 100 | 6818014 |
| Total Strontium (Sr) | ug/L | 2.8 | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Thallium (Tl) | ug/L | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 |
| Total Tin (Sn) | ug/L | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Titanium (Ti) | ug/L | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Uranium (U) | ug/L | ND | 0.10 | 6818014 |
| Total Vanadium (V) | ug/L | ND | 2.0 | 6818014 |
| Total Zinc (Zn) | ug/L | ND | 5.0 | 6818014 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection L | imit | | | |
| QC Batch = Quality Control Ba | atch | | | |
| ND = Not detected | | | | |



GENERAL COMMENTS

Each temperature is the average of up to three cooler temperatures taken at receipt

| Package 1 | 2.7°C |
|------------|-------|
| Package 2 | 2.3°C |
| Package 3 | 2.3°C |
| Package 4 | 2.0°C |
| Package 5 | 5.3°C |
| Package 6 | 6.3°C |
| Package 7 | 4.7°C |
| Package 8 | 6.3°C |
| Package 9 | 6.0°C |
| Package 10 | 6.0°C |
| | |

Samples received past the recommended holding time for dissolved oxygen testing.

Sample MZX233 [HOW-SW1-Q1-2020] : RCAp Ion Balance acceptable. Anion/cation agreement within 0.2 meq/L.

Sample MZX234 [HOW-SW2-Q1-2020] : RCAp Ion Balance acceptable. Anion/cation agreement within 0.2 meq/L.

Sample MZX235 [HOW-SW3-Q1-2020] : RCAp Ion Balance acceptable. Anion/cation agreement within 0.2 meq/L.

Sample MZX236 [HOW-SW4-Q1-2020] : RCAp Ion Balance acceptable. Anion/cation agreement within 0.2 meq/L.

Sample MZX238 [HOW-BC-Q1-2020] : RCAp Ion Balance acceptable. Anion/cation agreement within 0.2 meq/L.

Sample MZX239 [HOW-BL-Q1-2020] : RCAp Ion Balance acceptable. Anion/cation agreement within 0.2 meq/L.

Sample MZX240 [HOW-TL-Q1-2020] : DOCCOMB-W DIS Organic Carbon (C) > TOCCOMB-W TOT: Both values fall within acceptable RPD limits for duplicates and are likely equivalent.

Sample MZX241 [HOW-ML-Q1-2020] : RCAp Ion Balance acceptable. Anion/cation agreement within 0.2 meq/L.

Results relate only to the items tested.

Page 16 of 24



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| Batch | Init | QC Туре | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
|---------|------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| 6817176 | ZZH | QC Standard | Total Suspended Solids | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6817176 | ZZH | Method Blank | Total Suspended Solids | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDL=1.0 | | mg/L | |
| 6817176 | ZZH | RPD | Total Suspended Solids | 2020/07/07 | 13 | | % | 20 |
| 6817677 | DME | QC Standard | Total Suspended Solids | 2020/07/06 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6817677 | DME | Method Blank | Total Suspended Solids | 2020/07/06 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=1.0 | | | |
| 6817677 | DME | RPD | Total Suspended Solids | 2020/07/06 | 0 | | % | 20 |
| 6818014 | MLB | Matrix Spike | Total Aluminum (Al) | 2020/07/07 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Antimony (Sb) | 2020/07/07 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Arsenic (As) | 2020/07/07 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Barium (Ba) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Beryllium (Be) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Bismuth (Bi) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Boron (B) | 2020/07/07 | | 104 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Cadmium (Cd) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Calcium (Ca) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Chromium (Cr) | 2020/07/07 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Cobalt (Co) | 2020/07/07 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Copper (Cu) | 2020/07/07 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Iron (Fe) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Lead (Pb) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Magnesium (Mg) | 2020/07/07 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Manganese (Mn) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Molybdenum (Mo) | 2020/07/07 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Nickel (Ni) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Phosphorus (P) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Potassium (K) | 2020/07/07 | | 102 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Selenium (Se) | 2020/07/07 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Silver (Ag) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Sodium (Na) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Strontium (Sr) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Thallium (Tl) | 2020/07/07 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Tin (Sn) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Titanium (Ti) | 2020/07/07 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Uranium (U) | 2020/07/07 | | 102 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Vanadium (V) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Zinc (Zn) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6818014 | MLB | Spiked Blank | Total Aluminum (Al) | 2020/07/07 | | 102 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Antimony (Sb) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Arsenic (As) | 2020/07/07 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Barium (Ba) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Beryllium (Be) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Bismuth (Bi) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Iotal Boron (B) | 2020/07/07 | | 102 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Cadmium (Cd) | 2020/07/07 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | I otal Calcium (Ca) | 2020/07/07 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Iotal Chromium (Cr) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Iotal Cobalt (Co) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Copper (Cu) | 2020/07/07 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Iron (Fe) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Iotal Lead (Pb) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 1 | | | Total Magnesium (Mg) | 2020/07/07 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |



| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
|---------|------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| | | | Total Manganese (Mn) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Molybdenum (Mo) | 2020/07/07 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Nickel (Ni) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Phosphorus (P) | 2020/07/07 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Potassium (K) | 2020/07/07 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Selenium (Se) | 2020/07/07 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Silver (Ag) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Sodium (Na) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Strontium (Sr) | 2020/07/07 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Thallium (Tl) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Tin (Sn) | 2020/07/07 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Titanium (Ti) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Uranium (U) | 2020/07/07 | | 102 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Vanadium (V) | 2020/07/07 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Total Zinc (Zn) | 2020/07/07 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6818014 | MLB | Method Blank | Total Aluminum (Al) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDL=5.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Antimony (Sb) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | / / | RDL=1.0 | | | |
| | | | Total Arsenic (As) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | 2020/07/07 | RDL=1.0 | | | |
| | | | Total Barlum (Ba) | 2020/07/07 | ND, PDI =1.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Benyllium (Be) | 2020/07/07 | | | ug/I | |
| | | | i otal belynum (be) | 2020/07/07 | RDL=1.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Bismuth (Bi) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Poron (P) | 2020/07/07 | | | ug/I | |
| | | | | 2020/07/07 | RDL=50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Cadmium (Cd) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.010 | | | |
| | | | Total Calcium (Ca) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | 2020/07/07 | RDL=100 | | | |
| | | | Total Chromium (Cr) | 2020/07/07 | ND, PDI =1.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Cobalt (Co) | 2020/07/07 | | | | |
| | | | | 2020/07/07 | RDI =0.40 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Copper (Cu) | 2020/07/07 | | | ug/I | |
| | | | | 2020/07/07 | RDL=0.50 | | 06/ L | |
| | | | Total Iron (Fe) | 2020/07/07 | ND. | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=50 | | - 10- | |
| | | | Total Lead (Pb) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.50 | | 0, | |
| | | | Total Magnesium (Mg) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=100 | | | |
| | | | Total Manganese (Mn) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=2.0 | | | |
| | | | Total Molybdenum (Mo) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=2.0 | | | |
| | | | Total Nickel (Ni) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=2.0 | | | |
| | | | Total Phosphorus (P) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=100 | | | |



| QA/QC | | | _ | | | _ | | |
|---------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
| | | | Total Potassium (K) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDL=100 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Selenium (Se) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDL=0.50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Silver (Ag) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDL=0.10 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Sodium (Na) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDI =100 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Strontium (Sr) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDI =2 0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Thallium (Tl) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDI =0.10 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Tin (Sn) | 2020/07/07 | ND, | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Titanium (Ti) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDI = 2.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Uranium (U) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDI =0.10 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Vanadium (V) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDI =2.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Total Zinc (Zn) | 2020/07/07 | ND, BDI = 5.0 | | ug/L | |
| 6818014 | MIR | RPD | Total Aluminum (Al) | 2020/07/07 | 39 | | % | 20 |
| 0010011 | IVILD | | Total Antimony (Sh) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Arsenic (As) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Barium (Ba) | 2020/07/07 | 2.4 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Beryllium (Be) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Bismuth (Bi) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Boron (B) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Cadmium (Cd) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Calcium (Ca) | 2020/07/07 | 4.6 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Chromium (Cr) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Cobalt (Co) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Copper (Cu) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Iron (Fe) | 2020/07/07 | 3.9 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Lead (Pb) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Magnesium (Mg) | 2020/07/07 | 3.4 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Manganese (Mn) | 2020/07/07 | 4.1 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Molybdenum (Mo) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Nickel (Ni) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Phosphorus (P) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Potassium (K) | 2020/07/07 | 7.1 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Selenium (Se) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Silver (Ag) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Sodium (Na) | 2020/07/07 | 9.7 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Strontium (Sr) | 2020/07/07 | 1.5 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Thallium (TI) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Tin (Sn) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Titanium (Ti) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Uranium (U) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Vanadium (V) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Total Zinc (Zn) | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6818395 | NYS | Matrix Spike | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |



| QA/QC | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
| 6818395 | NYS | Spiked Blank | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6818395 | NYS | Method Blank | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | ND, RDL=0.020 | | mg/L | |
| 6818395 | NYS | RPD | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6818403 | NYS | Matrix Spike [MZX237-07] | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6818403 | NYS | Spiked Blank | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | | 104 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6818403 | NYS | Method Blank | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | ND, RDL=0.020 | | mg/L | |
| 6818403 | NYS | RPD [MZX237-07] | Sulphide | 2020/07/03 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6820039 | SHW | QC Standard | Turbidity | 2020/07/06 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6820039 | SHW | Spiked Blank | Turbidity | 2020/07/06 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6820039 | SHW | Method Blank | Turbidity | 2020/07/06 | ND, RDL=0.10 | | NTU | |
| 6820039 | SHW | RPD [MZX237-01] | Turbidity | 2020/07/06 | 2.9 | | % | 20 |
| 6820214 | SSI | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6820214 | SSI | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6820214 | SSI | Method Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDL=0.50 | | mg/L | |
| 6820214 | SSI | RPD | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/07 | 0.87 | | % | 15 |
| 6820470 | BMO | Matrix Spike [MZX235-06] | Phenols-4AAP | 2020/07/07 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6820470 | вмо | Spiked Blank | Phenols-4AAP | 2020/07/07 | | 104 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6820470 | BMO | Method Blank | Phenols-4AAP | 2020/07/07 | ND, RDL=0.0010 | | mg/L | |
| 6820470 | вмо | RPD [MZX235-06] | Phenols-4AAP | 2020/07/07 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6820550 | EMT | Matrix Spike [MZX238-10] | Total Phosphorus | 2020/07/08 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6820550 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Total Phosphorus | 2020/07/08 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6820550 | EMT | Method Blank | Total Phosphorus | 2020/07/08 | ND, RDL=0.020 | | mg/L | |
| 6820550 | EMT | RPD [MZX238-10] | Total Phosphorus | 2020/07/08 | NC | | % | 25 |
| 6822188 | SSI | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/08 | | 100 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6822188 | SSI | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/08 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6822188 | SSI | Method Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/08 | ND, RDL=0.50 | | mg/L | |
| 6822188 | SSI | RPD | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/08 | 5.2 | | % | 15 |
| 6824348 | SSI | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | | 100 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6824348 | SSI | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6824348 | SSI | Method Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=0.50 | | mg/L | |
| 6824348 | SSI | RPD | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | NC | | % | 15 |
| 6824675 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6824675 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6824675 | EMT | Method Blank | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | ND, RDL=0.050 | | mg/L | |
| 6824675 | EMT | RPD | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | 0.54 | | % | 20 |
| 6824684 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6824684 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6824684 | EMT | Method Blank | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | ND, RDL=0.050 | | mg/L | |
| 6824684 | EMT | RPD | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6824697 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | | NC | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | | | | | | |



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT(CONT'D)

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
| 6824697 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6824697 | EMT | Method Blank | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.050 | | | |
| 6824697 | EMT | RPD | Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen) | 2020/07/08 | 0.80 | | % | 20 |
| 6825145 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | | 103 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6825145 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | | 106 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6825145 | EMT | Method Blank | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=5.0 | | mg/L | |
| 6825145 | EMT | RPD | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | 0.76 | | % | 20 |
| 6826452 | SHW | Spiked Blank | Conductivity | 2020/07/09 | | 106 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826452 | SHW | Method Blank | Conductivity | 2020/07/09 | ND, | | uS/cm | |
| | | | | | RDL=1.0 | | | |
| 6826452 | SHW | RPD | Conductivity | 2020/07/09 | 2.0 | | % | 10 |
| 6826454 | SHW | Spiked Blank | рН | 2020/07/09 | | 101 | % | 97 - 103 |
| 6826454 | SHW | RPD | рН | 2020/07/09 | 0.97 | | % | N/A |
| 6826510 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | | NC | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826510 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | | 102 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826510 | EMT | Method Blank | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDI =1.0 | | mg/L | |
| 6826510 | FMT | RPD | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | 1.7 | | % | 20 |
| 6826513 | FMT | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | 2.7 | 104 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826513 | FMT | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | | 109 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826513 | FMT | Method Blank | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | ND | 105 | mg/l | 00 120 |
| 0020313 | 2.000 | | | 2020/07/05 | RDL=2.0 | | | |
| 6826513 | EMT | RPD | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826516 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826516 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826516 | EMT | Method Blank | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.50 | | 0. | |
| 6826516 | EMT | RPD | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | 0.81 | | % | 20 |
| 6826524 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Colour | 2020/07/09 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826524 | EMT | Method Blank | Colour | 2020/07/09 | ND, | | TCU | |
| | | | | | RDL=5.0 | | | |
| 6826524 | EMT | RPD | Colour | 2020/07/09 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826526 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826526 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826526 | EMT | Method Blank | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.010 | | | |
| 6826526 | EMT | RPD | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826532 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826532 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826532 | EMT | Method Blank | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=0.050 | | mg/L | |
| 6826532 | EMT | RPD | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826534 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | 104 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826534 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | 107 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826534 | EMT | Method Blank | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.010 | | | |
| 6826534 | EMT | RPD | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826544 | SSI | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | | 102 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6826544 | SSI | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | | 103 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826544 | SSI | Method Blank | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=0.50 | | mg/L | |

