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## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Terminology used in this document is defined where it is first used. The following list will assist readers who may choose to review only portions of the document.

| AEMP | Aquatic Effects Monitoring Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| ANOVA | Analysis of Variance |
| ATU | accumulated thermal units |
| BC | British Columbia |
| Blackwater or Project | Blackwater Project or Blackwater Gold Project |
| BW Gold | BW Gold LTD. |
| CAHS | Centre for Aquatic Health Sciences |
| CEA Agency | Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (now Impact Assessment <br> Agency of Canada) |
| CPUE | catch-per-unit-effort |
| DFO | Fisheries and Oceans Canada |
| DS | Decision Statement |
| EA | Environmental Assessment |
| EAO | British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office |
| FWSS | freshwater supply system |
| FWR | freshwater reservoir |
| GAUC | Guassian Area-Under-The-Curve model |
| IAAC | Impact Assessment Agency of Canada |
| IFN | instream flow needs |
| Lake 15 | Lake 01538UEUT |
| Lake 16 | Lake 01682LNRS |
| MaxN | maximum fish count in a video frame |
| NAAHP | National Aquatic Animal Health Program |
| OWM | Overwintering Mortality |
| Project | Blackwater Gold Project |
| QA/QC | Standard operating procedures |
| RT-qPCR | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle |
| SOPs |  |
| UAV | Rolymerase Chain Reaction |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Blackwater Gold Project (Project) received a Decision Statement (DS) on April 15, 2019, under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012 (CEA Agency 2019) and an Environmental Assessment Certificate \#M19-01 on June 21, 2019, under the 2002 Environmental Assessment Act (EAO 2019).

Condition 3.14 of the DS requires the BW Gold Ltd.'s (BW Gold) to develop a Fish and Fish Habitat Followup Program as follows:
3.14 The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), and other relevant authorities, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment and determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures as it pertains to adverse environmental effects of the Designated Project on fish and fish habitat. The Proponent shall implement the follow-up program during all phases of the Designated Project and shall apply conditions 2.9 and 2.10 when implementing the follow-up program. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall:
3.14.1 conduct parasite and pathogen inventories in Lake 01538UEUT and Lake $01682 L N R S$ prior to enlarging Lake 01682LNRS and connecting it to Lake 01538UEUT pursuant to condition 3.13 and compare the results of the parasite and pathogen inventories for the two lakes;
3.14.2 monitor, starting when the Proponent starts to pump water into Davidson Creek and continuing through until the freshwater supply system has been decommissioned, rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and Kokanee (Oncorhynchus nerka) populations in Davidson Creek, including:
3.14.2.1 community composition of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and Kokanee (Oncorhynchus nerka), their absolute abundance, genetic structure and diversity;
3.14.2.2 absolute abundance of overwintering rainbow trout juveniles; and
3.14.2.3 characteristics of spawner populations through surrogate monitoring metrics including size at $50 \%$ maturity, redd counts and spawner distribution.

Condition 2.9 of the DS requires follow-up programs to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment, determine whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required, and timely implementation if required.

Condition 2.10 of the DS requires consultation with Indigenous groups on the follow-up programs regarding opportunities for participation in their implementation.

### 1.1 Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of Fish and Fish Habitat Follow-up Program described herein is to fulfill condition 3.14 of the federal DS.

The Fish and Fish Habitat Follow-up Programs are designed to first characterize baseline conditions for each of the indicators listed in the condition (e.g., characteristics of spawner populations). These indicators will then be monitored during all phases of the Project to determine, to the extent possible, if (a) variation from baseline conditions is occurring, (b) mitigation measures are effective, (c) if the
environmental assessment was accurate in terms of anticipated effects on the indicators, and (d) determine if additional mitigations should be taken pursuant to Condition 2.9.

## 2. FOLLOW-UP UP PROGRAM 3.14.1: LAKES 15 AND 16 PARASITES AND PATHOGENS STUDY

### 2.1 Background and Approach

Lakes 15 and 16 are located at high elevation ( $\sim 1345 \mathrm{~m}$ ), in separate sub-watersheds that are divided by a narrow ( $\sim 500 \mathrm{~m}$ ) strip of land (Figure 2-1), with a 0.4 m difference between lake elevations. Lake 16 is the headwater lake of Davidson Creek, which is the main creek draining the mine site. Construction of a connector channel between the Lake 16 and Lake 15 is proposed (and required under Condition 3.13 of the DS) to preserve the Rainbow Trout population in Lake 16 that would otherwise be entirely isolated by the mine development in the upper and middle reaches of Davidson Creek (Figure 2-1). The purpose of condition 3.14 .1 is to evaluate the risk of introducing new parasites or pathogens to either of the lakes when they are connected, which could harm the populations of Rainbow Trout in either lake.

A parasite-pathogen study was designed by the BC Center for Aquatic Health Sciences (CAHS). Due to potential low fish numbers in Lake 15 and Lake 16, a non-lethal sampling approach was used (i.e., no sampling of Rainbow Trout tissue, which would have required sacrificing of fish). The study was initiated in September 2021 with a field program to collect gill swabs and mucus samples from Rainbow Trout in Lakes 15 and 16, as well as water samples. The purpose of the study is to test the samples for a suite of viruses, bacteria, and pathogens and thereby establish the degree of overlap between the parasite and pathogens present in each lake prior to construction of the offsets.

### 2.2 Field Methods

Standard fish sampling protocols (RISC 2001) were adhered to during the September 2021 field program. Rainbow Trout in Lakes 15 and 16 were captured primarily by angling, with some initial shoreline fyke net sets. Additional details on the field methods and results for the September 2021 field program are available in the Blackwater Gold Project Fish and Aquatic Resources 2021 Field Survey Report (Palmer 2022a).

Gill swabs and mucus samples were collected from captured rainbow trout, and water samples were collected from each lake. The gill swabs and mucus samples were taken by a trained technician from CAHS. Prelabelled microtubes and sample bags were used for each fish and swabs specific to viral or bacterial sampling were used for skin mucous and gills (CAHS 2022).

### 2.3 Laboratory Methods

Molecular assays for a list of NAAHP (National Aquatic Animal Health Program) parasites and pathogens of Rainbow Trout have been performed by CAHS (2022). At CAHS, molecular assays are routinely used for fish screening using kidney tissues. However, these assays needed to be optimized for the non-lethal samples being used in this study which would contain low levels of parasites/pathogens genetic material relative to fish tissue samples.

A Real time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) molecular assay was used that targets genetic material of the pathogen of concern. Specific primers (forward and reverse) and a probe had to be designed for each of the NAAHP diseases of concern for Rainbow Trout and Kokanee found in the lakes. Primers and probes already exist for testing samples for several well-known fish diseases, including Ceratomyxosis (Ceratomyxa shasta) and Whirling disease (Myxobolus cerebralis). Additionally, primers
and probes have been developed for this study by CAHS for three major fish bacteria: Renibacterium salmoninarum; Aeromonas salmonicida; Yersinia ruckeri; and for four major fish viruses: Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis Virus, Infectious Pancreatic Necrosis Virus, Infectious Salmon Anaemia Virus, and Viral Haemorrhagic Septicaemia Virus.

To prepare for analysis, each isolate was filtered and diluted 5 times in 10 -fold dilution. Control swabs were dipped in each dilution to mimic the sample swabs. DNA was extracted from each swab using the Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Cat\# 69506) and as per CAHS SOP \#17 v2.1. RT-qPCR was performed on the extracted DNA using the TaqMan qPCR kit (Applied Biosystems).

### 2.4 Reporting and Follow-up

Testing of the gill swab, mucus, and water samples from the two lakes was completed and results of this study are available in the Blackwater Gold Project Fish and Aquatic Resources 2021 Field Survey Report (Palmer 2022a).

The report will be presented to Indigenous groups and DFO for consideration prior to construction of the connector channel between Lakes 15 and 16.

