IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT REVIEW PANEL ("JOINT PANEL") ESTABLISHED TO REVIEW THE JACKPINE MINE EXPANSION, FORT MCKAY, ALBERTA, ("PROJECT") PROPOSED BY SHELL CANADA LIMITED ("SHELL")

AND IN THE MATTER OF ALBERTA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOARD ("ERCB") APPLICATION NO. 1554388

AND IN THE MATTER OF CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AGENCY ("AGENCY") CEAR NO. 59540

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION ACT R.S.A. 2000 C. E-10

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE OIL SANDS CONSERVATION ACT, R.S.A. 2000, C.0-7

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ACT, 2012, S.C. 2012, C. 19, S. 52

BY THE
ALBERTA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOARD AND THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA

PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING
NOVEMBER 20, 2012
VOLUME 16
PAGES 3815 TO 4254
(With Footnotes)

## Copy

Held at:
Four Points by Sheraton Edmonton South
7230 Argyll Road
Edmonton, Alberta

## APPEARANCES

## JOINT PANEL:

Mr. Jim Dilay, Panel Chair
Mr. Alex Bolton, Panel Member
Mr. Les Cooke, Panel Member

## CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AGENCY (CEAA):

Charles Birchall, Esq., CEAA Counsel
Ms. Jill Adams, Joint Review Panel Manager.

## ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOARD (ERCB) :

Gary Perkins, Esq., Board Counsel
Ms. Meighan LaCasse, Board Counsel
Ms. Amanda Black, Hearing Coordinator
Mr. Bob Curran, Section Leader, Public Affairs, ERCB Communication

PANEL SECRETARIAT:
Mr. Paul Aguas
Ms. Gladys Onovwiona
Mr. Yetimgeta Mihiretu
Ms. Tara Wang
Ms. Krista Boychuk
Ms. Erin Tough
Mr. Steven van Lingen
Mr. Don South
Mr. Michael Bevan
Ms. Afshan Mahmood
Mr. Daniel Martineau
Ms. Courtney Trevis
Mr. Jean-Pierre Thonney
Ms. Deborah Austin

## APPLICANT

Shawn Denstedt, Q.C. ) Shell Canada Ltd.
Sander Duncanson, Esq. )
Dan Kolenick, Esq.

INTERVENERS (in alphabetical order):

Eamon Murphy, Esq. ) Athabasca Chipewyan
Ms. Jenny Biem ) First Nation
Kirk Lambrecht, Q.C. ) Attorney General James Elford, Esq. ) of Canada

Ms. Donna Deranger ) Donna Deranger
) (Self-represented)
Ms. Karin Buss
) Fort McKay First Nation ) and Fort McKay Métis ) Community Association

Rangi Jeerakathil, Esq. ) Fort McMurray \#468 First ) Nation

Ms. Anna Johnston ) John Malcolm, the ) Non-Status Fort ) McMurray/Fort McKay ) First Nation and the ) Clearwater River Paul ) Cree Band \#175

Ms. Cynthia Bertolin
Ms. Debbie Bishop

Don Mallon, Q.C.
Ms. Daniela O'Callaghan
Thomas Rothwell, Esq.
Métis Nation of Alberta Region 1 and the individuals and groups named together with Region 1
) Mikisew Cree
) First Nation
) Minister of Justice and ) Attorney General of ) Alberta ) (No further ) participation)

| Ms. Karin Buss | Oil Sands Environmental |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ms. Melissa Gorrie | Coalition |
| Ray Purdy, Q.C. | Regional Municipality of |
| Ms. Katherine Morianos | Wood Buffalo |
| Tore Purdy, Esq. |  |
| Ms. Chelsea Flook (Registering on its behalf) | Sierra Club Prairie |
| Ms. Melissa Gorrie (Registering on his behalf) | Keith Stewart |
| Ms. Sheliza Ladha | Syncrude Canada Ltd. |
| Ms. Kellie Johnston | TOTAL E\&P Canada Ltd. |
| Ms. Melissa Gorrie (Registering on his behalf) | Clinton Westman |
| Ms. Melissa Gorrie (Registering on their behalf | Anna Zalik and Osume Osuoka |

## REALTIME COURT REPORTING:

Realtime Connection, Inc. Nancy Nielsen, RPR, RCR, CSR(A) Stephen Gill, OCR

## INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.
Tuesday, November 20, 2012 - 8:30 a.m. ..... 3859(Edmonton, Alberta)
HOUSEKEEPING MATTERS SPOKEN TO: ..... 3859
FINAL ARGUMENT OF SHELL CANADA, BY ..... 3861
MR. DENSTEDT:
INTRODUCTION ..... 3861
NATURE OF THE APPLICATION ..... 3864
FRAMEWORK FOR THE REVIEW ..... 3867
Purpose of Environmental Assessment ..... 3867
Joint Process ..... 3868
The Joint Review Panel's Role as the ..... 3870
ERCB
The Joint Review Panel's Role under the ..... 3872
CEAA
The Joint Review Panel's Mandate ..... 3873
regarding Aboriginal Rights andInterest
THE ISSUES - OVERVIEW ..... 3874
PROJECT NEED, PURPOSE AND ALTERNATIVES ..... 3875
Need for and Purpose of the Project ..... 3876
Alternatives to the Project ..... 3880
Alternatives Means of Carrying out the ..... 3881
Project
ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ..... 3885
Methodology ..... 3886
Air Quality ..... 3888
Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change ..... 3895
Water Management and Water Quality ..... 3901
Water Management
Water Quality ..... 3909
End Pit Lakes ..... 3917
Fish and Fish Habitat ..... 3923
Effects on Fish ..... 3925
Human Health ..... 3931Terrestrial IssuesWildlife.
(THE MORNING ADJOURNMENT) ..... 3958
Migratory Birds/Tailings Ponds ..... 3959
Reclamation ..... 3963
Wetlands and Old Growth Forest ..... 3969
Cumulative Effects ..... 3973
Uncertainty ..... 3981
Government Recommendations ..... 3985
ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION AND TRADITIONAL ..... 3991
LAND USE
Aboriginal Traditional Land and ..... 4015
Resource Use
Cultural Effects and Socio-economic ..... 4034
Effects on Aboriginal Groups
SOCIO-ECONOMIC ..... 4041
Intensity of Development \& Pressures on Municipal Infrastructure Housing ..... 4044
Education ..... 4046
Health Services ..... 4047
Traffic ..... 4048
The Role of the Province and the Region ..... 4051
project operationS ..... 4053
Tailings ..... 4053
Bitumen Recovery ..... 4056
Solvent Recovery ..... 4058
Asphaltene Rejection ..... 4060
Cell 2A and Geological Risks ..... 4062
Accidents and Malfunctions ..... 4064
CONCLUSION ..... 4065
(THE LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT) ..... 4066(THE HEARING ADJOURNED AT 1:00 P.M.)(THE HEARING RECONVENED AT 2:00 P.M.)
FINAL ARGUMENT OF SYNCRUDE CANADA LTD., ..... 4067
BY MR. ROTH:
Sand Cell 2 External Tailings Disposal ..... 4070
Area (ETDA) Expansion
Mature Fine Tailings (MFT) at Closure - ..... 4073
End Pit Lakes
End Pit Lakes ..... 4075
a) Risk/Uncertainty of the Strategy, ..... 4075
Syncrude Demonstration Lake
b) Contingency options ..... 4079
c) Liability Management ..... 4080
d) CEMA Guidelines - Applicability and ..... 4081
Suitability
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE FORT MCKAY FIRST ..... 4085NATION AND FORT MCKAY MÉTIS COMMUNITYASSOCIATION, BY MS. BUSS:
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE MÉTIS NATION OF ..... 4102
ALBERTA REGION 1 AND THE INDIVIDUALS
AND GROUPS NAMED TOGETHER WITH REGION 1, BY MS. BISHOP:
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE FORT MCMURRAY ..... 4130 \#468 FIRST NATION, BY MR. JEERAKATHIL:
I Introduction ..... 4130
B. Fort McMurray First Nation ..... 4131
C. Project ..... 4136
II Adequacy of Shell's Assessment ..... 4137
Methodology
B. Regional Study Area ..... 4139
C. Local Study Area ..... 4140
D. Failure to Properly Incorporate ..... 4142
Ecological Context
E. Impacts on Terrestrial Resources ..... 4146
F. Impacts to Aboriginal and Treaty ..... 4148Rights and the current use of lands fortraditional purposes
G. Consultation and Impacts to FMF'N ..... 4162
H. Delay and Other Conditions ..... 4168
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE ATHABASCAN ..... 4172
CHIPEWYAN FIRST NATION, BY MR. MURPHY:
A. DESCRIPTION OF ACFN and ACF'N's ..... 4175
RIGHTS AND INTERESTS
i. ACF'N and Treaty 8 ..... 4178
ii. A Discussion of the Notion of ..... 4182
"Territory"iii. ACFN - Distinctive Identity and4186Culture
B. Direct and Adverse Effects ..... 4194
i. Land \& Resources ..... 4194
ii. Water \& Aquatic Resources ..... 4203
iii. Socio-Economic and Cultural ..... 4208
Effects
C. Cumulative Impacts in the Region ..... 4213
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE OIL SANDS ..... 4223
ENVIRONMENTAL COALITION, BY MS. GORRIE:
LEGAL FRAMEWORK ..... 4224
CEAA 2012 ..... 4224
Lower Athabasca Regional Plan ..... 4225
Sub-Regional Integrated Regional Plan ..... 4226
for the Fort McMurray - Athabasca OilSands Region
EPEA ..... 4227
SARA ..... 4228
Public Interest Test ..... 4230
Terrestrial Impacts ..... 4232
Thresholds ..... 4232
Determining Significance ..... 4237
Impacts Not Considered ..... 4245
Lack of Mitigation ..... 4245
Conditions ..... 4251
(THE HEARING ADJOURNED AT 6:30 P.M.) ..... 4253(THE HEARING TO RECONVENE AT 8:00 A.M.ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 21ST, 2012)

and historical resource clearances under the Historical Resources Act (R.S.A. 2000, C. H-9)
FRAMEWORK FOR THE REVIEW ..... 3867
Purpose of Environmental Assessment ..... 3867
15 Bow Valley Naturalist Society v. ..... 3868
Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage),[2001] 2 F.C. 461 (C.A.) at para. 17Joint Process3868
16 Exhibit 004-003, Adobe 3 ..... 3869
17 Exhibit 001-026; Exhibit 001-032; ..... 3869
Exhibit 001-050; Exhibits 001-039A
through K
18 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 249 ..... 3869
19 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 3 ..... 3870
20 Transcript Vol. 1, pg. 6, Exhibit ..... 3870
002-024, Adobe 4
The Joint Review Panel's Role as the ..... 3870
ERCB
21 Oil Sands Conservation Act, Revised ..... 3871
Statutes of Alberta 2000, Chapter 0-7,s. 3, Adobe 7, 8
22 Energy Resources Conservation Act, ..... 3871
Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000,Chapter E-10, s. 3, Adobe 6
The Joint Review Panel's Role under the ..... 3872CEAA
23 Canadian Environmental Assessment ..... 3872Act, 2012, S.C. 2012 c. 19 s. 52, s.22, Adobe 14
The Joint Review Panel's Mandate ..... 3873regarding Aboriginal Rights andInterest
24 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 5 ..... 3873
25 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 12 ..... 3874
26 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 5 ..... 3874
THE ISSUES - OVERVIEW ..... 3874
PROJECT NEED, PURPOSE AND ALTERNATIVES ..... 3875
27 CEAA Operational Policy Statement: ..... 3876Addressing "Need for", "Purpose of","Alternatives to" and "AlternativeMeans" under the Canadian EnvironmentalAssessment Act. Available online:<Http://www. ceaa-acee.gc.ca/Content/5/C
/0/5C072E13-8440-4123-9F66-85589234C2B3
/Addressing_Need_-_Purpose_-Alternatives_under_the_CEA $\bar{A} \cdot \bar{p} d f$
Need for and Purpose of the Project ..... 3876
28 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 316 ..... 3877
29 Transcript Volume 3, pg. 230 ..... 3877
30 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 231 ..... 3877
31 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 310 ..... 3877
32 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 302 ..... 3878
33 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 303 ..... 3878
34 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 302 ..... 3878
35 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301 ..... 3878
36 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 300 ..... 3878
37 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 300 ..... 3879
38 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301 ..... 3879
39 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 245 ..... 3879
40 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 245 ..... 3879
41 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 246 ..... 3879
Alternatives to the Project ..... 3880
42 CEAA Operational Policy Statement: ..... 3880
Addressing "Need for", "Purpose of","Alternatives to" and "AlternativeMeans" under the Canadian EnvironmentalAssessment Act. Available online:<Http://www. ceaa-acee.gc.ca/Content/5/C
/0/5C072E13-8440-4123-9F66-85589234C2B3
/Addressing_Need_-_Purpose_-
Alternatives_undēr_the_CEA甬. $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ df> at 3
43 Transcript Vol. 3 , $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{g} .231$ ..... 3881
Alternatives Means of Carrying out the ..... 3881
Project
44 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1458 ..... 3882
45 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 375 ..... 3882
46 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 241 ..... 3882
47 Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe 9-16; ..... 3883
Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1151
48 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1557-1558 ..... 3883
49 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 111, 128 and ..... 3884
129
50 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1558 ..... 3884
51 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 448 ..... 3884
52 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 375 ..... 3884
53 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1560 ..... 3885
54 Exhibit 001-102 ..... 3885
55 Exhibit 001-102 ..... 3885
56 Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe 16 ..... 3885
ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ..... 3885
Methodology ..... 3886
57 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2409 ..... 3886
58 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2367 ..... 3887
Air Quality ..... 3888
59 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1771 ..... 3888
60 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 29 ..... 3888
61 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 251, Exhibit ..... 3889
001-051I, Adobe 31
62 Transcript Vol. 13, pg. 3273 ..... 3889
63 Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 512 ..... 3889
64 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 158 ..... 3889
65 Exhibit 001-051I, Adobe 13 ..... 3889
66 Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 102 ..... 3890
67 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1575 ..... 3890
68 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 154-155; ..... 3891
Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 21; TranscriptVolume 3, pg. 252
69 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 834-835 ..... 3891
70 Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 252-253, ..... 3891
Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 155
71 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 1-17 ..... 3892
72 Exhibit 001-051I, Adobe pg. 131 ..... 3892
73 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 157; Exhibit ..... 3892
001-001F, Adobe 1412; Exhibit 017-035,
Adobe 20
74 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3725 ..... 3892
75 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3730 ..... 3893
76 Exhibit 017-016BB, Adobe 1; Exhibit ..... 3893
017-016I, Adobe 48; Exhibit 017-0160,
Adobe 182; Exhibit 017-016E, Adobe 233;
Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3726
77 Transcript Volume 3, pg. 252, ..... 3894
Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 155
78 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 40 ..... 3894
79 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1275; ..... 3894
Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1574;
Exhibit 017-016G, Adobe 21780 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 15783895
Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change ..... 3895
81 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1833 ..... 3895
82 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 949 ..... 3895
83 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1880 ..... 3896
84 Exhibit 017-016D, Adobe 44 ..... 3896
85 Exhibit 017-016D, Adobe 13 ..... 3896
86 Exhibit 001-092, Adobe 5 ..... 3896
87 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 253 ..... 3897
88 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 251, 252; ..... 3897Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 253
89 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 255 ..... 3897
90 Transcript Vol. 13, pgs. 3163-3164 ..... 3897
91 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 79-82; ..... 3898
Exhibit 006-13QQ, Adobe 442; Exhibit006-022, Adobe 81 and 95
92 Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 134-142 ..... 3898
93 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3523 ..... 3898
94 Exhibit 006-028 ..... 3898
95 Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 90 and ..... 3899
181-182; Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe422-42496 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 523899
97 Exhibit 017-016H, Adobe 157 to 180; ..... 3899
Exhibit 001-96; Exhibit 001-097
98 Exhibit 001-070R3899
99 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 570 ..... 3899
100 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 53 ..... 3900
101 Exhibit 001-092, Adobe 15 ..... 3900
Water Management and Water Quality ..... 3901
Water Management
102 Exhibit 006-013B, Adobe 12; Exhibit ..... 3901
006-013QQ, Adobe 443-453;Exhibit 006-022
103 Exhibit 006-013B, Adobe 12 ..... 3901
104 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 856; ..... 3901
Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1309-1310
105 Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 256, 257, ..... 3902
Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 523
106 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1306-1307 ..... 3902
107 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 856 ..... 3902
108 Exhibit 001-051F Adobe page 61; ..... 3902
Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 256
109 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 62-63; ..... 3903
Exhibit 001-051K, Adobe 23; Exhibit001-070A, Adobe 45
110 Transcript Vol. 13, pg. 3210 ..... 3903
111 Exhibit 006-013H, Adobe 323-326 ..... 3903
112 Exhibit 001-070EE, Adobe 139 ..... 3903
113 Transcript Vol. 9, pgs. 1764-1769; ..... 3903
Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2117
114 Transcript Vol. 13, pg. 3225; ..... 3904
Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3463
115 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3681 ..... 3904
116 Exhibit 001-001C, starting at Adobe ..... 3904
417; Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 62-63;
Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 94-95
117 Exhibit 001-051K ..... 3904
118 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 45 ..... 3905
119 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 133 ..... 3905
120 Exhibit 001-070L, Adobe 19, 22 and ..... 3905
29; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 257
121 Exhibit 017-023, Adobe 17 ..... 3906
122 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 20 ..... 3906
123 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 258-259 ..... 3906
124 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3665-3666 ..... 3906
125 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 167 and 295 ..... 3907
126 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 297 and ..... 3907
301; Exhibit 001-006B, Adobe 172
127 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 220; ..... 3908
Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 111, 128 and129
128 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 206 ..... 3908
129 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1203 ..... 3908
Water Quality ..... 3909
130 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 14; Exhibit ..... 3909017-016D, Adobe 1131 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 2583909
132 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 20; ..... 3910
Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 257
133 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 57 ..... 3910
134 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 259, 260 ..... 3910
135 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 260 ..... 3911
136 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1330-1332 ..... 3911
137 Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 261 ..... 3912
138 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 30 ..... 3912
139 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 91-92 ..... 3912
140 Exhibit 001-051K, Adobe 23 ..... 3912
141 Exhibits 001-070V, 001-070P, ..... 3913
001-07000, 001-070NN
142 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 3 ..... 3913
143 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 3 ..... 3913
144 Exhibit 017-016I, Adobe pg. 33 ..... 3913
145 Exhibit 017-016BB, Adobe pg. 14 ..... 3914
146 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3742; ..... 3914Exhibit 001-051L, Adobe 15147 Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe 77; Exhibit 3914001-109; Transcript Vol. 14, pgs.3616-3617
148 Exhibit 001-051L ..... 3915
149 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 2560; ..... 3915
Exhibit 017-016E, Adobe pg. 227;Transcript Vol. 15, pgs. 3737-3738150 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 13915
151 Exhibit 001-092 ..... 3915
152 Exhibit 001-070V ..... 3916
153 Transcript Vol. 13, pgs. 3181-3182 ..... 3917
154 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3507 ..... 3917
155 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3740; ..... 3917

Exhibit 001-070V, Adobe 14

| End Pit Lakes | 3917 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 156 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 428; | 3919 |
| Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 771-774; |  |
| Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 33 |  |
| 157 Exhibit 001-070K | 3919 |
| 158 Exhibit 001-070K, Adobe 203; | 3920 |
| Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 768-775 |  |
| 159 Exhibit 001-051M, Adobe 85 | 3920 |
| 160 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 775 | 3920 |
| 161 Exhibit 001-006B, Adobe 2 | 3920 |
| 162 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1639-1640 | 3920 |
| 163 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 33-34 | 3921 |
| 164 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1683 | 3921 |
| 165 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 33; | 3921 |
| Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1701 |  |
| 166 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1702 | 3921 |
| 167 Exhibit 001-070K, Adobe 195; | 3922 |
| Exhibit 017-021, Adobe 6 |  |
| 168 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1707 | 3922 |
| 169 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2545 | 3922 |
| 170 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 41; | 3922 |
| Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 764 |  |
| 172 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2556 and | 3922 |
| 2560 |  |
| 172 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 796-797; | 3923 |
| Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1327 |  |
| Fish and Fish Habitat | 3923 |
| 173 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 677 | 3924 |
| 174 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 35-37 | 3924 |
| 175 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 37 | 3924 |
| 176 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 38 | 3924 |
| 177 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 59-60, 77 | 3924 |
| and 119 |  |
| 178 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 90-91 | 3924 |
| 179 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 9, 21 and | 3925 |
| 33; Exhibit 001-114 |  |
| 180 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 679 | 3925 |
| 181 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3647 | 3925 |
| Effects on Fish | 3925 |
| 182 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 654 | 3926 |
| 183 Exhibit 001-015B, Adobe 25 | 3926 |
| 184 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 259 | 3926 |
| 185 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 107 | 3926 |
| 186 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 708; | 3926 |
| Exhibit 001-015B, Adobe 25 |  |
| 187 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 6-7 | 3926 |