Page 21 of 24



| QA/QC | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
| 6826544 | SSI | RPD | Dissolved Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/09 | 2.2 | | % | 15 |
| 6826557 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826557 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | | 106 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826557 | EMT | Method Blank | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=5.0 | | | |
| 6826557 | EMT | RPD | Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3) | 2020/07/09 | 1.3 | | % | 20 |
| 6826643 | NHU | Matrix Spike | Total Mercury (Hg) | 2020/07/10 | | 106 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826643 | NHU | Spiked Blank | Total Mercury (Hg) | 2020/07/10 | | 106 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826643 | NHU | Method Blank | Total Mercury (Hg) | 2020/07/10 | ND, RDL=0.013 | | ug/L | |
| 6826643 | NHU | RPD | Total Mercury (Hg) | 2020/07/10 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826830 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | | NC | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826830 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826830 | EMT | Method Blank | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=1.0 | | | |
| 6826830 | EMT | RPD | Dissolved Chloride (Cl-) | 2020/07/09 | 0.40 | | % | 20 |
| 6826831 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | | NC | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826831 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | | 108 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826831 | EMT | Method Blank | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=2.0 | | mg/L | |
| 6826831 | EMT | RPD | Dissolved Sulphate (SO4) | 2020/07/09 | 0.39 | | % | 20 |
| 6826834 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826834 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826834 | EMT | Method Blank | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.50 | | | |
| 6826834 | EMT | RPD | Reactive Silica (SiO2) | 2020/07/09 | 2.5 | | % | 20 |
| 6826840 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Colour | 2020/07/09 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826840 | EMT | Method Blank | Colour | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=5.0 | | TCU | |
| 6826840 | EMT | RPD | Colour | 2020/07/09 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826857 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826857 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826857 | EMT | Method Blank | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.010 | | | |
| 6826857 | EMT | RPD | Orthophosphate (P) | 2020/07/10 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 6826861 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826861 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826861 | EMT | Method Blank | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=0.050 | | mg/L | |
| 6826861 | EMT | RPD | Nitrate + Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | 0.54 | | % | 20 |
| 6826871 | EMT | Matrix Spike | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | NC | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826871 | EMT | Spiked Blank | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6826871 | EMT | Method Blank | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | ND, RDL=0.010 | | mg/L | |
| 6826871 | EMT | RPD | Nitrite (N) | 2020/07/09 | 0.51 | | % | 20 |
| 6828948 | SSI | Matrix Spike | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | | 99 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6828948 | SSI | Spiked Blank | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6828948 | SSI | Method Blank | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | ND. | | mg/L | 0 |
| | | | | | RDL=0.50 | | 67 - | |
| 6828948 | SSI | RPD | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | 7.3 | | % | 15 |
| 6828949 | SSI | Matrix Spike | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | | 100 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6828949 | SSI | Spiked Blank | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT(CONT'D)

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
| 6828949 | SSI | Method Blank | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | ND, | mg/L | | |
| | | | | | RDL=0.50 | | | |
| 6828949 | SSI | RPD | Total Organic Carbon (C) | 2020/07/10 | NC | | % | 15 |
| 6829481 | AM6 | QC Standard | Total Dissolved Solids | 2020/07/13 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6829481 | AM6 | Method Blank | Total Dissolved Solids | 2020/07/13 | ND, | | mg/L | |
| | | | | | RDL=10 | | | |
| 6829481 | AM6 | RPD | Total Dissolved Solids | 2020/07/13 | 7.3 | | % | 25 |

N/A = Not Applicable

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.

Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.

QC Standard: A sample of known concentration prepared by an external agency under stringent conditions. Used as an independent check of method accuracy.

Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

NC (Matrix Spike): The recovery in the matrix spike was not calculated. The relative difference between the concentration in the parent sample and the spike amount was too small to permit a reliable recovery calculation (matrix spike concentration was less than the native sample concentration)

NC (Duplicate RPD): The duplicate RPD was not calculated. The concentration in the sample and/or duplicate was too low to permit a reliable RPD calculation (absolute difference <= 2x RDL).



VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Brad Newman, Scientific Service Specialist

<original signed by>

Mike MacGillivray, Scientific Specialist (Inorganics)

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

Appendix 2 Lake Water Level Monitoring Report



March 17, 2021

Tata Steel Minerals Canada Ltd 1000, rue Sherbrooke West, bureau 1120 Montreal (Québec) H3A 3G4

Attention: Ms. Mariana Trindade, PhD, Corporate Environmental Manager

Subject: Monitoring Report – Lakes Water Levels for 2019-2020 and Corrective Measures

Dear Ms. Trindade,

We are pleased to submit the monitoring report carried out by our firm for the above-mentioned project. Please do not hesitate to contact our office if you have any questions or comments.

1. INTRODUCTION

This monitoring report presents estimated daily water surface elevations based on hydrometric data recorded at 5 sites (lakes O'Nelly, Triangle, Morley, Pinette, and Burnetta ["sites" and "lakes" are used interchangeably in this report]). The data covers the period from August 14, 2019 to July 26, 2020. Water depths were monitored using Rugged TROLL 200 data loggers. Atmospheric pressure was monitored at O'Nelly, Triangle, Pinette, and Burnetta sites using a Rugged BaroTROLL data logger. No atmospheric pressure data logger was installed at the Morley site.

2. WATER LEVEL MONITORING

2.1. FIELD DATA

As mentioned in the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 reports, all Rugged TROLL 200 data loggers were installed by Groupe Hémisphères on August 2, 2017 and on September 14, 2017. 2018-2019 data were collected by Aquasphera staff on August 14, 2019. Three new Rugged BaroTROLL loggers were installed and all probes reinitiated during that visit. The 2019-2020 data was collected by a Tata Steel environmental technician and submitted to Groupe Hémisphères and Aquasphera for processing.

All probes were adjusted for atmospheric pressure using the Rugged BaroTROLL data logger during the entire monitoring period. No atmospheric pressure data logger was installed at the



Morley site. Atmospheric pressure data from Triangle Lake, the closest site, was used for calculations at Morley Lake.

Surveys have been performed by TSMC and Aquasphera staff to record marker and water levels at Morley, Triangle, Pinette, and O'Nelly sites in 2019. As for the Burnetta site, it was not possible to record coordinates since no signal is available in this remote area. However, coordinates from a hand-held GPS unit taken in 2018 by Groupe Hémisphères are available. Elevations at Burnetta have also been calculated upon preliminary atmospheric pressure data from August 2019.

Meteorological data from the Schefferville Airport station were compiled by Aquasphera.

2.2. RESULTS

Figures 1 to 5 present estimated water levels in the 5 lakes. Their water depths were converted into absolute elevations, using available survey and atmospheric pressure data. This conversion was roughly estimated for the Burnetta site as only coordinates from a hand-held GPS unit and only a few atmospheric pressure data were available.

In order to convert water depths to water elevations, probe elevation must be determined. Typically, as the water elevation is surveyed using a precise GPS device, water depth is simultaneously measured by the probe. The difference between these two values gives probe elevation.

Data on probe elevation was compiled in the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 reports. In 2018, values from past surveys carried out by TSMC and Groupe Hémisphères staff were used to calculate probe elevations. On September 13 and 14, 2017, probes were removed, put into a sleeve with antifreeze, and replaced. Hence, it was difficult to establish probe elevations with precision. When possible, surveys undertaken on August 14 and 15, 2019 were used to correct probes elevations. Since no new data on probe elevation was available or could be collected for the 2019-2020 period, the elevation estimates from 2019 were used for this report. Probe elevations are presented in Table 1.



| Site | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Comment |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| Morley Lake | 674.63 | 674.63 | 674.63 | No baro logger, correction not possible |
| Triangle Lake | 583.40 | 583.59 | 583.59 | Correction done in 2019 |
| Pinette Lake | 635.15 | 635.29 | 635.29 | Correction done in 2019 |
| Burnetta Lake | 525 | 524 | 524 | No survey available, rough estimate in 2019 |
| O'Nelly Lake | N/A | 661.15 | 661.15 | Correction done in 2019 |

Table 1: Probe Elevations (in m.a.s.l.)

Compiled results including daily water surface elevation and precipitations during the monitoring period are presented in the figures below.



Figure 1: Average Daily Water Surface Elevation and Precipitation at O'NellyLake

Data at the O'Nelly site is erratic from February 6 to the end of the measurement period. According to the data, the probe was probably encased in ice for approximately one month, after which the logged water surface elevation is constant and 25 cm higher than before the start of the winter. The pressures and vacuums created by expanding and shifting ice make the data from this period unusable, and the probe likely shifted or became uncalibrated after this event.



P0062 – Monitoring Report – Lakes Water Level for 2019-2020 Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited



Figure 2: Average Daily Water Surface Elevation and Precipitation at Triangle Lake



Figure 3: Average Daily Water Surface Elevation and Precipitation at Morley Lake

Data from the Morley site is also erratic on November 10 and 11, 2019, as well between December 7, 2019 to April 19, 2020, and cannot be used. During this period, raw data show rapid variations of water depth that were probably due to ice buildup around the probe.



P0062 – Monitoring Report – Lakes Water Level for 2019-2020 Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited



Figure 4: Average Daily Water Surface Elevation and Precipitation at Burnetta Lake

Data at the Burnetta site is also erratic from November 5, 2019 to March 14, 2020, again probably due to ice buildup around the probe. Moreover, water levels in the spring appear to be on average 19 cm lower than last year, which may be due to a probe displacement during the winter.



Figure 5: Average Daily Water Surface Elevation and Precipitation at Pinette Lake

Data at the Pinette site is erratic from March 16 to May 10, 2020; this again, may be due to ice buildup around the probe.



3. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMANDATIONS

The following recommendations from the 2018-2019 report are maintained:

- 1. To ensure proper monitoring of Morley Lake's water level, the installation of an atmospheric pressure probe should be considered. It is recommended to use one Rugged BaroTROLL data logger for each Rugged TROLL 200 data logger unless the monitored sites are less than 2 km apart or when the elevation difference is less than 30 meters. In the case of Morley Lake, only one of the two conditions are met with the closest site (Triangle Lake). When the two conditions are not met, the use of an atmospheric pressure probe from another site to compensate the Rugged TROLL 200 data at Morley Lake may not allow to maintain the accuracy claimed by the probe manufacturer (In-Situ).
- 2. There is still no good survey data available for Burnetta Lake and a proper field survey should be completed to collect probe elevation, marker, and water levels. This information is needed to ensure that loggers operate properly and that water levels are calculated correctly.
- 3. A minimum of two surveys per year per site should be conducted, before and after the freezing period.

Moreover, the following recommendations are added for this 2019-2020 report:

- 4. The Rugged TROLL 200 data logger at the O'Nelly site is considered to be non-functional. After the 2019-2020 winter season, the probe elevation shifted and the data is considered to be unreliable. As a priority, the probe needs to be re-installed and tested, or replaced altogether due to damage sustained from freezing during the 2019-2020 period.
- 5. As mentioned in previous discussions with Groupe Hémisphères and TSMC, an intervention is required to: assess the situation at each site (including the antifreeze sleeves); test probes; re-install or replace probes as required. Other methods of lake level monitoring should also be investigated, since probe displacement issues and erratic data due to pressure from ice buildup have happened each year since the monitoring started.



4. SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

This document is published in accordance with and subject to an agreement between Aquasphera, Groupe Hémisphères and the Client (TSMC) for whom it has been prepared. It is limited to issues raised by the Client in its commitment and prepared using the standard skill and care commonly exercised by Engineering Scientists in the preparation of such documents. It has been prepared using data collected by TSMC, Groupe Hémisphères and Aquasphera. This document is meant to be read as a whole, and sections or parts thereof should not be read or interpreted out of context. This document is confidential and the property of the Client.