Currently, no additional testing at Lakes 15 and 16 is included as part of Condition 3.14. If the current study concludes that the parasite and pathogen communities in the two lakes are similar and joining them poses minimal risk of introducing new harmful parasites/pathogens to either lake, Artemis proposes that additional studies or monitoring will not be required. However, if the study is inconclusive or demonstrates that the lakes have differing parasite/pathogen communities and there is a risk of introducing one or more new parasite/pathogen to either lake, further work will be required. In this event, potential contingency measures may include additional years of monitoring or additional rehabilitation efforts to manage the introduction of parasite/pathogen communities to either lake, or additional offsets to address Project requirements. The scope of this future work, or contingency measures, would be developed in consultation with First Nations, DFO, and the Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (IAAC).


Figure 2-1 Project Location in the Davidson Creek and Creek 661 Watersheds

## 3. FOLLOW-UP UP PROGRAM 3.14.2: DAVIDSON CREEK FISH POPULATIONS

### 3.1 Background and Approach

Development of the Project will cause a reduction in the catchment area of Davidson Creek, and, if unmitigated, a corresponding reduction in flows in Davidson Creek downstream of the mine. To mitigate this effect on flows, flow augmentation is proposed using a Freshwater Supply System (FWSS) that will pump water from Tatelkuz Lake to a freshwater reservoir (FWR) adjacent to Reach 6 of Davidson Creek
(Figure 2-1).
For the first 5 years of mine operations, the FWR will store water diverted from the upper portions of Davidson Creek, and mine contact water that is suitable for release into Davidson Creek. Water will be released from the FWR into Davidson Creek to meet a defined Instream Flow Needs (IFN) for Rainbow Trout and Kokanee life stages.

Starting in Year 6 of Operations, water will be pumped from Tatelkuz Lake, and the FWR will also store and release water that is a mix of water diverted from the upper catchment, treated effluent, and Tatelkuz Lake water. The IFN identifies the timing of the streamflow rate in Davidson Creek that would be required to provide adequate habitat to instream aquatic species throughout the year.

The primary purpose of condition 3.14 .2 follow-up program is to determine if the Project, and specifically flow augmentation from the FWSS, is affecting fish community composition, overwintering abundance and habitat use, and spawner populations during different Project phases. Several indicators require monitoring, with the aim of detecting changes that may be attributable to flow augmentation. The followup program currently proposes monitoring of the following:

- Community Composition
- Young-of-year (YoY) and juvenile Rainbow Trout abundance (Condition 3.14.2.1);
- Adult Kokanee and Rainbow Trout abundance and genetics (Condition 3.14.2.1 and 3.14.2.3);
- Rainbow Trout overwintering abundance and habitat availability (Condition 3.14.2.2);
- Spawner Populations
- Rainbow Trout adult spawner and redd abundance (Condition 3.14.2.3);
- Kokanee adult spawner and redd abundance (Condition 3.14.2.3); and
- Kokanee fry outmigration assessment (surrogate metric for Condition 3.14.2.3).

Periphyton and benthic invertebrate sampling is outside the scope of 3.14 Fisheries Follow-up Program. However, both variables will be monitored as part of the Aquatic Effects Monitoring Program for the Project. The findings of other monitoring programs (e.g., the Aquatic Effects Monitoring Program [AEMP, Palmer 2022b], 3.15 Follow-up Program), including periphyton and benthic invertebrate monitoring, will be considered when interpreting the results of the Fisheries Follow-up Programs.

Field programs were initiated in 2021 (Palmer 2022a) and are planned for 2022 to build upon and validate baseline information on fish populations in Davidson Creek (AMEC 2013), and to refine and select the field sampling methods that will be carried forward for the follow-up program through the life of the mine. Results of the 2021 field program are available in the Blackwater Gold Project Fish and Aquatic Resources 2021 Field Survey Report (Palmer 2022a). The scope of 2022 field programs and the longterm 3.14.2 Follow-up Program study design will be further developed in consultation with First Nations.

### 3.2 Study Design

### 3.2.1 Community Composition (Sub-condition 3.14.2.1)

### 3.2.1.1 Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance

To estimate absolute abundance of YoY (age 0+) and juvenile (and 1+ to $3+$ ) Rainbow Trout, electrofishing surveys will be conducted at nine sites in Davidson Creek. At least one site will be located in each of the six reaches of Davidson Creek, as shown in Figure 3-1. At each site, sampling will include:

- Three-pass depletion electrofishing of accessible stream areas of $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, isolated with block nets;
- Identification of species and collection of length, weight, and body condition data for all fish captured;
- Scale samples from a subset of fish for ageing analysis
- Measurement of channel dimensions and calculation of mean values of bankfull width, wetted width, water depth, and gradient; and,
- In-situ water quality measurements (i.e., temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH , and conductivity).

Sampling will occur in late July, during the period after Rainbow Trout YoY emergence and before the arrival of spawning Kokanee adults in the downstream reaches of Davidson Creek. Sampling timing will be confirmed by calculating accumulated thermal units (ATU) using local stream and air temperature data. This calculated value will be compared to available emergence timing and ATU literature to estimate the timing window and variability of Rainbow Trout emergence dates. Results will be used to refine the juvenile sampling window for a given year. Observed emergence timing from field surveys will be compared to modelled emergence dates to validate and refine estimated emergence and ATU calculations.

At each site, sampling effort, electrofisher specifications, and catches will be recorded. Catch per unit effort (CPUE), relative abundance (number of fish per unit effort) and density metrics (number of fish per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) will be calculated and analyzed, as described in Section 3.3.1.1 Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance. Sampling frequency is described in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration.

Scale samples will be collected from a subset of fish for juvenile rainbow trout abundance study (captured using 3-pass depletion electrofishing). Scales will be collected to align with the BC RISC Fish Collection Methods and Standards. Scales will be collected above the lateral line and behind the dorsal fin with a sharp knife. At least 10 scales will be collected from each fish. Using the knife, scales will be pulled from posterior to anterior such that scales can be separated. Scales will be placed individually in label coin envelopes and air dried for laboratory analysis.

The summer fish inventory measurement endpoints will include an inventory of the fish community and fish health (Table 3-1).

# Table 3-1: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for the Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance 

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish community and abundance | Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE), relative abundance, and fish density (fish/100 <br> m2) for each identified species, population structure |
| Fish health | Length, weight, condition, age |

### 3.2.1.2 Davidson Creek Adult Kokanee and Rainbow Trout Abundance

Adult Rainbow Trout and Kokanee abundance will be estimated from spawner surveys, described in Section 3.2.3 Davidson Creek Spawner Populations (Sub-condition 3.14.2.3).

### 3.2.1.3 Rainbow Trout and Kokanee Genetic Structure and Diversity

The requirement (3.14.2.1) to monitor Rainbow Trout and Kokanee genetic structure and diversity will be met by employing the genetic study methodology used in the baseline studies to the extent possible and where feasible, as described in Taylor (2012). This sampling methodology is described here, although it is recognized that adaptations may be warranted to account for advances in laboratory methods and genetic analysis techniques, based on subject matter expert guidance.

Samples of genetic material will be taken from Rainbow Trout and Kokanee populations that occur in lower Davidson Creek. As described in the Project's baseline studies, two sub-populations of Rainbow Trout are understood to occur in Davidson Creek, separated by a cascade barrier in Reach 11: one in the lower reaches (i.e., downstream of the barrier) and one in the upper reaches and Lake 16 (i.e., above the barrier). This monitoring condition is specific to the effects on fish residing in Davidson Creek downstream of the mine site. Therefore, only the lower Davidson Creek sub-population of Rainbow Trout will be assessed as part of this program. Only a single identified population of Kokanee occur in Davidson Creek, so this population will also be assessed.