188 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 32 ..... 3926
189 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2520 ..... 3927
190 Exhibit 017-016U, Adobe pg. 108 ..... 3927
191 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 966 ..... 3927
192 Exhibit 017-016U, Adobe pg. 48 ..... 3927
193 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 4 ..... 3928
194 Exhibit 001-070BB, Adobe 2827 ..... 3928
195 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3733 ..... 3928
196 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3732 ..... 3929
197 Exhibit 006-013, Appendix E, Tab ..... 3929
67, Adobe 2
198 Exhibit 006-013, Appendix E, Tab ..... 3930
67, Adobe 2
199 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 50 ..... 3930
200 Exhibit 001-070V ..... 3930
201 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2179 ..... 3930
202 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1155 ..... 3931
203 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1155 ..... 3931
204 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1157 ..... 3931
205 Transcript Vol. 6, pgs. 1157-1162 ..... 3931
206 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1166 ..... 3931
Human Health ..... 3931
207 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 32 ..... 3933
208 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2531 ..... 3933
209 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1967 ..... 3934
210 Transcript Vol. 11, pgs. 2529-2532 ..... 3934
211 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1583-1586 ..... 3934
212 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1583-1586 ..... 3935
213 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1589-1592 ..... 3935
214 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1461 ..... 3935
215 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 271 ..... 3935
216 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 51 ..... 3935
217 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 51 ..... 3936
218 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 476 and ..... 3936
487; Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 12-13 and19-20; Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 271
219 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 513936
Terrestrial Issues ..... 3937
Wildlife ..... 3937
220 Exhibit Number 006-013, Adobe 10 ..... 3937
221 Exhibit 017-016A, Adobe 8 and 17 ..... 3937
222 Exhibit 017-016A, Adobe 8 and 21 ..... 3938
223 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 27 ..... 3938
224 Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 4 ..... 3939
225 Exhibit 001-070H, Adobe 45 ..... 3939
226 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1820 ..... 3939
227 Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 37 ..... 3940
228 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 28 ..... 3940
229 Exhibit 001-070I, Adobe 2 ..... 3940
230 Exhibit 017-016BB, Adobe 30 ..... 3940
231 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 28 ..... 3941
232 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 3, 4, 10, ..... 3941
11 , and 16
233 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 12 ..... 3941
234 Transcript Vol. 11, pgs. 2618-2619 ..... 3942
235 Exhibit 001-051N, Adobe 8 ..... 3942
236 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2622 ..... 3942
237 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1045 ..... 3943
238 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 114 ..... 3943
239 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 207; ..... 3943
Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 265
240 Exhibit 001-015C, Adobe 3 ..... 3944
241 Exhibit 001-070B, Adobe 31 ..... 3944
242 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 182 ..... 3944
243 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 266, Exhibit ..... 3944
001-001E, Adobe 135
244 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47 ..... 3945
245 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47 ..... 3945
246 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 167 ..... 3945
247 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 18 ..... 3945
248 Exhibit 001-006E, Adobe 374 ..... 3946
249 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 172 and 175 ..... 3946
250 Exhibit 001-063, Adobe 67; CEA ..... 3946
Agency, Reference Guide: DeterminingWhether a Project is Likely to CauseSignificant Adverse EnvironmentalEffects (Ottawa: Federal Minister ofSupply and Services Canada, 1994),online:<Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/D213D286-2512-47F4-B9C3-08B5C01E5005/Determining_Whether_a_Project_isLikely_to_Cause_Significant_Adverse_Environmental Effects.pdf> at 190251 Exhibit 0 0 1-063, Adobe 673946
252 Exhibit 001-063, Adobe 67 ..... 3947
253 CEA Agency, "Cumulative Effects ..... 3947
Assessment Practitioners Guide"(February 1999) online:[Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/43952694-0363-4B1E-B2B3-47365FAF1ED7/CumulativeEffects_Assessment_Practitioners_Guide.pdf](Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/43952694-0363-4B1E-B2B3-47365FAF1ED7/CumulativeEffects_Assessment_Practitioners_Guide.pdf) at 17
254 Exhibit No. 017-016DD, Adobe 72 ..... 3948
255 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3672-3674 ..... 3948
256 Robert W. Macaulay and James, L.H. ..... 3948Sprague, Practice and Procedures Before
Administrative Tribunals, loose-leaf consulted on November 5, 2012, (Toronto: Carswell, 1988), at 5B-21-5B 24.4
257 Exhibit 001-15C, Adobe 143949
258 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 873949
259 Exhibit 017-016, Adobe 173949
260 Exhibit 017-016A Adobe 183950
261 Transcript Vol. 9, pgs. 1754-1755 3950
and 1822
262 Transcript Vol. 9, pgs. 1822-1823 3950
263 Exhibit 001-070HH, Adobe 163950
264 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 283950
265 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 233951
266 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 28; 3951
Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1375, 1377-1378
267 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 36103951
268 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3605-3606 3952
269 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 36053952
270 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3605-3606 3952
271 Exhibit 001-063, Adobe 673952
272 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 253953
273 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47; 3953
Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2620
274 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 35193953
275 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 36173953
276 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 463953
277 Exhibit 001-015C, Adobe 2; 3954
Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1429 and 1434
278 Exhibit 001-0700, Adobe 553954
279 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 14323954
280 Exhibit 001-083, Adobe 4; 3954
Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1618
281 Exhibit 017-024, Adobe 4395
282 Exhibit 001-083, Adobe 4; 3955
Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1618
283 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47; Exhibit 3955
001-051F, Adobe 109
284 Exhibit 001-083, Adobe 4395
285 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 14413955
286 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 14423956
287 Exhibit 001-015C, Adobe 3036
288 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1413-1414 3956
289 Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 83-84 3956
290 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1413-1414 3956
291 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 653957
Migratory Birds/Tailings Ponds 3959
292 Exhibit 006-013AA 3959
293 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 483960
294 Exhibit 001-006C, Adobe 330; ..... 3960
Transcript Vol. 4, pgs. 593-597295 Exhibit 001-006C, Adobe 292;Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 220; Exhibit006-013U, Adobe 161
296 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 604 ..... 3960
297 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 592 ..... 3960
298 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 17 ..... 3961
299 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2464; ..... 3961
Exhibit 006-103W, Adobe 3
300 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 604 ..... 3961
301 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 604 ..... 3961
302 Exhibit 021-009, Adobe 3 ..... 3961
303 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3670 ..... 3961
304 Exhibit 006-013AA, Adobe 8 ..... 3962
305 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 48 ..... 3962
306 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1435 ..... 3962
307 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1436-1438 ..... 3963
Reclamation ..... 3963
308 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 35 ..... 3963
309 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 12 ..... 3963
310 Conservation and Reclamation ..... 3963
Regulation, Alta Reg. 115/93, s. 2311 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 32;Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 422
312 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 456;3964Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1445-1446313 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 32;3964
Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 458
314 Exhibit 001-104 ..... 3964
315 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 422 ..... 3964
316 Exhibit 001-070EE, Adobe 310 ..... 3964
317 Exhibit 001-070K ..... 3965
318 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 617 ..... 3965
319 Exhibit 001-104; Vitt et al. 2011, ..... 3965
cited in Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 32
320 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 616, and ..... 3965
627-628
321 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 425 ..... 3966
322 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1169 ..... 3966
323 Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 425-426 ..... 3966
324 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1639-1640 ..... 3967
325 Exhibit 001-002B ..... 3967
326 Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 11-13 and 18 ..... 3967
327 Exhibit 001-002B, Adobe 23-24 and ..... 3967
45-47
328 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 927-930 ..... 3968
329 Exhibit 001-002B ..... 3968
330 For example, Exhibit 001-104 ..... 3968
331 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 264 ..... 3968
332 Exhibit 001-040E, Adobe 41; ..... 3969
Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 464
333 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1826 ..... 3969
334 Exhibit 001-001H, Adobe 843 ..... 3969
335 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 16 ..... 3969
Wetlands and Old Growth Forest ..... 3969
336 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 90 ..... 3970
337 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 266, Exhibit ..... 3970
001-001E, Adobe 135
338 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 136 ..... 3970
339 Exhibit 001-002B ..... 3971
340 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 29 ..... 3971
341 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 145; ..... 3971
Exhibit 001-070MM
342 Exhibit 001-070MM, Adobe 2 ..... 3971
343 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 267, 269 ..... 3972
344 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 16 ..... 3972
345 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 88 ..... 3972
346 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 89 ..... 3972
347 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 105-106 ..... 3972
348 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1761 ..... 3973
349 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 942-943 ..... 3973
350 Exhibit 001-092, Adobe 15 ..... 3973
351 Exhibit 001-051H, Adobe 21 and ..... 3973
Adobe ..... 66
Cumulative Effects ..... 3973
352 NEB-CEAA Joint Review Panel, ..... 3975Environmental Assessment of the ExpressPipeline Project: Joint Review PanelReport OH-I-95, (May 1996) at 187-88353 NEB-CEAA Joint Review Panel,3976
Environmental Assessment of the ExpressPipeline Project: Joint Review PanelReport OH-I-95, (May 1996) at 98354 CEA Agency, Reference Guide:3976
Addressing Cumulative Effects (Ottawa:Federal Minister of Supply and ServicesCanada, 1994), online:
<Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/9742C481-21
D8-4D1F-AB14-555211160443/Addressing
Cumulative_Environmental_Effects.pdf>
at 140-141355 CEA Agency, "Cumulative Effects3977Assessment Practitioners Guide"(February 1999) online:
<Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/43952694-
0363-4B1E-B2B3-47365FAF1ED7/Cumulative
Effects_Assessment_Practitioners_Guide-
pdf> at 19
356 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 1051 ..... 3977
357 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 1052 ..... 3978
358 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 1052-1053 ..... 3978
359 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1721 ..... 3978
360 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 21-22; ..... 3979
Exhibit 001-051H, Adobe 5361 Exhibit 001-0633979
362 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1600 ..... 3980
363 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1728 ..... 3981
364 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 16, 19 and ..... 3981
31
Uncertainty ..... 3981
365 CEA Agency, Operational Policy ..... 3982
Statement: Adaptive Management Measuresunder the Canadian Environmental
Assessment Act (March 2009) online:
<Http://www. ceaa-acee.gc.ca/default.asp? lang=En\&n=50139251-1> at 2366 [1996] F.C.J. No. 1016 (Fed. C.A.) 3983at para. 10
367 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs 3500-3501 ..... 3983
368 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1622-1624 ..... 3984
369 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1624-1626 ..... 3984
370 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1629-1631 ..... 3984
Government Recommendations ..... 3985
371 Canadian Environmental Assessment ..... 3987
Act, 2012, S.C. 2012 c. 19 s. 52, s
$31(1)(a)$, Adobe 18
372 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 70 ..... 3988
373 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3531 and ..... 3988
3534
374 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3532-3534 ..... 3989
375 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 65 ..... 3989
376 Exhibit 001-009, Adobe 117 ..... 3989
377 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 97 and 117; ..... 3989
Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 14
378 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3537-3538 ..... 3990
379 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3637 ..... 3990
380 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 93 ..... 3990
381 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 22 ..... 3991
ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION AND TRADITIONAL ..... 3991
LAND USE
382 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 277, Exhibit ..... 3991
001-062, Adobe 47
383 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 277, Exhibit ..... 3991
001-062, Adobe 2, 14, 27, 38
384 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 279, 280 ..... 3991
385 The Constitution Act, 1982, being ..... 3992
Schedule B to the Canada Act, 1982(U.K.), 1982, c. 11 (the "ConstitutionAct")386 "Aboriginal peoples" includes3992"Indians, Inuit, Métis and otherAboriginal people". The term "FirstNation" is generally used to refer toan Indian band under the Indian Act,
R.S.C. 1985, C. I-5
387 R. V. Van der Peet, [1996] 2 S.C.R. ..... 3992
507 at page 310
388 Exhibit 006-013C, Adobe 9 ..... 3993
389 R. V. Sundown, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 393 ..... 3993
at page 412
390 Haida Nation v. British Columbia ..... 3994
(Minister of Forests), 2004 SCC 73 atpara. 25 [Haida]3912005 SCC 69 [Mikisew]3994
392 Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, ..... 3995
[1997] 3 S.C.R. 1010, at para. 138 393 Haida, at para. 39 ..... 3995
394 Haida, at para. 44 ..... 3995
395 Taku River Tlingit First Nation v. ..... 3995
British Columbia (Project AssessmentDirector), 2004 SCC 74 [Taku]3962009 FC 484 at para. 253996
397 Haida, para's 47 to 49 ..... 3997
398 Ermineskin Indian Band and Nation ..... 3997
v. Canada, 2009 SCC 9; Haida, para 14399 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 123997
400 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 5 ..... 3997
401 Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 84 ..... 3998
402 Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 100-196; ..... 3998
Exhibit 001-057; Exhibit 001-061
403 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 282 ..... 3998
404 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 524; Exhibit ..... 3998
008-001
405 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 13 ..... 3998
406 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 282 ..... 3998
407 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 91-92 ..... 3999
408 Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 92-96 ..... 3999
409 Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 100-196; ..... 3999
Exhibit 001-057; Exhibit 001-061410 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 4334000
411 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 286 ..... 4000
412 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 286-287 ..... 4000
413 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 289 ..... 4001
414 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 290 ..... 4001
415 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 526 ..... 4001
416 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 17-18 ..... 4001
417 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 19 ..... 4001
418 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 19 ..... 4001
419 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 15, 17 and ..... 4002
19
420 Transcript Vol. 4, pgs. 489-495 ..... 4002
421 Exhibit 001-026; Exhibit 001-039A ..... 4002
through K; Exhibit 001-050; Exhibit001-086; Exhibit 001-093; TranscriptVol. 3, pgs. 461-462
422 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 503 ..... 4002
423 Energy \& Utilities Board Decision ..... 4002
2004-009; Energy \& Utilities BoardDecision 2006-128
424 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2214 ..... 4003
425 Transcript Vol. 1, pg. 94 ..... 4004
426 Exhibit 008-001 ..... 4004
427 Taku River Tlingit First Nation v. ..... 4005British Columbia (Project AssessmentDirector), 2004 SCC 74, para. 2428 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 39;4005
Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 292, 414-415and 417429 Exhibit 011-0024006
430 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 415 ..... 4006
431 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 412 ..... 4006
432 Energy Resources Conservation ..... 4006
Board, Decision 99-2 for the Muskeg
River Mine Application, page 14
433 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 964007
434 Transcript Vol. 12, pg. 2949 ..... 4008
435 Exhibit 010-020, Adobe 1 ..... 4008
436 Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2948 and ..... 4008
2951
4372003 SCC 43 at para. 12 ..... 4008
438 Kane v. Lac Pelletier (Rural ..... 4008
Municipality No. 107), 2009 SKQB 348 atpara. 59
439 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 45; ..... 4009
Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 675
440 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 618 ..... 4009
441 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 63 ..... 4009
442 Exhibit 001-006; Exhibit 001-057; ..... 4010
Exhibit 001-061
443 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 64 ..... 4010
444 Exhibit 009-009 ..... 4010
445 Transcript Vol. 15, pgs. 3709-3719 ..... 4011
446 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 291 ..... 4011
447 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 46; ..... 4011

| Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3723 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 448 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3722; | 4011 |
| Exhibit 001-006A; Exhibit 001-057; |  |
| Exhibit 001-060; Exhibit 001-061; |  |
| Exhibit 001-062; Exhibit 001-065; |  |
| Exhibit 001-114 |  |
| 449 Transcript Vol. 12, pg. 2950 | 4011 |
| 450 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 661; | 4011 |
| Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1605-1606 |  |
| 451 Transcript Vol. 13, pgs. 3061 and | 4012 |
| 3068 |  |
| 452 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3722 | 4012 |
| 453 Exhibit 002-021 | 4012 |
| 454 Exhibit 010-004A, Adobe 15; Exhibit | 4012 |
| 001-051F, Adobe 63-64; Exhibit 001-011, |  |
| Adobe 8 der |  |
| 455 Transcript Vol. 13, pgs. 3061 and | 4013 |
| 3064 |  |
| 456 Exhibit 010-028, Adobe 3 | 4013 |
| 457 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 45 | 4014 |
| Aboriginal Traditional Land and | 4015 |
| Resource Use |  |
| 458 Exhibit 001-001E; Exhibit 001-022, | 4015 |
| Adobe 12 to 27; Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe |  |
| 153; Exhibit 001-051R; Exhibit |  |
| 001-006F, Adobe 39; Exhibit 001-088; |  |
| Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 95; Exhibit |  |
| 001-017B; Exhibit 006-013I, Adobe 185; |  |
| Exhibit 006-013I, Adobe 1; Exhibit |  |
| 007-009; Exhibit 010-024; Exhibit |  |
| 011-009 |  |
| 459 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 245; | 4016 |
| Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 8 |  |
| 460 Exhibits 001-001E and 001-001J | 4016 |
| 461 Exhibit 001-017B | 4016 |
| 462 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 75, 78 and | 4016 |
| 80 |  |
| 463 Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 3-6 and | 4016 |
| 12-27 |  |
| 464 Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 18; | 4016 |
| Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1520 |  |
| 465 Exhibit 006-013I | 4017 |
| 466 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 436 | 4017 |
| 467 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 64 | 4017 |
| 468 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3771-3773 | 4017 |
| 469 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 64; Exhibit | 4018 |
| 001-088 |  |
| 470 Exhibit 001-039H, Adobe 3 | 4018 |
| 471 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1493 | 4018 |

472 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 274 ..... 4018
473 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 275 ..... 4019
474 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2261 ..... 4019
475 Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 21 ..... 4019
476 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 31 ..... 4019
477 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 52 ..... 4019
478 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 155 ..... 4020
479 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 50 ..... 4020
480 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 464 ..... 4021
481 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 464 ..... 4021
482 Exhibit 006-013I, Adobe 46 ..... 4022
483 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2409 ..... 4022
484 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 468 ..... 4023
485 Final Report of the Joint Review ..... 4024
Panel for the Mackenzie Gas Project, at
pg. 102
486 (1996), 137 D.L.R. (4th) 177 at ..... 4024

para. 10

487 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2201

4025

488 Transcript Vol. 11, pgs. 2501-2506 4026
489 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 23954026
490 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 24024027
491 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 23914027
492 Transcript Vol. 10, pgs. 2246-2247 4027
493 Exhibit 006-029
4027
494 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 155 ..... 4027
495 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2587; ..... 4027
Exhibit 006-029
496 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1955 ..... 4027
497 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2487 ..... 4027
498 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2195 ..... 4028
499 Exhibit 006-013H, Adobe 118 ..... 4028
500 Exhibit 006-013H, Adobe 120 and 132 ..... 4028
501 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 2002; ..... 4029
Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 64, 84 and96-97
502 Exhibit 001-099; Exhibit 001-100; 4029
Transcript Vol. 11, pgs. 2489-2490
503 Exhibit 010-0274029
504 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 637 ..... 4031
505 Transcript Vol. 12, pg. 2961 ..... 4031
506 Exhibit 010-024, pgs. 94, 95, 98, ..... 4031
117, 127, 139 and 147
507 Exhibit 001-064, Adobe 85 ..... 4032
508 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 94 ..... 4032
509 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2124 ..... 4033
510 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2109 ..... 4033
511 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2234 ..... 4033
512 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2234 ..... 4033
Cultural Effects and Socio-Economic ..... 4034
Effects on Aboriginal Groups4034
514 Exhibits 001-039I, 001-039J ..... 4034
515 Exhibits 001-051R and 001-051S ..... 4034
516 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 6; Exhibit ..... 4035
001-051S, Adobe 9
517 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 80; ..... 4035
Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 576
518 Exhibit 000-061, Adobe 1 ..... 4035
519 Exhibits 006-013I, 006-013K, ..... 4035
Exhibit 006-013L, Exhibit 006-013M,
Exhibit 006-013N, Exhibit 006-0130,Exhibit 006-013P, and Exhibit 006-013AA520 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 575; 4035
Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1501-1502
521 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 50 ..... 4036
522 Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 63 ..... 4036
523 Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 62-63 ..... 4037
524 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 450; ..... 4038
Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 64; Exhibit
001-051R, Adobe 46
525 Exhibit 006-013K, Adobe 1 ..... 4038
526 Exhibit 006-013L, Adobe 1 ..... 4039
527 Exhibit 006-013M, Adobe 1 ..... 4039
528 Exhibit 001-073, Adobe 119-127 ..... 4039
529 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 6; Exhibit ..... 4040
001-051S, Adobe 9
530 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1503 ..... 4040
531 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 46-47 ..... 4041
532 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 46-47 ..... 4041
SOCIO-ECONOMIC ..... 4041
Intensity of Development \& Pressures on ..... 4041
Municipal Infrastructure
533 Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 26392777 ..... 4042
534 Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 30 ..... 4042
535 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 161 and ..... 4042
179-184
536 EUB/CEAA Joint Review Panel Report ..... 4043
(EUB Decision 2006-128) (December 17,2006) at pgs. 15 and 16
537 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 297; Exhibit ..... 4043
001-001E, Adobe 471 and 490
538 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301 ..... 4043
539 Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 221-229 ..... 4043
540 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 297 ..... 4043
541 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301, 302 ..... 4044
Housing ..... 4044
542 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 465 ..... 4044
543 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 450, ..... 4045
Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 227
544 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 461; ..... 4045
Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2732-2733
545 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 182 ..... 4045
546 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 182 ..... 4045
547 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 182 ..... 4046
Education ..... 4046
548 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 474, ..... 4046
Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 229
549 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 474; ..... 4046
Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 223
550 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 474, ..... 4046
Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 228
551 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 474 ..... 4047
Health Services ..... 4047
552 Exhibit 001-051, Adobe 161 ..... 4048
553 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 222 ..... 4048
554 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 481 ..... 4048
Traffic ..... 4048
555 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 489, 490 ..... 4049
556 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 490; ..... 4049
Exhibit 001-006D, Adobe 26-27
557 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 183 ..... 4050
558 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 161 ..... 4050
559 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 183 ..... 4050
The Role of the Province and the Region ..... 4051
560 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 161, ..... 4052
179-184
561 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 183 ..... 4052
562 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301 ..... 4052
563 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 493 ..... 4052
564 Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2645, 2666 ..... 4053
and 2752
565 Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2738 and ..... 40532755-2763
THE ROLE OF THE PROVINCE AND THE REGION ..... 4053
Project Operations ..... 4053
Tailings ..... 4053
566 Energy Resources Conservation ..... 4054
Board, Directive 074: Tailings
Performance Criteria and Requirementsfor Oil Sands Mining Schemes (February
2009), Adobe 4-5
567 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1262-1263 ..... 4054
568 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 122 ..... 4055
569 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 248 and 276 ..... 4055
570 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 273 ..... 4055
571 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 240-241 ..... 4056
572 Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe 25 ..... 4056
573 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3564-3565 ..... 4056
Bitumen Recovery ..... 4056
574 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1226-1230; ..... 4057
Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1569-1572
575 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 237 ..... 4057
576 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1572 ..... 4057
577 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1572-1573 ..... 4058
Solvent Recovery ..... 4058
578 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 539 ..... 4058
579 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 541 ..... 4058
580 Exhibit 001-113, Adobe 2 ..... 4058
581 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 543; ..... 4059
Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1567
582 Transcript Vol. 4, pgs. 587-588 ..... 4059
583 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1366 ..... 4059
584 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 204;Exhibit 001-051, Adobe 136
585 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1366 ..... 4060
586 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1366 ..... 4060
587 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1367 ..... 4060
588 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1538 ..... 4060
Asphaltene Rejection ..... 4060
589 Exhibit 001-009, Adobe 40 ..... 4061
590 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1288 ..... 4061
591 Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 297-298 ..... 4061
592 Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 297-298 ..... 4061
593 Exhibit 001-071 ..... 4062
594 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1252 ..... 4062
595 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1550 ..... 4062
Cell 2A and Geological Risks ..... 4062
596 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 550 ..... 4063
597 Exhibit 001-077, Adobe 1 ..... 4063
598 Exhibit 001-077, Adobe 1 ..... 4063
599 Transcript Volume 6, pg. 1200, ..... 4063
1201, Exhibit 001-077, Adobe 1
600 Transcript Volume 6, pg. 1201 ..... 4064
601 Transcript Volume 6, pg. 1201 ..... 4064
Accidents and Malfunctions ..... 4064
602 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 93 ..... 4064
603 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 95 and ..... 4064
98-104
604 Transcript Vol. 6, pgs. 1096-1099 ..... 4065
605 Transcript Vol. 6, pgs. 1097-1099 ..... 4065
606 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 99 ..... 4065
CONCLUSION ..... 4065
FINAL ARGUMENT OF SYNCRUDE CANADA LTD., ..... 4067
BY MR. ROTH:
Sand Cell 2 External Tailings Disposal ..... 4070 Area (ETDA) Expansion
1 Shell Canada's Opening Statement, ..... 4070JPME Hearing Transcript, Volume 3(October 30, 2012) at pages 199-3072 ERCB Directive 074, "Tailings4070
Performance Criteria and Requirementsfor Oil Sands Mining Schemes (February3, 2009)
3 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 7 ..... 4072
(November 5, 2012) at pages 1249-12534 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 84072
(November 6, 2012) at pages 1547-1555
Mature Fine Tailings (MFT) at Closure - ..... 4073
End Pit Lakes
5 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 ..... 4074(November 9, 2012) at pages 2570-2571
End Pit Lakes ..... 4075
a) Risk/Uncertainty of the Strategy, ..... 4075Syncrude Demonstration Lake6 Dr. G. Miller Presentation, End Pit 4075Lake: Unresolved Issues (Exhibit017-031) at slide 27 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 114075(November 9, 2012) at pages 2542-25478 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 114075(November 9, 2012) at pages 2556-25579 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 114076(November 9, 2012) at pages 2553-255610 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 114076(November 9, 2012) at pages 2540-254411 RSA, 2000, c W-34077
12 Harmful Alteration, Disruption and ..... 4078Destruction (HADD) authorization,
pursuant to the Fisheries Act RSC,1985, C. F-14
13 Dr. David Schindler's Expert Report ..... 4078(Exhibit 017-016B) at page 15
14 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 ..... 4079(November 9, 2012) at pages 2570-2571
b) Contingency options ..... 4079
15 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 8 ..... 4079
(November 6, 2012) at pages 1637-1642
c) Liability Management ..... 4080
16 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 8 ..... 4081
(November 6, 2012) at pages 1637-1640
d) CEMA Guidelines - Applicability and ..... 4081Suitability17 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 84082
(November 6, 2012) at pages 1632-1633
18 Syncrude Letter dated August 21, ..... 40822012 - Appendix to CEMA Recommendationto Alberta Government - End Pit LakesGuidance Document (Exhibit 02-39)19 Dr. Schindler's Responses to4083
Secretariat Questions (Exhibit 017-051)- Questions 12 and 13 at pages 6-720 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 114085
(November 9, 2012) at pages 2543-2544
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE FORT MCKAY FIRST ..... 4085 NATION AND FORT MCKAY MÉTIS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, BY MS. BUSS:
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE MÉTIS NATION OF4102ALBERTA REGION 1 AND THE INDIVIDUALSAND GROUPS NAMED TOGETHER WITHREGION 1, BY MS. BISHOP:
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE FORT MCMURRAY ..... 4130 \#468 FIRST NATION, BY MR. JEERAKATHIL:
I. Introduction ..... 4130
B. Fort McMurray First Nation ..... 4131
C. Project ..... 4136
II Adequacy of Shell's Assessment ..... 4137
Methodology
B. Regional Study Area ..... 4139
C. Local Study Area ..... 4140
D. Failure to Properly Incorporate ..... 4142
Ecological Context
E. Impacts on Terrestrial Resources ..... 4146
F. Impacts to Aboriginal and Treaty ..... 4148
Rights and the current use of lands fortraditional purposes
G. Consultation and Impacts to FMFN ..... 4162
H. Delay and Other Conditions ..... 4168
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE ATHABASCAN ..... 4172
CHIPEWYAN FIRST NATION, BY MR. MURPHY:
A. DESCRIPTION OF ACFN and ACFN's ..... 4175RIGHTS AND INTERESTSElder Rene Bruno, Nov 7 Transcript, 4175page 1996, lines 13 to 15Supreme Court of Canada, R. v. Badger, 4177[1996] 1 S.C.R. 771, para. 39Indian Claims Commission, March41771998, Athabasca Chipewyan FirstNation Inquiry: WAC Bennett Dam andDamage to Indian Reserve 201 at p. 77
i. ACFN and Treaty 8 ..... 4178
Elder Rene Bruno, Nov 7 Transcript, ..... 4178
page 1996, lines 13 to 15
See R. v. Sundown, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 393 ..... 4178
at paras. 1, 8; and R. v. Horseman,[1990] 1 S.C.R. 901, at paras. 60-62Supreme Court of Canada, R. v. Badger,4179
[1996] 1 S.C.R. 771, para. 55
West Moberly First Nations v. British ..... 4179Columbia (Chief Inspector of Mines),2011 BCCA 247 (CanLII)Dr. Pat McCormack, Research Report,4180Treaty 8 and the Fort McKay FirstNation, Exhibit 009-008B at text page14, pdf page 17. Dr. Pat McCormack,Ethnohistory Slide Deck at 47 (Exhibit006-022)Exhibit 006-031I. Dr. Candler:4181Athabasca Chipewyan First NationIntegrated Knowledge and Use Reportand Assessment for Shell Canada'sProposed Jackpine Mine Expansion andPierre River Mine, pages 27 -28; DoreenSomers, Transcript November 8 at 2148line 6 to 2149 line 13Rene Bruno, Transcript November 7,4181
page 1995 line to page 1995 line 24 -
page 1996 line 15, ACFN Elders'
Declaration on Rights to Land Use, dated July 8, 2010 at text page 122 of Exhibit 006-013I, Victorine Mercredi (now deceased), Indian Claims
Commission transcript November 27, 1996
at page 137, lines 22-29,
Exhibit 006-013H at pdf page 141
Chief Allan Adam, Transcript Nov. 7,
page 1958, line 24, to page 1959, line
12, Elder Rene Bruno, Transcript Nov.
7, page 1995, line 24, to page 1996, line 15
ii. A Discussion of the Notion of

4182
"Territory"
Chief Allan Adam: Transcript Nov. 7, 4183
page 1956, lines 4-16, page 1958, lines
6-16, and page 1967, lines 2-4. Chief
Adam notes that he is the seventh ACFN
Chief since the signing of Treaty 8.
Elder Rene Bruno: Transcript Nov. 7,
page 1996, lines 6-16 to page 1997,
line 1. Exhibit 006-013K; Dr.
McCormack: An Ethnohistory of the
Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation, pages 39-63, 108-139, 167-171; Dr. McCormack,
Transcript Nov. 8, page 2290, lines
2-9, page 2291, line 22 to page 2292, line 4. Exhibit 006-013L: Patt
Larcombe: A narrative of Encroachment
Experienced by Athabasca Chipewyan
First Nation, pages 2-3 to pages 2-7
McCormack at 108, 110, 115, Exhibit
006-013K. Marvin L'Hommecourt,
Transcript November 8 at p 2031, line 4 to p 2032 line 5
Exhibit 006-013J: Footprints on the
Land. Exhibit 006-013K: Dr. Pat
McCormack, at page 123
Exhibit 006-013FF at PDF page 259,
Section 4 - ACFN Cultural Protection
Areas, text pages 9-10
Exhibit 006-024, page 10
4184
Lisa King, Transcript November 8 at 4185
page 2089, line 12, to page 2090,
line 6
Exhibit 006-013K: Dr. McCormack, page
4185
125
Exhibt 006-013K: Dr. McCormack, pages

112-115, 122, and 127-128
iii. ACFN - Distinctive Identity and

4186
Culture
Exhibit 006-013K, Dr. Pat McCormack: An
Ethnohistory of the Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation, pages 168-171.
Chief Allan Adam, Transcript November
7, page 1955, lines 7-25.
Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript
November 8, page 2029, lines 9-16, and page 2033, line 25, to page 2034, line 10
Alistair MacDonald, Transcript Nov. 9, 4187 page 2481, lines 5-8
Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript Nov. 8, 4187
page 2248, lines 12-25; Raymond
Cardinal, Transcript Nov. 8, page,
2024, lines 13-23; Leslie Laviolette,
Transcript Nov. 8, page 2055, lines
5-13; Beatrice Deranger, Transcript
Nov. 8, page 2060, line 23, to page
2061, line 5; Lisa King, Transcript
Nov. 8, page 2088, lines 20-22;
Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler,
Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 48 to 661; ACFN Undertaking No. 32, Transcript Nov. 9, page 2587, lines 10-19
Elder Rene Bruno, Transcript Nov. 7, 4189
page 1998, lines 5 to 10; Marvin
L'Hommecourt, Transcript Nov. 8, page
2027, line 18, to page 2028, line 9;
Jonathan Bruno, Transcript Nov. 8, page
2069, lines 5 to 15; Exhibit 006-013I:
Dr. Candler, As Long As The Rivers Flow: Athabasca River Knowledge, Use and Change, PDF pages 196-197; Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 56-58 Lisa King, Transcript Nov. 8, page
2091, line 16, to page 2092, line 8
Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript Nov.
4191
7, page 2002, lines 10-17. Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2035, lines 2-12. Raymond Cardinal,
Transcript Nov. 8, page 2040, lines 6 to 14. Leslie Laviolette, Transcript
Nov. 8, page 2050, lines 11-21.
Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler,

Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 56-58
Chief Allan Adam, Transcript Nov. 8,
4192
page 2207, line 16 to page 2208,
line 17. Leslie Laviolette, Transcript
Nov. 8, page 2058, line 12 to page
2059, line 20. Elder Pat Marcel,
Transcript Nov. 7, page 1981, lines 9
to 16. Exhibit 006-024, Nih Boghodi, We are the Stewards of the Land.
Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler,
Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 60-62
Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler,
Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 60-61. Elder Pat Marcel,
Transcript Nov. 7, page 1974, line 21
to page 1975, line 5
Ray Cardinal, Transcript November 8, page 2039, lines 21-24. Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated
Knowledge and Use Report, page 62.
Exhibit 006,-013I: Dr. Candler:
Migratory Bird Traditional Use, starting at PDF 170
Les Laviolette, Transcript November 8, page 2057, lines 2-12. Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 57-58
B. Direct and Adverse Effects
i. Land \& Resources

Chief Allan Adam, Transcript November 7 4194
at page 1968, lines 7-12 (contamination concerns); Elder Charlie Voyageur, November 7 transcript at page 2009, line 19 to 2010, line 1 (gates); Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript November 8 at page 2036, lines 2-8 (loss of land base and resources); Raymond Cardinal,
Transcript November 8 at page 2038, lines 7-13 and page 2039, lines 2-12 (gates); page 2040, line 15, to page 2041, line 11, and page 2043, line 22, to page 2044, line (noise, larger land disturbance, avoidance of larger area); page 2046, line 6 to page 2047, line 3 (loss of land); page 2048, lines 1-7 (dust, contaminants); Les

Laviolette, Transcript November 8, page 2054, line 20 to page 2055, line 1 (gates) ; Beatrice Deranger, Transcript
November 8, page 2062, line 7 - 22
(need for quiet space); page 2063, line
1-17 (effect of noise, gates); Dr.
Candler, Exhibit 006-013I at text page 69
Transcript November 8 page 2031, line
4, to page 2032, line 5
Exhibit 006-03I: Dr. Candler,
4199
Integrated Knowledge and Use Report at
text pages 67-69
Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript Nov. 4200
7, page 2004, lines 14-19, page 2006, lines 12-17, page 2009, lines 5-15;
Raymond Cardinal, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2046, line 6 to page 2047, line 3;
Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, at text
pages 75-78
Elder Pat Marcel, November 7 at page 1978 line 23 to page 1980, line 6; Marvin L'Hommecourt November 8 at page 2042 lines 14-25; Ray Cardinal,
November 8 at page 2041 line 24 to page 2042 line 10; Dr. Candler, Transcript, November 8 at 2380, line 2 to 21; 2381
at 20 - 2382 at line 2; 2391 at
lines 9-16; 2394 at lines 4-22; Exhibit 006-03I: Dr. Candler, Integrated
Knowledge and Use Report at page 72
Marvin L'Hommecourt, November 8 page
2031, line 4 to page 2032, line 5;
Shell October 15 Response, Exhibit 001-0070 at text page 9
MSES, Review of Muskeg River Diversion
Alternative, Exhibit 006-013AA,
starting at pdf page 27, text pages 4
and 5; Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript
November 8 at page 2031, line 2
Chief Adam, Transcript, November 7 at page 1957, lines 9-12; November 8 at page 2255, line 25, to 2258, line 8; Jonathan Bruno, Transcript November 8 at 2066, line 24, to 2069, line 4; Dr. Candler: Migratory Birds and Aquatic Fur, Exhibit 006-013I, starting at pdf page 166, at text pages 4-5; McCormack, Ethnohistory, Exhibit 006-013K at text page 32

Shell at EIA Update 2008, Appendix 2, Table 14. Exhibit 001-002A; MSES, Avian Hazard Map, Exhibit 006-013AA at ii, pdf 3. - see report in its entirety
ii. Water \& Aquatic Resources 4203

Elder Rene Bruno, November 7, page 4204 1998, line 4, to page 2000, line 8 (on inability to access the land and waters to practice Treaty rights) ; Elder Pat Marcel, November 7, page 1987, line 7, to page 1988, line 15 (on the effects on fisheries) ; Jonathan Bruno, November 8, page 2070, line 13, to page 2072, line 13 (on the impact on exercising rights) ; Marvin L'Hommecourt,
November 8, page 2033, lines 9-24; Dr.
Martin Carver, Water Quantity
Considerations, Exhibit 006-013QQ
starting at page 435, see section 2.2 and 2.4; Dr.Martin Carver, MDRA Review, Exhibit 006-013BB at sections 2.2 and 2.3 (re: Will affect hydrology and groundwater flows); Dr. Martin Carver, NNLP review, Exhibit 006-013BB starting at pdf page 24, see section 2 (re will affect hydrology) ; Dr. Martin Carver, Transcript November 8, at page 2338 at lines 4 to 14; and, more generally, Dr. Martin Carver, Transcript November McCormack, Ethnohistory, Exhibit 006-013K at text pages 29-30; Chief Adam and Lisa King, Transcript November 8, page 2257, line 10 , to 2258 , line 20 Exhibit 001-001C, EIA Vol 4A at table 6.7-11, text page 6-600; Brian

Makowecki, Transcript November 15, at 3398 lines 6-13
Shell NNLP Exhibit 001-064B at section
2.1.2 and Figure 5; Bill Kovach,

Transcript November 2, page 1155, at lines 7, to page 1161, line 22; Brian Makowecki, Transcript November 15, at 3646, lines 17-19 (too early to claim success re: NNLP); Lisa King,
Transcript November 8 at 2266, lines 4-17
Dr. Craig Candler, As Long as the 4207 Rivers Flow, Exhibit 006-013I starting at pdf 185, see in particular text
pages 19 (Map 4), 25-27; Dr. Candler,
Exhibit 006-013I at text page 69, 71;
Dr. Candler, Transcript November 8 at
page 2400, line 7, to 2401, line 10;
Chief Adam (re fear of tailings pond
seepage) Transcript November 7 at
page 1957, line 23, to page 1958, line
5; Patt Larcombe, Encroachment
Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L at text
pages 5-16 to 5-21; Dr. Paul Jones,
Preliminary Analysis of Health and
Contaminant Status of Fish Collected
from the Slave and Athabasca Rivers,
2011-2012, Exhibit 006-013BB beginning
at pdf page 130
iii. Socio-Economic and Cultural

4208
Effects
Chief Adam, Transcript, November 7 at 4208
1954, lines 7-24; 1956, line 24, to
page 1957, line 3; page 1958, lines 13-23; page 1961, lines 12-20, page 1962 at lines 16-21, page 1966, lines 18-25
Dr. McCormack, Ethnohistory at 115;
Exhibit 006-013K, at text pages
114-115; Beatrice Deranger, Transcript
November 8 , page 2061, line 17 , to page 2062, line 6; pg 2062, line 6, and page 2064, lines 17-20
Transcript November 8 at page 2103, 4210
line 10 to 2104, line 8
Alistair McDonald, Supplemental Social, 4210
Economic and Cultural Effects
Submission, Exhibit 006-013M at
Chapters 6 and 7
Patt Larcombe, A Narrative of
Encroachment Experienced by ACFN, Exhibit 006-013L, generally at chapters
5 and 6, specifically at text pages
$6-12$, 6-13, 6-20 to 6-23. See also
Figures on pages 2-14 and 2-15; Les
Laviolette, Transcript November 8 at
page 2277, lines 1-21
Jonathan Bruno, November 8, page 2073
4211
lines 12 to 21
Marvin $L^{\prime}$ Hommecourt November 8,
4212
page 2033, line 25, to page 2034, line 7
Alistair McDonald, Supplemental Social,

Economic and Cultural Effects, Submissions, Exhibit 006-013M at chapters 6 and 7; Beatrice Deranger, November 7, page 2061, line 8, to page 2062, line 6; Jonathan Bruno, November 7, page 2073, lines 5-19 Kim Marcel, November 7, page 2082, lines 2-8; page 2085, line 11, to page 2086, lines 1-15
C. Cumulative Impacts in the Region 4213

Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript
November 7 at 2006, line 22, to page 2007, line 13 (impediments posed by RFMA system) ; Dr. McCormack, Ethnohistory, Exhibit 006-013K, generally, and specifically at text pages 18-20, 25-27, and at section 7 (text page 139); Dr. McCormack, Fort Chipewyan and the Shaping of Canadian History; Exhibit 006-013K, starting at pdf page 209 at Chapters 5 - 9; Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L, specifically at 3-6 to 3-8 and 3-12, generally at Chapters 3 and 4; Doreen Somers, Transcript November 8 at page 2132, lines 12-16, page 2135, lines 11-22
Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript
November 7 at 2007, line 14, to page 2008, line 15
Dr. Pat McCormack, Ethnohistory,
Exhibit 006-013K at text pages 167-171;
Dr. Candler, ACFN Integrated Knowledge and Use Report for JPME and PRM, Exhibit 006-013I at 65, ACFN Advice to the Government of Alberta on LARP, Appendix F, Tab 51 (g) ; Exhibit 006-013FF, starting at page 259, text page 27 re cultural protection zones; Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L at section 6.3.2,
starting text page 6-17
Historical review of Biological
Resources of the Peace Athabasca Delta,
Exhibit 006-006-013H starting at
pdf page 179; see text page 155, 156, 158, 160
Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript

Footprints on the Land, Exhibit 006-013J at Chapters 7 and 8;
Dr. Candler, Migratory Birds and
Aquatic Fur Technical Memo;
Exhibit 006-013I, starting at page 166,
see text pages 8-9
Exhibit 006-013H pdf pages 114-146 and
pdf page 323
Dr. McCormack, Ethnohistory at text
pages 15-16 and 161-63; Indian Claims
Commission decision, Exhibit 006-013Hat
text page 78; Letter INAC to ACFN,
Exhibit 006-013H at page 147
John Broadhurst, Transcript, October 4219
30, page 215, lines 3-6; page 230,
lines 2-9; Mr. Roberts, Transcript
October 30, page 447, lines 5-9
Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, 4220
Exhibit 006-013L, Chapter 4
MSES, Effects on Traditional Resources
4220

- ACFN Exhibit 006-0130 at text pages
iii, 16-18; Mr. Virc, Transcript
November 15, page 3348, line 10, to page 3349, line 10; Mr. Wiacek,
Transcript November 15, page 3360,
lines 4-8
MSES, Effects on Traditional Resources 4220
- Exhibit 006-0130 at text pages 13-15

MSES, Effects on Traditional Resources 4221

- Exhibit 006-0130

MSES, Continued Effects on Traditional 4221
Resources - 2011, Exhibit 006-013P

FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE OIL SANDS 4223 ENVIRONMENTAL COALITION, BY MS. GORRIE:

LEGAL FRAMEWORK 4224
CEAA 20124224
Lower Athabasca Regional Plan 4225
1 Exhibit 017-016T 4225
Sub-regional Integrated Regional Plan 4226
for the Fort McMurray - Athabasca Oil
Sands region
2 Exhibit 017-016T, p. 44226
3 Exhibit 017-016W 4227
EPEA
4227
SARA ..... 4228
4 Exhibit 017-041, p. 41 ..... 4229
5 Exhibit 017-041, P. 35 ..... 4229
6 Exhibit 017-041, p. 35 ..... 4229
Public Interest Test ..... 4230
7 paras 33-38 ..... 4230
8 page 21 ..... 4231
Terrestrial Impacts ..... 4232
Thresholds ..... 4232
9 Transcript Volume 8, p. 1722, l. 6-10 ..... 4233
10 October 15th Submission ..... 4233
11 Exhibit 017-016DD ..... 4233
12 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3118, 1 ..... 4233
1-10; p. 3119, l. 6-10
13 Exhibit 017-032 ..... 4233
14 Transcript Volume 5, p. 317, l. 24; ..... 4234
p. 318, l. 12
15 Transcript Volume 5, p. 917, l. 24; ..... 4234
p. 918, 1. 12
16 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3117, 1. ..... 42341-817 Exhibit 017-0324235
18 Transcript Volume 8, p. 1617, 1. ..... 4235
6-15
19 Exhibit 001-051E, p. 3-23 ..... 4235
20 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3112, 1. ..... 4236
1-17
21 Exhibit 017-016, p. 23 ..... 4237
Determining Significance ..... 4237
22 Transcript Volume 5, p. 900, l. 3-17 ..... 4237
23 Transcript Volume 2, p. 265, l. 16; ..... 4238
p. 266, l. 3; Transcript Volume 5, p.
905, l. 19 to p. 906, l. 15
24 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3608, l. ..... 4239
2-19
25 Transcript Volume 7, p. 1380-1381 ..... 4239
26 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3605, l. ..... 4240
12-20
27 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3606, 1. ..... 4240
8-11
28 Exhibit 001-036, p. 56 ..... 4241
29 Transcript Volume 5, p. 896, l. 21 ..... 4241
to p. 897, 1. 23; p. 901, l. 8;Transcript Volume 3, p. 380, 1. 12-1430 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3604, 1.4241
16-23
31 Transcript Volume 3, p. 371, 1. 21 ..... 4242
to p. 373, 1.3
32 Transcript Volume 3, p. 356, 1. 5-7 ..... 4242
33 Exhibit 001-051F, Table 4.3-1 ..... 4242
34 Exhibit 001-063, Table 1.3-1 ..... 4242
35 Exhibit 017-016, p. 16-17 ..... 4242
36 Exhibit 011-014 ..... 4243
37 Exhibit 017-0160 ..... 4243
38 Exhibit 017-024 ..... 4243
39 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3124, l. 22 ..... 4243
to p. 3142, l. 1-14
40 Exhibit 001-016BB ..... 4243
41 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3142, 1. 25 ..... 4243
to p. 3143, l. 14
42 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3609, 1. ..... 4244
13-20
43 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3632, 1. 18 ..... 4244
to p. 3633, l. 4
Impacts Not Considered ..... 4245
44 Transcript Volume 14, p. 6313, 1. ..... 4245
3-19
45 Exhibit 017-016 ..... 4245
Lack of Mitigation ..... 4245
46 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3124, 1. ..... 4246
18-23
47 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3640, 1. ..... 4246
6-9
48 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3640, 1. ..... 4247
2-6
49 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3633, 1. ..... 4247
1-4
50 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3632, l. 18 ..... 4247
to p. 3633, l. 4
51 Transcript Volume 3, p. 232, 1. 9-12 ..... 4247
52 Transcript Volume 3, p. 265, l. 8-11 ..... 4247
53 Transcript Volume 3, p. 265, l. ..... 4248
11-15
54 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3129, 1. ..... 4248
5-12
55 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3133, l. 4248
5-17
56 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3150, 1. 4249
612; p. 3234, l. 1-14
57 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3639, 1. 114249
to p. 3640, 1. 14
58 Exhibit 001-070, p. 9
4249
59 Exhibit 001-070, p. 7-8 4250

60 Transcript Volume 8, p. 1595, 1. 244251
to p. 1596, l. 13
Conditions 4251

## INDEX OF EXHIBITS

PAGE NO.

```
EXHIBIT 006-030: Response from 3859
Dr. Komers Dr. Gutsell, and
Ms. Hechtenthal
EXHIBIT 006-031: Response from 3860
Mr. Bruce MacLean
EXHIBIT 006-032: Response from 3860
Dr. Candler
EXHIBIT 017-051: Dr. Schindler's 3860
Response
EXHIBIT 001-052: Rasmussen World Class 3860
Graph
EXHIBIT 001-116: Reply from Shell 3860
EXHIBIT 009-011: Replacement for the 4086
requested disposition section in Fort
McKay's Exhibit 009-008
```


## INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS

There were no undertakings given.

Tuesday, November 20, 2012
(8:30 a.m.)
(Edmonton, Alberta)

THE CHAIRMAN:
Good morning, everyone. Is there any housekeeping? Mr. Perkins.

HOUSEKEEPING MATTERS SPOKEN TO:
MR. PERKINS:
Mr. Chairman, we, and I mean
the Secretariat, has received the responses from
ACFN witnesses, and Dr. Schindler, as well as a reply to that from Shell, and we'd like to suggest exhibit numbers for that material. I can run down the list, if you'd like.

THE CHAIRMAN:
MR. PERKINS:
Dr. Gutsell, and Ms. Hechtenthal, we'd like Exhibit No. 006-030 for that.

EXHIBIT 006-030: RESPONSE FROM DR. KOMERS DR. GUTSELL, AND MS. HECHTENTHAL

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

For Mr. Bruce MacLean,

MR. PERKINS:
And for Dr. Candler, 006-032.

EXHIBIT 006-032: RESPONSE FROM DR. CANDLER

MR. PERKINS: For OSEC, Dr. Schindler's response, if we could have 017-051.

EXHIBIT 017-051: DR. SCHINDLER'S RESPONSE

MR. PERKINS: And as a separate number, and

I hope I describe this correctly, the Rasmussen World Class Graph, 017-052.

## EXHIBIT 001-052: RASMUSSEN WORLD CLASS GRAPH

MR. PERKINS:
And finally, sir, the reply from Shell, if we could have exhibit number 001-116 for that, sir.

EXHIBIT 001-116: REPLY FROM SHELL

THE CHAIRMAN:
Thank you.

1

MR. PERKINS:
THE CHAIRMAN:
housekeeping? I take it not.
Is Shell ready to proceed with its argument?
Mr. Denstedt?

FINAL ARGUMENT OF SHELL CANADA, BY MR. DENSTEDT: INTRODUCTION

MR. DENSTEDT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Members. I'm pleased to be here today to present final argument on behalf of Shell Canada Limited for the Jackpine Mine Expansion Project, which I will refer to generally as "the Project" in my remarks today.

I've provided a copy of my notes to the Court Reporter and I'd ask that the headings and the evidentiary references be included in the transcript so that I need not to refer to them as I go. Where I deviate from my notes, I would ask that my oral remarks be reflected in the transcript.

Mr. Chairman, developing an oil sands mining project is not an easy task. It requires financial strength to provide the financial wherewithal to ensure processes to capitalize and execute a
multi-billion-dollar project, technical expertise to ensure processes are constantly reviewed and improved, environmental responsibility to ensure environmental impacts of development are avoided, minimized or mitigated, and social responsibility to ensure all of this is carried out in a manner that provides information to stakeholders, involves them in decisions that affect them, and provides assistance where appropriate.

Shell, Chevron and Marathon embody all these traits.

Shell has been involved in the oil sands since the 1950 s. ${ }^{1}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 216 Shell already operates the Muskeg River Mine and the Jackpine Mine Phase I, and has demonstrated that it can operate oil sands projects in a responsible way.

For example, the Jackpine Mine was started up in 2010 without a single process safety incident. 2 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 218

In 2011, Shell was awarded CAPPs Health and Safety Performance Award for its oil sands operations. ${ }^{3}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 220

Shell has a proven track record of successfully constructing and operating projects of this type and magnitude.

Shell has been working with regulators and stakeholders for more than six years to study the potential impacts of this Project and optimize plans to avoid or minimize those affects. The reason that the Pierre River Mine was combined with this Project for the purposes of the EIA was to address stakeholders' and regulators' desire to see Shell's full development plans for the oil sands. ${ }^{4}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 234

Shell's testimony was that the baseline studies for this Project were the most extensive that have ever been conducted for oil sands projects. ${ }^{5}$ Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1410 Shell has responded to more than 1500 Information Requests from regulators and stakeholders and filed more than 20,000 pages of documentation in support of this Project. ${ }^{6}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 250; Exhibit 001-006, 001-009, 001-011, 001-051, 001-063

Through this process, Shell has significantly modified the Project to assess concerns that have been raised and to meet evolving regulatory and economic developments. The result is a project plan that balances Shell's obligation to develop the province's oil sands resources and the need to ensure that development is done in an
environmentally and socially acceptable manner.
For the reasons I am going to discuss, Mr. Chairman, Shell has clearly demonstrated that this Project is in the public interest and should be approved.

So let me start with the nature of this application.

NATURE OF THE APPLICATION
First of all, Shell is applying to the Energy and Resources Conservation Board and Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development to amend and renew the Jackpine Mine approvals in order to expand the already existing Jackpine Mine development, and the area underlying the oil sands resources to increase the production by 100,000 barrels per day to an average nominal capacity of 300,000 barrels per day. ${ }^{7}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 235

The proposal will allow for a development of the resource contiguous to the already approved Jackpine Mine in a northerly direction incorporating Leases 88, 89, AT-36, 15 and 631. The additional mining area and equipment, processing facilities and other infrastructure will extend the life of the Jackpine Mine to 2050. Updated and expanded tailings management,
reclamation and closure plans for the Jackpine Mine are also included in the Application. ${ }^{8}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 235, Exhibit 002-004, Adobe 2

To implement the proposed development, Shell
will require:

- An amendment to ERCB approval
number 9756C for the additional
mining tailings and processing
facilities pursuant to Section 13
of the Oil Sands Conservation Act. 9 R.S.A. 2000, c. 0-7, s. 13
- It also requires renewal and expansion of the 10 -year operating

EPEA approval and renewal ${ }^{10 \text { R.S.A. }}$ 2000, c. E-12, s. 67 and 70; Exhibit 002-004,

Adobe ${ }^{2}$; and

- Amendment of the Jackpine

Mine Water Act approval. ${ }^{11}$ R.S.A. 2000,
c. W-3, s. 49-51, 54 and 59; Transcript Vol. 3,
pg. 242

> Shell will also require approvals from various federal regulators including:

- A new authorization under Section 35(2) of the Fisheries Act for the harmful alteration and destruction of fish habitat in the new project area; ${ }^{12}$ r.s.c., 1985, c. f-14, s. $35(2)$; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 243, Exhibit 005-002, Adobe 1 and.
- A river crossing approval
under Section 5 of the Navigable Waters Protection Act for bridge and utilities crossings. 13 R.S.C., 1985, c. N-22, s. 5; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 243, Exhibit 001-029, Adobe 1

In addition, Shell will apply for a variety of ancillary approvals if the expansion is found to be in the public interest. And the potential environmental impacts of these ancillary works have been included in the Environmental Impact Assessment that is before this Panel. ${ }^{14}$ These include approvals from Transport Canada for the diversion of the Muskeg River, pursuant to section 23 of the Navigable Waters Protection Act; from the Alberta Utilities Commission to construct and operate co-generation power plants, pursuant to section 11 of the Hydro and Electric Energy Act (R.S.A. 2000, c. H-16, s. 11); an amendment or new Mineral Surface Lease under

> section 20 of the Public Lands Act (R.S.A. 2000, c. P-40) for the new project area; an amendment to development permit No. 2006-0374 pursuant to the Regional Municipality's Land Use Bylaw 99/059; and historical resource clearances under the Historical Resources Act (R.S.A. 2000, c. H-9)

## FRAMEWORK FOR THE REVIEW

Let me start with a review of the legal framework and the Joint Review Panel that the Joint Review Panel is operating under, and the dual roles and responsibilities of this Panel. And I'll briefly go through some of the specific requirements of a CEAA review and an EIA under the EPEA as I deal with the merits of the Application.

## Purpose of Environmental Assessment

Environmental Assessment is the first formal step towards project approval and is required to ensure environmental matters are considered early in the Project's planning stage to both protect the environment and to avoid the waste of resources.

One of the reasons for conducting an
Environmental Assessment early in the planning process is so that the Environmental Assessment can influence design decisions, execution plans, mitigation, and monitoring. It is well accepted in

Canadian jurisprudence that environmental assessment is a planning tool used to help achieve the goal of sustainable development by providing an effective means of integrating environmental factors into planning and decision-making processes early in the planning stage of projects. ${ }^{15}$ Bow Valley Naturalist Society v. Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage), [2001] 2 F.C. 461 (C.A.) at para. 17

Under the Canadian Environmental Assessment
$\boldsymbol{A c t}$, the focus of an assessment is to determine whether the likely environmental effects of a proposed Project are significant and, if so, whether they can be justified. Information that is produced through the Environmental Assessment process that shows broader cumulative effects through the region, particularly information showing changes from pre-industrial conditions to a Planned Development Case is useful to inform regional planning but should not be used to make decisions on whether a specific project is in the public interest and should be allowed to proceed.

## Joint Process

In January of 2007, Shell filed a Project Description for the Project and the Pierre River

Mine Project with the Federal and Provincial
Governments. The Draft Terms of Reference for the EIA were provided for stakeholder and regulator input, including input from Aboriginal groups, Environment Canada, Health Canada, and Fisheries and Oceans Canada. 16 Exhibit 004-003, Adobe 3 These Terms of Reference were finalized in November of 2007 and the Application for this Project was filed the following month.

Between 2007 and 2010, Shell responded to three mounds of supplemental information requests from the ERCB and ESRD, as well as Information Requests from Environment Canada, Health Canada, DFO, Natural Resources Canada, and Transport Canada. Shell also responded to hundreds of technical review questions from Aboriginal groups. 17 Exhibit 001-026; Exhibit 001-032; Exhibit 001-050; Exhibits 001-039A through K In October of 2010, Alberta Environment deemed the EIA complete. ${ }^{18}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 249 In December of 2010, the review of the Project was referred to a Federal Review Panel on the request of the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans.

A Joint Review Panel agreement between the ERCB and the Government of Canada was finalized on September 13th of 2011 to allow a joint review of
this Project. 19 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 3 The Agreement sets out the mandate and authority of the Panel, its composition and project review guidelines. This joint review must satisfy the requirements of the CEAA, the Oil Sands Conservation Act, and the Energy Resources Conservation Act. The Panel has distinct obligations under each of those Acts. 20 Transcript Vol. 1, pg. 6, Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 4

## The Joint Review Panel's Role as the ERCB

As the ERCB, the Panel is guided by the purposes outlined in the Oil Sands Conservation Act, and let me just run through those purposes for you this morning:

- To effect conservation and prevent waste of the oil sands resources of Alberta.
- To ensure orderly, efficient and economical development in the public interest of the oil sands resources of Alberta.
- To assist the government in controlling pollution in the development and production of the oil sands resources of Alberta; and
- To ensure the observance in the public interest of safe and efficient practices in the exploration for, and the recovery, storing,
processing and transporting of oil sands discard crude bitumen derivatives of through bitumen and oil sands products. 21 Oil Sands Conservation Act, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000, Chapter 0-7, s. 3, Adobe 7, 8

While performing this ERCB function, the Panel must also have regard to Section 3 of the Energy Resources Conservation Act which requires the ERCB to give consideration to whether this Project is in the public interest having regard to the social and economic effects of the Project and the effects of the Project on the environment. 22 Energy Resources Conservation Act, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000, Chapter E-10, s. 3, Adobe 6

It's a blend of all those obligations that this Panel must fulfill as the ERCB.