Prepared by:

<original signed by>

<original signed by>

Maxim Fortin, Eng., M.Sc. Water Resources Engineer OIQ 5 019 434 François-Julien Delisle, Eng., M. Sc. Water Resources Engineer Technical Manager OIQ 144 155



Appendix 3 Wetland Water Levels Report



Montréal, March 15, 2021

Mariana Trindade Corporate Environmental Manager Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited 1000 Sherbrooke West, Suite 1120 Montréal (Québec) H3A 3G4

Subject: Howse wetland wells water levels – 2020 campaign Direct Shipping Ore (DSO) Project – Newfoundland and Labrador

N/D: PR185-45-20

Ms. Trindade,

We are pleased to submit the technical report on the above-mentioned project.

Tata Steel Minerals Canada (TSMC) is developing an open-pit iron ore mine in Newfoundland and Labrador. Approximately 46 Mt of iron ore will be extracted over the course of the Howse Property Iron Mine Project's lifespan (Howse Project), or about 15 years.

In 2014, an environmental assessment of the Howse Project was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*. As a result, the Howse Project was accepted with several conditions. TSMC, in compliance with the *Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994* and with the *Species at Risk Act*, must ensure that migratory bird populations and their habitat are in no way negatively impacted by the Howse Project implantation, operation, and decommission.

In this regard and among other requirements, TSMC and Groupe Hémisphères developed a follow-up program to monitor and detect any adverse environmental effects of the Howse Project on wetland functions that support migratory birds, and to determine the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures.

This document presents the work mandated to Groupe Hémisphères by TSMC regarding water levels measured in wetlands. It presents the third year of water level monitoring.

1 Methodology

The bottom of water wells, affixed into deep mineral soil, are used to monitor changes in the water levels across wetlands. The surface of the soil in wetlands is not at a constant altitude: it expands and swells as it is waterlogged. Therefore, using the soil level next to the well as a reference altitude would provide

inaccurate data. While the measures using the bottom of the wells cannot be used to compare levels between wells, it is the only way to assure a precise interannual comparison.

Water levels are assessed by measuring the distance from the top of the PVC tube to the surface of the water. It is then subtracted from the total length of the pipe. Water levels were measured once in 2020.

2 Results

Well locations are presented on the figure in Appendix I. No photographs were taken during the 2020 campaign, except for the well WMW25, pictured below.



Figure 1. WMW25 during resampling on October 2, 2020

Table 1 presents water levels measured in each well, as well as their specific sampling dates. Mean values obtained in 2018 mean and 2019 values are presented in Table 1 for comparison.

For almost all wells, water levels in 2020 were lower when contrasted to those measured in 2019 and in 2018; in some instances, the variation observed in water levels was large (ex. WMW01, WMW03, WMW18, etc.). Almost all 2020 water level measurements were outside of the ranges (min/max levels) provided in 2018.

| Well ID | Date sampled in 2020 (mm-dd) | Water level (m) | Comments | 2019 water level (m) | 2018 mean value (m) |
|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| WMW01 | 09-06 | 0.23 | | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| WMW02 | 09-06 | 0.60 | Cap off | 0.62 | 0.63 |

Table 1. Water wells level - 2020



| Well ID | Date sampled in 2020 (mm-dd) | Water level (m) | Comments | Comments 2019 water level (m) | |
|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------|
| WMW03 | 08-23 | 0.48 | | 1.17 | 1.20 |
| WMW04 | 08-23 | 0.51 | | 0.67 | 0.63 |
| WMW05 | 08-23 | 0.16 | | | 1.05 |
| WMW06 | 09-05 | 0.87 | | | 0.74 |
| WMW08 | 09-07 | 0.98 | | | 0.98 |
| WMW11 | 09-06 | 0.63 | | 0.72 | 0.58 |
| WMW12 | 09-06 | 1.05 | | 1.14 | 1.10 |
| WMW13 | 09-06 | 1.09 | | 1.02 | 0.62 |
| WMW16 | 09-06 | 0.67 | | 0.7 | 0.69 |
| WMW18 | 09-06 | 0.07 | | 0.94 | 0.97 |
| WMW19 | 09-06 | 0.88 | Cap off | 0.97 | 0.62 |
| WMW21 | 09-06 | 0.73 | | 0.74 | 0.77 |
| WMW22 | 09-06 | 0.25 | | 0.73 | 0.71 |
| WMW24 | 09-06 | 0.13 | | | 0.66 |
| WMW25 | 10-02 | 0.57* | | 0.48 | 0.52 |
| WMW26 | 09-06 | 0.24 | | 0.74 | 0.73 |
| WMW27 | 08-26 | 0.99 | | 1.07 | 1.16 |
| WMW29 | 09-06 | 0.77 | | | 0.90 |
| WMW30 | 08-26 | 0.66 | | 1.27 | 0.75 |

Notes: --: water levels not sampled; *water level originally measured on September 6 was resampled due to an error with the initial reading

3 Recommendation

For the 2021 campaign, measurements should be carried out on **monthly basis** during the summer months, by recording the water depth from the top of the well. As a reminder, the initial visits at a few of the wells require tools to pry open the caps.



4 Quality assurance

Groupe Hémisphères possesses an internal quality control program based on a review and approval of all concepts and document production by a senior professional. The program considers the management, the control of documentation, the personnel's continuous training, as well as the quality assurance of the deliverables. The system also includes a tight control of the field work and the prevention and safety measures specific to the project.

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

<original signed by>

Alicia Suchorski Environmental specialist, M. Sc., EP® Marie-Ève Dion Biologist, M. Sc. Env. ABQ #2951

<original signed by>



Appendix I

Well locations





- Ecoregion Boundary
- Existing Road

- Proposed Dissipation Pool 📥 Haul Road
- \rightarrow Proposed Ditch and Outlet



SOURCES: Basemap Government of Canada, NTDB, 1:50,000, 1979 Government of NL and government of Quebec, Boundary used for claims SNC Lavalin, Groupe Hémisphères, Hydrology update, 2013

Infrastructure and Mining Components New Millennium Capital Corp., Mining sites and roads Howse Minerals Limited/ MET-CHEM Howse Deposit Design for General Layout, 2015





Appendix 4 Air Monitoring Certificates of Analysis



Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Your Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site#: 2020/07/04 - 2020/08/09 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland

Attention: TARA OAK

Tata Steel Mineral Canada 1000, Sherbrooke St West Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2020/08/27 Report #: R2921164 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C059022 Received: 2020/08/20, 08:58

Sample Matrix: Air # Samples Received: 5

| | | Date | Date | | |
|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| NO2 Passive Analysis | 5 | 2020/08/20 | 2020/08/27 | PTC SOP-00148 | Passive NO2 in ATM |

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results relate only to the items tested.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Levi Manchak, Project Manager SR Email: Levi.MANCHAK@bvlabs.com Phone# (780)378-8542

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Tata Steel Mineral Canada Client Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Sampler Initials: JFD

RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF AIR

| BV Labs ID | | YH3190 | YH3191 | YH3192 | YH3193 | YH3200 | | | | | |
|--|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----|----------|--|--|--|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/07/04 12:51 | 2020/07/04 10:37 | 2020/07/05 08:29 | 2020/07/12 18:30 | 2020/07/05 07:31 | | | | | |
| | UNITS | AQS2-NO2 | AQS4-NO2 | AQS6-NO2 | AQS8-NO2 | AQS9-NO2-2 | RDL | QC Batch | | | |
| Passive Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calculated NO2 ppb 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.5 0.1 9964217 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | | | | |



Tata Steel Mineral Canada Client Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland Your P.O. #: 300000730 Sampler Initials: JFD

GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.



Tata Steel Mineral Canada Client Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Sampler Initials: JFD

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits | | |
| 9964217 | YL6 | Spiked Blank | Calculated NO2 | | | 106 | % | 90 - 110 | | |
| 9964217 | YL6 | Method Blank | Calculated NO2 | | <0.1 | | ppb | | | |
| Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Method E | Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination. | | | | | | | | | |



Tata Steel Mineral Canada Client Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Sampler Initials: JFD

VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Linda Lin, Supervisor, Centre for Passive Sampling Technology

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Your Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site#: 2020/08/08 - 2020/09/08 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland

Attention: TARA OAK

Tata Steel Mineral Canada 1000, Sherbrooke St West Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2020/09/28 Report #: R2934294 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C067446 Received: 2020/09/18, 10:03

Sample Matrix: Air # Samples Received: 6

| | | Date | Date | | |
|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| NO2 Passive Analysis | 6 | 2020/09/21 | 2020/09/28 | PTC SOP-00148 | Passive NO2 in ATM |

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results relate only to the items tested.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Levi Manchak, Project Manager SR Email: Levi.MANCHAK@bvlabs.com Phone# (780)378-8542

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Tata Steel Mineral Canada Client Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Sampler Initials: JD

RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF AIR

| BV Labs ID | | YL7853 | YL7854 | YL7855 | YL7867 | YL7856 | YL7858 | | | |
|---|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----|----------|--|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/08/08 11:22 | 2020/08/08 09:53 | 2020/08/09 11:17 | 2020/08/09 14:29 | 2020/08/09 12:15 | 2020/08/08 14:58 | | | |
| | UNITS | AQS2-NO2 | AQS4-NO2 | AQS6-NO2 | AQ\$7-NO2 | AQS8-NO2 | AQS9-NO2 | RDL | QC Batch | |
| Passive Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calculated NO2 ppb 0.2 0.1 0.4 0.4 <0.1 0.3 0.1 A007741 | | | | | | | | | | |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | | | |



Tata Steel Mineral Canada Client Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland Your P.O. #: 300000730 Sampler Initials: JD

GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.


QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits | |
| A007741 | YL6 | Spiked Blank | Calculated NO2 | | | 96 | % | 90 - 110 | |
| A007741 | YL6 | Method Blank | Calculated NO2 | | <0.1 | | ppb | | |
| Spiked Bla | ank: A t | olank matrix sample t | o which a known amount of the analyte, | usually from a second source, has be | en added. Use | ed to evaluate me | ethod accu | iracy. | |
| Method B | Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination. | | | | | | | | |



VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Carmen Toker, CT, Manager Air Laboratory Services

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Your Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site#: 2020/09/08 - 2020/10/23 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland

Attention: MARIANA TRINDADE

Tata Steel Mineral Canada 1000, Sherbrooke St West Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2020/11/09 Report #: R2953057 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C080065 Received: 2020/10/30, 10:13

Sample Matrix: Air # Samples Received: 6

| | | Date | Date | | |
|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| NO2 Passive Analysis | 6 | 2020/11/03 | 2020/11/09 | PTC SOP-00148 | Passive NO2 in ATM |

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results relate only to the items tested.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Levi Manchak, Project Manager SR Email: Levi.MANCHAK@bvlabs.com Phone# (780)378-8542

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF AIR

| BV Labs ID | | YT5564 | YT5565 | YT5566 | YT5570 | YT5567 | YT5569 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|----------|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | | |
| | | 10:27 | 12:25 | 17:27 | 17:53 | 16:48 | 14:22 | | |
| | UNITS | AQS2-NO2 | AQS4-NO2 | AQS6-NO2 | AQS7-NO2 | AQS8-NO2 | AQS9-NO2 | RDL | QC Batch |
| Passive Monitoring | | | | | | | | | |
| Calculated NO2 | ppb | 0.2 | <0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | A075870 |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | | |



GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits |
| A075870 | YL6 | Spiked Blank | Calculated NO2 | | | 101 | % | 90 - 110 |
| A075870 | YL6 | Method Blank | Calculated NO2 | | <0.1 | | ppb | |
| Spiked Bla | ank: A t | lank matrix sample to | which a known amount of the analyte, | usually from a second source, has be | en added. Use | ed to evaluate me | ethod accu | iracy. |
| Method B | lank: A | blank matrix containi | ng all reagents used in the analytical pr | ocedure. Used to identify laboratory | contaminatior | 1. | | |



VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Linda Lin, Supervisor, Centre for Passive Sampling Technology

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Your Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site#: 2020/10/23 - 2020/11/28 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland

Attention: MARIANA TRINDADE

Tata Steel Mineral Canada 1000, Sherbrooke St West Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2020/12/14 Report #: R2967150 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C089744 Received: 2020/12/04, 14:53

Sample Matrix: Air # Samples Received: 6

| | | Date | Date | | |
|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| NO2 Passive Analysis | 6 | 2020/12/07 | 2020/12/14 | PTC SOP-00148 | Passive NO2 in ATM |

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results relate only to the items tested.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Levi Manchak, Project Manager SR Email: Levi.MANCHAK@bvlabs.com Phone# (780)378-8542

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF AIR

| BV Labs ID | | YZ2468 | YZ2469 | YZ2470 | YZ2474 | YZ2471 | YZ2473 | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----|----------|--|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/10/23 14:01 | 2020/10/23 14:33 | 2020/10/21 08:30 | 2020/10/21 08:04 | 2020/10/22 14:03 | 2020/10/23 09:27 | | | |
| | UNITS | AQS2-NO2 | AQS4-NO2 | AQS6-NO2 | AQS7-NO2 | AQS8-NO2 | AQS9-NO2 | RDL | QC Batch | |
| Passive Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calculated NO2 | ppb | 0.2 | <0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | <0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | A106365 | |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | | | |



GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits | |
| A106365 | YL6 | Spiked Blank | Calculated NO2 | | | 98 | % | 90 - 110 | |
| A106365 | YL6 | Method Blank | Calculated NO2 | | <0.1 | | ppb | | |
| Spiked Bla | ank: A t | olank matrix sample t | o which a known amount of the analyte, | usually from a second source, has be | en added. Use | ed to evaluate me | ethod accu | iracy. | |
| Method B | Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination. | | | | | | | | |



VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Linda Lin, Supervisor, Centre for Passive Sampling Technology

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Your Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site#: 2020/11/28 - 2021/01/12 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland

Attention: MARIANA TRINDADE

Tata Steel Mineral Canada 1000, Sherbrooke St West Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2021/02/03 Report #: R2982905 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C104856 Received: 2021/01/25, 11:48

Sample Matrix: Air # Samples Received: 6

| | | Date | Date | | |
|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| NO2 Passive Analysis | 6 | 2021/01/26 | 2021/02/03 | PTC SOP-00148 | Passive NO2 in ATM |

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results relate only to the items tested.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Levi Manchak, Project Manager SR Email: Levi.MANCHAK@bureauveritas.com Phone# (780)378-8542

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF AIR

| BV Labs ID | | ZF0535 | ZF0536 | ZF0537 | ZF0541 | ZF0538 | ZF0540 | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|----------|--|
| Sampling Date | | 2020/11/28 | 2020/11/28 | 2020/11/29 | 2020/11/30 | 2020/11/30 | 2020/11/30 | | | |
| | | 10:37 | 11:57 | 14:48 | 13:47 | 13:36 | 14:37 | | | |
| | UNITS | AQS2-NO2 | AQS4-NO2 | AQS6-NO2 | AQS7-NO2 | AQS8-NO2 | AQS9-NO2 | RDL | QC Batch | |
| Passive Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calculated NO2 | ppb | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | A141427 | |
| RDL = Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | | | |



GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits | |
| A141427 | YL6 | Spiked Blank | Calculated NO2 | | | 93 | % | 90 - 110 | |
| A141427 | YL6 | Method Blank | Calculated NO2 | | <0.1 | | ppb | | |
| Spiked Bla | ank: A t | olank matrix sample t | o which a known amount of the analyte, | usually from a second source, has be | en added. Use | ed to evaluate me | ethod accu | iracy. | |
| Method B | Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination. | | | | | | | | |



VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Linda Lin, Supervisor, Centre for Passive Sampling Technology

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Your Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site#: 2021/01/10 - 2021/02/15 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland

Attention: MARIANA TRINDADE

Tata Steel Mineral Canada 1000, Sherbrooke St West Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2021/03/01 Report #: R2991243 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C111021 Received: 2021/02/22, 14:47

Sample Matrix: Air # Samples Received: 2

| | | Date | Date | | |
|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| NO2 Passive Analysis | 2 | 2021/02/23 | 2021/03/01 | PTC SOP-00148 | Passive NO2 in ATM |

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results relate only to the items tested.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Levi Manchak, Project Manager SR Email: Levi.MANCHAK@bureauveritas.com Phone# (780)378-8542

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



| | ZI7943 | ZI7944 | | |
|-------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| | 2021/01/10 | 2021/01/04 | | |
| | 13:40 | 14:25 | | |
| UNITS | AQS2-NO2 | AQS4-NO2 | RDL | QC Batch |
| | | | | |
| ppb | <0.1 | MISSING | 0.1 | A162606 |
| imit | · | | - | |
| | UNITS ppb imit | ZI7943 2021/01/10 13:40 UNITS AQS2-NO2 ppb <0.1 imit | ZI7943 ZI7944 2021/01/10 2021/01/04 13:40 14:25 UNITS AQS2-NO2 AQS4-NO2 ppb <0.1 | ZI7943 ZI7944 2021/01/10 2021/01/04 13:40 14:25 UNITS AQS2-NO2 AQS4-NO2 RDL ppb <0.1 |

RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF AIR



GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits | | |
| A162606 | XSZ | Spiked Blank | Calculated NO2 | | | 97 | % | 90 - 110 | | |
| A162606 | XSZ | Method Blank | Calculated NO2 | | <0.1 | | ppb | | | |
| Spiked Bla | Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy. | | | | | | | | | |
| Method B | Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination. | | | | | | | | | |



VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Linda Lin, Supervisor, Centre for Passive Sampling Technology

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Your P.O. #: 3000000730 Your Project #: PASSIVE NO2 / DS03-4 Site#: 2021/01/03 - 2021/04/16 Site Location: Timmins, Newfoundland

Attention: MARIANA TRINDADE

Tata Steel Mineral Canada 1000, Sherbrooke St West Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 3G4

> Report Date: 2021/05/03 Report #: R3015303 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BV LABS JOB #: C126928 Received: 2021/04/27, 08:30

Sample Matrix: Air # Samples Received: 6

| | | Date | Date | | |
|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Analyses | Quantity | Extracted | Analyzed | Laboratory Method | Analytical Method |
| NO2 Passive Analysis | 6 | 2021/04/28 | 2021/04/30 | PTC SOP-00148 | Passive NO2 in ATM |

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results relate only to the items tested.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager. Levi Manchak, Project Manager SR Email: Levi.MANCHAK@bureauveritas.com Phone# (780)378-8542

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF AIR

| BV Labs ID | | ZR4090 | ZR4091 | ZR4096 | ZR4097 | ZR4098 | ZR4099 | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|----------|--|
| Sampling Date | | 2021/02/14 | 2021/02/15 | 2021/01/12 | 2021/01/12 | 2021/01/03 | 2021/01/12 | | | |
| | | 13:30 | 14:30 | 09:20 | 09:48 | 15:15 | 14:55 | | | |
| | UNITS | AQS2-NO2 | AQS4-NO2 | AQS6-NO2 | AQS7-NO2 | AQS8-NO2 | AQS9-NO2 | RDL | QC Batch | |
| Passive Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calculated NO2 | ppb | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 0.1 | A209347 | |
| Reportable Detection Limit | | | | | | | | | | |



GENERAL COMMENTS

Sample ZR4096 [AQS6-NO2] : Sample exceeded hold time.

Sample ZR4097 [AQS7-NO2] : Sample exceeded hold time.

Sample ZR4098 [AQS8-NO2] : Sample exceeded hold time.

Sample ZR4099 [AQS9-NO2] : Sample exceeded hold time.

Results relate only to the items tested.



QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

| QA/QC | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Batch | Init | QC Type | Parameter | Date Analyzed | Value | Recovery | UNITS | QC Limits | | |
| A209347 | XSZ | Spiked Blank | Calculated NO2 | | | 95 | % | 90 - 110 | | |
| A209347 | XSZ | Method Blank | Calculated NO2 | | <0.1 | | ppb | | | |
| Spiked Bla | Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy. | | | | | | | | | |
| Method B | lank: A | blank matrix contain | ing all reagents used in the analytical p | rocedure. Used to identify laboratory | contaminatior | ۱. | | | | |



VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

<original signed by>

Linda Lin, Supervisor, Centre for Passive Sampling Technology

BV Labs has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Votre # du projet: C035854 Votre # Bordereau: C035854-NONT-01-01

Attention: Martine Lepage

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 889 Montée de Liesse Ville St-Laurent, QC CANADA H4T 1P5

> Date du rapport: 2020/09/30 # Rapport: R6351738 Version: 1 - Finale

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSES

DE DOSSIER BV LABS: CON3495

Reçu: 2020/09/10, 08:56 Matrice: Eau Nombre d'échantillons reçus: 7

| | | Date de l' | Date | | |
|--|----------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Analyses | Quantité | extraction | Analysé | Méthode de laboratoire | Méthode d'analyse |
| MERCURE PAR VAPEUR FROIDE AA | 1 | 2020/09/17 | 2020/09/24 | CAM SOP-00453 | |
| MERCURE PAR VAPEUR FROIDE AA | 6 | 2020/09/24 | 2020/09/24 | CAM SOP-00453 | |
| Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS | 7 | N/A | 2020/09/21 | CAM SOP-00447 | |
| Insoluble Part. in Dustfall (D1739mod) | 7 | 2020/09/23 | 2020/09/23 | BRL SOP-00121 | ASTM D1739 m |
| Soluble Part. in Dustfall (D1739mod) | 7 | 2020/09/23 | 2020/09/23 | BRL SOP-00121 | ASTM D1739 m |
| Volume of Sample Received | 7 | 2020/09/23 | 2020/09/25 | | |

Lorsque la méthode de référence comprend un suffixe « m », cela signifie que la méthode d'analyse du laboratoire contient des modifications validées et appliquées afin d'améliorer la performance de la méthode de référence.

clé de cryptage

Veuillez adresser toute question concernant ce certificat d'analyse à votre chargé(e) de projets Marinela Sim, Chargée de projets Courriel: Marinela.Sim@bvlabs.com Téléphone (905)817-5828

Lab BV a mis en place des procédures qui protègent contre l'utilisation non autorisée de la signature électronique et emploie les <<signataires>> requis, conformément à l'ISO/CEI 17025. Veuillez vous référer à la page des signatures de validation pour obtenir les détails des validations pour chaque division.



RÉSULTATS D'ANALYSES POUR LES ÉCHANTILLONS D' EAU

| Identification BV Labs | | NOY023 | NOY024 | NOY025 | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------|---------|--|--|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/08/08 12:35 | 2020/08/08 11:22 | 2020/08/08 10:39 | | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C035854-NONT-01-01 | C035854-NONT-01-01 | C035854-NONT-01-01 | | | | | |
| | Unités | ID6694-AQS1 | ID6695-AQS2 | ID6696-AQS3 | LDR | Lot CQ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 3.60 | 6.80 | 2.40 | 0.30 | 6960216 | | | |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 16.8 | 20.0 | 14.8 | 4.0 | 6960218 | | | |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 2900 | 2500 | 2000 | 2 | 6960214 | | | |
| DR = limite de détection rapportée .ot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | | | |

| Identification BV Labs | | NOY026 | | NOY027 | NOY028 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------|---------------------|---------------------|------|---------|--|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/08/08 09:53 | | 2020/08/09 14:30 | 2020/08/09 12:15 | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C035854-NONT-01-01 | | C035854-NONT-01-01 | C035854-NONT-01-01 | | | | |
| | Unités | ID6697-AQS4 | LDR | ID6698-AQS7 | ID6699-AQS8 | LDR | Lot CQ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 13.4 | 0.90 | 5.40 | 6.60 | 0.30 | 6960216 | | |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 32.8 | 4.0 | 16.8 | 21.6 | 4.0 | 6960218 | | |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | | | • | • | | • | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 2500 | 2 | 2200 | 1500 | 2 | 6960214 | | |
| DR = limite de détection rapportée | | | | | | | | | |

Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité

| Identification BV Labs | | NOY029 | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------|---------|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/08/09 | | |
| 8- | | 14:47 | | |
| # Bordereau | | C035854-NONT-01-01 | | |
| | Unités | ID6700-AQS9 | LDR | Lot CQ |
| | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 3.00 | 0.30 | 6960216 |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 15.2 | 4.0 | 6960218 |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 2000 | 2 | 6960214 |
| LDR = limite de détection rapporte | ée | | • | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | |



ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (EAU)

| Identification BV Labs | | NOY023 | NOY024 | NOY025 | | |
|--|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/08/08 12:35 | 2020/08/08 11:22 | 2020/08/08 10:39 | | |
| # Bordereau | | C035854-NONT-01-01 | C035854-NONT-01-01 | C035854-NONT-01-01 | | |
| | Unités | ID6694-AQS1 | ID6695-AQS2 | ID6696-AQS3 | LDR | Lot CQ |
| MÉTAUX | | | | | | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 6962134 |
| Arsenic (As) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6955146 |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | 2.7 | 3.8 | 26 | 2.0 | 6955146 |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | 0.40 | 6955146 |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6955146 |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6955146 |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | <0.90 | <0.90 | <0.90 | 0.90 | 6955146 |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | 100 | 6955146 |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | 0.80 | <0.50 | 1.5 | 0.50 | 6955146 |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6955146 |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6955146 |
| Thallium (Tl) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | 0.050 | 6955146 |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 6955146 |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6955146 |
| LDR = limite de détection rapport Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | ée | | | | | |

Page 3 de 9 Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, L5N 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (EAU)

| Identification BV Labs | | NOY026 | NOY027 | NOY028 | | |
|--|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/08/08 09:53 | 2020/08/09 14:30 | 2020/08/09 12:15 | | |
| # Bordereau | | C035854-NONT-01-01 | C035854-NONT-01-01 | C035854-NONT-01-01 | | |
| | Unités | ID6697-AQS4 | ID6698-AQS7 | ID6699-AQS8 | LDR | Lot CQ |
| MÉTAUX | | | | | | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 6962134 |
| Arsenic (As) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6955146 |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | 11 | 4.1 | 9.7 | 2.0 | 6955146 |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | 0.40 | 6955146 |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6955146 |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6955146 |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | <0.90 | <0.90 | 2.5 | 0.90 | 6955146 |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | 100 | 6955146 |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.50 | 6955146 |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6955146 |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6955146 |
| Thallium (Tl) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | 0.050 | 6955146 |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 6955146 |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | 120 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6955146 |
| LDR = limite de détection rapport Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | ée | | | | | |

Page 4 de 9 Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, L5N 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



| Identification BV Labs | | NOY029 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| | | 2020/08/09 | | | | |
| Date d'échantmonnage | | 14:47 | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C035854-NONT-01-01 | | | | |
| | Unités | ID6700-AQS9 | LDR | Lot CQ | | |
| MÉTAUX | | | | | | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 6962134 | | |
| Arsenic (As) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6955146 | | |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | 19 | 2.0 | 6955146 | | |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | 0.40 | 6955146 | | |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6955146 | | |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6955146 | | |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | <0.90 | 0.90 | 6955146 | | |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | <100 | 100 | 6955146 | | |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | 1.0 | 0.50 | 6955146 | | |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6955146 | | |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6955146 | | |
| Thallium (Tl) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | 0.050 | 6955146 | | |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | 0.50 | 6955146 | | |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6955146 | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapport | ée | · · · · · · | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | |

ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (EAU)



REMARQUES GÉNÉRALES

50% of samples volume was processed for dustfall; remaining 50% of samples were sent for further metals and Hg analysis. Results and DL were multiplied by 2.