Rainbow Trout tissue samples will be taken from stream-resident juvenile fish caught in Davidson Creek during summer electrofishing sampling (Section 3.2.1.1 Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance). Kokanee tissue samples will be taken from mature adult fish returning to spawn. Adult, pre-spawn Kokanee will be sampled, rather than juvenile lake-resident Kokanee, to ensure that fish sampled are part of the Davidson Creek spawning population, since Tatelkuz Lake likely contains fish from multiple stream-spawning populations. Migrating pre-spawn Kokanee adults will be captured in lower Davidson Creek using seine nets over an area with no observed actively spawning fish. A minimum of 30 samples will be collected for each species (i.e., 30 Rainbow Trout and 30 Kokanee). All fish sampled will be identified to the species level, measured for length and weight, sampled for tissue, then released back into stream site from which they were captured.

Tissue samples will be adipose and/or caudal fin clips, depending on tissue analysis volume requirements. Fin clips will be immediately placed into labelled vials containing $95 \%$ ethanol to minimize DNA degradation.

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) of microsatellite DNA will be carried out on ten microsatellite loci of Rainbow Trout and six loci of Kokanee, previously identified in the baseline genetic analysis (Taylor 2012).

Measurement and assessment endpoints have been selected with a focus on non-lethal monitoring of the fish community. The genetic measurement endpoint is a deviation from a population equilibrium (i.e., allele frequency is stable between generations; Table 3-2).

# Table 3-2: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for the Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance 

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Genetic structure | Deviation from population equilibrium |

### 3.2.2 Juvenile Rainbow Trout Overwintering (Sub-condition 3.14.2.2)

DS Condition 3.14.2 requires "absolute abundance of overwintering rainbow trout juveniles". However, sampling of fish populations under ice during winter is logistically challenging and can present risks to human and fish health. Therefore, following discussion with representatives of IAAC and DFO (17 November, 2021), a technically feasible alternate assessment strategy was developed.

Juvenile Rainbow Trout overwintering surveys will include two assessment methods:

1. Mid-winter assessment of relative fish abundance using underwater cameras and evaluation of habitat characteristics at nine sites in Davidson Creek; and
2. Fall pre-overwintering and spring post-overwintering relative abundance surveys using three-pass electrofishing at five sites in Davidson Creek.

The first year of monitoring occurred in March 2022 in accordance with the methodology presented in Section 3.2.2.1 Mid-winter Assessment. Results of this study are available in the Blackwater Gold Project Fish and Aquatic Resources 2021 Field Survey Report (Palmer 2022a). Future years of monitoring will consider the methods and outcomes of previous years' of monitoring to optimize data collection.

### 3.2.2.1 Mid-winter Assessment

Winter surveys will be conducted to assess overwintering abundance and habitat use at nine sites in Davidson Creek (Site 1 to Site 9; Figure 3-1). Potential overwintering sites were identified using winter 2022 field survey information, field reconnaissance and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) imagery from summer 2021 surveys (Section 3.2.3 Davidson Creek Spawner Populations (Sub-condition 3.14.2.3)), and baseline stream habitat data. The mid-winter overwintering abundance and habitat assessment program will include measurement and assessment of juvenile Rainbow Trout overwintering abundance at each selected site using underwater cameras. Cameras and underwater lights will be placed in an overwintering deep pool habitat within each site. Stationary high-quality video will be recorded for a standardized period (e.g., 60 minutes) during daytime. The video will be reviewed to determine relative abundance using the established metric of maximum count of individuals observed simultaneously in a video frame (MaxN; Hitt et al. 2020).

At each site, recording time, camera and lighting specifications, habitat measurements, and water chemistry parameters will be recorded. MaxN values will be determined and habitat suitability will be assessed, as described in Section 3.3.2.1 Mid-winter Assessment. Sampling frequency is described in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration. The mid-winter overwintering survey measurement endpoints will include assessment of fish abundance and habitat suitability (

Table 3-3).

# Table 3-3: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for the Mid-winter Juvenile Rainbow Trout Overwintering Assessment 

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish abundance | Maximum count of individuals observed simultaneously in a video frame (MaxN) |
| Habitat suitability | Flowing water is present and dissolved oxygen levels are greater than $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |

### 3.2.2.2 Fall Pre-overwintering and Spring Post-overwintering Assessment

Pre-and post-overwintering surveys will include three-pass electrofishing assessments of five sites in Davidson Creek (DCOH5 to DCOH 9; Figure 3-1). The methodology will follow that previously described
in Section 3.2.1.1 Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance for summer Rainbow Trout assessment.

Sampling timing will vary, depending on seasonal differences in water temperature, ice cover, and discharge. Fall sampling will generally be conducted in late September, before water temperatures drop below $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and before ice cover forms. Spring sampling will be conducted shortly after ice-off, once temperatures reach $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and before spring freshet precludes effective electrofishing.

Notably, the five sites selected for assessment are less than the nine identified for summer YoY and juvenile sampling and overwintering assessment. This is due to the expected presence of incubating Kokanee embryos in the gravel substrates of the lower reaches of Davidson Creek. Electrofishing sampling will not be conducted in potential Kokanee spawning areas to minimize mortalities. Therefore, the four furthest-downstream sites (DCOH1 to DCOH 4) will not be sampled in fall or spring.

At each site, sampling effort, electrofisher specifications, and catches will be recorded. CPUE, relative abundance (number of fish per unit effort) and density metrics (number of fish per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) will be calculated and analyzed, as described in Section 3.3.2.2 Fall Pre-overwintering and Spring Post-overwintering Assessment. Sampling frequency is described in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration. The pre- and post-winter overwintering survey measurement endpoints will include assessment of fish abundance fish health (Table 3-4).

Table 3-4: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for Fall Pre-overwintering and Spring Post-overwintering Assessment

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish community and abundance | Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE), relative abundance, and fish density (fish/100 <br> m2) for each identified species, population structure |
| Fish health | Length, weight, condition |



Figure 3-1 Fish and Fish Habitat Monitoring Sites on Davidson Creek.

### 3.2.3 Davidson Creek Spawner Populations (Sub-condition 3.14.2.3)

DS Condition 3.14.3 requires assessment of "characteristics of spawner populations through surrogate monitoring metrics including size at $50 \%$ maturity". However, evaluating size at $50 \%$ maturity can require intensive lethal sampling to assess gonad development. Such an effort would require annual lethal sampling to achieve a sample size that can be used for statistical interpretation. This loss could negatively impact the Rainbow Trout and Kokanee population. Further, such sample targets (i.e., sample sizes of spawner populations) require fishing efforts that would encompass multiple passes to achieve the desired metrics during sensitive timing windows for the target species, and fishing efforts will be confounded by the migratory life history and lake-residence period of Rainbow Trout. Therefore, following discussion with representatives of IAAC and DFO (17 November, 2021) and additional consultation with First Nations and their technical consultants, an assessment of size at $100 \%$ maturity is proposed as an alternative to size at $50 \%$ maturity. To measure size at $100 \%$ maturity, body size (i.e., fork length and weight) of migrating pre-spawn fish (in the case of Rainbow Trout) or postorbital-hypural length of postspawn mortalities (in the case of Kokanee), that are assumed to be $100 \%$ mature, will be measured and evaluated. This metric will be used to directly evaluate change in body size of mature fish that spawn in Davidson Creek.

### 3.2.3.1 Rainbow Trout Spawner Abundance

Adult Rainbow Trout spawner abundance and distribution will be directly assessed with two methods: capture of migrating spawners using hoop nets and visual assessment of spawners and redds.

The first year of sampling occurred in spring 2021 in accordance with the methodology described below. Results of this study are available in the Blackwater Gold Project Fish and Aquatic Resources 2021 Field Survey Report (Palmer 2022a). Future years of monitoring will consider the methods and outcomes of previous years' of monitoring to optimize data collection.

## Hoop Net Sampling

Direct sampling of migrating fish will involve intercepting mature fish during their annual pre-spawning migration into Davidson Creek. Migrating adult fish will be captured and counted using bi-directional hoop nets located at two sites: one site the furthest downstream reach of Davidson Creek, near its confluence with Chedakuz Creek (Site 1) and one site (Site 8) immediately downstream of the proposed mine access road in middle Davidson Creek (Figure 3-1).

