

Table B - IR2: Regulatory Requests and Suggested Actions Derived from the Canadian Malartic Corporation's Responses to Information Request #1 (IR1) on the Final Environmental Impact Statement /Environmental Assessment Report for the Federal Environmental Assessment of the Hammond Reef Gold Mine Project

Reference #	Link to IR1	Ecosystem Topic	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Summary of Comment/ Rationale	Regulatory Request/ Suggested Action
R(2)-07 (amended)	T-35, T-39, T-40, T-43, A-11	Water Quality Environmental Management Planning	13, 13.1	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Sections 5.1.2, 5.2.1.3, 5.2.3 Hydrogeology TSD Parts A and B Site Water Quality TSD Parts A and B, Sections 2.2, 4.5, 4.5.1 Conceptual Closure and Rehabilitation Plan TSD Parts A and B EIS Appendix 1.IV	<p>It is important to note that although the <i>Metal Mining Effluent Regulations</i> (MMER) are not principally aimed at the protection of groundwater, they were developed as the primary means of protecting fisheries waters from the impact of releases of all effluent from metal mine sites.</p> <p>As such, all mines that are subject to MMER are required to control all effluent that they produce, including the seepage that percolates through the ground cover, so that they meet the specified standards for the substances (as identified in Schedule 4) at the final discharge points.</p> <p>It is important that the seepage is collected and demonstrated to be in compliance with the requirements of the MMER, through whatever treatment necessary, prior to final release. The MMER also requires the proponent to monitor flow and measure effluent quality on a weekly basis.</p>	To comply with MMER requirements, the proponent must also maintain the perimeter coverage for seepage and surface drainage so that the design objectives are upheld and demonstrate compliance through flow monitoring and effluent quality measurements.