Table 1 Table of Concordance between the CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter and the EIS Addendum

Details of Requirements	EIS Addendum Section
An update to the description and analysis of predicted effects on Valued Components	6
(VCs) in areas of federal interest for the chapters identified in the enclosed Annex 1	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	15
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
Replacement of chapters for:	Appendix A
 Marine Resources 	
 Current use of Lands and Resources for Traditional Purposes 	Appendix B
 Aboriginal Rights and Related Interests 	Appendix C
An updated list of all mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe	6.4.2
how PNW LNG intends to implement them.	7.3.2
	8.4.2
	9.3.2
	10.4.2
	11.4.2
	12.4.2
	13.4.2
	15.4.2
	17.4.2
	18.4.2
	19.4.2
	20.4.2
	21.4.2
	23.3.2
Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate any significant adverse environmental effects of the Project on areas of federal interest	Table 28-3
An update to the Project schedule	2.2



Details of Requirements	EIS Addendum Section
[An update] to the analysis of cumulative effects that depend on the project schedule	6.2
	8.2
	9.2
	10.2
	11.2
	12.2
	13.2
	15.5
	17.2
	18.2
	19.2
	20.2
	22.2
	26.2
	Appendix A
	Appendix B
	Appendix C
An update to the views of the public regarding the Project based on public outreach following the announcement of the design changes	3.2
A description of where in the Addendum responses to each of the information requests of August 14 and September 11 can be found.	Table of Concordance (listed within each section below)



Table 2 Table of Concordance between the CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter Annex 1 and the EIS Addendum

CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
Preface Please clarify throughout the Addendum which pre-	Please clarify throughout the Addendum which previously-submitted documents	Table 2-1
	(February 2014 EIS, June 2014 Technical Memo's, June 2014 responses in Working Group tracking tables) are:	Table 3-1
		Table 4-1
	 Superseded by the new information in the Addendum Which of those documents still stand as assessment of Project effects on 	Table 6-1
	specific topics.	Table 7-1
		Table 8-1
		Table 9-1
		Table 10-1
		Table 11-1
		Table 12-1
		Table 13-1
		Table 15-1
		Table 17-1
		Table 18-1
		Table 19-1
		Table 20-1
		Table 21-1
		Table 22-1
		Table 23-1
		Table 25-1
		Table 26-1
		Table 27-1
		Table 29-1
		Table 30-1
1 Introduction and	Provide context for Addendum	1.0
Project Overview	Explain relationship between EIS (February 2014), technical memos (June 2014), responses provided to Working Group intracking tables (June 2014), and the Addendum	1.3
2 Project Description	Update Project description, including Project schedule for all Project activities.	2.0
, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2.2
	Replacealternativemeansanalysisforaspectsthatwill change based on design change (refer to Addressing "Purpose of" and "Alternative Means" under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012):	
	Placement of marine infrastructure	2.3.2
	Construction camp location	2.3



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
2 Project Description	Alternatives to DAS (if changed)	2.3.3
(cont'd)		2.3.3.1
		2.3.3.2
	Site location (re-do based on IR and design change).	2.3.1
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	2.4
3 Summary of Engagement	Update federal and provincial agency engagement.	3.1 Table 3-3
	Update the views of the public regarding the Project, based on outreach and consultation following the announcement of the design changes.	3.2
4 Scope of	Describe new effects added to assessment (e.g. effects to air navigation).	4.1.1.4
Assessment and Methods	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	4.2
6 Air Quality	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	6.0
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	6.1.1
	The baseline	
	• Effects	6.1.2
	Mitigation measures	6.4
	Residual effects	6.1
	Conclusions regarding significance.	6.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	6.4
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 6-7; Table 6-8
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	6.3



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
7 Greenhouse Gas Management	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	7.0
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to: The baseline	7.1.1
	Effects,	7.1.2
	Mitigation measures	7.3
	Residual effects	7.1
	Conclusions regarding significance.	7.4
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	7.3
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 23-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	N/A
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	N/A
	The likelihood of the effect	N/A
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	N/A
8 Acoustic Environment	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	8.0
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	8.1.1
	The baseline	
	• Effects	8.1.2
	Mitigation measures	8.4
	Residual effects	8.1
	Conclusions regarding significance.	8.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	8.4
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
8 Acoustic Environment (cont'd)	• The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 8-8; Table 8-9
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
9 Ambient Light	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	9.0
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	9.1.1
	The baseline	
	• Effects	9.1.2
	Mitigation measures	9.3
	Residual effects	9.1
	Conclusions regarding significance.	9.4
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	9.3
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 9-2; Table 9-3
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
10 Vegetation and Wetland Resources	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	10.0
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	10.1.1
	The baseline	
	Effects	10.1
	Mitigation measures	10.4
	Residual effects	10.1
	Conclusions regarding significance.	10.5



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
10 Vegetation and Wetland Resources	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	10.4
(cont'd)	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 10-5; Table 10-6
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	10.3
11 Terrestrial Wildlife and Marine Birds	Identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	11.1
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	11.1.1 Appendix F.5
	The baseline	The second second
	• Effects	11.1.2
	Mitigation measures	11.4
	Residual effects	11.1.2.4
	Conclusions regarding significance.	11.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	11.4
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 11-5; Table 11-6
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	11.3 Appendix F
12 Freshwater Aquatic Resources	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	12.1