The hoop netting program will include the following tasks:

- Installation and maintenance of bi-directional (i.e., upstream- and downstream-facing) hoop nets at each site;
- Regular (i.e., at least twice-daily) hoop net checks for fish, including:
- Marking mature Rainbow Trout (i.e., those producing milt or eggs) with movement direction- and site-specific coloured and numbered floy tags to evaluate residence time and movement;
- Enumeration of Rainbow Trout and measurement of weight, length, sex, and body condition;
- Testing for spawning ripeness (i.e., exuding milt or eggs) by lightly pressing on the abdomen; and
- Scale samples from a subset of 30 fish for ageing.
- In-situ daily water quality measurement (i.e., temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH , and conductivity)
- Daily velocity measurements at the hoop net sites.

Scale samples will be collected from a subset of 30 fish for the rainbow trout spawner survey (captured using hoop nets). Scales will be collected to align with the BC RISC Fish Collection Methods and Standards. Scales will be collected above the lateral line and behind the dorsal fin with a sharp knife. At least 10 scales will be collected from each fish. Using the knife, scales will be pulled from posterior to anterior such that scales can be separated. Scales will be placed individually in label coin envelopes and air dried for laboratory analysis.

Fish capture data will be used to evaluate spawner numbers and distribution, as described in Section 3.3.3.1 Rainbow Trout Spawner Abundance. Sampling frequency is described in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration. The Rainbow Trout spawner abundance measurement endpoints will include the number of migrating adult fish captured in hoop nets (Table 3-5).

## Table 3-5: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for Rainbow Trout Spawning Hoop Net Sampling

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish abundance | Total number of adult spawners captured in hoop nets returning to Davidson <br> Creek, and a subset of ageing samples to characterize the population |
| Fish distribution | Number of adult spawners captured at each hoop net site in Davidson Creek |
| Fish size at $100 \%$ maturity | Weight, length, and age at $100 \%$ maturity of Rainbow Trout captured in hoop <br> nets returning to Davidson Creek |

## Bank Walk Visual Assessment

Bank walk surveys also will be conducted during the peak spawning period (i.e., May and June) and postspawn to estimate redd counts, to identify areas of high spawning activity, and to determine the spawning distribution of Rainbow Trout within Davidson Creek. Bank walk surveys will be completed on an annual basis during Construction and Operation (more details on frequency and duration are discussed in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration).

The bank walk surveys will be completed at the two hoop netting sites in Davidson Creek, downstream of the FWR. Each survey zone will be located within the same reach as the hoop net site, although some site-specific adjustment will be needed to establish effective monitoring locations. Each survey zone will consist of a $500-\mathrm{m}$ long section that contains likely spawning locations based on baseline Fish Habitat Assessment Procedure (FHAP) and RISC 1:20,000 habitat assessments from the baseline program (Johnston and Slaney 1996; RISC 2001; AMEC 2013) and field reconnaissance.

Each section will be surveyed twice during the annual Rainbow Trout spawning period: once at the 'peak' of spawning activity (i.e., after the furthest downstream hoop net upstream-movement catch has peaked, to assess the maximum number of fish in the assessment sections) and once post-spawning (i.e., after the furthest downstream hoop net downstream-movement catch has peaked, to assess the maximum number of redds). Exact survey time will depend on annual variability in run timing.

Each surveyed section will be walked once in an upstream direction by a pair of trained observers. Observers will record the number of redds and adult fish in each section. Geographic coordinates will be recorded for each redd (or redd complex if multiple redds occur in close proximity).

Fish and redd observation data will be used to evaluate spawner numbers and distribution, as described in Section 3.3.3.1 Rainbow Trout Spawner Abundance. Sampling frequency is described in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration. The Rainbow trout bank walk assessment measurement endpoints will include assessment of fish and redd abundance and density (Table 3-6).

## Table 3-6: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for Rainbow Trout Spawning Bank Walk

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish abundance | Number of adult spawners observed and their density at each survey sites |
| Fish distribution | Relative number of adult spawners observed at each survey site |
| Redd abundance | Relative number of redds observed and their density in each survey reach |
| Fish habitat | Mesohabitat unit boundaries, channel dimensions, stream morphology, cover, <br> vegetation, substrate composition, riparian habitat |

### 3.2.3.2 Kokanee Spawner Abundance

## Spawner Survey

Kokanee spawner surveys in Davidson Creek will include underwater camera surveys and bank walks to determine the total abundance of mature Kokanee entering Davidson Creek. The surveys will begin at the confluence of Chedakuz and Davidson creeks, extending through Reaches 1 to 4 of Davidson Creek
(Figure 3-1), representing the full extent of documented Kokanee spawning.
Bank walk surveys will be conducted approximately once per week for the duration of the annual prespawning migration and spawning periods, typically beginning in late July and ending in early September, depending on environmental factors. Bank walk spawner and redd surveys that encompass the entire migration and spawning period allows the use of an area-under-the-curve (AUC) estimate of Kokanee spawner abundance, described further in Section 3.3.3.2 Kokanee Spawner Abundance.
The Kokanee spawner survey field program will include the following tasks:

- Installation of an underwater camera (that will operate 24/7) paired with a fish fence near the confluence of Davidson Creek and Chedakuz Creek to estimate the total abundance of fish entering Davidson Creek;
- Visual assessment of Kokanee spawners and redds via bank walks. Information collected during the bank walk surveys will include:
- Kokanee counts (characterizing fish as either holding/migrating, spawning, spent, or carcass); and
- Redd abundance, approximate location, and substrate type.
- Measurement of fork and postorbital-hypural lengths from carcasses;
- Collection of tissue samples (i.e., otoliths and fin clips) from carcasses; and
- In-situ water quality (i.e., temperature, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, pH , and conductivity).

Surveys will be conducted in the upstream direction to reduce disturbing the sediment to maximize fish observability. As observers advance along the creek, live and dead observations will be recorded; live fish will be classified as holding/migrating (lively, fresh in appearance, absence of wear, unpaired male and female) or spawning (paired male and female) or spent (lethargic, presence of wear). Male and female mortalities will be counted and marked by chopping the fish posteriorly above the adipose fin to avoid recounts in subsequent surveys. Environmental conditions (e.g., percent bankfull, water temperature, water clarity, brightness, cloud cover, and precipitation intensity) will be documented.

A value of observer efficiency (OE) will be applied to each reach on each day to account for negative bias associated with spatial/temporal changes in observability. OE is a value determined each day that is calculated by comparing daily survey counts made by two observers, with multiple consecutive counts being performed. The mean of all paired observer counts at site is then compared to the highest point count to determine observer efficiency. This factor is then applied to the observed values for each reach / stratum on each day. These correction factors are critical to AUC estimates because it allows inter- and intra-annual comparisons of fish counts within and between streams.
The first year of sampling occurred between July and September, 2021 in accordance with the methodology described above to capture the start and end of the Kokanee spawner migration into Davidson Creek. Results of this study are available in the Blackwater Gold Project Fish and Aquatic Resources 2021 Field Survey Report (Palmer 2022a). Future years of monitoring will consider the methods and outcomes of previous years' of monitoring to optimize data collection.

## Tissue Sampling

Tissue samples collected opportunistically from carcasses and from live fish will be used for age measurement and for genetic analysis.

Otolith samples will be opportunistically gathered for age analyses to determine the age ranges of Kokanee spawners. The proposed target minimum sample size for otoliths is $n=100$ samples, although more samples could be collected, if carcasses are available, to increase the confidence of statistical analyses. Effort will be made to collect samples throughout different times of the migration period where possible (e.g., 10 per day for 10 days) to reduce temporal bias. These ageing structures will be removed and prepared (e.g., mounted, polished, or otherwise treated) as necessary. Age will determined by counting the number of annuli through a compound microscope. Age data will be analyzed to calculate a length-at-age relationship for mature fish. This information will be used to determine length and age at $100 \%$ maturity for the population.