Échantillon NOY023 [ID6694-AQS1] : Filtered residues appeared to be algae

Échantillon NOY024 [ID6695-AQS2] : Filtered residues appeared to be algae

Échantillon NOY026 [ID6697-AQS4] : Multiple filters were used, DL was adjusted accordingly

Échantillon NOY028 [ID6699-AQS8] : Filtered residues appeared to be algae

Les résultats s'appliquent seulement pour les paramètres analysés.

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, L5N 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com

Page 6 de 9



RAPPORT ASSURANCE QUALITÉ

| Lot Lot | Init | Type CQ | Groupe | Date Analysé | Valeur | Réc | Unités | Limites CO |
|---------|------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------|-----|--------|------------|
| 6955146 | AFZ | Échantillon fortifié | Arsenic (As) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | NC | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 90 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Thallium (Tl) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 90 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6955146 | AFZ | Blanc fortifié | Arsenic (As) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Thallium (TI) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/09/21 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6955146 | AFZ | Blanc de méthode | Arsenic (As) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <1.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <2.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <0.40 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <0.090 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <5.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <0.90 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <100 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <0.50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <1.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <0.090 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Thallium (TI) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <0.050 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <0.50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/09/21 | <5.0 | | ug/L | |
| 6955146 | AFZ | RPD | Arsenic (As) totaux | 2020/09/21 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/09/21 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/09/21 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/09/21 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/09/21 | 9.0 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/09/21 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/09/21 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/09/21 | 7.4 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/09/21 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Thallium (TI) totaux | 2020/09/21 | 7.0 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/09/21 | 4.0 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/09/21 | 2.9 | | % | 20 |
| 6960216 | FF | Blanc fortifié | Particules Totales Insoluble | 2020/09/23 | - | 99 | % | 85 - 115 |

Page 7 de 9

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, LSN 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



RAPPORT ASSURANCE QUALITÉ(CONT'D)

| Lot Lot | Init | Type CQ | Groupe | Date Analysé | Valeur | Réc | Unités | Limites CQ |
|---------|------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----|--------|------------|
| 6960216 | FF | Blanc de méthode | Particules Totales Insoluble | 2020/09/23 | <0.30 | | mg | |
| 6960218 | FF | Blanc fortifié | Particules Totales Soluble | 2020/09/23 | | 100 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6960218 | FF | Blanc de méthode | Particules Totales Soluble | 2020/09/23 | <2.0 | | mg | |
| 6962134 | MEN | Échantillon fortifié | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/09/24 | | 95 | % | 75 - 125 |
| 6962134 | MEN | Blanc fortifié | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/09/24 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6962134 | MEN | Blanc de méthode | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/09/24 | <0.00010 | | mg/L | |
| 6962134 | MEN | RPD | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/09/24 | NC | | % | 20 |

Duplicata: Deux parties aliquotes distinctes obtenues à partir d'un même échantillon et soumises en même temps au même processus analytique du prétraitement au dosage. Les duplicatas servent à vérifier la variance de la mesure.

Échantillon fortifié: Échantillon auquel a été ajouté une quantité connue d'un ou de plusieurs composés chimiques d'intérêt. Sert à évaluer les interférences dues à la matrice.

Blanc fortifié: Un blanc, d'une matrice exempte de contaminants, auquel a été ajouté une quantité connue d'analyte provenant généralement d'une deuxième source. Utilisé pour évaluer la précision de la méthode.

Blanc de méthode: Une partie aliquote de matrice pure soumise au même processus analytique que les échantillons, du prétraitement au dosage. Sert à évaluer toutes contaminations du laboratoire.

NC (échantillon fortifié) : La récupération de l'échantillon fortifié n'a pas été calculée. La différence relative entre la concentration de l'échantillon parent et le niveau de fortification est trop faible pour qu'un calcul fiable du pourcentage de récupération soit possible (la concentration dans l'échantillon fortifié était plus faible que l'échantillon d'origine).

NC (RPD du duplicata) : La RPD du duplicata n'a pas été calculée. La concentration de l'échantillon ou du duplicata était trop faible pour permettre le calcul de la RPD (différence absolue <= 2x LDR)

Réc = Récupération

Page 8 de 9 Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, L5N 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



PAGE DES SIGNATURES DE VALIDATION

Les résultats analytiques ainsi que les données de contrôle-qualité contenus dans ce rapport furent vérifiés et validés par les personnes suivantes:

<original signed by>

Brad Newman, Spécialiste scientifique

<original signed by>

Frank Mo

Lab BV a mis en place des procédures qui protègent contre l'utilisation non autorisée de la signature électronique et emploie les <<signataires>> requis, conformément à l'ISO/CEI 17025. Veuillez vous référer à la page des signatures de validation pour obtenir les détails des validations pour chaque division.


Votre # du projet: C043417 Votre # Bordereau: c043417

Attention: Martine Lepage

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 889 Montée de Liesse Ville St-Laurent, QC CANADA H4T 1P5

> Date du rapport: 2020/10/06 # Rapport: R6359354 Version: 1 - Finale

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSES

DE DOSSIER BV LABS: C0O2606

Reçu: 2020/09/18, 08:43 Matrice: Eau Nombre d'échantillons reçus: 8

| | | Date de l' | Date | | |
|--|----------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Analyses | Quantité | extraction | Analysé | Méthode de laboratoire | Méthode d'analyse |
| MERCURE PAR VAPEUR FROIDE AA | 6 | 2020/10/01 | 2020/10/02 | CAM SOP-00453 | |
| MERCURE PAR VAPEUR FROIDE AA | 2 | 2020/09/28 | 2020/10/02 | CAM SOP-00453 | |
| Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS | 8 | N/A | 2020/10/02 | CAM SOP-00447 | |
| Insoluble Part. in Dustfall (D1739mod) | 8 | 2020/09/28 | 2020/09/29 | BRL SOP-00121 | ASTM D1739 m |
| Soluble Part. in Dustfall (D1739mod) | 8 | 2020/09/28 | 2020/09/29 | BRL SOP-00121 | ASTM D1739 m |
| Volume of Sample Received | 8 | 2020/09/28 | 2020/10/05 | | |

Lorsque la méthode de référence comprend un suffixe « m », cela signifie que la méthode d'analyse du laboratoire contient des modifications validées et appliquées afin d'améliorer la performance de la méthode de référence.

clé de cryptage

Veuillez adresser toute question concernant ce certificat d'analyse à votre chargé(e) de projets Marinela Sim, Chargée de projets Courriel: Marinela.Sim@bvlabs.com Téléphone (905)817-5828

Lab BV a mis en place des procédures qui protègent contre l'utilisation non autorisée de la signature électronique et emploie les <<signataires>> requis, conformément à l'ISO/CEI 17025. Veuillez vous référer à la page des signatures de validation pour obtenir les détails des validations pour chaque division.



RÉSULTATS D'ANALYSES POUR LES ÉCHANTILLONS D' EAU

| | | | - | - | | - | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|---------|--|--|
| Identification BV Labs | | NQU133 | NQU134 | NQU135 | NQU136 | NQU137 | NQU138 | | | | |
| Data d'áchantillonnago | | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | | | | |
| Date d echantmonnage | | 09:36 | 10:27 | 11:26 | 12:25 | 17:27 | 17:53 | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | | | | |
| | Unités | IH6667-AQS1 | IH6668-AQS2 | IH6669-AQS3 | IH6670-AQS4 | IH6671-AQS6 | IH6672-AQS7 | LDR | Lot CQ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 8.20 | 1.00 | 1.40 | 3.40 | 9.00 | 6.60 | 0.60 | 6969309 | | |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 8.8 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 14.8 | 10.4 | 4.0 | 6969312 | | |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | | • | | | • | | | - | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 3600 | 3200 | 2800 | 2800 | 2300 | 2300 | 2 | 6969301 | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapport | LDR = limite de détection rapportée | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Identification BV Labs | | NQU139 | NQU140 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | | | | | | |
| Date d'echantinonnage | | 16:48 | 14:22 | | | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | c043417 | c043417 | | | | | | |
| | Unités | IH6673-AQS8 | IH6719-AQS9 | LDR | Lot CQ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 1.60 | 2.40 | 0.60 | 6969309 | | | | |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 14.0 | 10.4 | 4.0 | 6969312 | | | | |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 3000 | 3400 | 2 | 6969301 | | | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapportée | | | | | | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | | | |



ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (EAU)

| Identification BV Labs | | NQU133 | NQU134 | NQU135 | NQU136 | NQU137 | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | | | |
| | | 09:36 | 10:27 | 11:26 | 12:25 | 17:27 | | | |
| # Bordereau | | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | | | |
| | Unités | IH6667-AQS1 | IH6668-AQS2 | IH6669-AQS3 | IH6670-AQS4 | IH6671-AQS6 | LDR | Lot CQ | |
| MÉTAUX | ΛΈΤΑUΧ | | | | | | | | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 6977117 | |
| Arsenic (As) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6977081 | |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | 4.0 | <2.0 | 28 | 17 | 50 | 2.0 | 6977081 | |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | 0.40 | 6977081 | |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6977081 | |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6977081 | |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | <0.90 | <0.90 | 1.5 | <0.90 | <0.90 | 0.90 | 6977081 | |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | 100 | <100 | 280 | 180 | 280 | 100 | 6977081 | |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | 1.7 | <0.50 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 0.89 | 0.50 | 6977081 | |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6977081 | |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6977081 | |
| Thallium (Tl) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | 0.050 | 6977081 | |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 6977081 | |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 150 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6977081 | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapporte | ée | | | | - | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | | | |

Page 3 de 8 Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, L5N 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



| Identification BV Labs | | NQU138 | NQU139 | NQU140 | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Data d'áchantillonnago | | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | 2020/09/08 | | |
| Date d echantillonnage | | 17:53 | 16:48 | 14:22 | | |
| # Bordereau | | c043417 | c043417 | c043417 | | |
| | Unités | IH6672-AQS7 | IH6673-AQS8 | IH6719-AQS9 | LDR | Lot CQ |
| MÉTAUX | | | | | | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 6977117 |
| Arsenic (As) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6977081 |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | 2.9 | 4.7 | 49 | 2.0 | 6977081 |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | 0.40 | 6977081 |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6977081 |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6977081 |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | <0.90 | <0.90 | <0.90 | 0.90 | 6977081 |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | 100 | 6977081 |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 6977081 |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 6977081 |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 6977081 |
| Thallium (Tl) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | 0.050 | 6977081 |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 6977081 |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 6977081 |
| LDR = limite de détection rapport | ée | | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | |



REMARQUES GÉNÉRALES

Échantillon NQU133 [IH6667-AQS1] : Filtered residues appeared to be algae

Les résultats s'appliquent seulement pour les paramètres analysés.