The Panel's mandate is broad. It must consider the interest not only of the Applicant and Interveners in this specific case, but also the interests of all Albertans who own the resources and have leased the rights to and imposed the obligations on Shell and its joint venture partners to recover these resources.

In determining whether a proposed energy development, in this case the expansion of the Jackpine Mine, is in the public interest, the Panel
is charged with balancing the Proponent's property rights in its lease, the public's legitimate expectations to receive value from the resources it owns, the economic benefits of the proposed Project such as jobs, taxes and royalties, and the potentially negative environmental and social impacts of the Project.

It is Shell's position that the evidence overwhelmingly demonstrates that the Jackpine Mine Expansion meets the purposes of the legislation and that approving this Project is in the public interest.

## The Joint Review Panel's Role under the CEAA

Under the CEAA, and the agreement, the Panel must conduct an environmental assessment of the Project by collecting and considering the evidence it considers is necessary to make recommendations on whether the Project is likely to result in significant adverse environmental effects. 23 Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012, S.C. 2012 c. 19 s. 52, s. 22, Adobe 14

This Panel must consider the following issues as part of its CEAA mandate:
(a) the need for and purpose of the Project;
(b) alternatives to the Project and
alternative means of carrying out the Project;
(c) environmental effects of the Project, including the likelihood and significance of those effects within temporal and spatial boundaries;
(d) impacts and the capacity, impacts on the capacity of renewable resources to meet the needs of present and future generations;
(e) possible accidents and malfunctions from the Project; and
(f) Shell's proposed monitoring and adaptive management programs.

Shell has addressed all of these matters in its evidence filed with the Panel.

## The Joint Review Panel's Mandate regarding Aboriginal Rights and Interest

Finally, I would like to briefly outline the Panel's responsibilities with respect to Aboriginal issues.

Section 6 of the Joint Review Panel Agreement allows the Panel to receive information from Aboriginal groups related to the nature and scope of their Aboriginal and Treaty Rights in the Project area, as well as the potential adverse environmental effects on those rights. ${ }^{24}$ Exhibit

002-024, Adobe 5

The Terms of Reference for the Panel also require that the Panel consider any evidence concerning potential Project effects on established or asserted Aboriginal or Treaty Rights, including the potential effects on traditional land and resource use and access into areas used for traditional uses, and Shell's plans to mitigate any such effects. 25 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 12

This information must be considered by the Panel in determining whether the Project is likely to result in significant adverse environmental effects, but the agreement is clear, that the Panel is not required to determine the validity of any asserted rights, the scope of the Crown's duty to consult, or whether the Crown has met its duty to consult. ${ }^{26}$ Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 5

## THE ISSUES - OVERVIEW

With that in mind, Mr. Chairman, I would like to review what Shell believes are the key issues raised at this hearing. And they were:
(a) the Need for the Project;
(b) Alternatives to the Project and means of carrying out the Project;
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(c) the various environmental issues;
(d) Aboriginal consultation and impacts on traditional land and resource use;
(e) regional, socio-economic impacts and infrastructure and intensity of development; and finally
(f) technical operations and resource recovery issues.

Shell submits that all of these issues have been addressed in its evidence and the Panel can rely on the conclusion in Shell's EIA which is a comprehensive and conservative assessment of the Project's potential impacts.

Further, we provided a Table of Concordance for this argument, and the Panel's list of issues that was set out last Friday, and I'd ask that it be included as an addendum to this argument.

## PROJECT NEED, PURPOSE AND ALTERNATIVES

So let me start with the need for the Project and the Project's alternatives.

Shell analyzed the need for the Project as well as alternatives to and alternative means of carrying out the Project in accordance with the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency's

Operational Policy Statement on need, purpose and alternatives. 27 CEAA Operational Policy Statement: Addressing "Need for", "Purpose of", "Alternatives to" and "Alternative Means" under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. Available online:
<Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/Content/5/C/0/5C072E13-8440-4123-9F66-85589234C2 B3/Addressing_Need_-_Purpose_-_Alternatives_under_the_CEAA.pdf The OPS defines need for a project as the problem or opportunity the project is intending to solve or satisfy. In contrast, the purpose of a project is what is to be achieved by carrying out that project. The OPS states that the need for and purpose of a project should be established from the perspective of the project proponent and that provides the context for consideration of alternatives to the scoped project. Similarly, alternatives to a project are to be considered in relation to the project need and purpose and also from the Proponent's perspective.

## Need for and Purpose of the Project

In terms of the need for the Project, Shell has made considerable investments in obtaining its lease holdings in the Athabasca Region and defining its resources. The leases for the Project contain approximately two billion barrels of recoverable
bitumen. ${ }^{28}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 316 Shell has
responsibility to its shareholder and project partners to develop these lease holdings in economically efficient ways in order to realize value from its investments. In addition, Shell has a legal obligation to the people of Alberta, who own the resource, to develop it in a timely and efficient manner. 29 Transcript Volume 3, pg. 230

The Project is an expansion of an existing mine and will take advantage of existing facilities and infrastructure. The Project will also allow development of the existing Jackpine Mine Phase I to be optimized through integration with the expansion. ${ }^{30}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 231

More generally, the Project will provide benefits to the people of Alberta and the rest of the country. Developing this Project will cost approximately eight to twelve billion dollars. 31 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 310 That investment will result in increased employment, income, business revenue, and government revenue. The Alberta economy is expected to receive 50 percent of the total construction expenditures for the Project amounting to between four and six billion dollars. Of this, between 265 and 400 million dollars will accrue to
regional companies and workers. During Project operations, annual expenditures will be in the hundreds of millions of dollars, 40 percent of which will be spent on regional companies and workers and 75 percent of which will be spent in the province of Alberta. ${ }^{32 \text { Transcript Vol. 3, pg. } 302}$

Outside of Alberta, businesses and workers in the rest of Canada are expected to receive between two and three billion dollars in project construction expenditures, and almost 10 percent of annual operating expenditures. ${ }^{33}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 303

For the Federal and Provincial Governments, the Project is estimated to generate $\$ 17$ billion in royalties and taxes over its life. This is over and above the taxes and royalties already associated with the Muskeg River Mine and the Jackpine Mine Phase I. ${ }^{34}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 302

The Project will also add to the Regional Municipality tax assessment base and at current rates will pay between 23 and 34 million dollars annually in property taxes. ${ }^{35}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301

In terms of employment, the Project is estimated to generate 9,310 work years of onsite employment. There will also be 3,100 work years of off-site employment in Alberta. ${ }^{36}$ Transcript Vol. 3,
pg. 300 At peak, the construction force will be
4,400 people. The Project will also create 750 full-time jobs during operations. ${ }^{37}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 300

Many of these benefits will accrue specifically to local Aboriginal communities. For example, Shell has spent more than $\$ 1$ billion on Aboriginal contractors and businesses in the Athabasca Region in the last six years. ${ }^{38}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 301

Finally, this Project will enhance Canada's security of energy supply. Shell's expectation is that global energy demand will double by 2050 from 2000 levels. ${ }^{39}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 245 To meet this growing demand, the world will require all types of energies, including biofuels, wind, nuclear, and fossil fuels. ${ }^{40}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 245 The oil sands are an important part of this global energy mix and will be used to meet Canada's domestic energy needs as well as the needs of our export markets. 41 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 246 This Project, together with other oil sands development, will enhance Canada's role as an emerging energy superpower.

In summary, Mr. Chairman, this Project is needed to satisfy Shell's obligations to both its
shareholders and the people of Alberta, and it will generate significant benefits for the region, the province, and the country. The purpose of this Project is to develop the Jackpine Mine Expansion leases to realize the value of that resource, investment that Shell has made to obtain the leases, and to fulfill Shell's obligations under its oil sands leases to the Province, and at the same time, provide material economic benefits to Canada's economy.

## Alternatives to the Project

In terms of alternatives, Shell considered alternatives to the Project in accordance with the CEAA agency's OPS, which require that any alternative must be capable of fulfilling the need and purpose identified for the Project by the Proponent. The OPS also confirms that the level of detail on alternatives should reflect the conceptual nature of the project at this stage of the process. ${ }^{42}$ CEAA Operational Policy Statement: Addressing "Need for", "Purpose of", "Alternatives to" and "Alternative Means" under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. Available online:
<Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/Content/5/C/0/5C072E13-8440-4123-9F66-85589234C2

B3/Addressing_Need_-_Purpose_-_Alternatives_under_the_CEAA.pdf> at 3

Shell has investigated alternatives to developing the Jackpine Mine Expansion resources and has concluded that the Development Plan described in this Application represents the most practical, economical, and sustainable means of extracting this resource. Currently, given the local geology, there are no viable or realistic alternatives to this Project such as in-situ extraction, because the resource is too close to the surface and mining is the only viable method of extracting the bitumen.

Again, it is also important to bear in mind that this is an expansion of an existing oil sands mine and the Project will allow for the continuing development of the resources underlying Shell's leases in an integrated fashion promoting the efficient development of the Province's resources. 43 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 231

## Alternatives Means of Carrying out the Project

Since there were no viable alternatives to the Project identified by Shell, Shell focused its assessment on alternative means of carrying out the Project, meaning the different types and placement of facilities within the overall oil sands mining
scheme. ${ }^{44}$ Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1458 One of the primary alternative assessments that was carried out was related to mining around the Muskeg River. In the 2007 EIA, Shell presented three options:

- Leave the river in place by only mining up to it;
- Divert the river through a pipeline; or
- Divert the upper sections of the river
into Kearl Lake. 45 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 375 The pipeline diversion option was selected as the preferred alternative among those three at the time.

Through ongoing engagement with local stakeholders and Aboriginal groups, it became clear that diverting the Muskeg River through a pipeline was considered unacceptable by most local communities. Concerns were raised by several Aboriginal groups that diverting the Muskeg River through a pipeline would negatively impact the spirit of the river and also navigability. Concerns were also raised about water quality in the river, particularly as a result of Shell's original plan to flow the Muskeg River through end pit lakes containing mature fine tailings post-closure. ${ }^{46}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 241 As a result of those discussions, Shell reconsidered its options and it eventually put forward the Muskeg River Diversion Alternative. This alternative involves several modifications to the Project at substantial cost, including centrifugation of all mature fine tailings at the end of mine life to eliminate tailings from the end pit lakes which flow into the Muskeg River, and construction of an open diversion channel instead of a pipeline, including the sterilization of approximately 27 million barrels of bitumen. 47 Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe 9-16; Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1151 While that represents a significant cost to Alberta in lost resource, it represents a reasonable balance of economic, social and environmental issues, in Shell's view.

In terms of social impacts, the evidence suggests that the upper reaches of the river that flow through the Project lease have a low use by Aboriginal groups. Therefore, Shell focused on protecting the lower reaches of the river that were considered part of the Aboriginal fishery. 48 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1557-1558 At the same time, the Muskeg River Diversion Alternative allows for continued access by watercraft along the river, and
addresses some of the issues around losing the spirit of the river. In particular, Shell's closest Aboriginal neighbour, Fort McKay, has not objected to the proposal.

In terms of environmental issues, Shell's analysis demonstrated that the diversion would result in negligible to low effects on water quality, aquatic health and fish habitat in the Muskeg River. ${ }^{49}$ Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 111, 128 and 129 To the extent fish habitat will be lost in the diversion, that habitat will be compensated for through Shell's No Net Loss Plan. Therefore, the assessment of the Muskeg River Diversion Alternative resulted in the same overall conclusion as the original EIA that there are no likely significant adverse effects on the Muskeg River due to the Project. ${ }^{50}$ Transcript vol. 8, pg. 1558

Finally, in terms of economic issues, the diversion will eliminate sterilization of the bitumen resources on Shell's leases. 51 Transcript vol. 3, pg. 448

In the EIA, Shell considered leaving the Muskeg River in place and mining up to the south side of the river. That option would sterilize 424 million barrels of bitumen. 52 Exhibit 001-001A,

Adobe 375
Subsequent to submitting the EIA, Shell considered the implications of mining within 200 metres on either side of the river. That scenario introduced greater concerns about seepage losses from the river due to mine pit dewatering. 53 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1560 It also would sterilize 172 million barrels of bitumen. ${ }^{54}$ Exhibit 001-102 If Shell were only able to mine the south side of the river, revised estimates based on additional drilling information has suggested that 412 million barrels of bitumen would be sterilized. 55 Exhibit 001-102 In contrast, under the proposed diversion, only about 27 million barrels of bitumen will be sterilized. 56 Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe 16 Balancing environmental, social and economic considerations, Shell determined that the Diversion Alternative represented the best option for managing the Muskeg River.

## ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

I would now like to address the key environmental issues that were raised during the hearing and in evidence, and I'll start with a general discussion of assessment methodology. I'll follow that with discussions of air quality,
greenhouse gases and climate change, water management and water quality, fish and fish habitat, human health, terrestrial issues including wildlife, migratory birds and tailings ponds, reclamation, wetlands and old-growth forest, and finally cumulative effects.

I will then conclude this part of the argument with a general discussion of uncertainty in the assessment and responses to the Federal Government's recommendations.

## Methodology

At the outset, I would like to point out that a substantial portion of the evidence filed by the Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation and the Oil Sands Environmental Coalition reflected differences in Environmental Assessment methodology between those parties and Shell. For example, ACFN's Integrated Knowledge and Land Use Report used different study areas than Shell to assess Project effects and determine significance based on effects to the most sensitive land users, not the collective ACFN community. 57 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2409

Similarly, many of the technical and expert submissions from ACFN and OSEC contained critiques
of Shell's Assessment but failed to provide any evidence to support a contrary position. In Dr. Carver's own words: "I didn't do the research. I'm looking at other people's research." 58 Transcript vol. 10, pg. 2367

I will address the specific expert reports later in my argument, Mr. Chairman, but it seems clear that the primary debate is a difference of opinion on assessment methodology. In that regard, I'd suggest Shell took the correct approach. Shell's EIA methodology was based on the Terms of Reference for the Project, guidance from the CEAA agency, methodologies recommended by the Cumulative Effects Management Association, and standard Environmental Assessment practices.

While some parties take issue with these standard approaches, Shell's methodologies have been widely accepted, are consistent with regulatory guidance, and have been applied in numerous project assessments throughout this country. They have been tested through extensive IRs over several years and as a result I submit that Shell's EIA methodologies are reasonable and appropriate in these circumstances.

Finally, I would also like to note that
several of the interveners' experts gave lengthy presentations during the hearing summarizing their written submissions, most of which critiqued Shell's Assessment but failed to present any new assessment of their own.

Mr. Chairman, if Shell and its experts had given similar presentations for each of their areas of expertise, we'd still be giving direct evidence. That's how detailed the information is.

## Air Quality

Let me turn to air quality. OSEC in particular has focused on $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions from the Project and has asserted that Shell has forecast exceedances of air quality thresholds established in the Lower Athabasca Regional Plan. 59 Transcript vol. 9, pg. 1771 However, the LARP is clear, that for air emissions, modelling results are to be used for regional planning purposes and not for determining exceedances. 60 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 29 In addition, there are no predicted exceedances of the LARP triggers due to the Project. Shell's EIA concluded that for the Application Case, the Project will actually reduce $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions by 0.1 percent and $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emissions by 0.2 percent. As a result of changes to approved emissions from the Jackpine Mine Phase I, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emissions from the Project will constitute less than 1.0 percent of the region in total, in part because the Project does not include an upgrader. 61 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 251, Exhibit 001-051I, Adobe 31 As a result, the EIA concluded that these emissions from the Project would have a negligible to low effect. Environment Canada's Mr. Fox suggested that air emissions from the Project's mine fleet may have been underestimated without providing any analysis to support that view. ${ }^{62}$ Transcript vol. ${ }^{13}$, pg. 3273 However, Shell's evidence is that the assessment was both reasonable and conservative; Shell assessed their mine-fleet emissions based on the maximum year of emissions over the life of the Project. 63 Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 512 For regional mine fleets, the model assessed the maximum emissions from each project and assumed that their emissions were occurring simultaneously. ${ }^{64}$ Exhibit 001-001b, Adobe 158 As shown in Figure 3.2-1 of Appendix 3.2 of Shell's May 2012 Submission, this approach results in a conservative assessment of regional mine-fleet emissions. ${ }^{65}$ Exhibit 001-051I, Adobe 13 The air quality model validation conducted for the EIA concluded
that $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ predictions near the mine sites were overpredicted by a factor of two to three times. 66 Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 102 In addition, Shell's witnesses explained during the hearing that it has been recognized that $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ modelling in the region is overly conservative and that work is currently underway by CEMA to refine those models to reduce some of this over-conservativism. ${ }^{67}$ Transcript vol. 8, pg. 1575 As a result, Shell submits that its assessment of mine-fleet emissions was both reasonable and conservative.

Shell recognizes, however, that maintaining air quality in the Oil Sands Region is of critical importance. And, as a result, Shell has committed to several operational standards as part of its project, including:
(a) committing to purchasing TIER-IV trucks for the project fleet as soon as they are available;
(b) monitoring truck idling with the goal of minimizing emissions;
(c) implementing pit-stop practices to minimize idling during shift changes;
(d) using condition-based monitoring and maintenance rather than time-based maintenance to
ensure optimal fleet performance; and
(e) ensuring that the cogeneration units and boilers used for the Project meet the best regulatory standards available. ${ }^{68}$ Exhibit 001-001b, Adobe 154-155; Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 21; Transcript Volume 3, pg. 252

Mr. Roberts explained during the hearing that Shell is also working with equipment suppliers to improve air emissions from new purchases. Shell is the first oil sands operator actively considering hybrid diesel shovels, and it is actively investigating alternative fuels to diesel. 69 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 834-835 Shell is also an active participant in ongoing management initiatives in the Oil Sands Region focused on regional air quality. These initiatives include monitoring through the Wood Buffalo Environmental

Association's Terrestrial Environmental Effects
Monitoring Program. 70 Transcript vol. 3, pgs. 252-253, Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 155 Shell is managing potential emissions from its projects and is working with all of its stakeholders to address this regional issue.

A related issue is acid deposition. Dr. Schindler's critique suggested the EIA findings associated with acid deposition are that "23 lakes in the area already suffer from deposition of
acidifying sulphur and nitrogen compounds that exceed their critical loads." 71 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 1-17 This statement is false. First, the EIA shows that 18 lakes are naturally below a pH of 6 in Pre-industrial conditions, three additional lakes were predicted to exceed critical loads in the Base Case, and two will exceed those loads in the Planned Development Case. ${ }^{72}$ Exhibit 001-051I, Adobe pg. 131 The assessment conducted by Shell was conservative and was consistent with regional guidance. And it predicted that there will be negligible acidification effects from the Project on soil, vegetation and water receptors, and that none of the 414 model lakes will become acidified due to this Project. 73 Exhibit 001-001b, Adobe 157; Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 1412; Exhibit 017-035, Adobe 20 Second, a predicted exceedance of a critical load does not mean lakes are suffering, but rather, that monitoring should be conducted on that lake as a precautionary measure. ${ }^{74}$ Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3725

Dr. Schindler's critique also quoted from selected articles in a 2010 special issue of the Journal of Limnology, but he ignored several key findings in that issue. Those findings were summarized by the editors of that special issue,

Aherne and Shaw, who wrote:

> "The assessment of lakes in northern Alberta using macroinvertebrate, paleolimnological and hydrogeochemical modelling approaches suggest that industrial activities associated with the oil sands presently have limited
> influence on lakes." 75 Transcript vol. 15, pg. 3730

Mr. Vandenberg explained that the narrative provided by Dr. Schindler took quotes out of context in order to make the case that damage has occurred, when the authors of those papers were clear in their conclusions that that is not the case and that oil sands developments are having limited if any effect on lake acidification. 76 Exhibit 017-016BB, Adobe 1; Exhibit 017-016I, Adobe 48; Exhibit 017-0160, Adobe 182; Exhibit 017-016E, Adobe 233; Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3726

Furthermore, Shell has designed the Project to minimize acid-forming emissions and continues to provide a leadership role in regional initiatives addressing the issue. Shell supports CEMA's

Regional Acid Deposition Management Framework designed by CEMA to prevent any damage from acid deposition. Shell was directed by the Terms of Reference to conduct the EIA in accordance with this framework and Shell has committed to comply with it. 77 Transcript Volume 3, pg. 252, Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 155

The Acid Deposition Management Framework is designed to ensure critical loads are not exceeded in the region and industry will require to adapt its plans as required to ensure the chemical characteristics of regional soils and lakes are protected.

CALPUFF model runs in 2010 by CEMA's Air Working Group suggests that the region is currently well below the framework's management criteria. 78 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 40 This is also reflected in

WBEA's Annual Report which shows very little change in the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ levels in the region since 1998 and shows all stations well under the LARP thresholds. 79 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1275; Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1574;

Exhibit 017-016G, Adobe 217 In fact, measured
concentrations at some stations have been decreasing. Similarly, community receptors for $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions are well below the LARP thresholds and emissions have been declining due to installation
of flue-gas scrubbing at Suncor and Syncrude. 80 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1578

Again, Shell is doing its part to address this regional issue and the Project will not result in any significant adverse environmental effects.

## Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change

So let me turn to greenhouse gases and climate change. Another issue that OSEC has raised in this proceeding relates to that issue. And, Mr. Chairman, as we heard through the course of the three weeks, it's a global issue. And Mr. Huat from OSEC confirmed that in his testimony. 81 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1833 Shell is a leader in the oil sands industry and is committed to using commercially viable technologies, operating practices, training and continuous improvement to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the Project towards an aspiration goal of eventually reducing greenhouse gas emissions from the oil sands to the same level as the equivalent basket of imported crude into North America. ${ }^{82}$ Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 949 Mr. Huat agreed that Shell's existing oil sands projects were on the leading edge of Oil Sands Projects in terms of minimizing greenhouse gas
emissions. 83 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1880
Since greenhouse gas emissions and climate change are global issues, the Project's greenhouse gas emissions must be considered in that context. Based on the information provided in Environment Canada's latest Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trend Report, Shell has estimated that this Project's emissions will represent approximately 0.5 percent of Alberta's emissions and 0.2 percent of Canada's emissions. ${ }^{84}$ Exhibit 017-016D, Adobe 44 From a global perspective, the Project's emissions will represent 0.004 percent. ${ }^{85}$ Exhibit 017-016D, Adobe ${ }^{13}$ In this context, the Project's greenhouse gas emissions are clearly insignificant.

A similar conclusion was reached by the Royal Society of Canada's expert panel who concluded that oil sands emissions account for less than 0.1 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions and that completely shutting down the oil sands industry would have a minimal impact on global greenhouse gas emissions. ${ }^{86}$ Exhibit 001-092, Adobe 5

That doesn't mean it's business as usual for Shell. Shell has recently announced its intention to proceed with its Quest carbon capture and storage project which will capture more than one
million tonnes of carbon dioxide per year.
87 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 253 Specific initiatives that
Shell has committed to for this Project to reduce greenhouse gases include:

Designing facilities to be $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture ready where practical and economically achievable; - Optimizing and continuously improving energy efficiency in the design and operation of processing facilities; and

- Applying best practices to minimize fuel use for haul vehicles including regular maintenance and computerized mine-fleet dispatch. 88 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 251, 252; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 253

Shell will also comply with the requirements of Alberta's Specified Gas Emitters Regulation, and any future Federal regulatory requirements when they are put in place. ${ }^{89}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 255 The Federal Government testified that it has already made significant progress on reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the country and further regulations are being developed. 90 Transcript Vol. 13, pgs. 3163-3164 The Panel should take comfort that the government is continuing to address this issue and that Shell is committed to being a part of the solution to this global challenge.

In terms of climate change, Environment Canada and ACFN have both expressed concerns with Shell's methodology for predicting the future effects of climate change and how those effects will interact with the effects of the Project. 91 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 79-82; Exhibit 006-13QQ, Adobe 442; Exhibit 006-022, Adobe 81 and 95 In particular, these parties have suggested that Shell did not use the most up-to-date data for climate change modelling in the EIA. While Shell recognizes that climate change is real and is likely to influence future operations and environmental impacts, it also recognizes that there is a lack of consensus around the approaches to predicting and managing climate change. This is evident in the lack of alignment in the review documents provided by various interveners, and multiple approaches compiled in the literature review that Shell completed as part of their climate change analysis. 92 Exhibit 001-001f, Adobe 134-142 Dr. Bonsal for Environment Canada agreed that there is considerable uncertainty among the different models. 93 Transcript vol. 14, pg. 3523 Dr. Carver for ACFN also agreed with Shell's conclusion on uncertainties associated with global climate model outputs. 94 Exhibit 006-028 Hence, there is no single
approach to this issue that would satisfy all reviewers in the area. In the absence of $a$ standardized approach, Shell has produced a reasonable and defensible set of predictions that were used to assess the uncertainty associated with climate change effects on environmental impact predictions and has outlined the resulting uncertainty on each component of the EIA. ${ }^{95}$ Exhibit 001-001F, Adobe 90 and 181-182; Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 422-424

Shell's evidence is that the EIA used the most up-to-date climate-change model inputs at the time the assessment was completed and that its climate scenarios remain realistic. ${ }^{96}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 52 Shell's methodology is consistent with the methods used by others to assess the uncertainty of climate change on stream flows. 97 Exhibit 017-016H, Adobe 157 to 180; Exhibit 001-96; Exhibit 001-097

Shell also provided additional evidence that the approached suggested by ACFN will yield similar results to the results used by Shell in the EIA. ${ }^{98}$ Exhibit 001-070R Dr. Biftu for Shell explained during the hearing that based on ongoing work he has been doing, even if updated data were used in the assessment, the conclusions would not have changed. 99 Transcript vol. 4, pg. 570

While Shell has predicted long-term
decreases in river flows in the Athabasca River, other more recent assessments have suggested that flows may actually increase through higher levels of precipitation in the future. 100 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 53 For example, the Royal Society of Canada's expert panel concluded last month that "Increased precipitation will be expected to cause increased flow rates in the Athabasca River." 101 Exhibit 001-092, Adobe 15

As a result, Shell's methodology for climate change was conservative. If river flows actually increase over time as a result of climate change or decrease less than Shell's EIA predicted, the cumulative effects in the region will be less than has been assessed in the EIA.

In addition, Shell has demonstrated in its evidence that it has the ability to adaptively manage if climate change effects turn out to be materially different than what Shell has predicted. These issues would also be addressed through the Phase 2 Framework for the Athabasca River, as well as through other means such as water storage.

Therefore, Shell submits that its assessment of climate change impacts is reasonable in these circumstances and should be accepted by the Panel.

## Water Management and Water Quality

 Water ManagementMr. Chairman, let me turn to the issue of water management, and in particular water withdrawals from the Athabasca River.