Fin clip samples will also be taken from Kokanee spawners during the spawning survey to perform genetic analysis of the populations, as described in Section 3.2.1.3 Rainbow Trout and Kokanee Genetic Structure and Diversity. Fin clips will be taken from live, pre-spawn, adult fish, gathered using seine net pulls from Davidson Creek. Migrating and holding fish will be targeted; no fish will be collected from active spawning areas. Fin clips will be stored in $95 \%$ ethanol for genetic analysis. PCR testing will be performed, including identification of microsatellite loci to be compared. Statistical tests will be performed on these data to estimate deviation from population equilibrium and population differentiation.

Kokanee spawner counts, redd counts, length measurements, and age data will be used to evaluate total escapement, distribution of spawners, and size at maturity, as described in Section 3.3.3.2 Kokanee Spawner Abundance. Sampling frequency is described in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration. The Kokanee bank walk visual assessment measurement endpoints will include assessment of fish abundance and size at $100 \%$ maturity (Table 3-7).

## Table 3-7: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for Kokanee Spawning Bank Walk

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish abundance | Relative number of adult spawners and their density observed in each survey <br> reach |
| Redd abundance | Relative number of redds and their density observed in each survey reach |
| Fish size at $100 \%$ maturity | Length and age at $100 \%$ maturity of Kokanee returning to Davidson Creek |

### 3.2.3.3 Kokanee Fry Outmigration Survey

Kokanee fry outmigration abundance is an indicator of the success of the previous year's Kokanee spawning activity and the overwinter survival of in-gravel Kokanee embryos. As such, a spring Kokanee fry outmigration survey in Davidson Creek will be completed to assess abundance as a surrogate metric for adult Kokanee spawning success.

Kokanee fry outmigration assessment will be completed using a sub-sampling mark-recapture method. Sampling will involve deploying fine-mesh nets of known dimensions into the channel at predetermined locations, according to the methods of Fraley and Clancey (1984). Each net will be sampled at a set interval and the fry captured will be enumerated and recorded. The duration of the sampling period will be adjusted based on the numbers of fry netted and/or the amount of debris present, although it is expected to last approximately four weeks, based on literature review. Data including date, time, water depth, water temperature and weather conditions will be recorded. Sampling will be conducted once per week, between 19:00 hours and 02:00 hours as most ( $>90 \%$ ) fry emigration occurs during this period (Thorp 1987, Manson 2005).
Capture efficiency of the nets will be determined using a mark-recapture approach by marking captured fry with Bismarck Brown Y and releasing them upstream of the capture location. Recaptured marked fish will be counted and the proportion of recaptured fish will indicate the trap's effectiveness.

To inform sampling timing, accumulated thermal units (ATU) will be calculated using continuously measured stream and air temperature data. The results will be compared to available emergence timing and ATU literature to estimate the timing window and variability of Kokanee fry emergence dates. Results of this calculation will inform an approximate fry sampling window for a given year. Observed emergence timing data will be compared to modelled emergence to help validate and refine estimated emergence and ATUs.

Total fry emigration for each sampling period will be calculated and estimates for the entire emigration period extrapolated using flow rates, stream channel dimensions, and recapture rates, as described in Section 3.3.3.3 Kokanee Fry Outmigration Survey. Sampling frequency is described in Section 3.4 Frequency and Duration. The Kokanee fry outmigration measurement endpoints will include assessment of relative fish abundance and timing (Table 3-8).

## Table 3-8: Measurement and Assessment Endpoints for Kokanee Fry Outmigration Survey

| Measurement Endpoint | Assessment Endpoint |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish abundance | Calculated total fry abundance for the outmigration period |
| Outmigration timing | Estimated start, finish, and peak timing of outmigration movement |

An underwater camera was deployed in Davidson Creek in late May, 2021 to estimate timing of Kokanee fry outmigration to Tatelkuz Lake (Palmer 2022a). This pilot program was important to determine the optimal window for future outmigration surveys. No kokanee fry were detected on the camera recordings in 2021. Therefore, these results will be considered for future years of monitoring and the timing of the surveys will be adjusted (Palmer 2022a). Future years of monitoring will consider the methods and outcomes of previous years' of monitoring to optimize data collection.

### 3.3 Data Analysis

Statistical analyses will be used to evaluate changes over time. Power analyses have been performed, as appropriate, to support a study design that will allow for between-year comparisons and longer-term trend
analysis. Between-year comparison (i.e., paired comparison between two separate annual datasets) will be completed to identify statistically significant differences in mean values using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), or if the data are not normally distributed the equivalent non-parametric statistical test (e.g., Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test). Prior to performing the ANOVA, tests were run to ensure that ANOVA assumptions were satisfied (i.e., normality, homogeneity of variance) for the CPUE data by year. (Shapiro-Wilk test for normality $\mathrm{W}=0.935, \mathrm{p}=0.1565$; Levene's test for Homogeneity of Variance $\mathrm{F}=1.0338$ $p>F=0.40$ ). All statistical analyses will be performed using the $R$ statistical system ( $R$ Development Core Team 2011). The significance level $(\alpha)=0.05$ will be used for all statistical tests, except as noted in specific analyses. In addition, year over year change will be assessed qualitatively, by comparing with the baseline data.

For longer time scale (i.e., five years and onwards), non-parametric Mann-Kendall temporal trends testing will be used to determine if there are significant temporal trends in any given monitoring metric, and if so, the direction and statistical significance of temporal trend. The sensitivity of the Mann-Kendall trends test increases with an increasing number of time steps (i.e., consecutive years of data) and it is considered that somewhere between five- and ten-time steps are a minimum requirement. Trends analyses will therefore begin following the fifth year of this monitoring program.

Additional metric-specific tests are described in the following section.

### 3.3.1 Community Composition

### 3.3.1.1 Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance

Fish data will be transcribed from field notes and submitted to the ENV Fisheries Data Submission site.

## Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE)

Fish community data will be summarized by calculating CPUE for each individual fishing effort and fish species captured. The CPUE will be calculated as the number of fish captured per sampling device per unit time as follows:

Electrofishing:

## CPUE=number of fish caught * [100/(electrofishing effort, hr)]

The CPUE is an index of relative abundance that can be used to compare fish populations over time with the assumption that catch is proportional to the amount of effort for each gear-type used. For effects assessment, a Mann-Kendall temporal trends test will be undertaken for each site and compared between control and impact sites of the AEMP (ERM 2022). This trends analysis will require a minimum of five years of sequential monitoring data.

## Density

Fish density values will be calculated by dividing the total number of fish caught in a closed site by the area of that site. Site area will be determined by multiplying a mean wetted width of the site by the length of the site. A minimum of three width values will be used to calculated mean wetted width.

Density values will be calculated for the total number of fish captured (i.e., all species), Rainbow Trout life stages (i.e., YoY and juveniles), and for any other species encountered.

## Population Structure

Population structures of fish will be assessed using length and weight frequency distributions and lengthweight regressions.

## Fish Condition

Length-weight data will be plotted to visually assess the entire data set and to identify outliers. Once outliers are visually identified, potential explanations for the outlier values will be investigated and decisions will be made to either repair the outlier, include the outlier in data analysis, or remove the outlier from further analysis.

The length/weight data from reference sites and from historical data (Appendix 2-O, Fish and Aquatic Resources 2011-2012 Baseline Report; Appendix 2-P, Fish and Aquatic Resources 2013 Baseline Report) will be combined and a normal reference range will be calculated using specific length increments and the associated average weight data. The following equation will be used for definition of normal range:

$$
\log _{10}(W)=b * \log _{10}(L)+a
$$

where $W=$ weight $(\mathrm{g}), L=$ length $(\mathrm{mm}), a=$ the intercept of the regression defined from the reference and historical data, and $b=$ the slope of the regression defined from the reference and historical data.