Page 5 de 8 Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, L5N 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



RAPPORT ASSURANCE QUALITÉ

| Lot Lot | Init | Type CQ | Groupe | Date Analysé | Valeur | Réc | Unités | Limites CQ |
|---------|------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------|-----|--------|------------|
| 6969309 | FF | Blanc fortifié | Particules Totales Insoluble | 2020/09/29 | | 93 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6969309 | FF | Blanc de méthode | Particules Totales Insoluble | 2020/09/29 | <0.30 | | mg | |
| 6969312 | FF | Blanc fortifié | Particules Totales Soluble | 2020/09/29 | | 103 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 6969312 | FF | Blanc de méthode | Particules Totales Soluble | 2020/09/29 | <2.0 | | mg | |
| 6977081 | N_R | Échantillon fortifié | Arsenic (As) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 110 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Thallium (Tl) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6977081 | N_R | Blanc fortifié | Arsenic (As) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 102 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 105 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 101 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Thallium (TI) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 99 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/10/02 | | 104 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 6977081 | N_R | Blanc de méthode | Arsenic (As) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <1.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <2.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <0.40 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <0.090 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <5.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <0.90 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <100 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <0.50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <1.0 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <0.090 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Thallium (Tl) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <0.050 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <0.50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/10/02 | <5.0 | | ug/L | |
| 6977081 | N_R | RPD | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/10/02 | 7.9 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/10/02 | 0.92 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/10/02 | 4.0 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/10/02 | 0.46 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/10/02 | 5.3 | | % | 20 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/10/02 | 0.42 | | % | 20 |
| | | 4 | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/10/02 | 0.12 | | % | 20 |
| 6977117 | MPD | Echantillon fortifié | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/10/02 | | 94 | % | 75 - 125 |
| 6977117 | MPD | Blanc fortifié | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/10/02 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |

Page 6 de 8

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, LSN 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



RAPPORT ASSURANCE QUALITÉ(CONT'D)

| Lot Lot | Init | Type CQ | Groupe | Date Analysé | Valeur | Réc | Unités | Limites CQ |
|---------|------|------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-----|--------|------------|
| 6977117 | MPD | Blanc de méthode | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/10/02 | <0.00010 | | mg/L | |
| 6977117 | MPD | RPD | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/10/02 | NC | | % | 20 |

Duplicata: Deux parties aliquotes distinctes obtenues à partir d'un même échantillon et soumises en même temps au même processus analytique du prétraitement au dosage. Les duplicatas servent à vérifier la variance de la mesure.

Échantillon fortifié: Échantillon auquel a été ajouté une quantité connue d'un ou de plusieurs composés chimiques d'intérêt. Sert à évaluer les interférences dues à la matrice.

Blanc fortifié: Un blanc, d'une matrice exempte de contaminants, auquel a été ajouté une quantité connue d'analyte provenant généralement d'une deuxième source. Utilisé pour évaluer la précision de la méthode.

Blanc de méthode: Une partie aliquote de matrice pure soumise au même processus analytique que les échantillons, du prétraitement au dosage. Sert à évaluer toutes contaminations du laboratoire.

NC (RPD du duplicata) : La RPD du duplicata n'a pas été calculée. La concentration de l'échantillon ou du duplicata était trop faible pour permettre le calcul de la RPD (différence absolue <= 2x LDR)

Réc = Récupération



PAGE DES SIGNATURES DE VALIDATION

Les résultats analytiques ainsi que les données de contrôle-qualité contenus dans ce rapport furent vérifiés et validés par les personnes suivantes:

<original signed by>

Brad Newman, Spécialiste scientifique

<original signed by>

Frank Mo

Lab BV a mis en place des procédures qui protègent contre l'utilisation non autorisée de la signature électronique et emploie les <<signataires>> requis, conformément à l'ISO/CEI 17025. Veuillez vous référer à la page des signatures de validation pour obtenir les détails des validations pour chaque division.



Attention: Martine Lepage

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 889 Montée de Liesse Ville St-Laurent, QC CANADA H4T 1P5 Votre # de commande: 3000000730 Votre # du projet: DS03-4 Site#: C050284 Adresse du site: DUSTFALL Votre # Bordereau: C050284-NONT-01-01

> Date du rapport: 2020/11/03 # Rapport: R6395871 Version: 1 - Finale

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSES

DE DOSSIER BV LABS: COR5256

Reçu: 2020/10/20, 09:40 Matrice: Eau Nombre d'échantillons reçus: 8

| | | Date de l' | Date | | |
|--|----------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Analyses | Quantité | extraction | Analysé | Méthode de laboratoire | Méthode d'analyse |
| MERCURE PAR VAPEUR FROIDE AA | 8 | 2020/11/02 | 2020/11/02 | CAM SOP-00453 | |
| Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS | 8 | N/A | 2020/11/02 | CAM SOP-00447 | |
| Insoluble Part. in Dustfall (D1739mod) | 8 | 2020/10/27 | 2020/10/23 | BRL SOP-00121 | ASTM D1739 m |
| Soluble Part. in Dustfall (D1739mod) | 8 | 2020/10/27 | 2020/10/23 | BRL SOP-00121 | ASTM D1739 m |
| Volume of Sample Received | 8 | 2020/10/27 | 2020/11/02 | | |

Lorsque la méthode de référence comprend un suffixe « m », cela signifie que la méthode d'analyse du laboratoire contient des modifications validées et appliquées afin d'améliorer la performance de la méthode de référence.

clé de cryptage

Veuillez adresser toute question concernant ce certificat d'analyse à votre chargé(e) de projets Marinela Sim, Chargée de projets Courriel: Marinela.Sim@bvlabs.com Téléphone (905)817-5828

Lab BV a mis en place des procédures qui protègent contre l'utilisation non autorisée de la signature électronique et emploie les <<signataires>> requis, conformément à l'ISO/CEI 17025. Veuillez vous référer à la page des signatures de validation pour obtenir les détails des validations pour chaque division.

Total Cover Pages : 1 Page 1 de 9

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, L5N 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



RÉSULTATS D'ANALYSES POUR LES ÉCHANTILLONS D' EAU

| Identification BV Labs | | NXY433 | | NXY434 | NXY435 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------|--------------------|--------------------|------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/10/07 | | 2020/10/07 | 2020/10/07 | | | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C050284-NONT-01-01 | | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | | | | | | |
| | Unités | IL2199-AQS1 | LDR | IL2200-AQS2 | IL2201-AQS3 | LDR | Lot CQ | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | <u>.</u> | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 12.8 | 0.60 | 6.40 | 5.20 | 0.30 | 7021753 | | | | |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 26.4 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 7021754 | | | | |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | • | | • | | | • | | | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 3900 | 1 | 3700 | 2600 | 1 | 7021751 | | | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapport | LDR = limite de détection rapportée | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | - | - | - | | - | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Identification BV Labs | | NXY436 | NXY437 | NXY438 | NXY439 | | | | | | | |
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/10/07 | 2020/10/08 | 2020/10/08 | 2020/10/07 | | | | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | | | | | | | |
| | Unités | IL2202-AQS4 | IL2203-AQS6 | IL2204-AQS7 | IL2205-AQS8 | LDR | Lot CQ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 5.80 | 4.20 | 2.60 | 3.00 | 0.30 | 7021753 | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 12.8 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 7021754 | | | | | |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | Charge/Prep Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 2800 | 2000 | 2700 | 1400 | 1 | 7021751 | | | | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapport | ée | | · | · | · | | | | | | | |

Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité

| Identification BV Labs | | NXY440 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/10/07 | | | | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C050284-NONT-01-01 | | | | | | | |
| | Unités | IL2206-AQS9 | LDR | Lot CQ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Insoluble | mg | 2.80 | 0.30 | 7021753 | | | | | |
| Particules Totales Soluble | mg | 7.2 | 2.0 | 7021754 | | | | | |
| Charge/Prep Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| Volume de l'échantillon | ml | 3400 | 1 | 7021751 | | | | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapporte | LDR = limite de détection rapportée | | | | | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | | | |



| Identification BV Labs | | NXY433 | NXY434 | NXY435 | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/10/07 | 2020/10/07 | 2020/10/07 | | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | | | | | |
| | Unités | IL2199-AQS1 | IL2200-AQS2 | IL2201-AQS3 | LDR | Lot CQ | | | |
| MÉTAUX | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 7032928 | | | |
| Antimoine (Sb) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 7032885 | | | |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | <2.0 | 3.7 | 14 | 2.0 | 7032885 | | | |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | 0.40 | 7032885 | | | |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 7032885 | | | |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 7032885 | | | |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | <0.90 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.90 | 7032885 | | | |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | <100 | <100 | 160 | 100 | 7032885 | | | |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | 0.51 | <0.50 | 1.7 | 0.50 | 7032885 | | | |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 7032885 | | | |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 7032885 | | | |
| Thallium (TI) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | 0.050 | 7032885 | | | |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 7032885 | | | |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 7032885 | | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapportée Lot CO = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | | | |



| Identification BV Labs | | NXY436 | NXY437 | NXY438 | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/10/07 | 2020/10/08 | 2020/10/08 | | | | | | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | | | | | | | | |
| | Unités | IL2202-AQS4 | IL2203-AQS6 | IL2204-AQS7 | LDR | Lot CQ | | | | | | |
| MÉTAUX | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 7032928 | | | | | | |
| Antimoine (Sb) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | 6.8 | 16 | <2.0 | 2.0 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | <0.40 | <0.40 | 0.40 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | 1.9 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.90 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | <100 | 230 | 340 | 100 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | 0.72 | 0.51 | 1.0 | 0.50 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Thallium (Tl) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | <0.050 | <0.050 | 0.050 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | 12 | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 7032885 | | | | | | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapportée | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | ot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | | |



| Identification BV Labs | | NXY439 | NXY440 | | | |
|--|----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Date d'échantillonnage | | 2020/10/07 | 2020/10/07 | | | |
| # Bordereau | | C050284-NONT-01-01 | C050284-NONT-01-01 | | | |
| | Unités | IL2205-AQS8 | IL2206-AQS9 | LDR | Lot CQ | |
| MÉTAUX | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | |
| Mercure (Hg) | mg/L | <0.00010 | <0.00010 | 0.00010 | 7032928 | |
| Antimoine (Sb) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 7032885 | |
| Baryum (Ba) totaux | ug/L | 6.4 | 27 | 2.0 | 7032885 | |
| Béryllium (Be) totaux | ug/L | <0.40 | <0.40 | 0.40 | 7032885 | |
| Cadmium (Cd) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 7032885 | |
| Chrome (Cr) totaux | ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 7032885 | |
| Cuivre (Cu) totaux | ug/L | 4.0 | 1.7 | 0.90 | 7032885 | |
| Fer (Fe) totaux | ug/L | 130 | <100 | 100 | 7032885 | |
| Plomb (Pb) totaux | ug/L | 5.4 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 7032885 | |
| Nickel (Ni) totaux | ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1.0 | 7032885 | |
| Argent (Ag) totaux | ug/L | <0.090 | <0.090 | 0.090 | 7032885 | |
| Thallium (Tl) totaux | ug/L | <0.050 | <0.050 | 0.050 | 7032885 | |
| Vanadium (V) totaux | ug/L | <0.50 | <0.50 | 0.50 | 7032885 | |
| Zinc (Zn) totaux | ug/L | 5.3 | <5.0 | 5.0 | 7032885 | |
| LDR = limite de détection rapportée Lot CQ = Lot Contrôle Qualité | | | | | | |



REMARQUES GÉNÉRALES

Refer to the BLD form

50% of sample volume was processed for dustfall; remaining 50% of sample was sent to Inorganic Processing for further metals and Hg analysis. Results and DL were multiplied by 2.

Échantillon NXY433 [IL2199-AQS1] : Filtered residues appeared to be algae Multiple filters were used, results and DL is adjusted accordingly.

Échantillon NXY434 [IL2200-AQS2] : Filtered residues appeared to be algae

Les résultats s'appliquent seulement pour les paramètres analysés.



