

Version 3 Hammond Reef Gold Project EIS/EA – Addendum (Part B)  
Responses to Provincial Information Requests

1656263

Identifier	Topic	Reference to EIS/EA Report	Summary of Previous Comment	Proponent's Response to Previous Comment	Follow-up comment/ Request for Information	New Proponent Response	Subsequent Comment
			<i>Date: March 2014</i> <a href="#">MOE SW-6</a>	<i>Date: June 2015</i>	<i>Date: August 2015</i>		
MOE SW-6B	Water quality – effluent criteria		Modelling provided by the proponent has identified that there will be rapid assimilation of key contaminants and that a relatively small mixing zone is needed for concentrations to decline to PWQO values. The continued use of SSWQOs for copper and cyanide in the receiver is not consistent with water quality modelling results and are not supported by MOE; appropriate discharge criteria based on provincial standards will be developed as part of the approvals for the site discharge (provincial permitting)..	It remains the intent of Canadian Malartic to pursue SSWQOs for copper and cyanide given the elevated baseline concentrations in Upper Marmion Reservoir. The need for SSWQOs will continue to be evaluated in consultation with the Ministry of the Environment and it is acknowledged that appropriate discharge criteria will be developed during provincial permitting.	The intent to pursue SSWQOs for copper and cyanide is not an approach supported by MOECC and our existing policies do not support it. The approach would require a change of MOECC policies and we cannot endorse this approach at this time.  No additional information is required, but the proponent should understand that appropriate discharge criteria based on provincial standards will be developed as part of the approvals for the site discharge (provincial approvals and permitting).	Acknowledged	N/A