The regression equation for the normal range will then used to calculate the $\log _{10}$ of expected weight as:

$$
\log _{10}\left(W_{E}\right)=b * \log _{10}(L)+a
$$

where $W_{E}=$ expected weight $(\mathrm{g}), L=$ measured fork length (mm), $a=$ the defined intercept of the regression and $b=$ the defined slope of the regression. Residual $\log _{10}$ (weight) values will then be calculated as the difference between expected and measured weight as:

$$
W_{R}=W-W_{E}
$$

where $W_{R}=$ residual weight, $W=$ measured weight, and $W_{E}=$ expected weight. The median, $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and the interquartile range (IQR) for both negative and positive residuals will then be calculated. The upper and lower limits of the normal range of the residuals will then be calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N R_{U L}=75 \% \text { ile }+1.5 \times I Q R \\
& N R_{L L}=25 \% \text { ile }-1.5 \times I Q R
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N R_{U L}=$ the upper limit of the normal range of the residuals, $N R_{L L}=$ the lower limit of the normal range of the residuals, $I Q R=$ the interquartile range, $25 \%$ ile $=25^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the negative residuals, and $75 \%$ ile $=75^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the positive residuals.

The upper and lower limits of normal range for the length/weight linear regression will be calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log _{10}\left(W_{U L}\right) & =\left(a-N R_{U L}\right)+b \times \log _{10}(L) \\
\log _{10}\left(W_{L L}\right) & =\left(a+N R_{L L}\right)+b \times \log _{10}(L)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W_{U L}=$ normal range upper limit for weight ( g ), $W_{L L}=$ normal range lower limit weight ( g ), $L=$ fork length (mm), $a=$ the intercept of the regression and $b=$ the slope of the regression. The lower limit and upper limit of normal range will be used to assess the length/weight fit of fish from assessed sites relative to the normal range, both among years and among sites.

The relative condition ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) will be used as the metric for condition and will be calculated by comparing the measured weight to the expected weight from the measured length as:

$$
K_{n}=\frac{W}{W_{E}}
$$

where $W=$ measured fish weight $(\mathrm{g})$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{E}}=$ expected fish weight $(\mathrm{g})$.

Relative condition will be statistically compared between sites. First, the distributions will be tested for normality using an Anderson-Darling test and if normally distributed, a single factor ANOVA followed by a Tukey's multiple comparison test will be computed to compare relative condition. If the data are not normally distributed, a Kruskal-Wallis test by ranks will be used with a Steel-Dwass test for multiple comparisons. Significance will be assumed when $p<0.05$.

## Site Selection Power Analysis

The number of monitoring sites was selected to provide adequate statistical power to detect a year-overyear change in the mean relative abundance value.

To inform this power calculation, the relative abundance of YoY and juvenile Rainbow Trout captured at the three 2021 survey sites are presented in Table 3-9. The two age groups were combined due to the low numbers captured in each reach.

## Table 3-9. Relative Abundance of Combined Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout in Davidson Creek, 2021

| Survey Site | Abundance (number of <br> captured fish) | Relative Abundance <br> (catch per unit effort) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DCJUVRB1 - Reach 1 | 13 | 0.87 |
| DCJUVRB2 - Reach 5 | 32 | 0.56 |
| DCJUVRB3 - Reach 6 | 24 | 0.56 |
| Notes: <br> ${ }^{1}$ CPUE is reflected as the number of fish / 100 seconds of electrofishing. |  |  |

To standardize the data used in this evaluation, single-pass electrofishing data was used for determining relative abundance, incorporating data from the original baseline work conducted in 2011 to 2013, and recent sampling in 2021. This data comparison provided a relative abundance mean/standard deviation (SD) from a total of 16 sites, for which ETA squared (i.e., measure of effect size that is commonly used in Analysis of Variance [ANOVA] models) was determined, as well as Cohens $f$ statistic (i.e., a measure of standardized average effect in the population across all the levels of the independent variable; Cohen 1988) to use as an effect size derived from the sample population relative abundance data. An ANOVA was completed for CPUE by year using the Sum of Squares value for year and residuals from the ANOVA to evaluate an effect size of 0.576 . Based on this value, the sample size required for $80 \%$ power (alpha $=$ 0.05 ) is nine survey sites per year in Davidson Creek.

The abundance data collected using triple-pass depletion approach is expected to have a lower coefficient of variation over time when compared to the single-pass approach (used in the 2011-2013 baseline studies, and in 2021). As a result, the triple-pass approach has more statistical power to detect any trends or changes in abundance (George et al 2021). The proposed triple-pass method will lower the observed variance and allow for more powerful statistical evaluation. The data from the first pass of the triple-pass sampling also will be comparable to the single-pass baseline (2011-2013) and 2021 data to allow for historical comparison.

### 3.3.1.2 Davidson Creek Adult Kokanee and Rainbow Trout Abundance

Adult Kokanee and Rainbow Trout abundances are addressed in Section 3.3.3 Davidson Creek Spawner Populations.

### 3.3.1.3 Rainbow Trout and Kokanee Genetic Structure and Diversity

Data analysis methods for genetic structure and diversity will broadly follow those described in Taylor (2012), summarized here. However, adjustments may be required to account for laboratory-specific analysis variation.

Tests will be performed using GENEPOP (Raymond and Rousset 2001). Tests for deviations from HardyWeinberg equilibrium will be performed for each locus-population combination using an exact test in which probability $(P)$ values will be estimated using a Markov chain method. Tests for genotypic linkage disequilibrium for all combinations of locus pairs within a population will also be made using a Markov chain method with GENEPOP default values. Tests for population differentiation between all pairs of populations will be performed using FST estimated as $\theta$ (Weir and Cockerham 1984) as implemented in GENETIX (Belkhir et al. 2004). Significance levels will be determined correcting for multiple simultaneous tests following Narum (2006). Basic descriptive statistics of sample size (N), number of alleles (NA), observed (HO) and expected (HE) will be compiled using FSTAT (Goudet 2001). A factorial correspondence analysis (FCA) will be used to depict genetic similarity amongst all individuals in genetic space as inferred from variation in allele frequencies using GENETIX. A hierarchical partitioning of allele frequency variation to that between drainages, among localities within drainages, and within localities will be conducted using the analysis of molecular variance approach as implemented in ARLEQUIN (Excoffier et al. 2006).

The model-based Bayesian clustering analysis within STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al. 2000) will be used to assess population structure employing the admixture model with correlated allele frequencies and a burnin of 50,000 iterations followed by an additional 100,000 iterations, replicated three times. The simulations will be run with hypothesized numbers of populations $(K)$ ranging from $K=1$ to $K=s+2$ where $s=$ the number of localities sampled (i.e., a total of $K=9$ ). STRUCTURE HARVESTER will be used to process the results from multiple runs of STRUCTURE (Earl 2011). Given the relatively small spatial scale of the study (and an expected low level of genetic differentiation) and that fish were sampled largely within what are likely to be spawning tributaries, the STRUCTURE analysis will also use the locality prior option which employs prior knowledge of where each sample was collected to assist in clustering. The LOCPRIOR model works on the principle that individuals from the same sampling location often come from the same genetic population. Therefore, the LOCPRIOR operate to assume that the sampling locations can be informative about ancestry (Hubisz et al. 2009).

These calculations will be used to generate a description of the genetic condition of the assessed populations of Rainbow Trout and Kokanee. This data will be analyzed to evaluate change in allele frequency within the population over time.

### 3.3.2 Juvenile Rainbow Trout Overwintering

### 3.3.2.1 Mid-winter Assessment

Fish abundance will be estimated using the metric of the maximum count of individuals observed simultaneously in a video frame (MaxN), as described in Hitt et al. (2021). This value will be obtained by an observer reviewing the video footage while recording the number of fish present. Still frames will be captured to document the MaxN values.

