## Table B - IR2: Regulatory Requests and Suggested Actions Derived from the Canadian Malartic Corporation's Reponses to Information Request #1 (IR1) on the Final Environmental Impact Statement /Environmental Assessment Report for the Federal Environmental Assessment of the Hammond Reef Gold Mine Project

Reference #	Link to IR1	Ecosystem Topic	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Summary of Comment/ Rationale	Regulatory Request/ Sug
R(2)-04	T-44	Alternatives Assessment	7.4, 10.2.3, 10.2.4	EIS Section 5, Figures 5-10, 5-11 Alternatives Assessment Report TSD	In the alternatives assessment for mine waste, the proponent's statement that "No other alternative sites for the ICP would be feasible" requires a detailed explanation within the report.	For the purposes of the requests the proponent talternatives assessment ICP is feasible.

1656263

iggested Action

e MMER regulatory process, Environment Canada t to provide a detailed rationale in the mine waste nt to explain the assertion no other alternative site for the