ACFN has raised concerns regarding potential effects due to water withdrawal from the Athabasca River, particularly during low-flow periods. 102 Exhibit 006-013B, Adobe 12; Exhibit 006-01300, Adobe 443-453; Exhibit 006-022 They have suggested that at present there are times when the flows in the Athabasca are too low to support the exercise of ACFN Treaty Rights. 103 Exhibit 006-013B, Adobe 12

The Project will require additional water withdrawals from the river. However, Shell has planned this Project to reduce the amount of water withdrawal from the river as much as possible through capturing groundwater and surface water runoff for use in the extraction process. Shell's current plans for the Project include 30 days of water storage onsite, although Shell will be able to draw from additional sources of water onsite in the event of prolonged periods of low flow on the river. 104 Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 856; Transcript Vol. 7. Pgs. 1309-1310

In addition, Shell has committed to complying with the Water Management Framework for the Lower Athabasca River to ensure that water withdrawals from the Athabasca are reduced as necessary during low-flow conditions. Shell actively participated in development of the original framework and the currently recommended Phase 2 Framework. ${ }^{105}$ Transcript, vol. 3, pg. 256, 257, Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 523 Through that process, Shell has committed to reduce water withdrawals to 0.2 cubic metres per second whenever the total flows in the river reach 87 cubic metres per second or less. 106 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1306-1307 The Phase 2 Framework will also require Shell to construct additional onsite storage. ${ }^{107 \text { Transcript }}$ vol. 5, pg. 856

In the context of total river flows, the amount of water that Shell is proposing to withdraw is less than 0.1 percent of the mean annual flow, and ranging from 0.04 percent of average flows in the summer to 0.3 percent average flows in the winter. 108 Exhibit 001-051F Adobe page 61; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 256 To put that in context, the predicted change in the Athabasca River water level is less than 1 millimetre, a change that would have no discernible effect on the Athabasca River or the

Peace-Athabasca Delta. 109 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 62-63; Exhibit 001-051K, Adobe 23; Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 45

ACFN's concerns are primarily regarding cumulative effects on flow in the Athabasca River and the Peace-Athabasca Delta. Mr. Makowecki for DFO testified during the hearing that these issues are cumulative issues and are not specific to any one project. 110 Transcript vol. 13, pg. 3210 The cumulative effects on the Peace-Athabasca Delta are influenced primarily by historic changes in flow of the Peace River caused by the Bennett Dam. 111 Exhibit 006-013H, Adobe 323-326 Total allocation of the Athabasca River is about 3.5 percent of total annual average river flows with allocations for oil sands mining projects accounting for 2.2 percent of the total flow, and actual water usage of about 0.7 percent of the annual average river flows. ${ }^{112 \text { Exhibit 001-070em, }}$ Adobe 139 Nonetheless, ACFN and OSEC expressed concerns that the current Water Management Framework and the Phase 2 Framework recommendation do not adequately consider Ecological Base Flow, EBF, or Aboriginal Base Flow, which are the flows required for ongoing navigation within the Athabasca River using traditional and current means. 113 Transcript Vol. 9, pgs. 1764-1769; Transcript Vol. 10,
pg. 2117 development of the Phase 2 Framework recommendation did consider both the development of an Ecological Base Flow and navigability. 114 Transcript vol. 13, pg. 3225; Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3463 Ms. Vollema from Transport Canada also testified that the Athabasca River was historically dredged and that the river is now returning to its pre-1940 levels. ${ }^{115}$ Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3681

This likely explains the increased occurrence of sandbars in the river that ACFN members have raised concerns about.

Shell conducted a thorough cumulative effects assessment to determine the cumulative effects of the Project together with existing, approved and planned oil sands developments on surface water hydrology of the Athabasca River. ${ }^{116}$ Exhibit 001-001c, starting at Adobe 417; Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 62-63; Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 94-95 Shell also conducted a supplemental assessment to look specifically at cumulative effects on the Peace-Athabasca Delta. 117 Exhibit 001-051K These assessments were completed based on the current Water Management Framework. Using this framework, the results of the assessment indicated that the predicted changes in water level for the Athabasca River through the Planned Development

Case will be very small; less than 5 centimetres. 118 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 45 If the recommended Phase 2 Framework comes into effect, there will be further restrictions on water withdrawal from the Athabasca River which would further reduce these cumulative effects.

Mr. Chairman, this assessment demonstrates that the cumulative effects of the Project, together with other existing and planned developments on surface water hydrology in the Athabasca River and the Peace-Athabasca Delta are not significant. Transport Canada similarly concluded that significant adverse effects to navigation are not anticipated from the Project. 119 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 133 Furthermore, these are issues that industry, stakeholders and regulators have been actively involved in managing, and the Water Management Framework is designed to ensure that cumulative water withdrawals by oil sands projects from the Athabasca River do not significantly alter the health of the river or the use of it. ${ }^{120 \text { Exhibit }}$ 001-070L, Adobe 19, 22 and 29; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 257 These efforts are ongoing, and have culminated in the recently recommended Phase 2 Framework which Shell has supported.

With respect to the Muskeg River, questions arose regarding the status of the Muskeg River Interim Framework for water quantity and quality which was developed in 2008 to manage the quality and quantity of the Muskeg River watershed. 121 Exhibit 017-023, Adobe 17

A comprehensive framework to replace the Interim Framework has not yet been put forth by ESRD given the status of the development in the watershed. But Shell has worked with ESRD on making the Interim Framework operational and Shell is committed to working with ESRD to develop the comprehensive framework. 122 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 20

In addition, Shell has conducted a rigorous assessment of effects of the Project on the lower productive reaches of the Muskeg River and has concluded that the integrity of the Muskeg River will be maintained. ${ }^{123}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 258-259

Mr. Makowecki for DFO agreed that the Muskeg River will remain productive if this Project is approved and that a comprehensive framework is not required before the Project can proceed. ${ }^{124 \text { Transcript Vol. 14, }}$ pgs. 3665-3666 Therefore, while Shell is committed to working with regulators to finalize a comprehensive framework for the Muskeg River, this Project will not compromise the integrity of the river and can be approved in the absence of that final framework.

A final issue related to water management is overburden dewatering and aquifer depressurization.

In order to safely mine the Project area, the mine must first be dewatered. Overburden dewatering will be accomplished through a combination of shallow wells and ditching. If the quality is suitable, this water will be discharged to the environment, otherwise it will be retained for use as process water. ${ }^{125}$ Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 167 and 295 Basal groundwater will, similarly, be removed through depressurization wells which will be progressively drilled as the mine advances. Basal water from the depressurization wells will be used as process water thus reducing the need for withdrawals from the Athabasca River. ${ }^{126}$ Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 297 and 301; Exhibit 001-006B, Adobe 172

Development of the Project will also require mining through upper parts of the Pleistocene Channel Aquifer, or PCA, and managing seepage into that aquifer. Shell's EIA considered the effects of partial removal of the PCA, temporary drawdown, and seepage from tailings disposal areas. Removal of portions of the PCA was addressed by assessing the effects of dewatering on the groundwater
receptors, such as reduced Base Flow to the Muskeg River. While the EIA concluded that there would be reduced groundwater discharge into the Muskeg River as a result of dewatering, the residual impacts from the Project on the Muskeg River were determined to be negligible. 127 Exhibit 001-001c, Adobe 220; Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 111, 128 and 129 The effects of temporary drawdown on the PCA were specifically assessed in the EIA and the EIA concluded that the PCA water levels will reestablish following completion of dewatering activities. 128 Exhibit 001-001c, Adobe 206 Finally, with respect to seepage of produced water into the aquifer, the PCA and all other groundwater sources were considered in terms of project effects on water quality. And I'll discuss this issue specifically in a few moments. As a result, impacts on the PCA were included in the EIA, and no significant impacts were predicted. Shell will continue its efforts to refine its understanding of the PCA, working cooperatively with both Syncrude and Imperial Oil to ensure proposed mitigation measures for the PCA remain appropriate. ${ }^{129 \text { Transcript Vol. 6, pg. } 1203}$

## Water Quality

The next issue I'd like to discuss is water quality.

OSEC and ACFN have both raised concerns about water quality, and this was also the subject of critiques filed by Dr. Schindler on behalf of OSEC and Dr. Carver on behalf of the ACFN.

These parties have expressed concerns about the level of mercury, PAHs, and other compounds in the Muskeg River watershed that result from air emissions and water emissions from oil sands development in the region. ${ }^{130}$ Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 14; Exhibit 017-016D, Adobe 1

I addressed the issue of air emissions earlier and again the evidence clearly shows that the Project's air emissions will not result in any measurable change to water quality in the region. Dr. Schindler in particular seems unaware that this Project and Shell's oil sands mines generally have no upgraders. In terms of water emissions, Shell is committed to capture runoff and groundwater that comes into contact with the Project area and to reuse it. Shell will also divert streams around the Project area to reduce the potential for project effects. ${ }^{131}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 258 Shell is
committed to maintaining water quality in the Muskeg River in compliance with the Interim Management Framework for the Muskeg River and the comprehensive framework once it is developed. 132 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 20; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 257 Shell will
also comply with the cumulative water quality limits for the Athabasca River under LARP. ${ }^{133}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 57

To address seepage from its external tailings disposal areas, Shell has proposed mitigation measures that have already been applied successfully at its existing oil sands mines, including internal drains to relieve pressure in the pond, collecting water from these drains in a perimeter ditch, and recycling that water back into the process.

Shell will also use collection wells around the perimeter of the tailings pond to collect seepage that would otherwise flow into surface aquifers. Again, water will be captured and returned back to the process for reuse. ${ }^{134}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 259, 260

Groundwater monitoring will be in place prior to operation of the Project to establish baseline conditions and will allow of informed mitigation
for any seepage that may occur. It is important to note that seepage moves very slowly underground. This allows for ample opportunity to detect losses and formulate mitigation plans to effectively control that seepage. Given the mitigation that Shell has successfully used at its other oil sands mines, and its ability to adaptively manage, Shell has demonstrated that it can adequately control any seepage that may occur from its tailings ponds. 135 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 260

Finally, post-closure, Shell has designed the closure landscape to preferentially drain toward construction wetlands and pit lakes which will provide active, or passive water treatment, I should say, to ensure water quality in local streams is consistently protected. Shell will closely monitor the performance of these treatment facilities and no water will be released into the environment until the water quality meets accepted standards. ${ }^{136}$ Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1330-1332 Shell's EIA conservatively predicts water quality will be acceptable within 15 years of mine closure. As a result of these proposed mitigation measures, the EIA predicted that the Project will have negligible effects on water quality in the

Athabasca River and the Muskeg River, with no significant effects on fish, fish health, or human health. ${ }^{137}$ Transcript, vol. 3, pg. 261 The models that were used to reach these predictions were also used for the Muskeg River Mine Expansion and have been verified and provide a conservative estimate of what the water quality will be. ${ }^{138}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 30

In terms of cumulative effects on water quality, Shell has presented evidence that existing and approved projects are predicted to have low to negligible effects on key water quality constituents, including acute and chronic toxicity, labile naphthenic acids, total dissolved solids, and tainting potential in receiving watercourses and waterbodies. Shell's evidence is that existing and proposed mitigation measures will ensure that acute and chronic toxicity and tainting potential will be at levels appreciably lower than the corresponding threshold values. ${ }^{139}$ Exhibit 001-001c, Adobe 91-92 With respect to effects on the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Shell's assessment concluded that there would be negligible effects on the delta with respect to flows, water levels, water quality, sediment quality, and air quality. 140 Exhibit 001-051k,

Adobe 23
The findings of independent studies, that were published after the May 2012 Submission, support these conclusions. 141 Exhibits 001-070v, 001-070p, 001-07000, 001-070nn

Shell's conclusions on water quality were challenged by Dr. Schindler, particularly with respect to mercury and PAHs. Dr. Schindler claims that mercury concentrations in predatory fish of the Athabasca River and delta have been elevated for years, that recent studies show increased mercury deposition in snow near oil sands developments, and that a study by Harris et al. in 2007 shows that mercury when added to a lake is detectible in fish within months. ${ }^{142}$ Exhibit 017-016c, Adobe 3 According to Dr. Schindler, this demonstrates that oil sands operations are aggravating an already serious problem. ${ }^{143 \text { Exhibit }}$ 017-016C, Adobe 3

But there are two main problems with Dr. Schindler's critique:

First, Dr. Schindler ignored the recent finding by Evans and Talbot that found clear downward trends in mercury concentrations in fish tissue in the region. ${ }^{144 \text { Exhibit 017-016I, Adobe pg. } 33}$

Second, and perhaps most important,

Dr. Schindler failed to relay a key finding from the 2007 Harris study he relied on. That finding was that 99, 99 percent of the mercury that was applied to the environment was retained by the watershed and did not contribute to changes in fish or water mercury concentrations. 145 Exhibit 017-016BB, Adobe pg. 14

A comparison of the findings from Harris et al. study against Shell's Aerial Deposition Study for the Project, indicates that Shell's modelling assessment is highly conservative because that assessment assumed that nearly all aerially-deposited metals would reach the aquatic receptors. ${ }^{146}$ Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3742; Exhibit 001-051L, Adobe 15

Given that the Project will have nearly negligible emissions of metals, and this has been confirmed for Shell's existing projects in the National Pollutant Release Inventory data that has been reported by the government, this is an important aspect of the conservativism in Shell's assessment. ${ }^{147 \text { Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe 77; Exhibit 001-109; }}$ Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3616-3617

Dr. Schindler also took issue with the EIA finding that regarding polycyclical aromatic hydrocarbons, or PAHs, the EIA assessed potential

PAH effects through multiple pathways and analysis. One pathway examined aerial deposition to waters, which was conducted in 2012 specifically in response to Dr. Schindler's 2009 and 2010 papers with Kelly et al. ${ }^{148}$ Exhibit 001-051L This assessment was ignored by Dr. Schindler. That's a surprising omission considering Mr. Vandenberg has been e-mailing Dr. Schindler over the past two years in an effort to collaborate on this topic and share the data. ${ }^{149}$ Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 2560; Exhibit 017-016E, Adobe pg. 227; Transcript Vol. 15, pgs. 3737-3738 Given Dr. Schindler's emphasis on transparency and data provision and sharing, 150 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 1 his silence in this situation is somewhat surprising. Another PAH pathway that Shell assessed was deposition in the Lower Athabasca River and the delta sediments through aqueous and aerial pathways. In his critique on this topic, Dr. Schindler relied on two studies, one by Kurek et al, which is not publicly available, and the other, Timoney and Lee, which has been strongly criticized by the Royal Society of Canada's expert panel. ${ }^{151 \text { Exhibit 001-092 }}$ Dr. Schindler ignored the Hall et al. paper, described by Mr. Vandenberg, which stated that:
"Thus, despite rapid growth
of oil sands development during the past 25 years, the data reveal no measurable increase in
concentration or proportion of river-transported bitumen-associated indicator PACs."

Another definition for PAHs. And:
"Results also reveal no evidence that industrial activity has contributed measurably to the sedimentary concentration of PACs supplied by long-range atmospheric transport and deposition in the vicinity of the PAD as was also found for key metals of concern." 152 Exhibit 001-070v

In his testimony, Dr. Schindler dismissed the Hall study and said that a yet-to-be-released report based on federal monitoring trumps that study.

While the recently released abstract for the
federal study confirms that aerial deposition does occur in the region near the oil sands, witnesses for the Federal Government characterized the federal study as representing preliminary results that have not yet been vetted, 153 Transcript vol. 13, pgs. 3181-3182 and they also confirmed that the Hall study and the recently announced federal study are not directly comparable. 154 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3507 The federal studies do not change the conclusions by Hall et al. that natural sources comprise the majority of PAHs being deposited in the delta and that deposition has not increased in recent decades despite an increase in oil sands development. 155 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3740; Exhibit 001-070V, Adobe 14

Finally, Dr. Schindler has repeatedly stated that upgraders are the primary source of aerial deposition of PAHs and mercury to snowpack. And Shell supports continued efforts by the joint Alberta-Canada Monitoring Program to verify whether in fact this claim is accurate. However, Shell is not applying for an upgrader as part of the Jackpine Mine Expansion Project.

## End Pit Lakes

A related issue that attracted considerable
attention during the hearings was end pit lakes, and particularly, concern that there is a lack of certainty that end pit lakes will effectively treat process-affected waters that are directed towards those lakes post-closure.

Shell's evidence demonstrates, I would submit, that there is a high degree of confidence around the effectiveness of its end pit lakes based on the following:

- the basic fundamental principles of hydrology, limnology, and water treatment, are all standard practice;
- the conservative models that have been used by Shell in its assessment;
- the findings from both CONRAD and CEMA research on wetlands, experimental ponds and pit lakes;
- experience with pit lakes and other mining industries that demonstrate pit lakes can be used successfully;
- the mitigation and contingency options that are available in the event that the current plans are unsuccessful; and
the fact that considerable research continues to be carried out and Shell will not be
completing its end pit lakes for several decades. 156 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 428; Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 771-774; Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 33

Shell also filed a recent report from CEMA that provides a range of adaptive management options to address potential future risks associated with end pit lakes. ${ }^{157}$ Exhibit 001-070k This document provides guidance for mine planners on how best to plan, design, monitor, assess and adapt end pit lakes in the oil sands, and it outlines a number of technical considerations and key milestones that can be used to verify that each pit lake is on a trajectory towards self-sustainability.

This document also provides a number of mitigation options to consider in the event that the pit lake is not following the anticipated trajectory. These options will be refined through the life of the Project as end pit lake plans continue to be optimized.

While there is some uncertainty associated with end pit lakes, the predominant uncertainty relates to the rate of biodegradation of initial constituents in the pit lake and the input from placed tailings deposits. Therefore, the
uncertainty is essentially one of time, first how long it will take for the pit lake water to retain a quality such that the lake outflow can be released to the natural watershed, and second, the time for the lake to achieve a sustained state of productivity from the growth of natural flora and fauna in support of fish habitat. 158 Exhibit 001-070K, Adobe 203; Transcript vol. 5, pgs. 768-775 Therefore, the main question is when, not if, end pit lakes will work. Shell has predicted that the end pit lakes will contain acceptable water quality that is suitable for discharge to the receiving environment in 2065, 16 years after mine closure, 159 Exhibit 001-051M, Adobe 85 and will be capable of supporting fish and other aquatic organisms within two to three decades after that. ${ }^{160}$ Transcript vol. 5, pg. 775 Shell will be responsible for all tailings and reclamation liabilities associated with the operation of the Project. ${ }^{161 \text { Exhibit 001-006B, Adobe } 2}$ This future obligation is guaranteed through the Province's recently updated Mine Financial Security Program. 162 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1639-1640

Dr. Miller on behalf of OSEC presented a report specifically on the uncertainties associated with end pit lakes. That report however was based
on a number of inaccuracies, including:

- a belief that Shell's end pit lakes will contain mature fine tails and will be meromictic;
- that Shell did not consider seepage into the lakes from end pit and external tailings disposal facilities; and
- that Shell did not consider the cumulative impact of multiple pit lakes on the landscape in terms of water quality, wildlife, and human health.

All of those beliefs were wrong.
And Shell explained these inaccuracies in its October 15th Reply Submission. ${ }^{163 \text { Exhibit 001-070A, }}$ Adobe 33-34 And Dr. Miller conceded many of those in his testimony. ${ }^{164}$ Transcript vol. 8, pg. 1683

Dr. Miller admitted to having only read portions of the EIA and having no experience with end pit lakes in the oil sands context. 165 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 33; Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1701 Dr. Miller himself conceded that the oil sands are distinctly different from the hard-rock mining operations that he has experience with. 166 Transcript vol. 8, pg. 1702 Dr. Miller's testimony and evidence were to rely from experience with hard-rock mining where acid
drainage and metal leaching are consequences of concern. The oil sands tailings contaminants of primary concern are organic molecules originating in the bitumen that, when in solution in process-affected water, biodegrade over time. 167 Exhibit 001-070K, Adobe 195; Exhibit 017-021, Adobe 6 As a result, the Panel should afford Dr. Miller's report limited weight and should rely on the assessment conducted by Shell's environmental consultant that Dr. Miller characterized as "a very good analysis of pit lake dynamics." 168 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1707

Similarly, Dr. Schindler recommends no further approvals of end pit lakes until monitoring is put in place at several existing pit lakes in order to confirm that end pit lakes are working. 169 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2545 However, Shell is
participating in the Syncrude Base Mine Lake Project which is presently gathering the data that will be required to demonstrate the efficacy of end pit lakes. 170 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 41; Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 764 In addition, Dr. Schindler conceded that he hadn't actually reviewed the data on end pit lakes from Syncrude's research program. 172 Transcript vol. 11, pg. 2556 and 2560

OSEC also raised concerns that Shell has not
conducted detailed assessments of alternative water treatment options in the event the end pit lakes do not work as intended. However, Shell has put forward a plan for end pit lakes that is based on sound scientific and engineering principles, and monitoring will be carried out to verify these predictions and determine whether additional or alternative treatment options may be required. The CEMA guidance document shows that there are a variety of adaptive management measures that can be put in place if necessary. Shell has a high degree of confidence in the overall functioning of end pit lakes and there is considerable time available to implement adaptive management in accordance with the CEMA guidance if monitoring indicates that alternative water treatment is necessary. 172 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 796-797; Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1327

## Fish and Fish Habitat

Fish Habitat
On the issue of effects on fish and fish habitat, Shell has developed a No Net Loss Plan which describes the options Shell plans to implement to achieve the necessary compensation for expected losses in habitat area due to the Project.

173 Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 677 developed with consideration of the No Net Loss guiding principle for fish habitat, pursuant to seeking approval from Fisheries and Oceans Canada for the Project under the Fisheries Act. Shell considered eight different alternatives for fish habitat compensation but ultimately chose the construction of a compensation lake at the Big Creek and Redclay Creek drainages on the west side of the Athabasca River as the preferred option. 174 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 35-37 This option provides flexibility in size of the lake, would not require ore sterilization, and was determined to have the least disturbance footprint per hectare of lake created. 175 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 37 Its location will also provide good fish passage, good outlet maintenance flows, and natural fish colonization of the lake. 176 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 38

Shell held meetings with Aboriginal groups to provide information about Shell's proposed compensation lake and to understand any concerns they may have with it. 177 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 59-60, 77 and 119 Several groups, including ACFN, conducted reviews of the No Net Loss Plan and submitted those reviews to Shell. 178 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 90-91 Shell
responded to each of those reviews and incorporated the concerns into the updated Draft No Net Loss Plan which was filed in September. ${ }^{179 \text { Exhibit 001-062, }}$ Adobe 9, 21 and 33; Exhibit 001-114

The Draft No Net Loss Plan has been designed to provide new fish habitat that will cumulatively have a level of productive capacity equal to or greater than the habitats affected by the Project. Overall, a net gain in the productive capacity of available fish habitat is predicted as a result of the Project. Based on this proposed habitat compensation, there are no predicted adverse impacts on fish habitat due to changes in habitat area resulting from the Project. ${ }^{180}$ Exhibit 001-001c, Adobe 679 Mr. Makowecki for DFO testified that he has "a high level of confidence in the success of this fish habitat compensation plan." 181 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3647

## Effects on Fish

In terms of effects on fish themselves, the fish community within the direct Project footprint is comprised of relatively few resident fish species. And the upper Muskeg River, generally does not provide habitat for migratory species from
the Athabasca River. ${ }^{182}$ Exhibit 001-001C, Adobe 654 The Muskeg River diversion channel will maintain connectivity and fish passage and will function to support the upper Muskeg River fish community during operations. 183 Exhibit 001-015B, Adobe 25 As a result, fish abundance and diversity in the lower reaches of the Muskeg River will be maintained. 184 Transcript vol. 3, pg. 259 Post-closure, the aquatic habitat reclaimed within the closure landscape will further support local fish populations in the
 taking into account the mitigation that Shell is proposing, including the Muskeg River diversion channel and the No Net Loss Plan, the EIA concluded that the residual effects of the Project on fish were negligible. 186 Exhibit 001-001c, Adobe 708; Exhibit 001-015b, Adobe 25

Dr. Schindler's report expresses concern about cumulative impacts to the fish community in the upper Muskeg River since the 1970s. ${ }^{187 \text { Exhibit }}$ 017-016c, Adobe 6-7 These findings are not supported by other studies of the river and Shell's EIA that show fish communities in the upper reaches of the Muskeg River today are comparable to the fish communities that existed in the 1970s. ${ }^{188 \text { Exhibit }}$

001-070A, Adobe 32 To support this position, Dr. Schindler quoted a 1979 study by Bond and Machniak to demonstrate that damage to fish in the Muskeg River is understated and that Shell erred in concluding that there were never arctic grayling in the upper reaches of the Muskeg River. ${ }^{189}$ Transcript vol. 11, pg. 2520 In fact, Bond and Machniak themselves stated that "Grayling were never observed in the Muskeg River upstream of Hartley Creek", which is downstream of the Project. 190 Exhibit 017-016U, Adobe pg. 108

The RAMP data presented in the EIA and in Dr. Schindler's presentation do show declines in arctic grayling numbers in the Muskeg River and this was acknowledged in the EIA. However, declines in arctic grayling have been documented throughout Alberta and prior to major oil sands development within this watershed. ${ }^{191}$ Transcript vol. 5, pg. 966 The Bond and Machniak study referenced by Dr. Schindler actually supports the conclusions in the EIA and the Draft No Net Loss Plan that the species distribution within the upper Muskeg River at the location of the Project is primarily restricted to a few resident species and is largely not used by the migratory fish species from the Athabasca River. 192 Exhibit 017-016U, Adobe pg. 48

Dr. Schindler's report also states that the benthic invertebrate community of the Muskeg River has been in "catastrophic decline," in his words. 193 Exhibit 017-016C, Adobe 4 Dr. Schindler quotes from a 1979 study by Barton and Wallace that there was a diverse community of benthic macro-invertebrates in the Muskeg River in 1979 that Dr. Schindler now believes has been lost. Dr. Schindler claimed that these data were ignored by the EIA. These assertions are wrong. Dr. Schindler ignored data from RAMP presented in their 2011 Technical Report which clearly show a consistent presence of these species in the lower reach of the Muskeg River. 194 Exhibit 001-070BB, Adobe 2827

Mr. Vandenberg explained that the 1979 Barton and Wallace study is simply not comparable with more recent studies, having collected their information at sites far downstream of the upper reaches of the river and such a comparison cannot be used to support a loss of invertebrate taxa. 195 Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3733

Finally, in terms of data inclusion in the EIA. The EIA in fact examined additional sources of historical data not considered by Dr. Schindler and added a specific sampling site for examining
the benthic macro-invertebrate community within the Project footprint. ${ }^{196}$ Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3732

The damage to benthic invertebrates in the Muskeg River suggested by Dr. Schindler is simply not supported by the evidence. Dr. Schindler simply did not bother to read the EIA and the appendices. If he had done so, these facts would have been obvious to him.