Habitat suitability will be determined based on the presence of ice-free water beneath ice at the deepest point of the assessment site and adequate dissolved oxygen to support aquatic life. This threshold will be defined as $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, based on BC's Approved Water Quality Guidelines for Aquatic Life for the instantaneous minimum water quality guidelines for all life stages other than buried embryo / alevin (BC MOECCS 2021).

### 3.3.2.2 Fall Pre-overwintering and Spring Post-overwintering Assessment

Methods for analyzing fall pre-overwintering and spring post-overwintering data will follow those described in Section 3.2.1.1 Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance.

The fall pre-overwintering and spring post-overwintering relative abundance data will be used to determine overwintering survival. The estimated abundance and survival, will be determined with the relative abundance catch data from pre- and post-winter electrofishing effort adopting the approach of Trudel et al. (2012) to determine overwinter mortality (OWM) outlined in below equation:

$$
O W M=\left[1-\frac{\left(C P U E_{\text {spring }}\right)}{\left(C P U E_{\text {fall }}\right)}\right] * 100
$$

where $^{C P D U E ~_{\text {spring }}}$ and CPUE fall, respectively, define the pre-overwintering and post-overwintering estimates of catch per unit effort.

### 3.3.3 Davidson Creek Spawner Populations

### 3.3.3.1 Rainbow Trout Spawner Abundance

Catches for each hoop net site and each movement direction (i.e., upstream and downstream) will be tabulated and used to calculate the number of Rainbow Trout spawners entering Davidson Creek.

Total adult abundance (i.e., the total number of unique adult fish captured migrating into Davidson Creek) will be determined based on the total number of unique floy tags applied to fish captured in hoop nets. Adult spawner distribution will be assessed by tabulating the relative numbers of fish captured at each hoop net site, moving in each direction. Fish size at $100 \%$ maturity will be calculated by determining the mean length and weight values of all mature spawners encountered.

Bank walk survey data for each site will be evaluated to calculate relevant metrics. Total spawner abundance will be calculated for pooled and individual sites. Fish distribution will be assessed by comparing the relative number of fish observed at each site. Redd abundance will be determined by the maximum number of redds observed at each site during the survey period.

### 3.3.3.2 Kokanee Spawner Abundance

## Spawner Abundance

An area-under-the-curve (AUC) method will be used to estimate escapement of spawning Kokanee based on periodic counts obtained through visual surveys. AUC methods calculate an escapement (E) estimate by taking the integral of the count data (expanded by observer efficiency) and dividing it by a value of survey life (SL; synonymous with residence time or stream life): $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{AUC} \div \mathrm{SL}$
Specifically, total escapement for Davidson Creek will using a gaussian area-under-the-curve (GAUC) model developed by Millar et al. (2012) using the R Studio Environment (R Core Team, 2021). This method involves using a generalized linear model (GLM) with a quasi-Poisson family to fit to the OEexpanded count data. This method subsequently incorporates empirically derived stream-specific values of SL and OE and their uncertainty into the estimator. There is no historical information available for these values and their calculation would require a weir and a comprehensive study design that is outside the scope of the present study. Rather, OE was implicit through its application in periodic surveys, while a literature value of SL and its uncertainty was used similarly to Holt and Cox (2008).

This GAUC method will be used to generate estimates of total escapement and standard error, start and end dates of the spawning period, and peak spawning timing.

## Redd Abundance

Redd abundance data will be tabulated for each surveyed reach and for each survey day. Redds may overlap in Davidson Creek given the channel morphology, abundance of cover, cattle crossings, and woody debris that exists within the area. As such, the highest count of redd abundance for each reach will be identified as used as the metric value for that reach. The total number of redds within Davidson Creek will be calculated as the total of maximum redd counts per reach. Effort will be made to categorize individual redds as 'definitive' and 'potential' with individual coordinates where possible for data recording purposes.

## Size at Maturity

Size at maturity will be calculated using the length, weight, and age data gathered for adult spawning Kokanee. This metric will be calculated by determining the mean postorbital-hypural length values of all mature spawners encountered. In addition, age at $100 \%$ maturity also will be calculated by determining the mean age of Kokanee, based on otolith ageing.

### 3.3.3.3 Kokanee Fry Outmigration Survey

Total daily catch data will first be expanded, using an estimate of trapping efficiency, based on the mark-recapture-based estimate of trap effectiveness. To calculate total daily catch estimate, the following formula for the adjusted Peterson estimate will be applied (Ricker 1975):

$$
N=\frac{(M+1) *(C+1)}{R+1}
$$

Where: $N=$ Daily fry estimate, $C=$ Daily Catch, $R=$ Number of Marks Recaptured, and $M=$ Number of Marks Released

Kokanee fry outmigration abundance will then be estimated, based on the efficiency-adjusted daily fry estimate, using an AUC calculation method, similar to that used for adult Kokanee, described in Section

### 3.3.3.2 Kokanee Spawner Abundance.

### 3.4 Frequency and Duration

The monitoring programs for community composition, overwintering abundance and habitat use, and spawner populations will occur during all phases of the Project.

Annual monitoring will occur in the Construction phase of the project for all sampling components for Condition 3.14.2. Annual monitoring will also continue for Rainbow Trout YoY and juvenile abundance surveys (Section 3.2.1.1 Davidson Creek Young-of-Year and Juvenile Rainbow Trout Abundance) and Rainbow Trout overwintering surveys (Section 3.2.2 Juvenile Rainbow Trout Overwintering (Subcondition 3.14.2.2)) during Operation.

Rainbow trout spawning surveys and kokanee spawning surveys and escapement surveys (Section 3.2.3 Davidson Creek Spawner Populations (Sub-condition 3.14.2.3) will be completed on an annual basis for at least the first two years of Construction and the first eight years of Operations, to ensure that at least two complete kokanee cohort generations are assessed. Beyond the eight-year mark of Operations,
survey frequency for fish community could be reduced to once every two years, if no trend (changes) in fish community is observed.

The genetic sampling frequency (Section 3.2.1.3 Rainbow Trout and Kokanee Genetic Structure and Diversity) will be completed every four years, to allow time for potential detectable genetic drift to occur, and to coincide with the four-year life cycle of Kokanee spawners.

Monitoring frequency of all FUP components for Closure and Post-Closure phases will be determined near the end of the Operations phase and will depend on monitoring results during that phase.

## 4. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Follow-up Programs 3.14.1 (Parasite Pathogen Study) and 3.14.2 (Davidson Creek Populations) were initiated in 2021-2022 through field programs to select sites, refine field sampling methods, and collect baseline data prior the start of Construction. The programs will be ongoing throughout the life of the Project.

## 5. ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

The follow-up programs for Condition 3.14 described herein will evolve over time in response to the results of the monitoring, changing conditions, or development at the Project, updates to methods, and through consultation with Indigenous groups, regulators, or other stakeholders. This process of continuous improvement with changing conditions is referred to as adaptive management.

Conditions 2.5 and 2.6 in the federal DS identify requirement for follow-up programs:
"2.5 The Proponent shall, where a follow-up program is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, have a Qualified Professional, where such a qualification exists for the subject matter of the follow-up program, determine, as part of the development of each follow-up program and in consultation with the party or parties being consulted during the development, the following information:
2.5.1 the follow-up activities that must be undertaken by a qualified individual;
2.5.2 the methodology, location, frequency, timing and duration of monitoring associated with the follow-up program;
2.5.3 the scope, content, format and frequency of reporting of the results of the follow-up program;
2.5.4 the levels of environmental change relative to baseline conditions that would require the Proponent to implement modified or additional mitigation measure(s), including instances where the Proponent may require Designated Project activities to be stopped; and
2.5.5 the technically and economically feasible mitigation measures to be implemented by the Proponent if monitoring conducted as part of the follow-up program shows that the levels of environmental change referred to in condition 2.5.4 have been reached or exceeded.
2.6 The Proponent shall update and maintain the follow-up and adaptive management information referred to in condition 2.5 during the implementation of each follow-up program in consultation with the party or parties being consulted during the development of each follow-up program."

