

Version 3 Hammond Reef Gold Project EIS/EA – Addendum (Part B)
Responses to Provincial Information Requests

1656263

Identifier	Topic	Reference to EIS/EA Report	Summary of Previous Comment	Proponent's Response to Previous Comment	Follow-up comment/ Request for Information	New Proponent Response	Subsequent Comment
			<i>Date: March 2014</i> EMRB-1	<i>Date: June 2015</i>	<i>Date: August 2015</i>		
EMRB-1B	Air Quality		Some of the methodology outlined in the report was contradictory to what was actually done (i.e. omitting material handling fugitive Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) due to the Best Management Plan (BMP)). For example, Table 17 on page 32 of the report indicates that only metals with Point of Impingement (POI) limits were included in the open pit source for material handling, bulldozing, grading, and unpaved road emissions. However, the emission rates used in the model inputs included fugitive dust from material handling, dozing and grading. This made the report and emissions tracing difficult to follow.	To provide better traceability from the emissions inventory to the model inputs, the attached table has been prepared detailing the emission source description, emission source type, modelling source ID, and emissions data. The table explicitly displays the daily emission rates that were used in the Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (HHERA) versus those that can be removed from the Ontario Compliance Assessment (OCA) based on Ministry of the Environment's Guidance on Preparing an Emission Summary and Dispersion Modelling Report. Attachment: Table EMRB 1-1: Canadian Malartic Source Summary Table	The response sufficiently addresses the concern/question.	Acknowledged.	N/A