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
12 Freshwater Aquatic Resources (cont'd)	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to: The baseline	12.1.1
	Effects	12.1.2
	Mitigation measures	12.4
	Residual effects	12.1.2
	Conclusions regarding significance.	12.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	12.4
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 12-2; Table 12-3
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
13 Marine Resources	Replace Chapter 13 incorporating both:	Appendix A
	Changes to the effects assessment resulting from design change	
	Responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	Appendix G.1
		Appendix G.2
		Appendix G.3
		Appendix G.4
		Appendix G.5
		Appendix G.6
		Appendix G.7
		Appendix G.8
		Appendix G.9
		Appendix G.10
		Appendix G.11
		Appendix G.12
		Appendix G.13
		Appendix G.14
		Appendix G.15
		Appendix G.16
		Appendix G.20



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
15 Navigation and Marine Resource Use	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	15.1
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to: The baseline	15.1.1
	Effects	15.1.2
	Mitigation measures	15.4
	Residual effects	15.1.2.4
	Conclusions regarding significance.	15.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	15.4
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 15- 3;Table 15-4
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	15.3
17 Visual Quality	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	17.1
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to: The baseline	17.1.1
	Effects	17.1.2
	Mitigation measures	17.1.2
	Residual effects	17.1.2
	Conclusions regarding significance.	17.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	17.4



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
17 Visual Quality (cont'd)	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 17-4; Table 17-5
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
18 Community Health and Well- being	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	18.1
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	18.1.1
	The baseline	
	• Effects	18.1.2
	Mitigation measures	18.4
	Residual effects	18.1.2
	Conclusions regarding significance.	18.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	18.4.1 18.4.2
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	18.4.1 18.4.2
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 18-3; Table 18-4
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
19 Human and Ecological health	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	19.1
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	19.1.1
	The baseline	
	• Effects	19.1.2
	Mitigation measures	19.4



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
19 Human and Ecological Health (cont'd)	Residual effects	19.1.2
	Conclusions regarding significance.	19.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	19.4
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 19-3; Table 19-4
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	Appendix H.1 Appendix H.2
20 Archaeological and Heritage Resources	identify whether there is a material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility]. to the assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects for the construction, operations, and decommissioning phases of the Project	20.1
	Where there is material change, update the assessment, including applicable updates to:	20.1.1
	The baseline	
	• Effects	20.1.2
	Mitigation measures	20.4
	Residual effects	20.1.2
	Conclusions regarding significance.	20.5
	provide an updated list of mitigation measures, written as commitments that clearly describe how PNW LNG intends to implement them	20.4
	Identify which technically and economically feasible mitigation measures would, in PNW LNG's opinion, mitigate significant adverse environmental effects of the Project.	Table 28-3
	provide updates to the significance determination tables, including:	
	The description of how the parameters of significance are defined for that VC (e.g. duration, moderate = 4 years)	Table 20-2
	The likelihood of the effect	
	The level of confidence in the conclusions.	
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	20.3



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
21 Current Use of	Replace Chapter 21 incorporating both:	Appendix B
Lands and Resources for Traditional	Changes to the effects assessment resulting from design change	
Purposes	Responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	Appendix B
		Appendix K.1
		Appendix K.2
22 Accidents or Malfunctions	Provide an analysis of the potential for additional accidents and malfunctions resulting from the design change, and the corresponding effects on VCs.	22.1.4
	Describe any material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility] to:	22.2
	The assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects, as well as	
	Changes to the likelihood of the effects and the confidence in the conclusions.	22.4
	This analysis must include a consideration of the potential for aviation accidents.	22.1.4
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	22.3
23 Effects of the Environment on the Project	Provide an analysis of the potential change to the assessment of effects of the environment on the Project resulting from the design change, and the corresponding effects on VCs.	23.1
	Describe any material change [change to the significance criteria ratings (i.e., context, magnitude, extent, duration, frequency, reversibility] to:	23.1
	The assessment of residual effects and cumulative effects as originally provided in the EIS, as well as	
	The likelihood of the effect and the confidence in the conclusions.	23.4
	Provide responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	23.2 Appendix I.1
24 Environmental	Update Plans as appropriate given the design changes	Appendix J
Management Plans	Respond to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	24.1
25 Changes to the Environment	Update as appropriate given the design changes	25.1; 25.2; 25.3; 25.4
	Respond to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	25.5
26 Effects of Changes	Update as appropriate given the design changes	26.1.2.1
to the Environment		26.1.2.2
		26.1.2.2.1
		26.1.2.2.2
		26.1.2.2.3
		26.1.2.2.4
	Respond to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	26
		Appendix K



CEAA October 15, 2014 Letter – Annex Section	Details of Requirements	Addendum Section
27 Aboriginal Rights and Related Interests	Replace Chapter 27 incorporating both: Changes to the effects assessment resulting from design change	Appendix C
	Responses to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	Appendix C
28 Summary of the Assessment	Provide a summary of changes to the assessment with the design changes.	28
29 Benefits to Canadians	Update the Benefits to Canadians.	29
30 Follow-up Program and Compliance Reporting	Update as appropriate given the design changes	30
	Respond to outstanding information requests (Aug, Sept 2014).	30.3
31 Conclusion	Provide any change to the conclusions.	31

