

Version 3 Hammond Reef Gold Project EIS/EA – Addendum (Part B)
Responses to Provincial Information Requests

1656263

Identifier	Topic	Reference to EIS/EA Report	Summary of Previous Comment	Proponent's Response to Previous Comment	Follow-up comment/ Request for Information	New Proponent Response	Subsequent Comment
			<i>Date: March 2014</i> MNDM 1	<i>Date: June 2015</i>	<i>August 2015</i>		
MNDM 1B	Water quality, Mine Closure and Rehabilitation		The proponent appears to have concluded that the risk of metal leaching from the tailings and waste rock piles can be sufficiently mitigated by the engineering controls they propose in combination with natural attenuation processes. While this may be the case based on the testing performed to date, the proponent has not clearly defined what their contingency plans are if the assumptions regarding metal leaching and mitigation prove inaccurate and water quality impairments are realized post closure.	Thank you for your comment. Ongoing consultation with the MNDM will take place prior to the official submission of a Certified Closure Plan. Appropriate trigger levels and contingency planning for potential geochemical results outside the range of contingencies will be included in the Certified Closure Plan as required.	The response is sufficient. Canadian Malartic must submit an updated <i>Notice of Project Status [Mining Act Section 141 (1)(a)]</i> and follow the direction provided by MNDM prior to submitting a certified closure plan.	Acknowledged.	N/A