Finally, Dr. Jones on behalf of the ACFN filed a report on fish health in the Athabasca River that was generally supportive of Shell's conclusions. The report concluded that:
"There is no statistical evidence, from the morphometric data, of consistent health impacts
on species, site or seasonal
basis."

And:
"There do not, at this time,
appear to be any frank health
effects of the fish exposed to
contaminates." 197 Exhibit 006-013,

Appendix E, Tab 67, Adobe 2

The report also concludes, however, that in general this data supports the hypothesis that contaminants from oil sands operations are reaching the aquatic food webs of the Slave and Athabasca Rivers. 198 Exhibit 006-013, Appendix E, Tab 67, Adobe 2 As Shell explained in its October 15th Reply Submission, the conclusion that contaminants from oil sands operations are entering the aquatic food chain is not supported by the evidence. 199 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 50 Researchers have been unable to determine the proportions of PAHs in the Athabasca River that are natural versus anthropogenic in origin, although recent studies indicate that the majority of PAHs are from natural sources, which supports the EIA findings. 200 Exhibit 001-070v Dr. Jones agreed during the hearing that his study could not distinguish between natural and anthropogenic PAHs in fish tissue, so there is no basis for his conclusion that any observed increases in fish PAH are related to oil sands operations. ${ }^{201}$ Transcript vol. 10, pg. 2179

Finally, Mr. Lambrecht asked questions during the hearing about Shell's proposed compensation lake and whether Shell could ensure that fish
exposed to methylmercury in the early years of the compensation lake's operation would be prevented from entering the Athabasca River. ${ }^{202}$ Transcript vol. 6, pg. 1155 Mr. Kovach for Shell explained that Shell has mitigation plans to ensure that humans and wildlife do not consume fish with elevated mercury levels. 203 Transcript vol. 6, pg. 1155 This mitigation will remove the higher trophic-level fish from the lake so that any fish remaining will have lower levels of mercury. 204 Transcript vol. 6, pg. 1157 Given Shell's experience with its existing Jackpine Mine compensation lake and the extensive monitoring plan that Shell plans to carry out, Shell is confident that it will be able to manage any methylmercury issues at the lake. ${ }^{205}$ Transcript Vol. 6, pgs. 1157-1162 However, Shell has also committed to working with regulators, like Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, and Fisheries and Oceans Canada, to implement additional safeguards if monitoring determines them to be necessary. 206 Transcript Vol. 6, pg. 1166

## Human Health

This brings me to human health, which is another concern that has been raised in the
hearing. OSEC and ACFN have both expressed concerns about potential loss of access to and the contamination of traditional food and water quality, and the associated psychological stress this can cause, and elevated health risk at Fort Chipewyan.

Let me start with a quote from the Royal
Society of Canada's expert panel 2010 Report, which stated the following about health effects in Fort Chipewyan, and I quote:
"Timoney and Lee (2009) and Kelly et al. (2009) both referred to the controversy in Fort

Chipewyan concerning apparent elevated cancer rates by noting
that PAH are known carcinogens
[...] these references to
PAH-related cancer risk, even
nuanced as they are, are
unfortunate because results from
neither study provide any evidence
to support a human cancer risk from
measured PAH. [...] While valid
concerns about effects on aquatic

```
organisms from observed PAH
concentrations are raised, any
extrapolation to or speculation
about human cancer risk is
unsupported by any of the available
toxicological evidence on PAH.
Such speculation, in the absence of
credible quantitative evidence,
does not serve to accurately inform
downstream residents and seems
likely to create fear." 207 Exhibit
001-070A, Adobe 32
```

The paper that the Royal Society experts were responding to was co-authored by Dr. Schindler. Not surprisingly, Dr. Schindler took issue with the Royal Society statement during his testimony and claimed that he had communicated with the community of Fort Chipewyan to explain that contaminants were getting into the river but the assessment of dissolved contaminants in the water showed that current levels did not pose a health risk. 208 Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2531 He claimed that this information would have actually allayed the fears of the community, although the testimony of Chief

Adam was clear, that the community still believes that human health is being affected by water contamination. 209 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1967

In this proceeding, Dr. Schindler has made similar conclusions about water quality, acid deposition, and reclamation. This information has led to unfortunate perceptions among local residents that are not supported by the facts.

Mr. Chairman, experts should use facts, not fear, to communicate with the public. Dr. Schindler's assertions are, quite frankly, suspect given his history and the rhetoric in his critiques.

Dr. Schindler's claims that environmental exposures and the potential implications to public health -- despite Dr. Schindler's claims, 210 Transcript Vol. 11, pgs. 2529-2532, environmental exposure and potential implications to public health have been closely monitored in the oil sands.

Mr. Koppe's testimony discussed a number of community health studies that have been conducted since 2000, all of which have shown no adverse health effects caused by oil sands development. 211 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1583-1586 Further investigations into concerns related to health are planned for the
communities of Fort McKay and Fort Chipewyan to ensure that oil sands operations are not causing any adverse health effects in those communities. 212 Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1583-1586

For the Project, Shell has conducted a Human Health Risk Assessment which used extensive baseline data and took information on cumulative air emissions and water discharges and looked at different ways that people could be exposed to chemicals of potential concern. ${ }^{213}$ Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1589-1592 It then looked at the risk to the health of the most sensitive local receptors from all possible routes of exposure. ${ }^{214}$ Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1461 What it concluded was that Project emissions alone or in combination with other regional sources are not anticipated to result in a noticeable increase in health risks in the Oil Sands Region. 215 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 271 In addition, existing air quality, water quality, and food quality, are not associated with negative health effects and environmental health risks are expected to remain low over time. 216 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 51 I should note that this Health Risk Assessment was a quantitative exercise which followed the prescribed approach that has been developed by regulatory agencies
across the globe. ${ }^{217 \text { Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe } 51}$
Put simply, Mr. Chairman, emissions from this Project are expected to have a negligible impact on human health. That conclusion will be verified through a comprehensive monitoring program. Shell will also continue to support regional monitoring efforts like the Wood Buffalo Environmental Association, the Regional Aquatics Monitoring Program, the Alberta Biodiversity Monitoring Institute, and now the joint Canada-Alberta Implementing Plan for Oil Sands Monitoring. 218 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 476 and 487; Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 12-13 and 19-20; Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 271

In its October 15th Reply Submissions, Shell submitted that it is difficult to assess perception issues in the EIA and Health Risk Assessment process as these assessments use a quantitative assessment methodology. However, this is an issue that can be addressed through public consultation and information, ongoing ambient monitoring, and the regular provision of information results to stakeholders during Project operations and closure phases. Shell has committed to each of these measures. 219 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 51 It is also served by fact-based discussions instead of
rhetoric-fuelled media events.

## Terrestrial Issues

Wildlife specifically effects on wildlife. This is another topic that attracted considerable attention in the hearing and in the submissions leading up to it.

In their October 1st submission, ACFN submitted that historically important subsistence species such as woodland bison and woodland caribou are at dangerously low levels and are scarcely available for traditional resource use throughout the region and that the regional landscape is changing in ways that may lead to the disappearance of wildlife species, including caribou, bison and moose, and to the invasion by other species, including deer, magpies, and invasive plants. 220 Exhibit Number 006-013, Adobe 10

Similarly, OSEC's October 1st submission claimed that the Project will have significant adverse effects on 13 of 22 species at risk and valued wildlife species. 221 Exhibit 017-016A, Adobe 8 and 17 OSEC relies on the CEMA Terrestrial Ecosystem Management Framework, or TEMF, and claims that the

Planned Development Case set out in the EIA for the Project will exceed the threshold in TEMF for intensive use of the Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo. 222 Exhibit 017-016A, Adobe 8 and 21

There are several problems with OSEC's submission in this regard.

First, it relies almost entirely on changes from the Pre-Industrial Case, which considers all development that has ever occurred in the RSA, to the Planned Development Case, which presents a future-looking scenario that includes projects that may or may not occur in the region.

These planned projects will be subject to their own regulatory process and public-interest decision should they proceed to that stage in their development. ${ }^{223}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 27 While the

Planned Development Case and comparisons to Pre-Industrial Case may provide useful information for regional planning purposes, they are not useful to determine a project's effects.

Similarly, the issue of disturbance thresholds on the regional landscape is a matter of government policy on regional or regional land use planning, not the subject or a project-specific review.

The basic regional planning document in the
Oil Sands Region is the Fort McMurray Athabasca Subregional Integrated Resource Plan, or IRP. That's been mentioned by OSEC and has been recently approved in the LARP. 224 Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 4 The Project is located within the IRP's Mildred-Kearl Lake Resource Management Area. The management intent for that area is, $I$ quote (as read):
"To promote the orderly planning, exploration and development of resources with emphasis on the area's oil sands reserves." 225 Exhibit 001-070H, Adobe 45 This is the only stated management intent for the area.

There is no balancing mentioned.
OSEC has relied on TEMF but TEMF was not adopted by the government and the government has instead focussed on the LARP, which was finalized this Fall. 226 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1820 While the biodiversity framework under LARP will not be finalized until next year, the LARP explicitly recognizes that one of the primary goals for the
region should be to optimize the economic potential of the oil sands resource. 227 Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 37 Mr. Chairman, and Panel Members, it is perfectly acceptable land-use planning to designate certain areas like the Mildred-Kearl Lake area for development, and others such as the Richardson Backcountry, for complete protection. That's good land-use planning.

Even the TEMF itself contemplates that energy development will remain a regional priority and a dominant driver of land use in the region and that the achievement of all goals found in TEMF will not be possible on all landscapes in the region simultaneously. 228 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 28

OSEC has relied on the Natural Range of Variability threshold for the region, or NRV, that was established under TEMF, but the TEMF explicitly states that in some areas of the region, indicators will be far outside NRV while in other areas they would be within NRV. 229 Exhibit 001-070I, Adobe 2 The TEMF was intended as a strategic document and was explicitly not designed for species at risk. 230 Exhibit 017-016BB, Adobe 30

In addition, although OSEC suggests that the Planned Development Case presented in the EIA for
the Project exceeds the TEMF threshold for intensive use in the region, Shell's evidence is that the estimated area of intensive use in the region is only about 8.0 percent, which is below the threshold. 231 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 28

Finally, the Panel should make its decision on the Project based on the likely effects of the Project itself, not based on what might happen in the future. Any recommendations in relation to the Planned Development Case should be to governments and regulators for planning and management purposes.

Dr. Komers for the ACFN filed a report claiming that by 2042 there would be no undisturbed areas left within ACFN's self-defined Regional Study Area. 232 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 3, 4, 10, 11, and 16 This was based on his assumption that wildlife would completely avoid all areas within 250 metres of an industrial disturbance, including seismic lines. 233 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 12 In other words, Dr. Komers assumed that there are half-kilometre-wide buffers around every seismic line in the region within which there is no effective wildife habitat. These corridors are much wider than many of the major pipeline corridors in the country.

In cross-examination, Dr. Komers could not identify any literature or research to suggest that wildlife completely avoid any disturbance feature. 234 Transcript vol. 11, pgs. 2618-2619 In fact, he relied in part on a paper written by Mr. Dyer from OSEC that showed caribou, which are particularly sensitive to industrial disturbance, actually prefer some areas within 250 metres of seismic lines. 235 Exhibit 001-051N, Adobe 8

Dr. Komers also did not consider that large portions of the ACFN RSA were conservation areas and parks. ${ }^{236}$ Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2622 Rather, Dr. Komers took the historical rate of disturbance in the region and applied that as a constant into the future without any consideration of external factors.

What he did was he took two numbers, he multiplied them together without any analysis or thought. What that proves is that Dr. Komers knows how to do math. It does not present any reasonable prediction of cumulative effects in the region and its conclusions defy both logic and commonsense. Shell's witnesses explained at the hearing that literature suggests wildife will treat different types of disturbance differently, and
there is no complete loss of habitat within zones of influence. ${ }^{237}$ Transcript vol. 6, pg. 1045 This was the approach that was used in the EIA and reflects a realistic and thoughtful analysis of what the effects are likely to be of the Project. Turning specifically to effects on wildlife, Shell's EIA focused on three types of effects to determine ecological consequences: Habitat loss; wildlife movement; and wildlife abundance. This assessment concluded that the environmental consequences of habitat loss during construction and operations are high at the LSA scale for all affected species prior to closure. 238 Exhibit 001-051f, Adobe 114 The Project is also expected to result in indirect habitat loss through sensory disturbance and surficial aquifer drawdown. While species like the yellow rail, rusty blackbird and horned grebe will experience net losses of habitat due to the loss in wetlands, particularly peatlands, species including black bears, Canada lynx, beavers, and the Canada warbler, will benefit from the large increases in productive forests and associated terrestrial uplands that develop after reclamation. 239 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 207; Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 265

At the local scale, habitat loss for the

Project will have a high environmental consequence for several species that rely on wetlands, including yellow rail. However, for those species, the best available information suggests that species abundance is not limited by habitat in northeast Alberta. 240 Exhibit 001-015c, Adobe 3 An ABMI report recently concluded that songbird species-at-risk habitat in the Oil Sands Region is 89 percent intact. 241 Exhibit 001-070B, Adobe 31 In addition, there will be abundant alternative habitat in the region for these species. ${ }^{242}$ Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 182 Wetlands comprise approximately 39.8 percent of the total region at Base Case and the Project will only reduce that number to 39.3 percent. ${ }^{243}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 266, Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 135 Therefore, wetlands will remain abundant in the Regional Study Area and wildlife that depend on wetlands will have extensive alternative habitat available for them. As a result, the EIA concluded that habitat loss from the Project is not likely to affect the viability of the regional populations of any wildlife species. In terms of wildlife movement, the Project will have an adverse effect on wildlife movement, but wildlife movement around the Project footprint
is expected to be sufficient to maintain genetic connectivity in the RSA. 244 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47 This conclusion will be verified through Shell's commitment to monitor the presence, relative abundance, and distribution of wildlife in the Project area, and its involvement in regional monitoring initiatives, such as the Wildlife Habitat Effectiveness and Corridor Program Technical Committee under CONRAD which conducts regional-scale wildlife monitoring to examine movement patterns and inform decisions regarding appropriate setback distances and corridor widths for wildlife along project boundaries and adjacent to rivers. ${ }^{245}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47

For wildlife abundance, the EIA concluded that direct mortality for wildlife as a result of site clearing, interactions with Project infrastructure, and Project vehicles, removal of nuisance wildlife, and sensory disturbance, will have a negligible-to-low-magnitude effect after mitigation measures have been implemented. 246 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 167 The measures include the relocation program that Shell has committed to for the western toad. 247 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 18 There will be no effects on site clearing on species like
black-throated green warbler and yellow rail because clearing will occur during the winter when these species are not present. 248 Exhibit 001-006E, Adobe 374 As a result, the EIA concluded that the effects of the Project on wildlife abundance would be low to negligible for all indicated species. 249 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 172 and 175
Significance of adverse ecological
consequences was determined by examining the ecological context within which the ecological consequences occur, in accordance with guidance from the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. 250 Exhibit 001-063, Adobe 67; CEA Agency, Reference Guide: Determining Whether a Project is Likely to Cause Significant Adverse Environmental Effects (Ottawa: Federal Minister of Supply and Services Canada, 1994), online: <Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/D213D286-2512-47F4-B9C3-08B5C01E5005
/Determining_Whether_a_Project_is_Likely_to_Cause_Significant_Adverse_ Environmental_Effects.pdf> at 190 The ecological context includes the concept of resiliency. "Resilience" refers to the ability of ecological systems to absorb disturbance and maintain system integrity and function. ${ }^{251}$ Exhibit 001-063, Adobe 67 For the purposes of Shell's Wildlife Assessment, cumulative effects to wildlife were considered to be significant if they compromise resilience such that
the populations are likely no longer to be self-sustaining, ecological effective populations. 252 Exhibit 001-063, Adobe 67 Using the concept of ecological context to ascertain the significance of project and cumulative effects requires that the assessment of significance be considered at a scale beyond the Local Study Area, because the environmental consequences at the local scale are for the most part de facto high. The CEAA Agency's guidance is clear that it is important to evaluate significance in consideration of other than just local direct effects. 253 CEA Agency, "Cumulative Effects Assessment Practitioners Guide" (February 1999) online: <Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/43952694-0363-4B1E-B2B3-47365FAF1ED7/Cumulative_ Effects_Assessment_Practitioners_Guide.pdf> at 17 Therefore, Shell's assessment considered the effects on wildlife indicators and species at risk at the scale of the Regional Study Area. The Joint Review Panel for the Joslyn North Mine Project stated that, as a result of the Species at Risk Act, the Alberta Wildlife Act, and the Migratory Bird Convention Act, and I quote (as read) :
"The measure for determining
significant adverse effects should be any net harm to an individual of a species, its resident, or its critical habitat." 254 Exhibit No. 017-016DD, Adobe 72

Mr. Wiacek reiterated this position in his testimony during the hearing. 255 Transcript vol. 14, pgs. 3672-3674 With respect, Shell disagrees. Using this definition fetters the discretion of Panels to actually consider the evidence before it and determine objectively what the impacts of a project are. At law, this is incorrect. ${ }^{256}$ Robert w. Macaulay and James, L.H. Sprague, Practice and Procedures Before Administrative

Tribunals, loose-leaf consulted on November 5, 2012, (Toronto: Carswell, 1988), at 5B-21-5B 24.4 Perhaps more importantly, it also ignores the application of ecological consequence and resilience when determining the significance of adverse effects, which the CEAA agency recommends, and is also contrary to standard environmental assessment practices.

If significance were always to be determined at the local scale, any new development would have significant effects. This would be nonsensical. As suggested by the agency, the significance of
environmental effects should be based on the following criteria: Direction, magnitude, geographic extent, duration, reversibility, frequency, and ecological context. This was the approach taken by Shell. 257 Exhibit 001-15c, Adobe 14 Based on a combination of effects on habitat loss, wildlife movement, and wildlife abundance, followed by an examination of the ecological context in which the ecological consequences of the Project would occur, the EIA concluded that the effects of the Project on wildlife are not likely to be significant. 258 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 87 OSEC has argued that a 20 percent decline in habitat for any one species is an ecological threshold that should be equivalent to a significant adverse impact. 259 Exhibit 017-016, Adobe 17 This is the basis for OSEC's claim that cumulative effects will be significant for 13 of 22 species at risk and valued wildife species, even though the habitat loss numbers that OSEC uses for this calculation are from Shell's assessment of cumulative effects from the Pre-Industrial Case to the Planned Development Case, and are thus not specific to the Project. In addition, despite OSEC's earlier submission that this 20 percent
limit was an ecological threshold, ${ }^{260}$ Exhibit 017-016A Adobe 18 OSEC conceded during the hearing that the 20-percent threshold was more of a social-economic threshold and was somewhat of a value judgment in terms of what proportion of wildlife habitat Albertans and Canadians are willing to lose. 261 Transcript Vol. 9, pgs. 1754-1755 and 1822 Mr. Dyer explained that this threshold was not based on any ecological criteria suggesting catastrophic decline but was more akin to a socio-economic threshold like a speed limit. ${ }^{262 ~ T r a n s c r i p t ~ V o l . ~ 9, ~ p g s . ~ 1822-1823 ~}$ The literature suggests that using 20 percent habitat loss as a threshold is highly conservative. For example, in Swift and Hannon's review regarding critical thresholds for a number of taxa, the authors concluded that although evidence was limited, most empirical thresholds fell in the range of 10 to 30 percent remaining habitat, or disturbance of 70 to 90 percent. ${ }^{263 \text { Exhibit 001-070H, }}$ Adobe 16 Similarly, Romprey et al. concluded that, for species with large home ranges, such as birds, thresholds are generally between 30 and 40 percent of habitat still remaining, or disturbance of 60 to 70 percent. 264 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 28 Another study, Betz et al. studied songbird habitat and concluded
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that landscape thresholds ranged from 8.6 to 28.7 percent habitat remaining, or disturbance of roughly 70 to 90 percent of the habitat. ${ }^{265}$ Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 23

Nevertheless, Shell's EIA did use the conservative value of 20 percent habitat loss as an indicator of high-magnitude habitat loss. That does not mean, however, that 20 percent habitat loss is necessarily a significant adverse effect. The determination of significance was based on the combination of all aspects of the assessment and wildife ecology and not just the amount of habitat lost or remaining. 266 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 28; Transcript vol. 7, pgs. 1375, 1377-1378

If a certain species is not habitat limited, for example, 20 percent habitat loss will likely not be significant adverse effect for that species. Again, this approach is consistent with guidance from the CEAA agency which is that significance determination should be determined on several criteria, which I've outlined already. Environment Canada endorsed this approach to determining significance as well. ${ }^{267}$ Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3610 It also reflects that the Panel's decision should be based on fact and analysis and not arbitrarily-imposed numbers. Although Environment Canada agreed with the overall approach Shell used, Mr. Wiacek misinterpreted how the approach to determining significance was applied. 268 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3605-3606 Mr. Wiacek interpreted Shell's methodology as meaning that if a species is extirpated over the long-term within the Regional Study Area, the effect will not be significant if the Project has no contribution to the overall resilience of that population at either the provincial or national scale. 269 Transcript vol. 14, pg. 3605 He went on to state that Shell did not assess significance appropriately because Shell expanded the area that was considered to the provincial and national scale. 270 Transcript vol. 14, pgs. 3605-3606 That interpretation is not correct. Shell's approach is that if a species is declining in Alberta or across its North American range, but the cause of the decline is not associated with the Project or cumulative effects within the RSA, the cumulative effects assessment would conclude that the effects within the RSA are not in fact significant. ${ }^{271}$ Exhibit 001-063, Adobe 67 This approach is appropriate because it focusses on the effects within the RSA that may act cumulatively
with the effects of the Project.
With respect to ACFN's concerns around wood bison, caribou and moose, ACFN claims that the opportunity for bison recovery is dwindling with the increasing disturbance of bison habitat. 272 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 25 That assertion is not supported by the facts. ACFN's own expert, Dr. Komers, agreed with Shell that bison are not habitat-limited in northeast Alberta. 273 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47; Transcript Vol. 11, pg. 2620 Disease has been one of the reasons for historic population declines. 274 Transcript vol. 14, pg. 3519 In addition, at present, some wood bison populations in the region are actually increasing. For example, Mr. Wiacek for Environment Canada testified that between 2001 and 2012, wood bison populations in Wood Buffalo National Park have increased approximately threefold. ${ }^{275}$ Transcript vol. 14, pg. 3617 Finally, the EIA concluded that the Project will have negligible effects on wood bison because wood bison do not occur on the east side of the Athabasca River where the mine will be located. 276 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 46

Woodland caribou are also virtually absent from the Project LSA and the Project is located many kilometres from the nearest caribou herd
range. Shell concluded that the Project will have negligible effects on caribou. 277 Exhibit 001-015c, Adobe 2; Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1429 and 1434 Shell acknowledges that caribou are declining in the Regional Study Area as a result of indirect cumulative effects of development, including issues such as predation. However, the regional decline in caribou populations is part of a national trend for many caribou herds and that has led to the recent release of the Federal Recovery Strategy for Woodland Caribou. This Recovery Strategy requires the provinces to develop range plans for each non-sustaining caribou herd to ensure long-term recovery of woodland caribou across Canada. 278 Exhibit 001-0700, Adobe 55 Shell continues to support these and other caribou initiatives in the Oil Sands Region, including through bodies such as the Oil Sands Leadership Initiative and COSIA. 279 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1432

For moose, population levels in the Regional Study Area are affected by a number of factors, including habitat, predation, access, and hunting. 280 Exhibit 001-083, Adobe 4; Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1618 Although moose populations in the region are likely to be declining, there is nothing to suggest that the
primary cause of this decline is habitat loss as habitat quality and availability assessment suggests that moose populations remain well below the carrying capacity of the environment. ${ }^{281}$ Exhibit 017-024, Adobe 4 Shell's witnesses explained during the hearing that the primary cause of moose decline in the region are likely hunting and predation, which will be unaffected by the Project. 282 Exhibit 001-083, Adobe 4; Transcript vol. 8, pg. 1618 As a result, the EIA concluded that the likely impacts of the Project on moose abundance, habitat, and movement, after closure and reclamation in the RSA will either be low or negligible. ${ }^{283 \text { Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 47; Exhibit }}$ 001-051F, Adobe 109 Similarly, the cumulative effects of effect of development on moose are not considered to be likely significant adverse effects. ${ }^{284}$ Exhibit 001-083, Adobe 4

Finally, counsel for the CEAA agency also raised questions about effects of the Project on yellow rail and conservation offsets. Mr. Jalkotzy explained that declines in yellow rail populations across North America are largely due to wetland losses in the prairie region further south. 285 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1441 In addition, there is a substantial amount of yellow rail habitat available
in the Regional Study Area outside of the Project footprint and therefore yellow rail will have extensive alternative habitat for them. ${ }^{286}$ Transcript vol. 7, pg. 1442 As a result, the Project was predicted to have negligible effects on the yellow rail within the RSA. 287 Exhibit 001-015c, Adobe 3

In terms of conservation offsets, the witnesses explained that the Project itself is not likely to result in any significant adverse effects and therefore Project-specific offsets are not necessary. ${ }^{288}$ Transcript vol. 7, pgs. 1413-1414 On a regional basis, cumulative effects should be addressed by all industry and government through regional planning initiatives like LARP. The Province is in fact taking steps to address these cumulative effects through conservation areas under LARP, which expanded conservation areas from 6 percent of the region to 24 percent of the region, 289 Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 83-84 developing a biodiversity framework for the region and a Land Disturbance Plan by the end of 2013, both of which are likely to be in place before Shell's proposed start-up of the Project, and also the Province's Wetlands Policy, will also address this issue. 290 Transcript vol. 7, pgs. 1413-1414
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In it's October 1st submission, Environment Canada referenced its Operational Framework for Use of Conservation Allowances. 291 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 65 At page 6 of that document, Environment Canada states this, and I quote:

> "Another jurisdiction may
have established a conservation or
land-use plan that adequately
addresses the proposed impact. The
measures put in place by the other
jurisdiction would need to be
reviewed carefully to ensure that
Environment Canada's allowance
criteria are addressed. For
example, a province or a regional
land-use plan may contemplate
expected land or resource-use
activities and set aside protected
areas ahead of time in anticipation
of the adverse environmental
impacts associated with these
expected activities. In this case,
the protected area could function
as a 'habitat bank' from which
future allowances could be
obtained."

The Alberta Government is managing the Oil Sands Region and has identified through the LARP areas where development can occur and areas that are required to be protected. Based on Environment Canada's document, the Panel can rely on these conservation areas as compensating for habitat loss from this and other projects in the region. Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure when you wanted to break, but this is a logical spot.

THE CHAIRMAN:
It's just right, sir. I have 10:20. We'll break for 20 minutes.

## (The morning adjournment)

THE CHAIRMAN:
Ladies and Gentlemen, the Reporter advises me that when the subject matter is as dense as it is in final argument, we need to take a break about every hour, so I'll ask for the cooperation of counsel in watching the clock and trying to find a natural break to do that.