RAPPORT ASSURANCE QUALITÉ

| Lot Lot | Init | Type CQ | Groupe | Date Analysé | Valeur | Réc | Unités | Limites CQ |
|---------|------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----|--------------|------------|
| 7021753 | FF | Blanc fortifié | Particules Totales Insoluble | 2020/10/23 | | 95 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 7021753 | FF | Blanc de méthode | Particules Totales Insoluble | 2020/10/23 | <0.30 | | mg | |
| 7021754 | FF | Blanc fortifié | Particules Totales Soluble | 2020/10/23 | | 101 | % | 85 - 115 |
| 7021754 | FF | Blanc de méthode | Particules Totales Soluble | 2020/10/23 | <2.0 | | mg | |
| 7032885 | PBA | Échantillon fortifié | Antimoine (Sb) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 100 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 88 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Thallium (TI) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 92 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 7032885 | PBA | Blanc fortifié | Antimoine (Sb) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Baryum (Ba) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 93 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 95 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 97 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Thallium (TI) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 98 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 91 | % | 80 - 120 |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/11/02 | | 94 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 7032885 | PBA | Blanc de méthode | Antimoine (Sb) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Barvum (Ba) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <2.0 | | 8, - ug/l | |
| | | | Béryllium (Be) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.40 | | ug/I | |
| | | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.090 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <5.0 | | 8, - ug/l | |
| | | | Cuivre (Cu) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.90 | | 8, - ug/l | |
| | | | Fer (Fe) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <100 | | ug/l | |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.50 | | ug/I | |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <1.0 | | 8, - ug/l | |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.090 | | ug/l | |
| | | | Thallium (TI) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.050 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <0.50 | | ug/L | |
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/11/02 | <5.0 | | ug/L | |
| 7032885 | ΡΒΔ | RPD | Antimoine (Sh) totaux | 2020/11/02 | NC | | % | 20 |
| 7052005 | I DA | | Cadmium (Cd) totaux | 2020/11/02 | NC | | % | 20 |
| | | | Chrome (Cr) totaux | 2020/11/02 | 17 | | 70 % | 20 |
| | | | | 2020/11/02 | | | /u % | 20 |
| | | | Plomb (Pb) totaux | 2020/11/02 | 2.1 | | /u % | 20 |
| | | | Nickel (Ni) totaux | 2020/11/02 | 2.5 1 9 | | /0 0/ | 20 |
| | | | Argent (Ag) totaux | 2020/11/02 | 1.0 9 N | | /0 % | 20 |
| | | | Vanadium (V) totaux | 2020/11/02 | 5.0 2 E | | /0 | 20 |
| 1 | | | vanaulum (v) totaux | 2020/11/02 | 2.5 | | 70 | 20 |

Page 7 de 9

Bureau Veritas Laboratories 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, Ontario, LSN 2L8 Tel: (905) 817-5700 Toll-Free: 800-563-6266 Fax: (905) 817-5777 www.bvlabs.com



RAPPORT ASSURANCE QUALITÉ(CONT'D)

| Lot Lot | Init | Type CQ | Groupe | Date Analysé | Valeur | Réc | Unités | Limites CQ |
|---------|------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|-----|--------|------------|
| | | | Zinc (Zn) totaux | 2020/11/02 | 5.2 | | % | 20 |
| 7032928 | MEN | Échantillon fortifié | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/11/02 | | 97 | % | 75 - 125 |
| 7032928 | MEN | Blanc fortifié | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/11/02 | | 96 | % | 80 - 120 |
| 7032928 | MEN | Blanc de méthode | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/11/02 | <0.00010 | | mg/L | |
| 7032928 | MEN | RPD | Mercure (Hg) | 2020/11/02 | NC | | % | 20 |

Duplicata: Deux parties aliquotes distinctes obtenues à partir d'un même échantillon et soumises en même temps au même processus analytique du prétraitement au dosage. Les duplicatas servent à vérifier la variance de la mesure.

Échantillon fortifié: Échantillon auquel a été ajouté une quantité connue d'un ou de plusieurs composés chimiques d'intérêt. Sert à évaluer les interférences dues à la matrice.

Blanc fortifié: Un blanc, d'une matrice exempte de contaminants, auquel a été ajouté une quantité connue d'analyte provenant généralement d'une deuxième source. Utilisé pour évaluer la précision de la méthode.

Blanc de méthode: Une partie aliquote de matrice pure soumise au même processus analytique que les échantillons, du prétraitement au dosage. Sert à évaluer toutes contaminations du laboratoire.

NC (RPD du duplicata) : La RPD du duplicata n'a pas été calculée. La concentration de l'échantillon ou du duplicata était trop faible pour permettre le calcul de la RPD (différence absolue <= 2x LDR)

Réc = Récupération



PAGE DES SIGNATURES DE VALIDATION

Les résultats analytiques ainsi que les données de contrôle-qualité contenus dans ce rapport furent vérifiés et validés par les personnes suivantes:

<original signed by>

Ewa Pranjic, M.Sc., Expert-Chimiste, Scientific Specialist

<original signed by>

Frank Mo

Lab BV a mis en place des procédures qui protègent contre l'utilisation non autorisée de la signature électronique et emploie les <<signataires>> requis, conformément à l'ISO/CEI 17025. Veuillez vous référer à la page des signatures de validation pour obtenir les détails des validations pour chaque division.

Appendix 5 Consultation and Engagement Log

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 4/1/2020 | Email to Town of Schefferville leadership & TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC shared presentation prepared for workers on current TSMC measures being taken to protect workers and communities | |
| 4/1/2020 | Letter fr. ITUM Chief to TSMC leadership | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | ITUM requested information on Mitigation Measures taken by TSMC | TSMC provided information in letter of response (April 3) |
| 4/2/2020 | Telephone call btwn TSMC & NIMLJ (Chief) | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC shared latest personnel change plan for April 7 charter. TSMC will review Care & Maintenance personnel plan again and revert back. | Chief unhappy w/ fact that more workers entering than departing; asked TSMC to revise that there are not more ppl arriving than departing. |
| 4/6/2020 | SMS btwn NNK leadership & TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC confirmed upcoming charter w/ minimal crew and zero interaction with community, no entry into Schefferville airport | NNK satisfied w/ measures taken |
| 4/10/2020 | Letter to NIMLJ leadership fr. TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC provided update on most recent measures taken to prevent spread of COVID-19, including Care & Maintenance mode, 0 interaction with local communities, charter flight from NL only every two weeks whereby personnel deboard plane and board bus directly on tarmac; no workers from communities working at site; no interactions between essential service personnel from communities and site personnel; site entrance/exit closed; 4-wk rotations | NIMLI satisfied w/ measures taken |
| 4/10/2020 | Letter to NNK leadership fr. TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC provided update on most recent measures taken to prevent spread of COVID-19, including Care & Maintenance mode, 0 interaction with local communities, charter flight from NL only every two weeks whereby personnel deboard plane and board bus directly on tarmac; no workers from communities working at site; no interactions between essential service personnel from communities and site personnel; site entrance/exit closed; 4-wk rotations | NNK satisfied w/ measures taken |
| 4/10/2020 | Letter to Innu Nation leadership fr. TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC provided update on most recent measures taken to prevent spread of COVID-19, including Care & Maintenance mode, 0 interaction with local communities, charter flight from NL only every two weeks whereby personnel deboard plane and board bus directly on tarmac; no workers from communities working at site; no interactions between essential service personnel from communities and site personnel; site entrance/exit closed; 4-wk rotations | None received |
| 4/10/2020 | Letter to NunatuKavut Community Council leadership fr. TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC provided update on most recent measures taken to prevent spread of COVID-19, including Care & Maintenance mode, 0 interaction with local communities, charter flight from NL only every two weeks whereby personnel deboard plane and board bus directly on tarmac; no workers from communities working at site; no interactions between essential service personnel from communities and site personnel; site entrance/exit closed; 4-wk rotations | None received |

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| 4/13/2020 | Letter to Town of Schefferville leadership fr. TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | TSMC provided update on most recent measures taken to prevent spread of COVID-19, including Care & Maintenance mode, 0 interaction with local communities, charter flight from NL only every two weeks whereby personnel deboard plane and board bus directly on tarmac; no workers from communities working at site; no interactions between essential service personnel from communities and site personnel; site entrance/exit closed; 4-wk rotations | Town satisfied w/ measures taken |
| 4/16/2020 | Phone conversation btwn NIMLJ (Chief) & TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | Update on upcoming charter, number of workers, strict procedures being followed | NIMLI OK with plan |
| 4/16/2020 | SMS btwn NNK (Chief) & TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures | Update on upcoming charter, number of workers, strict procedures being followed | NNK OK with plan |
| 4/21/2020 | Email & Phone conversation btwn Town of Schefferville Administrator & TSMC | Coronavirus Concerns & Measures, TSMC Operations | Update on upcoming charter, number of workers, strict procedures being followed | Town satisfied w/ measures taken |
| 4/22/2020 | Videoconference btwn NNK, KRG, Makivik & TSMC | Project 2A (Goodwood) - Meeting of the Environmental & Social Monitoring Committee | Makivik provided update on their position vis-àvis Qc gov't decision to resume mining operations. TSMC provided updates on: Precautionary measures being taken to protect communities & workers; Plans for Spring melt mitigation measures; Plans for Goodwood Water Treatment Unit. NNK expressed interest in seeing First Nation workers involved in the operation of the Goodwood Water Treatment Unit; Current situation and plans for Goodwood Water Basin repairs; Environmental monitoring, including air quality monitoring and presence of caribou; Results (mixed) of application of haul road capping product in areas that had created water issues in the past; Planned water management infrastructure improvements; Waste management; Rehabilitation & Closure plan to be shared for comment in 2021 | TSMC undertook to seek involvement of First Nations in operation of Water Treatment Unit. Members expressed satisfaction w/ information received |
| 4/22/2020 | Phone conversation btwn NIMLJ (Chief) & TSMC | IBA/Commercial Payments; Coronavirus Concerns & Measures, TSMC Operations | NIMLI unhappy with level of payment, uneasy w/ increase in numbers on every incoming charter; TSMC provided update on crew change of April 22 & presented notion of gradually resuming operations in coming weeks | Some payments made by TSMC; NIMLI indicated too early to discuss increase in workers at mine site but can revisit on 4 May and review TSMC Plans/Scenarios; |
| 4/23/2020 | SMS, Phone Conversation btwn NNK (Chief) & TSMC | IBA/Commercial Payments; Coronavirus Concerns & Measures, TSMC Operations | NNK satisfied w/ payment; TSMC provided update on crew change of April 22 & presented notion of gradually resuming operations in coming weeks | Some payments made dy TSMC; NNK indicated too early to discuss increase in workers at mine site but can revisit on 4 May and review TSMC Plans/Scenarios; |
| 4/28/2020 | SMS, Video Call btwn NNK (Chief) & TSMC | TSMC Operations - Next Steps | TSMC requested mtg to discuss gradually resuming operations | NNK proposed May 1 |

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| 4/28/2020 | Email to NIMLJ, NNK leadership & Environmental Committee representatives, Matimekush-Lac John and Kawawachikamach Facebook pages fr. TSMC | Environmental Update | TSMC has put in place various measures to manage water on its site, including: - improved sedimentation pond design (berms and ditching); - increased snow removal before Spring melt; - haul road engineering; - haul road additive to control dust, red water; - use of flocculants, sediment fencing; - increased site-wide surveillance at onset of Spring melt (on-the-ground personnel, aerial monitoring). | No comments received |
| 5/7/2020 | Email to NIMLJ, NNK, Town of Schefferville leadership fr. TSMC | Operations Update | TSMC provided update | NNK asked whether same precautionary measures will be maintained and will there be charter from Montreal? TSMC responded that measures would be maintained but that there was no Montreal charter planned in immediate future |
| 5/25/2020 | Email to NIMLJ, NNK, Town of Schefferville leadership fr. TSMC | Operations Update | TSMC provided update | Town of Schefferville can collaborate w/ TSMC, on plan to accommodate workers in Town w/ guidance fr. Directeur régional de la santé publique; TSMC said will consider |
| 5/27/2020 | Letter to TSMC fr. NNK leadership | TSMC Operations and Payments | NNK requesting updates on operational ramp-up and timetable for outstanding IBA and commercial payments | TSMC, by letter dated 1 June 2020, explained that it provides regular updates; balance due payment timing provided. |
| 5/28/2020 | Phone conversation btwn ITUM Council rep & TSMC | Operations Update | ITUM had questions on IBA committments & next Implementation Committee meeting | TSMC will provide information on Project Operations update & IBA related matters in writing |
| 5/28/2020 | Email fr. TSMC to ITUM leadership & Environmental reps | Environmental Update | TSMC has put in place various measures to manage water on its site, including: - improved sedimentation pond design (berms and ditching); - increased snow removal before Spring melt; - haul road engineering; - haul road additive to control dust, red water; - use of flocculants, sediment fencing; - increased site-wide surveillance at onset of Spring melt (on-the-ground personnel, aerial monitoring). | No comments received |
| 5/31/2020 | Email fr. TSMC to NIMLJ, Town of Schefferville leadership | Equipment mobilization from Town industrial area | TSMC provided details on mining equipment to be transported directly from Hollinger Yards to Mine Site, timeline, safety precautions being taken including zero interaction with local residents and a safety escort during operation. | Town of Schefferville confirmed that there were no issues as long as safety precautions followed, including minding overhead electrical wires. |
| 6/3/2020 | Letter fr. ITUM Chief to TSMC | TSMC Operations and IBA Payments | ITUM requesting that outstanding IBA payments be resolved | TSMC, by letter dated, 10 June 2020, provided update on operational rampup, COVID-19 precautionary measures, IBA deliverables including financial contribution timeline. |
| 6/5/2020 | Phone conversations btwn NIMLJ leadership & TSMC | IBA, Commercial payments | NIMLI expressed concerns w/ lack of payment | TSMC explained tight financial situation and timeline for settling outstanding balances. |