Thus, an adaptive management framework has been incorporated into the follow-up programs. Figure $5-1$ identifies the components of the adaptive management framework.


Figure 5-1. Adaptive Management Framework
Plan: In collaboration with the Indigenous groups, further refine and plan Follow up Programs 3.14.1 and 3.14.2.

Do: Implement Follow-up Programs 3.14.1 and 3.14.2.
Monitor: BW Gold will review and update the follow up programs over the life of the Project. This will include:

- Review of the programs in terms of effectiveness in detecting changes with the monitoring program;
- Recommendations provided by qualified individual and Indigenous groups on the monitoring plan; and
- Engagement tracking to record input from Indigenous groups.

Adjust: BW Gold will adjust the follow-up programs (e.g. study design, field methods, data analysis methods, and reporting) based on program findings, as well as input and feedback from Indigenous groups.

### 5.1 Follow-Up Program Trigger Response

To determine 'the levels of environmental change relative to baseline conditions that would require the Proponent to implement modified or additional mitigation measure(s)' (Condition 2.5.4 of the Decision Statement) entails establishing levels (i.e., triggers), that, when reached, trigger a response action.

Changes in the monitoring metrics for fish populations (e.g., fish abundance, density, spawner escapement, fry emigration), may reflect natural variability and it is challenging to pinpoint whether a significant change is attributable to mine activities (namely, flow augmentation). Establishing triggers provides an early-warning system, allowing sufficient time to investigate root causes, increase monitoring, and take preventative action (i.e., implement modified or additional mitigation measures).

Monitoring metrics that serve as triggers for action and response are additionally outlined in the AEMP for the project. Triggers for temperature and hydrology have been defined in this plan as it pertains to Davidson Creek. The results of the AEMP will be considered for evaluations of the change relative to baseline described herein.

Statistical analyses, described in Section 0 Data Analysis, will be used to evaluate changes over time. Power analyses have been performed to support a study design that will allow for between-year comparisons and longer-term trend analysis. Between-year comparison (i.e., paired comparison between
two separate annual datasets) will be completed to identify statistically differences in mean values. In addition, year over year change will be assessed qualitatively, by comparing with the baseline data.

For longer time scale (i.e., five years and onwards), non-parametric Mann-Kendall temporal trends testing will be used to determine if there are significant temporal trends in any given monitoring metric, and if so, the direction and statistical significance of temporal trend. The sensitivity of the MK trends test increases with an increasing number of time steps (i.e., consecutive years of data) and it is considered that somewhere between five and ten time steps are a minimum requirement. For the first four years of monitoring, temporal trends analysis will not be possible, however a Trigger Action Response Plan for fish habitat endpoints has been established that is based on triggers for flow and temperature. This is outlined in the AEMP for the Project.

Table 5-1 identifies triggers and responses for adaptive management related to fish population monitoring as part of follow-up programs 3.14.2. There are no triggers proposed for follow-up program 3.14.1 (Parasite Pathogen Study), as the study will be completed prior to Construction and connecting of Lakes 15 and 16 the study findings will inform next steps and further actions.

Table 5-1: Adaptive Management Triggers and Responses

| Trigger | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| No trend in monitoring metric (i.e., stable over time) <br> or upward trend (e.g., increase in fish abundance) | Inform Indigenous groups <br> No change to mitigation measures <br> Consider reduction in the frequency of monitoring |
| Downward trend monitoring metric (e.g., decrease <br> in fish abundance) | Inform Indigenous groups <br> Identify potential causes and additional studies to test <br> hypotheses |
|  | Implement modified or additional mitigation measures <br> Monitor post-implementation of the modified or additional <br> mitigation measures and communicate results |
|  | Evaluate if new mitigation or fish offsetting measures are <br> required |

In the case of negative adverse effects to fish populations that deviate from the predictions of the EA, there are limited additional mitigation measures available. Flow augmentation from the FWR/FWSS can be controlled for flow and temperature, and relative proportions of the different sources of water can be adjusted (i.e., increase the input from the diversions, reduce volume of Tatelkuz Lake water). However, BW Gold's fish habitat offsetting plan, includes habitat creation, restoration, or enhancement projects in the Davidson Creek watershed to offset the predicted effects on fish productivity and includes measures to benefit other fish populations in the region. If effects are beyond what was predicted in the Environmental Assessment and the Fisheries Offsetting Plan (Palmer 2021), additional offsetting may be required.

## 6. REPORTING

DS Conditions 2.11, 2.12 and 2.13 set out annual reporting requirements related to the implementation of conditions in the DS. Condition 2.14 sets out information sharing requirements related to the annual reports.

DS Condition 2.11 requires:
"The Proponent [BW Gold] shall, commencing in the reporting year during which the Proponent begins the implementation of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement, prepare an annual report that sets out:
2.11.1 the activities undertaken by the Proponent in the reporting year to comply with each of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement;
2.11.2 how the Proponent complied with condition 2.1;
2.11.3 for conditions set out in this Decision Statement for which consultation is a requirement, how the Proponent considered any views and information that the Proponent received during or as a result of the consultation, including a rationale for how the views have, or have not, been integrated;
2.11.4 the information referred to in conditions 2.5 and 2.6 for each follow-up program;
2.11.5 the results of the follow-up program requirements identified in conditions $3.14,3.15,3.16,4.5,5.5,6.11,6.12,6.13,6.14$, 8.18.6, 8.20.5, 8.21, and 8.22 if required;
2.11.6 any update made to any follow-up program in the reporting year;
2.11.7 any modified or additional mitigation measures implemented or proposed to be implemented by the Proponent, as determined under condition 2.9 and rationale for why mitigation measures were selected pursuant to condition 2.5.4; and
2.11.8 any change(s) to the Designated Project in the reporting year."

DS Condition 2.12 requires: "The Proponent [BW Gold\} will provide the draft annual report to Indigenous groups, no later than June 30 following the reporting year to which the annual report applies. BW Gold will consult Indigenous groups on the content and findings in the draft annual report."

DS Condition 2.13 requires: "The Proponent [BW Gold], in consideration of any comments received from Indigenous groups pursuant to condition 2.12 shall revise and submit to the Agency [Impact Assessment Agency of Canada] and Indigenous groups a final annual report, including an executive summary in both official languages, no later than September 30 following the reporting year to which the annual report applies."

DS Condition 2.14 requires: "The Proponent [BW Gold] shall publish on the Internet, or any medium which is publicly available, the annual reports and the executive summaries referred to in conditions 2.11 and 2.13."

The Proponent shall keep these documents publicly available for 25 years following the end of decommissioning of the Designated Project. The Proponent shall notify the Agency and Indigenous groups of the availability of these documents within 48 hours of their publication."

Reporting in compliance with these conditions will commence when BW Gold begins to implement the follow-up programs. BW Gold will implement the follow up programs during all phases of the Project, as stipulated in the DS.

BW Gold is also committed to developing a data sharing agreement such that monitoring data can be accessed by Indigenous groups and regulators. This data sharing agreement will include both raw and interpreted data and will be available upon request in the future.

## 7. SUMMARY

The follow up programs herein have been developed to fulfill DS condition 3.14. This condition pertains to conducting an inventory of parasites and pathogens in two lakes that will be joined by a connector channel, and monitoring fish populations in Davidson Creek downstream of the Project. The follow up programs cover data collection during pre-construction (2021-2022), through to decommissioning.

Information from these programs will be used for comparison during long-term monitoring over the life of the Project to determine the accuracy and effectiveness of mitigation measures, as set out in Condition 2.9. Depending on the long-term monitoring results compared with threshold values for the monitoring metrics used, modified or additional mitigation measures may be required in conjunction with subsequent monitoring.
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