So I have about 10:42. So we'll look at it in an hour and see if we want to take our lunch
break then, depending on where you are, Mr. Denstedt.

MR. DENSTEDT:
Mr. Chairman, I'm exactly halfway through, and I was thinking I could split the last half of the argument in two pieces, and if we could do that before lunch, my friends would then have the lunch hour to think about what I've said as well. Does that make sense?

THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent.

MR. DENSTEDT: Shall I start?

So where we left off was at migratory birds and tailings ponds, which is the next issue that $I$ wanted to talk about.

## Migratory Birds/Tailings Ponds

Several interveners, including ACFN, raised concerns about migratory birds and tailings ponds. For example, Ms. Hechtenthal submitted an Avian Hazard Report on behalf of ACFN that raised concerns with birds becoming oiled in tailings ponds and the effectiveness of mitigation measures to address that concern. 292 Exhibit 006-013AA

The effects of tailings ponds on waterfowl and other migratory birds was assessed explicitly in the EIA as well as in Shell's 2012, May 2012 and

September 7, 2012 submissions to the JRP. ${ }^{293}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 48 This assessment relied on experience with existing oil sands tailings ponds for which comprehensive monitoring programs are in place to detect bird mortalities. At Shell's existing operations, for example, Mr. Martindale explained that each tailings pond is monitored every day specifically for bird mortalities, amounting to thousands of person hours every year, and all detected mortalities are reported to the government. 294 Exhibit 001-006C, Adobe 330; Transcript Vol. 4, pgs. 593-597

To deter birds from landing on its tailings ponds, Shell uses an on-demand radar-activated deterrent system that is an improved modification from current industry practices. 295 Exhibit 001-006c, Adobe 292; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 220; Exhibit 006-013U, Adobe 161 The system also fails on; that means that if the system goes down, the cannons will continue to operate based on stored solar power. 296 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 604 The bird-deterrent system has been highly effective in preventing waterfowl from landing on Shell's tailings ponds. 297 Transcript vol. 4, pg. 592 In addition, Shell continues to work with other industry members to improve bird-deterrent technology and will
continue to implement new measures that are found to be more effective. 298 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 17

According to the 2011 Annual Report of the Regional Bird Monitoring Program for the Oil Sands Region, the total number of birds recovered from all the tailings ponds in the Oil Sands Region in 2011 was 70, with most of them being ducks. 299 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2464; Exhibit 006-103W, Adobe 3 At Shell's tailings ponds, the total was $15 .{ }^{300}$ Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 604 In contrast, wind turbines kill hundreds of thousands of birds each year, and Ducks Unlimited members hunt tens of millions. 301 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 604 Ecojustice and Earth Justice submitted that between 22 million and 170 million birds breed in the Oil Sands Region. ${ }^{302}$ Exhibit 021-009, Adobe 3 Ms. Song for Environment Canada estimated that the boreal forest region supports between 12 and 14 million waterfowl and that the main sources of bird mortality are residential buildings and cats. 303 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3670

While any bird mortalities are clearly unfortunate, and Shell is working to prevent all bird mortalities through its bird-deterrent system, the number of bird mortalities that can be expected for the Project are clearly insignificant in this
broader context.
Ms. Hechtenthal claims that it is highly
likely that industry reports do not account for all avian deaths because oiled and waterlogged birds sink out of the view quickly and likely go undocumented. 304 Exhibit 006-013AA, Adobe 8 However, Shell explained in its Reply Submission in October that the number of bird mortalities reported by industry is not underreported, because any birds that become waterlogged and sink will ultimately gasify and float to the surface as they decompose. ${ }^{305}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 48 Therefore, Shell concluded it is unlikely that waterfowl mortalities occur on tailings ponds that are not recorded and reported.

A further specific issue that was raised by Environment Canada relates to the whooping crane. Shell's witnesses explained during the hearing that despite extensive surveys over the last 20 years, there have been very few sightings of whooping crane in the Oil Sands Region. 306 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1435 While recent radio-tracking data shows that whooping crane migrate over the oil sands, it also shows that whooping crane have avoided existing oil sands tailings ponds. This is likely due to the fact that whooping crane prefer to rest in fens
that are very different habitats from tailings ponds, as well as the effectiveness of bird-deterrent systems that oil sands operators have in place. 307 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1436-1438

Let me move on to reclamation.

## Reclamation

ACFN's expert Dr. Gutsell suggested that reclamation simply does not work. 308 Exhibit 006-0130, Adobe 35 Similarly, Dr. Schindler on behalf of OSEC submitted that reclamation to a landscape of equivalent habitat is not possible. 309 Exhibit 017-016c, Adobe 12 Mr . Chairman, those statements do not rely on reality.

The reclamation requirement in Alberta is not to create a landscape that is identical to the pre-disturbed state, as Dr. Gutsell seemed to suggest. The goal is to reestablish a functional landscape that provides equivalent land capability. 310 Conservation and Reclamation Regulation, Alta Reg. 115/93, s. 2 It also considers the decisions of locally-affected stakeholders, and in particular Aboriginal groups, who will be using the reclaimed landscape post-closure. Returning the reclaimed landscape to equivalent capability is not only possible but it
has been done or is in progress at a number of sites in the Oil Sands Region, including tailings
 addition, there are a variety of examples around the world and in Canada of mine reclamation being successful. ${ }^{312}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 456; Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1445-1446 Successful reclamation is not new to this province.

Oil sands reclamation has been the focus of considerable research through CEMA, CONRAD, and other bodies, and Shell is an active supporter of that work. 313 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 32; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 458 There is a large volume of research on the subject of boreal reclamation with particular emphasis on reclamation in the Oil Sands Region and it shows that reclamation in the oil sands can be effective. 314 Exhibit 001-104 Wildlife are returning to these reclaimed sites. ${ }^{315}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 422

As a result, the Royal Society of Canada's expert panel report concluded that functional upland landscapes in the oil sands can be reclaimed using current reclamation technologies. ${ }^{316}$ Exhibit 001-070EE, Adobe 310

In addition, CEMA's Guidelines for Reclamation in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region
provide more than 400 pages of information about reclamation techniques and monitoring results in the region and are among the most comprehensive in any industry. ${ }^{317}$ Exhibit 001-070K This was a document that ACFN's expert, Dr. Gutsell, completely ignored in her report without comment. It seems to be a fundamental fallacy to ignore the actual reclamation guidelines used by developers while at the same time criticizing their efforts. Vegetation, succession, and ecosystem development, is a long process under natural conditions and the same is true for reclamation sites. 318 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 617 Studies have shown the ingress of native species onto these sites and continued research has indicated other techniques such as woody-debris placement can be used to enhance reclamation diversity and ecosystem functionality. 319 Exhibit 001-104; Vitt et al. 2011, cited in Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 32 It is expected that over time, emergent properties such as biodiversity, structural complexity, and microbiotic activity, will continue to develop on the reclaimed landscape. 320 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 616, and 627-628

Shell has shown a commitment to progressive landscape at Muskeg River Mine and Jackpine Mine by
maximizing areas of permanent and temporary reclamation on areas completed by operations and available for reclamation activities. Although some interveners have pointed to the lack of reclamation that Shell has achieved to date on its existing oil sands mines, the reclamation process takes many years, and reclamation cannot be started until operations in a specific area are completed; which for long-life production projects such as Shell's, can be decades. ${ }^{321}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 425 Shell's Oil Sands Projects are still in the early phases of development.

Mr. Martindale testified that Shell is already doing as much as possible towards progressive reclamation. ${ }^{322}$ Transcript vol. 6, pg. 1169 Shell is required to report to the Alberta Government on an annual basis and to meet with them to discuss Shell's Reclamation Plans and demonstrate that they line up with industry standards. ${ }^{323}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 425-426

Shell is also required to comply with the Province's Mine Financial Security Program, which ensures that sufficient funds are secured in advance to cover the costs of reclamation.

In addition, if Shell or any other operator
fails to meet its progressive reclamation targets as set out in its plans, there are serious penalties imposed upon them. ${ }^{324}$ Transcript vol. 8, pgs. 1639-1640

Shell has filed Preliminary Closure Drainage and Closure Conservation and Reclamation Plans for the Project, ${ }^{325}$ Exhibit 001-002B which are based on the CEMA guidance and the requirements of ESRD. The Closure Drainage Plan explains how both groundwater and surface water will be managed and integrated into the surrounding landscape through features like sand-caps, closure channels, constructed wetlands, and pit lakes. These closure landscape features have been designed geomorphically to act like natural systems that are capable of managing anticipated flux of process-affected groundwater and a range of runoff flow conditions. ${ }^{326}$ Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 11-13 and 18

In addition, the end pit lakes have been configured and appropriately sized in consideration of a number of factors, including hydrologic sustainability, flood attenuation, water-treatment capability, littoral-zone development, and shoreline protection. ${ }^{327}$ Exhibit 001-002B, Adobe 23-24 and 45-47

For terrestrial reclamation, Shell determined
that direct placement of subsoil and topsoil on a newly-prepared landscape is a preferred method of reclamation as it can take advantage of an active and viable seed bank in the soil. It reduces the amount of land required for soil storage and it allows operations to handle the material only once. 328 Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 927-930 After the plants and seeds in the topsoil have germinated and established, the site will be evaluated and additional trees and shrubs may be planted in order to achieve the ecosites described in Shell's Reclamation and Closure Plan. 329 Exhibit 001-002B The success of this type of terrestrial reclamation has been well documented in the literature. ${ }^{330}$ For example, Exhibit 001-104
Shell's Closure Drainage and Closure Conservation and Reclamation Plans for the Project will be updated regularly taking into account knowledge gained from ongoing reclamation research being undertaken by Shell in groups like CEMA's Reclamation Working Group, Canadian Oil Sands Network for Research and Development, the Oil Sands Tailings Consortium, and now Canada's Oil Sands Innovation Alliance. 331 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 264 These plans will also incorporate input from Aboriginal
communities through bodies such as the Shell Fort McKay Reclamation Focus Group. 332 Exhibit 001-040e, Adobe 41; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 464

Shell has also committed to developing a biodiversity monitoring program to monitor the success of reclamation and establishment of biodiversity for the Project. This monitoring program will consider protocols established by the Alberta Biodiversity Monitoring Institute, which Mr. Dyer for OSEC has called "world class," 333 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1826 including protocols for winter track counts, breeding-birds surveys, vegetation surveys, and incidental wildife observations. ${ }^{334}$ Exhibit 001-001H, Adobe 843 It will also comply with the Biodiversity Framework under LARP which is expected to be released next year. ${ }^{335}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 16 This monitoring will determine the effectiveness of reclamation, and based on the results of this monitoring, and any subsequent adaptive management, Shell will ensure that the reclaimed landscape is returned to an equivalent landscape capability post-closure.

## Wetlands and Old Growth Forest

Another issue that was raised by OSEC in the
hearing was the effects of the Project on wetlands and old-growth forest.

Shell recognized the Project will have an adverse effect on wetlands, direct and indirect effects of the Project will affect the majority of the wetlands within the Local Study Area. This will have high environmental consequences at the local scale. At the regional level, however, effects of the Project on wetlands will be negligible. 336 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 90 In the Base Case, wetlands comprise approximately 39.8 percent of the total Regional Study Area. The Project will reduce that number to 39.5 percent, 337 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 266 , Exhibit 001-001e, Adobe 135 a change of 0.3 percent. All developments in the Planned Development Case will reduce this number by a further 2.0 percent. 338 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 136

However, wetlands, including peatlands, will remain abundant in the Regional Study Area, and wildlife that depend on wetlands and peatlands will have extensive alternative habitat available for them. Shell's Reclamation Plans also include large constructed wetlands that will provide a number of important functions in the closure landscape, including habitat provision, run-off flow
attenuation, biodegradation, and sediment capture. 339 Exhibit 001-002B As a result, Shell concluded that the Project will not have significant adverse effects on wetlands or peatlands in the RSA. 340 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 29

While Shell's EIA conservatively assumes peatlands will not be recreated on the site, Shell is currently providing funding and participating in studies spearheaded by Syncrude and Suncor to construct peatlands on reclaimed mine areas. 341 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 145; Exhibit 001-070MM Dr. Schindler, in his testimony, dismissed the Vitt et al. research as not applicable because it was conducted in the Peace River country and focused on reclamation of well-sites and therefore could not be applied to reclamation of mined lands.

However, the first question posed by those researchers was this: "Will locally available peatland vascular plants establish on wet compact mineral soils?" 342 Exhibit 001-070MM, Adobe 2 Wet mineral soils will be used for reclamation of the mine areas. The results of the work are directly applicable to reclamation on the Project lands, contrary to Dr. Schindler's assertions. Shell has also partnered with Wetlands

International and Ducks Unlimited Canada to develop its reclamation strategy, and Shell continues to actively participate in research activities of CEMA's Wetlands and Aquatics Group and CONRAD's Environmental Research Group. ${ }^{343}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 267, 269 These efforts will supplement the government's regional planning, initiatives such as LARP, to ensure that the region retains viable healthy ecosystems. In that regard, Shell is committed to comply with both the pending Biodiversity Framework being developed under the LARP, and the Alberta Wetlands Policy, once they are released. 344 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 16

In terms of effects on old-growth forest, the Project is expected to result in the clearing of approximately 390 hectares of old-growth forest. 345 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 88 This represents about

40 percent of the old-growth forest in the Local Study Area, but approximately only 0.1 percent of old-growth forest in the RSA. ${ }^{346}$ Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 89 Given the very small percentage of old-growth that this Project will affect within the RSA, the EIA concluded that the Project's effects on old-growth forests will not be significant. 347 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 105-106

Ms. Campbell for OSEC suggested that since the post-closure landscape in the LSA will be dryer than at present, it will be more prone to forest fires and will thus not likely support old-growth forest in the future. ${ }^{348}$ Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 1761 When these types of questions were put to the Shell witnesses, however, they testified that the Project area will support the return of old-growth in the future and the LSA will not necessarily be more prone to forest fires. ${ }^{349}$ Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 942-943 As I discussed earlier, climate-change models for the region produce a variety of predictions, some say it will be warmer and drier; others say it will be warmer and wetter. 350 Exhibit 001-092, Adobe 15 If the climate becomes wetter, the frequency of fire will likely decrease. Given the uncertainty regarding the effects of climate change on precipitation, Shell simulated forest fire using model inputs from modelling constructed for the LARP, which represents the best available knowledge at this time. 351 Exhibit 001-051H, Adobe 21 and Adobe 66

## Cumulative Effects

Next I would like to talk about cumulative effects, which, in Shell's view, is the most
important management and policy issue in the Oil Sands Region. I've already touched on this issue to a certain extent, but let me start by saying that Shell conducted a cumulative effects assessment in accordance with the requirements of the CEAA and the guidance documents published by the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. These documents require that all Environmental Assessments conducted under the CEAA consider the likely effects of the proposed project that overlap with the effects of other projects in the area that have been, or will be, carried out. The Joint Review Panel for the Express Pipelines project set out a three-part test for assessing cumulative effects under the CEAA, and that panel stated as follows: And I quote (as read) :

```
            "First, there must be an
        environmental effect of the project
        being assessed.
        Second, that environmental
        effect must be demonstrated to
        operate cumulatively with the
        environmental effects from other
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { projects or activities. } \\
& \text { And third, it must be known } \\
& \text { that the other projects or } \\
& \text { activities have been or will be } \\
& \text { carried out and are not } \\
& \text { hypothetical." } 352 \text { NEB-CEAA Joint Review } \\
& \text { Panel, Environmental Assessment of the Express } \\
& \text { Pipeline Project: Joint Review Panel Report OH-I-95, } \\
& \text { (May 1996) at 187-88 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in order for there to be cumulative effects under the CEAA, there must be overlap between the effects of the proposed project and other activities. If there is no overlap, there is no cumulative effect for the purposes of CEAA.

Secondly, there must be some certainty that a future activity will in fact be carried out for it to be considered in the cumulative effects assessment.

The Panel for Express Pipelines described this as (as read):
"Some probability rather than the near possibility that the
cumulative environmental effect will occur." 353 neb-CeAA Joint Review Panel, Environmental Assessment of the Express Pipeline

Project: Joint Review Panel Report OH-I-95, (May
1996) at 98

In addition, CEAA agency guidance states as follows, and I quote (as read):
"When the details for future projects, e.g. design, technology, mitigation measures, are unknown, or the information is not accessible, it adds to the uncertainty about the environmental
effects of future projects and how
these effects will interact with
those of the project in question.
Available information and the best
professional knowledge and judgment
should be used. In most cases, only qualitative assessments of cumulative environmental effects
will be possible." 354 CEA Agency,
Reference Guide: Addressing Cumulative Effects
(Ottawa: Federal Minister of Supply and Services

Canada, 1994), online:
<Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/9742C481-21D8-4D1F-AB14-

555211160443/Addressing_Cumulative_Environmental_

Effects.pdf> at 140-141

In terms of activities that are induced by planned projects, like access roads, the CEAA Agency's guidance is that consideration of induced actions should be done only if there is sufficient information describing them to allow an adequate assessment of their effects. 355 CEA Agency, "Cumulative Effects Assessment Practitioners Guide" (February 1999) online: <Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/43952694-0363-4B1E-B2B3-47365FAF1ED7/Cumulative_ Effects_Assessment_Practitioners_Guide.pdf> at 19

So let's turn to Shell's evidence.
So Shell's witnesses explained during the hearing that future activities, like seismic exploration, were not included in the Planned Development Case because there is no information about these activities today. We don't know when, where, or how these activities will be undertaken. Therefore, there is no ability to predict with any degree of certainty what their environmental effects may be in the future. ${ }^{356}$ Transcript Vol. 5, pg. 1051

However, for other future activities, like announced in-situ projects where there was insufficient information about the project, Shell conservatively assumed that the entire lease for the in-situ Project would be disturbed. ${ }^{357}$ Transcript vol. 5, pg. 1052 As that will clearly not be the case for in-situ projects, Shell's approach conservatively overestimates disturbance from these types of projects. ${ }^{358}$ Transcript Vol. 5, pgs. 1052-1053 Mr. Dyer for OSEC acknowledged that Shell's Planned Development Case was both conservative and reasonable. ${ }^{359}$ Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1721

The cumulative effects assessment that was undertaken for the Project followed the requirements of CEAA.

First, the environmental effects of the Project were assessed.

Second, Regional Study Areas, or RSAs, were developed that were considered by discipline-specific experts to be the areas in which the effects of the projects could overlap with the effects of other activities in a non-trivial way.

Finally, the effects of the Project were considered in combination with the effects of other
projects or activities within the RSA that were either existing or planned future activities. 360 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 21-22; Exhibit 001-051H, Adobe 5

At the request of the Panel, Shell assessed cumulative effects from a Pre-Industrial baseline and updated its Planned Development Case to include all projects that had been announced as of September 2011. ${ }^{361 \text { Exhibit 001-063 Shell's EIA }}$ indicates that there will be no significant adverse effects to species at risk or Key Indicator Resources with the exception of cumulative effects to woodland caribou and the black-throated green warbler.

As the Project contributes 0.4 percent to the cumulative habitat loss for black-throated green warbler and its populations are predicted to recover following reclamation, the Project's environmental consequences at the RSA scale are anticipated to be negligible.

Similarly, as woodland caribou are virtually absent from the Project area, and the nearest designated caribou range is several kilometres away, negligible effects due to the Project are anticipated.

Shell acknowledges that the PDC information
it has filed demonstrates that cumulative effects in the Oil Sands Region must be planned for to ensure that ecological thresholds are not surpassed in the future, and that if left unmanaged, cumulative effects may become significant. This information, however, should not be used to suggest that the Project is not in the public interest simply because other activities may or may not occur in the future.

Rather, this information is useful for the purposes of informing regional planning and policy development by regulators and government such as LARP and the Panel should make the appropriate recommendations to those bodies to consider Shell's information in their planning and management activities. ${ }^{362}$ Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1600

Industry is also working with stakeholders, governments, and Aboriginal groups, to address cumulative effects in the Oil Sands Region. The Cumulative Effects Management Association was created to bring together a range of these interests to assess regional cumulative effects and to make recommendations on how future projects should proceed.

Shell has been an active participant of CEMA
since its inception in 2000, as noted by Mr. Dyer for OSEC, ${ }^{363}$ Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1728 and has continued to maintain a strong leadership position. The LARP is also intended to address regional concerns through setting regional objectives and quantifiable targets and setting aside new conservation areas. In Shell's views, these are the appropriate forums to address and manage cumulative effects across the oil sands, and CEMA is in fact taking steps to address these issues. Shell will continue to support cumulative effects focused management frameworks, including those developed through LARP and the Federal/Provincial Joint Monitoring Program. 364 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 16, 19 and 31

The next issue $I$ would like to discuss is uncertainty.

## Uncertainty

During the hearing, Panel Member Cooke asked the Shell witnesses questions about uncertainty around several issues in the EIA and how those uncertainties will be managed.

Uncertainty is inherent in any Environmental Assessment. In its Operational Policy Statement on

Adaptive Management, the CEAA agency states as follows, and I quote:

Due to factors such as the complexities of ecosystems and difficulties predicting details of future development, all [environmental assessments] involve some level of uncertainty regarding the identification of environmental effects, the assessment of their significance, and the effectiveness of mitigation measures. The [Canadian Environmental Assessment]

Act implicitly recognizes uncertainty by requiring a
follow-up program for all projects
that undergo an assessment by
comprehensive study or a review
panel." 365 CEA Agency, Operational Policy

Statement: Adaptive Management Measures under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (March 2009) online:
<Http://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/default.asp?lang=En\&n=

50139251-1> at 2

1

This guidance reflects the fact that an Environmental Assessment is intended to make reasonable predictions about what is likely to occur in the future and not what is speculative. It does not and cannot be expected to predict all effects with certainty or finality. This was confirmed by the Federal Court of Appeal in Alberta Wilderness Association v. Express Pipelines when it held that, and I quote:
"... no information about the probable future effects of $a$ project can ever be complete or exclude all possible future outcomes." 366 [1996] f.C.J. No. 1016 (Fed. C.A.) at para. 10

Uncertainty is managed through monitoring to verify predictions and implementing adaptive management if the actual effects are different from what was predicted. The Federal Government witnesses agreed with this approach. ${ }^{367 \text { Transcript }}$ vol. 14, pgs 3500-3501

The Shell witnesses responded to Mr. Cooke's
questions by explaining that uncertainty is something that is inherent in any development and companies like Shell evaluate those uncertainties and the risks associated with those uncertainties as part of every decision they make. ${ }^{368}$ Transcript vol. 8, pgs. 1622-1624

Shell's history in the Oil Sands Region, as well as the extensive work that has gone into the EIA for this Project, provide a high degree of certainty that these types of risks can be managed proactively. ${ }^{369}$ Transcript vol. 8, pgs. 1624-1626 The oil sands industry has shown that when new challenges present themselves, whether they be through new regulatory requirements or new information from environmental effects monitoring, the industry will collaboratively work together to address this new issue and will modify their operations as necessary. ${ }^{370}$ Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1629-1631 This strong track record demonstrates that Shell will be able to adaptively manage any outcome from the variety of uncertainties that has been identified.

In short, Shell has managed uncertainty for the Project through using conservative assumptions and models in the Environmental Assessment, validating those models, and in some cases
verifying those models based on actual monitoring results, by developing comprehensive follow-up and monitoring plans, committing to adaptive management if monitoring shows different results from what the EIA predicted, and participating in multi-stakeholder industry groups such as CEMA and COSEA to study and address these issues and proactively work to resolve them. Let me now turn to government recommendations before I wind up the environmental section. This is the final issue I'd like to address in this area.

## Government Recommendations

Mr. Chairman, several of the recommendations from the Federal Government are not required for the purposes of this process since most have been addressed already on the record or are more appropriately the subject matter of regional multi-stakeholder initiatives such as the FiSH Committee.

The purposes of a Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Environment Canada, Natural Resources Canada, and Transport Canada's participation in this process is to provide advice to the Panel
pursuant to Section 20 of the CEAA. The advice is to assist the Panel in determining whether there are any likely significant adverse environmental effects.

Shell provided its response to each Federal Government recommendation in its Reply Submission dated October 15th. And I would urge the Panel to have a look at that submission carefully to determine whether a proposed recommendation is necessary to mitigate the environmental effects of this Project.

And in conducting that review, Panel, let me give you a simple test to evaluate the recommendations that have been put forward. I think you should ask yourself this first question:
"Is the recommendation required to ensure that the Project is not likely to cause a significant adverse environmental effect?"

That's the question you should ask yourself. This assessment should consider the assessment on the record. For many
recommendations, federal regulators have not provided evidence to suggest they are required, or have provided any nexus between the risk of a significant effect and the recommendation itself. They also have provided no evidence which would contradict Shell's conclusions.

If the recommendation is not required to ensure that the Project is not likely to cause a significant adverse effect, it should not be included in the Panel's report unless it is directed to government or regulators to plan for and manage regional issues.

And the second test you can apply in respect of monitoring recommendations, you should ask yourself this question:

