

Table A - IR2: Information Requests Derived from the Canadian Malartic Corporation's Responses to Information Request #1 (IR1) on the Final Environmental Impact Statement /Environmental Assessment Report for the Federal Environmental Assessment of the Hammond Reef Gold Mine Project

Reference #	Link to IR1	Ecosystem Topic	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Summary of Comment/ Rationale	Information Request Response to Information Request
T(2)-08	T-63	Physical and Cultural Heritage Resources	10.5	Cultural Heritage Resources TSD	<p>The proponent indicated the Project would demolish all or portions of two historic mine sites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Hammond Mine, which represents an example of mining activity circa 1890s and includes the mine adit and remnants of the dams and reservoir; and the Sawbill Mine, which represents a typical small scale mining operation of the 1890s and 1930s with surface remnants (e.g. shafts, pits, trenches, ruins of the mill building and engine, and remnants of a tramway). <p>The mitigation proposed in the heritage impact assessment includes a documentation program to photograph and map both sites and a protection plan to recommend protection of some physical resources at the Sawbill Mine site as the project facilities are designed. It is unclear how the physical resources were selected for mitigation and which would not be protected.</p>	<p>Provide the rationale to explain why some of the physical heritage resources were identified for protection and others were not selected.</p> <p>Response: The physical heritage resources were evaluated using O.Reg 9/06 criteria and O.Reg 10/06 criteria. These resources were determined as having some heritage value, and as such were photographed and documented.</p> <p>The protection of some physical resources was recommended as a consideration where they are not located directly within the planned open pit area.</p>