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| 7/9/2020 | VideoConference btwn NIMLJ, NNK, Innu Nation, NCC | Community HSE Committee Meeting | Health & Safety, COVID 19 Update; Operations Update; Environmental Update; 2020 Spring Thaw; Waste Management; Summer 2020; Silver Yard; Restoration; Howse Project. NNK explained that local citizens using haul road with personal vehicles to access area => questions re: condition of Bypass Rd; Joan Lake Compensation Program - NNK has comments, awaiting Council approval | Participants agreed that meeting should be organized between local community representatives & TSMC on Safety issues re: Haul Road TSMC requests comments re: Joan Lake as soon as possible |
| 7/16/2020 | Letter to DFO fr. NNK | Joan Lake Compensation Program | NNK expressed concerns w/ TSMC environmental track record and ability to implement compensation plan measures; requests further consultation | N/A |
| 7/24/2020 | Complaint fr. NIMLJ Community member @ TSMC Security Gate | Landfill Management | Suspected TSMC Site garbage dumping by NIMLJ supplier @ Schefferville Landfill | TSMC took steps to prevent supplier from leaving site with garbage in pick-up; reminder provided of TSMC landfill opening hours |
| 8/18/2020 | Email fr. Town of Schefferville Administrator to TSMC | Dust | Town of Schefferville asked about dust suppression in Town by TSMC | TSMC explained that NIMLJ has road maintenance contract fr. Municipal Landfill to Mine Site. Water spraying on road 2-3 times/day as was done in 2018 considered not particularly effective; |
| 8/20/2020 | Email, Phone fr. TSMC to NIMLJ, NNK leadership | Planned increase in workers housed in Town | NIMLJ concerned w/ increased risk of COVID, demand for Innu Security patrolling (names of candidates to be provided to TSMC), strict application of self-isolation when not at work | TSMC agreed to implement requested measures |
| 8/28/2020 | Email to NIMLJ, NNK, Town of Schefferville leadership fr. TSMC | Update on Operations, Employment, Dust Control | TSMC provided update on Operations, Employment, Dust Controls Matters | None received |
| 9/8/2020 | Email to NIMLJ, NNK, Town of Schefferville leadership fr. TSMC | Update on Operations, Workers Housed in Town | TSMC provided update on Operations, Workers Housed in Town | None received |
| 9/9/2020 | SMS btwn NNK Councillor & TSMC | Bypass Road | NNK Councillor asking when maintenance/upgrade will be done as rough spots on Bypass Rd making use difficult | TSMC explained that it had issued a Purchase Order to have work done by Naskapi Heavy Machinery in 2019, however, due to restructuring of NHM, work was not carried out. A new PO will be required, bringing likely timeline to Spring/Summer 2021 |
| 9/29/2020 | Email to NIMLJ, NNK, Town of Schefferville leadership fr. TSMC | COVID-19 Preventive Measures | TSMC provided update on most recent measures taken to prevent spread of COVID-19, including new testing protocol for workers originating from Quebec City & west, in addition to pre-screening questionnaire, prohibition of workers to enter town establishments, local workers must self-isolate after their shift, physical distancing and mandatory wearing of mask when not in dorm room | None received |
| 10/7/2020 | Emails & Phone conversations btwn NNK environmental reps & TSMC | Bypass Road | TSMC informed communities of a number of events involving unauthorized presence of civilian vehicles on haul road, representing major safety risk | NNK question about condition of haul rd. TSMC responded that it had issued a Purchase Order to have work done by Naskapi Heavy Machinery in 2019, however, due to restructuring of NHM, work was not carried out. A new PO will be required, bringing likely timeline to Spring/Summer 2021. In meantime, road is passable. |

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|------------|--|--|--|---|
| 10/7/2020 | VideoConference btwn NNK, NIMLJ leadership & TSMC & Follow-up email fr. TSMC | COVID-19 Preventive Measures | Communities requesting: 1- TSMC to implement testing of all workers; 2- Additional surveillance in Town by Innu & Naskapi security guards of worker residences | TSMC confirmed most recent measures taken to prevent spread of COVID-19, including new testing protocol for workers originating from Quebec City & west. Testing of workers from Atlantic bubble and local workers, would be done subsequently, once logistics have been worked out. Additional measures include: pre-screening questionnaire, prohibition of workers to enter town establishments, local workers must self-isolate after their shift, physical distancing and mandatory wearing of mask when not in dorm room, and evacuation plan in event of presumed COVID case |
| 10/16/2020 | Phone conv. btwn TSMC & NIM⊔ Chief | Perceptions Study; 2019 Red water incidents; Town Surveillance (COVID) | NIMLJ Chief not interested in supporting another study on land use. Already done in the past (Raphaël Picard) and no desire to justifiy or respond to questions prompted by government. NIMLJ Council wants to see Fed. Gov't Report on Red Water runoff incidents of 2019 as referred to in mtgs w/ Gov't representatives Have a name of candidate for Security patrol in Town; will provide coordinates on 19 Oct. '20 | TSMC Agrees w/ multiple consultations in communities; TSMC/consultant will determine interest of community members to participate TSMC will follow-up w/ fed. Gov't re: report TSMC will follow-up on 19 Oct. |
| 10/21/2020 | SMS btwn NNK leadership & TSMC | COVID-19 | NNK Chief seeking clarification after report by community priest that there was possible COVID case @ mine site | TSMC confirmed that there were 3 recent cases of head colds, for which workers were asked to isolate for 24-48 hrs. No presumed COVID case |
| 10/23/2020 | SMS btwn NNK leadership & TSMC | COVID-19 | NNK Chief & Councillor seeking clarification on possible COVID case @ mine site | TSMC confirmed that there were 3 recent cases of head colds, for which workers were asked to isolate for 24-48 hrs. No presumed COVID case. Confirmed that TSMC would inform local communities if there is a presumed case |
| 10/28/2020 | VideoConference btwn NIM⊔, NNK, ITUM, Innu Nation | Community HSE Committee Meeting | Health, Safety, Security & Training Update; Bypass Road; Operations Update; Environmental Update; Summer 2020; Waste Management Silver Yard; Site Restoration; Fish Habitat Compensation; Howse Project monitoring programs; Winter 2020 Water Management. NNK & NIMLJ Councillors raised concern of decrease in fish presence near the haul road at Greenbush crossing; decrease in stream level | TSMC Environment had not observed a decease in water level upstream and downstream from crossing; TSMC will include Greenbush crossing as area of interest in Haul Road water management improvements. |
| 10/28/2020 | Email fr. NNK environmental rep to TSMC | Streams levels near Haul Rd @ Greenbush crossing | Concerns that creek has dried up since haul road built, something never seen before. Request that TSMC investigate & incorporate improvements into future water management planning | TSMC confirmed at meeting of 4 February 2021 that Greenbush crossing will be considered as an area of interest in Haul Road water management improvements. |
| 11/12/2020 | Email fr. TSMC to NIMLJ, NNK, ITUM, Innu Nation, NCC environmental reps | Fish Habitat Compensation Program - Potential Lakes for Fish Relocation from Joan Lake | TSMC provided "Technical Draft - Potential Lakes for the Relocation of Joan Lake's Fish" for comment/feedback | NNK responded by letter dated 15 December 2020 (see below) |
| 11/19/2020 | Email fr. NNK environmental rep to TSMC | Lichen Study on Air Quality | Request for results/report | TSMC confirmed report uploaded to Community HSE Committee Google Drive |
| 11/25/2020 | Email fr. NNK environmental rep to TSMC | Fish Habitat Compensation Program - Potential Lakes for Fish Relocation from Joan Lake | NNK indicated that there were few details in Technical Draft and asked if it was a preliminary consultation | TSMC, by email dated 25 November, 2020, explained that purpose of consultation is to seek feedback on preferred lakes from community perspective; subsequent consultation will occur w/ add'l details on relocation plan |

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 12/8/2020 | Letter fr. NNK Chief to TSMC | Payments & IBA Commitments | NNK raised amounts due & payment delays; employment & contracting opportunity dissatisfaction | By letter dated 14 January, 2021, TSMC provided an update on operations and its efforts to recruit, hire & train Naskapi, including current statistics, upcoming business opportunities & payment schedule. |
| 12/15/2020 | Letter fr. NNK leadership to TSMC | Fish Habitat Compensation Program - Potential Lakes for Fish Relocation from Joan Lake | NNK requests more information regarding conditions of each potential lake, and presence of Brook Trout and other species | By letter dated 28 January, 2021, TSMC explained that it was seeking preliminary feedback from land-user perspective. TSMC undertook to provide lake details as request by March 2021 |
| 12/18/2020 | Email fr. NIMLJ DG to TSMC | Amounts Owing & Payments | Concerns with IBA & Commercial payments for services rendered. | By emails dated 21 December 2020 and 14 January 2021, TSMC provided acknowledgment, project update & payment schedule. |

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|
| 1/20/2021 | Phone call fr. TSMC to ITUM (Chief) | General Update | Discussion w/ Chief on COVID-19 measures; Financial; Employment & Training | |
| 1/22/2021 | Phone call fr. TSMC to NIMLJ Chief | General Update | Discussion w/ Chief on COVID-19 measures; Financial | NIMLI asked prioritization of FN/IBA payments |
| 2/4/2021 | Videoconference: NNK, KRG, Makivik, TSMC | Project 2A Env. & Social Monitoring Committee meeting | Operations Update; Goodwood Water Management; Environmental Monitoring; Perceptions Study; Upcoming Business Opportunities @ Goodwood 1) Naskapi interested in opportunities tied to operation of Water Treatment Unit; 2) NNK Request to see air & water quality testing results & copy of Perceptions Study 3) Suggestion to make Committee presentations available on TSMC Website including highlights, updates 4) Request to have info on contracts issued to Aboriginal businesses in future presentations | TSMC has taken note and will share opportunities Lab results and Perceptions Study are included in Annual Report to GoQ. Will inform communities once available on GoQ webiste TSMC has taken note and will look into putting in place TSMC will do so |
| 2/11/2021 | Phone Call: TSMC to Innu Nation | Update | Operations, latest Coronavirus prevention measures (3x3 rotation, testing in St. John's and all pick-up points outside NL), next IBA Implementation Committee Mtg | Meeting scheduled for 10 March 2021 |
| 2/18/2021 | Email: TSMC to NIMLJ, NNK Leadership | Update on Additional COVID measures | Pre-flight testing for St. John's passengers New Rotation Schedule (3 weeks on, 3 weeks off vs 2x2, increasing isolation period @ site) Schefferville airport continues to be bypassed for TSMC's arriving and departing charter flights | No comments received |
| 2/18/2021 | Video chat: TSMC to NNK (Chief) | General Update | Discussion w/ Chief on ongoing & most recent COVID-19 measures (testing in St. John's) to protect communities and workers; IBA & Commercial payments update; Employment & Training (recruitment, training opportunities) | NNK suggested TSMC maintain in long-term the bypassing of inside of Schefferville airport of charter flight passengers/workers. NNK provided contact information for training programs |
| 2/18/2021 | Email: TSMC to NIMLJ, NNK Leadership | COVID-19 | Update on new COVID measures (testing in St. John's) to protect communities and workers | |
| 2/20/2021 | Phone call: TSMC to NIMLJ | Road Maintenance contract | Financial matters; Contract managements matters | NIMLJ working on resolving management issues |
| 2/26/2021 | Phone call & emails: TSMC to NIMLJ managers | Road Maintenance contract | Financial matters; Contract managements matters | NIMLJ working on resolving management issues |
| 3/3/2021 | Phone call: TSMC to ITUM administrator | IBA Implementation | Scheduling of next Committee meeting | |

| Date | Communication Type | Subject(s) | Question(s) / Matter(s) raised | Response(s) |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| 3/4/2021 | Email: NNK Councillor to TSMC | Snow storm | Requesting assistance in snow-clearing to access ski-doos near Irony Mtn | TSMC has its equipment immobilized due to extreme blizzard conditions. Once essential site areas are cleared they will assist community member. |
| 3/15/2021 | Videoconference: Innu Nation, TSMC | TSMC Operations Update Employment & Training Procurement Financial Reporting Update Nalcor Transmission Line Update | Innu Nation questioned implementation by TSMC of Definition of Innu Business Power line project: Innu Nation asked that TSMC IBA commitments be upheld for this project and would like open- book contract negotation | TSMC will need to follow-up internally TSMC will do so; Innu Nation will be informed by Nalcor as project advances |
| 3/17/2021 | Email: TSMC to NIMLJ, NNK, ITUM, Innu Nation, NCC Environmental Representatives | Joan Lake Offsetting Project, Fish Relocation Methodology, Technical Draft | | |
| 3/29/2021 | Videoconference: NIMLJ, NNK, ITUM, Innu Nation, NCC, TSMC | Joan Lake Offsetting Project, Fish Relocation Methodology, Technical Draft - Workshop | Presentation shared (English, French) and reviewed with TSMC Consultant (Biologist from Groupe Hémisphères). NIMLJ raised fact that there are cabins used by members in the area of Redmond mine. They will communicate this information to cabin owners/land users; ITUM indicated that they supported the Habitat Offsetting approach NIMLJ asked if Innu helpers would be used. | TSMC/Groupe Hémisphères :First Nation helpers will be sought during field work, as there will be lots to do. Fish relocation itself will last 1-2 days, in Summer 2021 |