```
            "Is the level of uncertainty
        such that there is a risk of a
        significant adverse environmental
        effect?" 371 Canadian Environmental Assessment
        Act, 2012, S.C. 2012 c. 19 s. 52, s. 31(1)(a),
        Adobe 18
```

And if the answer to that question is "yes," then the monitoring to verify the prediction and
adaptively manage the issue is required, and the recommendation should be required.

Finally, it is important to recognize that Alberta already regulates many of these issues through legislation and Shell's environmental EPEA approval. And they do it very well. 372 Exhibit 001-001B, Adobe 70 This Panel can - and should - rely on Alberta's ability and constitutional right under the law to regulate these matters.

With that context, let me discuss just a couple of the specific recommendations that were made by the Federal Government.

First, many of the DFO's recommendations were discussed with Mr. Makowecki during the hearing and he agreed that several of the DFO recommendations can be achieved through Shell's participation in regional multi-stakeholder initiatives such as the FiSH Committee. ${ }^{373}$ Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3531 and 3534

Specifically with respect to DFO recommendation two, which recommends that Shell's No Net Loss Plan include a minimum compensation ratio of $2: 1, \mathrm{Mr}$. Makowecki agreed that DFO will work with Shell on its No Net Loss Plan and will consider a variety of factors in determining the appropriate compensation ratio, and that DFO's recommendation can be amended

1
to require Shell to aim for a $2: 1$ compensation ratio as opposed to requiring a minimum 2:1 compensation ratio. 374 Transcript vol. 14, pgs. 3532-3534 With respect to Environment Canada's recommendations, Shell responded to each of these recommendations in its October 15 Reply Submission, and I won't repeat them here. I would, however, like to discuss three of the recommendations specifically.

Recommendation $1(\mathrm{~b})$ was for Shell to identify and implement measures that avoid the affects of drawdown of the lenticular patterned fen and yellow rail habitat during Project construction and operations. 375 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 65 Shell provided information in response to IRs that outlined potential mitigation measures to reduce drawdown of the lenticular fen, including establishing a mine setback or constructing an engineered mitigation such as a barrier and pumping system. ${ }^{376}$ Exhibit 001-009, Adobe 117 However, these mitigations are very expensive and Shell concluded that they were not required to avoid significant adverse environmental effects. 377 Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 97 and 117; Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 14 Environment Canada conceded that their recommendation was provided based solely on
environmental concerns and did not consider other factors such as cost or resource sterilization. 378 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3537-3538 In these circumstances, Panel, the balance of the evidence is that this recommendation is not necessary to avoid significant adverse effects and monitoring will show whether additional mitigation may be required in the future.

Second, I already addressed Environment Canada's Recommendation 1 E in the context of conservation allowances and why Shell's position is that conservation allowances are not required or appropriate in these circumstances. Environment Canada was clear during the hearing that Recommendation 1 E was simply intended to suggest that conservation allowances be considered as one of a variety of tools in the mitigation toolbox. 379 Transcript Vol. 14, pg. 3637 Again, Mr. Chairman, conservation allowances are not needed here, particularly given Alberta's land use planning efforts under LARP.

Finally, Environment Canada's Recommendation number 8 C contemplates public disclosure of Emergency Response Plans. 380 Exhibit 005-020, Adobe 93 Emergency Response Plans are sensitive documents,

Mr. Chairman, and Shell cannot publicly disclose these plans. But Shell will of course continue to work with the Board to ensure that the Emergency Response Plans for the Project are developed in accordance with the Board's requirements. ${ }^{381}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 22

Let me now turn to the next primary or main issue, which is Aboriginal consultation.

ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION AND TRADITIONAL LAND USE
One of the primary elements of Shell's sustainable development policy is its ongoing substantive involvement with its stakeholders and neighbours that allows Shell to identify issues and address them in a meaningful way. ${ }^{382}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 277, Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 47 Put simply, Shell's public consultation program ensures that its Aboriginal neighbours have input into its decisions. 383 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 277, Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 2, 14, 27, 38

Mr. Chairman, I think the results of Shell applying these principles to its daily operations speak for itself. The Fort McKay First Nation, Fort McKay Métis Local 63, Mikisew Cree First Nation, and Chipewyan Prairie Dene First Nation, all had concerns about the Project. 384 Transcript Vol. 3,
pg. 279, 280 Shell worked hard to resolve those concerns, and, through a collaborative and consultative process was able to address those concerns. Others have continuing concerns - and Shell respects those concerns - but parties can and do disagree about issues. It is then up to the Panel to assess what the actual effects of the Project are.

Before I get into the details of Shell's consultation record for this Project, I think it's helpful to briefly outline the legal framework around Aboriginal consultation and what is required.

Section 35 of the Constitution Act 385 The
Constitution Act, 1982, being Schedule B to the Canada Act, 1982 (U.K.), 1982, c. 11 (the "Constitution Act") provides that the existing

Aboriginal and Treaty Rights of the Aboriginal peoples of Canada are hereby recognized and affirmed. 386 "Aboriginal peoples" includes "Indians, Inuit, Métis and other Aboriginal people". The term "First Nation" is generally used to refer to an Indian band under the Indian Act, R.S.C. 1985, C. I-5 Aboriginal Rights are elements of a practice, custom, or a tradition integral to the distinctive culture of the Aboriginal group claiming the right. 387 R. v. Van der Peet, [1996] 2 S.C.R. 507 at page 310 Treaty

Rights, by contrast, are those rights granted through a Treaty between an Aboriginal group and the Crown. For example, Treaty 8 granted the signatories to the Treaty the right to hunt and trap on unoccupied Crown land within the geographic boundaries of the Treaty, subject to the Crown's right to take up those lands. 388 Exhibit 006-013c, Adobe 9 It is important to recognize that Aboriginal and Treaty Rights are held by a collective, they are a right of the people in common and not individual rights. 389 R. v. Sundown, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 393 at page 412

Aboriginal Rights and Treaty Rights are not absolute and may be infringed if justified. Thus, where an Aboriginal community can establish that it has or is likely to have Aboriginal or Treaty Rights in an area affected by a particular project, the Crown will be required to demonstrate that any infringement resulting from a project is justified. The infringement of Aboriginal interests from an activity does not arise from the project itself, but, rather, from the government's approval of the project pursuant to legislation and regulation. And one of the factors in determining whether the infringement is justified is whether the Aboriginal group has been adequately consulted about potential
impacts of the project which is the subject of government action.

The Supreme Court of Canada in Haida established the basic principle for Aboriginal consultation in Canada, namely, that the honour of the Crown demands that government consult and possibly accommodate the interests of Aboriginal people when government conduct may infringe on their Section 35 rights. 390 Haida Nation v. British Columbia (Minister of Forests), 2004 SCC 73 at para. 25 [Haida]

Similarly, in Mikisew Cree v. Canada, 3912005 SCC 69 [Mikisew] the Supreme Court of Canada held that the process by which lands taken up by the Crown under Treaty 8 is dictated by the duty of the Crown to act honourably and that includes the duty to consult. And I give this by way of background to help us understand the fulsomeness of consultation in this Project.

Aboriginal Rights fall along a spectrum with respect to their degree of connection to the land. At one end of the spectrum are practices, customs and traditions that are integral to the distinctive Aboriginal culture and the group claiming the right, such as religious ceremonies, language and dialect, site-specific rights that are dependent on
the use of the land, such as harvesting, fishing and trapping are somewhere in the middle of that spectrum, and Aboriginal title being an indefeasible-like interest in land is at the other end of the spectrum. 392 Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1997] 3 S.C.R. 1010, at para. 138

The scope of the Crown's consultation obligation is proportionate to the strength of the asserted right or title and the seriousness of the impact on the proposed decision on the exercise of traditional rights. 393 Haida, at para. 39

On the deeper end of the spectrum, the Supreme Court of Canada has held that meaningful consultation requires that the Crown (i) provide those claiming the Aboriginal or Treaty Right an opportunity to make submissions; (ii) permit those claiming a right to formally participate in the decision-making process; and (iii) provide written reasons to show that Aboriginal concerns were considered and to reveal the impact they had on the decision. 394 Haida, at para. 44

Even when the duty to consult falls on the deeper end of the spectrum, the Supreme Court in Taku River held that the regulatory process can be used to satisfy the duty to consult. ${ }^{395}$ Taku River

Tlingit First Nation v. British Columbia (Project Assessment Director), 2004
SCC 74 [Taku] Similarly, in Brokenhead Ojibway Nation
v. Canada, the Federal Court confirmed that when determining whether and to the extent the Crown has a duty to consult with Aboriginal peoples about projects or transactions that may affect their interests, the Crown may fairly consider the opportunities for Aboriginal consultation that are available within the existing processes for regulatory or environmental review. 3962009 FC 484 at para. 25 This is not a delegation of the Crown's duty to consult, but only one means by which the Crown may be satisfied that Aboriginal concerns had been heard and, where appropriate, accommodated.

The duty to consult, therefore, boils down to sharing information with potentially affected Aboriginal groups, providing opportunities for those groups to review the information and provide input to the decision maker, and for the decision maker to consider Aboriginal concerns in making their decisions.

The courts have been clear that the duty to consult does not require a project proponent to offer any particular form of accommodation to Aboriginal groups, nor does it provide any

Aboriginal group with an effective veto over a proposed project. 397 Haida, para's 47 to 49 Rather, courts have held that the Crown's fiduciary duty to Aboriginal groups must be balanced against its responsibilities towards all Canadians and that the decision maker should balance societal and Aboriginal interests in making decisions that may affect Aboriginal claims. 398 Ermineskin Indian Band and Nation v. Canada, 2009 SCC 9; Haida, para 14

The hearing for this Project is part of the consultation process. The hearing provided opportunities for Aboriginal groups to submit information on the nature and scope of their Aboriginal or Treaty Rights in the Project area, as well as the potential adverse effects on those rights and Shell's plans to mitigate any such effects. Under its Terms of Reference, the Panel is required to consider this information in determining whether the Project is likely to result in significant adverse environmental effects. 399 Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 12 The Panel is also required to reference this information in its report. ${ }^{400}$ Exhibit 002-024, Adobe 5

Turning to Shell's consultation for this Project, Mr. Chairman, Shell's public consultation
process involved gathering input from communities, individuals and groups, to identify and understand issues and concerns, determining what can be done to address their concerns and implementing agreed-upon actions. 401 Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 84 Shell has placed extensive Consultation Logs on the record for all Aboriginal groups that expressed an interest in the Project and has made significant efforts to provide those communities with opportunities to participate in the planning of the Project. Exhibit 001-061 Shell has been consulting with Aboriginal communities in the Project area for more than 15 years. ${ }^{403}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 282 For this Project, Shell developed a Consultation Plan which was approved by Alberta Environment in 2007. 404 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 524; Exhibit 008-001 This plan was subsequently updated in 2010. 405 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 13 Shell consulted in accordance with this Consultation Plan. It consulted with each interested community to determine how that community wished to be consulted and how they wished to contribute to the Project. ${ }^{406}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 282 Shell provided regular updates about the Project and provided opportunities for
potentially affected groups to provide input and express any concerns they might have. Communities were also given opportunities to conduct Traditional Land Use Studies. In addition, Shell included Aboriginal representatives from participating communities in the carrying out of vegetation and wetlands, wildlife, fish and fish habitat, and archaeological biophysical studies all in support of the EIA. ${ }^{407 \text { Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 91-92 }}$

Notwithstanding Shell's generally strong and positive relationship with Aboriginal communities in the Project area, evidenced by the support of the communities in closest proximity to the Project, several of the identified Aboriginal communities have expressed concern about the Project. ${ }^{408}$ Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 92-96 Shell has documented all of the engagements that have taken place with each of these communities and has summarized the issues, the issues discussed, and the outcomes of those engagements. 409 Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 100-196; Exhibit 001-057; Exhibit 001-061

Not all of the concerns that were raised by Aboriginal communities related to project-specific issues. Many of them dealt with cumulative effects of regional development that were unrelated to this

Project. Or they related to capacity building for the community that would allow the community to participate more fully in future developments that again were unrelated to this Project. For the concerns that relate to this Project, Shell has responded to those concerns and proposed Project-specific mitigation measures. For the broader issues that were raised that extend beyond the scope of this Project, Shell has committed to working with governments and other stakeholders to address those concerns. ${ }^{410}$ Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 433 Shell does not believe this proceeding is the forum to address those concerns that extend beyond the scope of the Project.

Let me now turn to Shell's record of consultation with Aboriginal groups that were most active in this proceeding.

First, with respect to ACFN, Shell has been consulting with ACFN since the mid-1990s. 411 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 286 Shell had a number of agreements with ACFN for both the Muskeg River Mine and Jackpine Mine Phase I projects that seek to mitigate the effects of those projects on the community. ${ }^{412}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 286-287 On its existing oil sands project, ACFN businesses have received
more than $\$ 200$ million in business from Shell. 413 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 289 Shell has also invested millions of dollars on cultural and community initiatives in Fort Chipewyan. ${ }^{414}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 290 ACFN has suggested that consultation must be meaningful. Shell agrees with that. But if Shell's consultation with ACFN on this Project has not been meaningful, I'm not sure what would be. Shell has been consulting with ACFN on this specific Project since 2006. The parties entered into a Protocol Agreement in September of 2008 that confirmed the process and core principles of consultation for the Project. ${ }^{415}$ Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 526 Consultation with ACFN has included meetings with the Chief and Council, meetings with Elders, meetings with the ACFN Industrial Relations Committee, and consultants, and Open Houses in the community of Fort Chipewyan. ${ }^{416}$ Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 17-18 Shell funded an ACFN Traditional Land Use Study for the Project in 2008. ${ }^{417 \text { Exhibit 001-062, Adobe } 19 \text { In 2009, }}$ Shell funded ACFN's Technical Review of the Project Application which resulted in ACFN providing more than 300 technical questions to Shell, each of which Shell responded to. ${ }^{418}$ Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 19 Shell also funded an updated Traditional Land Use

Study for the Project in 2011 and again in 2012, and ACFN's reviews of Shell's Draft No Net Loss Plan, Shell's Muskeg River Diversion Alternative, and Shell's Socio-Economic Impact Assessment. 419 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 15, 17 and 19

Shell explained during the hearing that ACFN input was incorporated into the Project in a variety of ways, including the Muskeg River Diversion Alternative, Shell's Reclamation Plans, the No Net Loss Plan for the Project, monitoring programs, and employment and contracting opportunities. ${ }^{420}$ Transcript Vol. 4, pgs. 489-495

Shell has summarized its engagement with ACFN in the Consultation Logs for the Project, but has also detailed ACFN's substantive concerns and provided responses to those concerns. ${ }^{421}$ Exhibit 001-026; Exhibit 001-039A through K; Exhibit 001-050; Exhibit 001-086; Exhibit 001-093; Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 461-462 Shell also provided opportunities to ACFN and other groups to review the Consultation Logs and provide input, which was also recorded. ${ }^{422}$ Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 503 Finally, since ACFN has entered into mitigation agreements with project proponents for past oil sands mines in the area, including the Muskeg River Mine Expansion and Jackpine Mine Phase I, 423 Energy \&

Utilities Board Decision 2004-009; Energy \& Utilities Board Decision
2006-128 Shell attempted to negotiate a mitigation agreement with ACFN for this Project. However, ACFN requested a precondition to these negotiations that was considered unacceptable by Shell, hence no agreement could be reached by the parties. Parties can and do disagree. ${ }^{424}$ Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2214

Throughout this process, including during the hearing itself, ACFN has provided its perspective and concerns to Shell and to the Crown. In the Notice of Question of Constitutional Law hearings, ACFN's counsel submitted as follows, and I quote:

> "The Athabasca Chipewyan

First Nation has been providing comments and information, the basis of its rights, to Canada and Alberta for four years. They've been telling the Crown what they say the impact this Project will have on their rights has been. So this should not be the first time that the Crown considers what the impact of the Project will be. The Crown has had a lot of information
about that." 425 Transcript Vol. 1, pg. 94

Simply put, Mr. Chairman, ACFN has provided thousands of pages of submissions in this proceeding and has participated throughout the regulatory review process, including commenting on the Panel's Terms of Reference, the Joint Panel Agreement, and the CEAA's agency Draft Consultation Plan. ${ }^{426 \text { Exhibit 008-001 Shell has attempted to work }}$ with ACFN to resolve their outstanding concerns, but let me be clear - this is not a dispute about consultation. This is a dispute about EIA methodology and ACFN simply not agreeing with the conclusions in Shell's assessment. In Taku River, the Supreme Court of Canada stated that, and I quote:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "Where consultation is } \\
& \text { meaningful, there is no ultimate } \\
& \text { duty to reach agreement. Rather, } \\
& \text { accommodation requires that } \\
& \text { Aboriginal concerns be balanced } \\
& \text { reasonably with the potential } \\
& \text { impact of the particular decision } \\
& \text { on those concerns and with }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
competing societal concerns."
427 Taku River Tlingit First Nation v. British
Columbia (Project Assessment Director), 2004 SCC 74,
para. 2
```

Therefore, failure to agree with ACFN does not mean that consultation has been in any way inadequate. On the contrary. I encourage the Panel to review Shell's consultation records with ACFN closely to see exactly how much time and effort has been invested in Shell's engagement with ACFN on this Project. Then it can move on to consider what the actual impacts of the Project are and balance those potential impacts with the potential benefits of the Project.

Let me turn now to Fort McMurray First Nation 468. Fort McMurray 468 has also been engaged from a very early stage in this Project. Shell funded a Traditional Land Use Study in 2006 which demonstrated that the Project area is located at the very northern fringe of their traditional territory and that the vast majority of TLU sites are much further to the south. ${ }^{428}$ Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 39; Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 292, 414-415 and 417 This was again reflected in the maps that Fort McMurray 468
provided to Shell in December of 2011. ${ }^{429 \text { Exhibit }}$ 011-002

As Ms. Jefferson explained during the hearing, Shell has repeatedly invited Fort McMurray 468 to provide additional traditional land use information to demonstrate potential impacts of the Project, but based on the information that has been received to date, there is no potential for the Project to significantly impact the TLU of that community. ${ }^{430}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 415 Therefore, Ms. Jefferson explained that Shell was not willing to fund additional Traditional Land Use Studies for Fort McMurray 468. ${ }^{431}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 412

Panel, the Energy and Resources Conservation Board in the original Muskeg River Mine approval held that information specific to each Aboriginal community is not required. ${ }^{432}$ Energy Resources Conservation Board, Decision 99-2 for the Muskeg River Mine Application, page 14 In this case, Shell relied on the TLU information from other Aboriginal groups in the area that have far more likelihood of being affected by this Project as to the use of the area for the exercise of Treaty 8 Rights which were common rights to all signatories to the Treaty.

In addition, it is also important to note
that Fort McMurray 468 has not had its evidence adopted in this proceeding, and has not provided Shell and other parties with the ability to question them or cross-examine their evidence. As a result, Mr. Chairman, $I$ suggest that Fort McMurray 468 has been adequately consulted on this Project and the exercise of its rights will not be significantly impaired by this approval. Next, the Métis Nation of Alberta has participated in this proceeding both on its own behalf and on behalf of several Métis Locals and Métis individuals. In a January 25, 2012 meeting with MNA Region 1, Shell was advised that Region 1 was pursuing a new mandate to give greater representation to Métis Locals in regulatory matters and that it would be intervening in Shell's Project in part to pursue greater recognition from the Crown for a Métis Consultation Policy. ${ }^{433}$ Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 96 However, it remains unclear whether Métis Locals in the region intend to be represented by the MNA Region 1 for the purposes of consultation. The MNA Region 1 claims that it represents all Métis in the region, but it does not represent Métis Local 63 in this hearing, despite the fact that Local 63 is the closest Métis Local

1 to the Project. ${ }^{434}$ Transcript Vol. 12, pg. 2949 As late as July of this year, Métis Local 125's position to Shell was that the MNA did not represent them in consultation and Shell should consult directly with Métis Local 125. ${ }^{435}$ Exhibit 010-020, Adobe 1 In addition, the Locals and the MNA both claim to be Métis rights-bearing communities. ${ }^{436}$ Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2948 and 2951 The Supreme Court of Canada in R. v. Powley held that in order to demonstrate Métis rights, the claimants must belong to an identifiable Métis community with a sufficient degree of continuity and stability to support a site-specific Aboriginal Right. 4372003 SCC 43 at para. 12 Courts have subsequently determined that to meet the test under Powley, claimants must produce significant evidence addressing each of these factors. ${ }^{438}$ Kane v. Lac Pelletier (Rural Municipality No. 107), 2009 SkQB 348 at para. 59

Mr. Chairman, it is not clear to Shell who of the Métis Locals, the MNA, and the MNA Region 1, constitutes an identifiable Métis community for the purposes of the Powley test. This is all very foggy, in the words of Mr . Cooke, and it seems appropriate that in the absence of a Métis Consultation Policy, Shell focused its consultation on the Métis Locals which represent the Métis
individuals that actually have the potential to be impacted by the Project. 439 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 45; Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 675

Shell proceeded on the assumption that the Métis had the rights they asserted. ${ }^{440}$ Transcript vol. 4, pg. 618 Shell's evidence is that it has consulted with all potentially affected Métis communities and the MNA Region 1 and has done so since 2007. 441 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 63

MR. PERKINS: Mr. Chairman, apparently, I don't know about others in the room that may have LiveNote, apparently there's a problem with it on the staff side, but there's no problem in terms of capturing what's being said in argument, so there's not a transcribing problem, I should say, there's just a LiveNote problem, so maybe the best thing to do would be to continue and we could try to deal with it at the lunch break.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, let's continue to your next break, sir.

MR. DENSTEDT: Shell provided Project
information, including the EIA, Project Updates, and Responses to Supplementary Information Requests, to the MNA, MNA Region 1, Fort McKay Métis Local 63, Fort Chipewyan Métis Local 125,

Conklin Métis Local 193, Chard Métis Local 214, Willow Lake Métis Local 780, Fort McMurray Métis Local 1935, and Fort McMurray Métis Nation Local 2020. ${ }^{442 \text { Exhibit 001-006; Exhibit 001-057; Exhibit 001-061 }}$

Through preliminary consultation and concerns raised, Shell was able to determine that Fort McKay Local 63, Fort Chipewyan Local 125, and Fort McMurray Local 1935, were the only Locals Métis members whose Aboriginal Rights might be impacted by the Project.

The first of these Locals, Fort McKay Métis Local 63, has been represented by the Fort McKay First Nation through its consultation office and has been included in the traditional knowledge and traditional land use initiatives completed by the First Nation. ${ }^{443}$ Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 64 Métis Local 63 has removed its Statement of Concern along with the Fort McKay First Nation and it is no longer objecting to the Project. ${ }^{444 \text { Exhibit 009-009 }}$

For the other two Locals, Shell provided numerous opportunities for these Locals to understand the potential adverse impacts of the Project and to discuss their concerns so that they could be addressed by Shell. Shell held dozens of meetings with Métis Locals 125 and 1935 in which

Shell discussed the Project, provided updates on the Project, and specifically to discuss Shell's Draft No Net Loss Plan for the Project. ${ }^{445}$ Transcript vol. 15, pgs. 3709-3719 Shell has Good Neighbour Agreements with both of these Locals and has been cooperatively working with both those Locals through annual work plans. ${ }^{446}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 291 Shell has provided funding to both Locals to collect traditional land use, including The Mark of the Métis study that MNA Region 1 filed during the
 Shell has responded to each of the concerns that the Métis Locals have raised and those responses are on the record. ${ }^{448}$ Transcript Vol. 15, pg. 3722; Exhibit 001-006A; Exhibit 001-057; Exhibit 001-060; Exhibit 001-061; Exhibit 001-062; Exhibit 001-065; Exhibit 001-114

The relationship between Shell and these Métis Locals has been and continues to be in Shell's view, and in the words of the president of Local 125, "very good." 449 Transcript vol. 12, pg. 2950 Shell was only recently made aware that Locals 125 and 1935 might have outstanding concerns in respect of the Project. ${ }^{450 \text { Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 661; Transcript Vol. 8, }}$ pgs. 1605-1606

MNA Region 1's historian, Mr. Fortna,
repeatedly expressed concerns during his testimony that consultation between Shell and the Métis was not meaningful because capacity funding was not provided to the MNA or Métis Locals to allow them to meaningfully engage in the Project. ${ }^{451}$ Transcript vol. 13, pgs. 3061 and 3068 This testimony is incorrect, Mr. Chairman. Since 2007, Shell has provided for or committed to more than $\$ 700,000$ to Locals 125 and 1935 based on the needs identified by those communities. ${ }^{452}$ Transcript vol. 15, pg. 3722 In addition, the MNA Region 1 received in excess of $\$ 80,000$ in funding from the CEAA agency to assist the MNA Region 1 in its participation in a review of this Project and the Pierre River Mine Project. ${ }^{453}$ Exhibit 002-021 Presumably this funding should have at least been sufficient for the MNA Region 1 to conduct a review of the Project Application. The MNA Region 1 submission on October 1st contained assumptions about water quantity, water quality, and effects on McClelland Lake, which are unsupported by any evidence and inconsistent with the conclusions in Shell's EIA. 454 Exhibit 010-004A, Adobe 15; Exhibit 001-051F, Adobe 63-64; Exhibit 001-011, Adobe 8 Mr. Fortna conceded that these assertions were made without considering any of Shell's evidence and
were based solely on the perception of community members. ${ }^{455}$ Transcript Vol. 13, pgs. 3061 and 3064 In addition, in response to MNA Region 1's questions during the hearing about capacity funding to review Shell's No Net Loss Plan for the Project, the CEAA agency specifically invited the Métis Locals to apply to that agency for additional technical funding to review the No Net Loss Plan for the Project in February of 2011. ${ }^{456}$ Exhibit 010-028, Adobe 3

Finally, John Malcolm has sought to represent the Non-Status Fort McMurray Band, the Non-Status Fort McKay Band, the Clearwater River Paul Cree Band No.175, and the Wood Buffalo Elders Society. These groups were not allowed to file evidence in the proceeding as their submissions were filed after the submission deadine, but they provided oral evidence at the hearing.

Despite the fact that these groups have been determined by the agency and the Government of Alberta not to have Aboriginal Rights for which the duty to consult is owed, Shell consulted with these communities and provided funding for an April 2008 study which included traditional land use information from members of the Wood Buffalo Elders Society for use in Shell's current oil sands
applications. 457 Exhibit 001-062, Adobe 45
In summary, Mr. Chairman and Panel Members, the evidence shows that Shell's engagement with all Aboriginal communities with the potential to be affected by this Project has been exemplary. Shell has made reasonable and appropriate efforts to engage with each of these Aboriginal communities and has incorporated their input into Project planning.

And that's a logical place for me to stop, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very good, sir. We'll resume at 1:00 p.m.

I misunderstood. Did you want a short break now or lunch?

MR. DENSTEDT: It would be useful for us to have a short break now and then my friends could have the entirety of my argument before lunch.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ten minutes.

## (Brief Break)

THE CHAIRMAN: please.

MR. DENSTEDT:

We should take your places,

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

## Aboriginal Traditional Land and Resource Use

That brings me to the issue of impacts on traditional land and resource use, which was one of the main issues we heard during the hearing.

The Registry contains numerous extensive assessments of TLU in this region, including assessments conducted by Shell and its consultants as well as by many of the Aboriginal groups and their consultants. 458 Exhibit 001-001E; Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 12 to 27; Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 153; Exhibit 001-051R; Exhibit 001-006F, Adobe 39; Exhibit 001-088; Exhibit 001-006A, Adobe 95; Exhibit 001-017B; Exhibit 006-013I, Adobe 185; Exhibit 006-013I, Adobe 1; Exhibit 007-009; Exhibit 010-024; Exhibit 011-009 ACFN alone has filed several TLU studies specifically for this Project that were conducted by its consultants Fire Light and MSCS. Shell's assessment of potential effects of the Project on TLU relied on these studies, as well as on studies from other Aboriginal groups in the Project area such as the Fort McKay First Nation, and Métis Local 63, and the Mikisew Cree First Nation. Shell also relied on many other TLU studies from past projects in the area, including the Muskeg River Mine and the Jackpine Mine

Phase I. 459 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 245; Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 8
Shell conducted a traditional land use assessment and a traditional land use Environmental Setting Report for the Project in support of its 2007 EIA. 460 Exhibits 001-001E and 001-001J Focusing specifically on ACFN, TLU information was provided by ACFN in 2008 and later updated in 2011 based on an agreed-upon workplan with Shell. Shell filed ACFN's Integrated Traditional Land Use Study for the Project in April of 2011. ${ }^{461 \text { Exhibit 001-017B }}$

After receiving ACFN's updated information, Shell provided a draft TLU assessment to ACFN for their review. ACFN provided comments on that draft and Shell responded to ACFN's comments in writing and in a meeting in June of 2011. ${ }^{462 \text { Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 75, }}$ 78 and 80 In November of 2011, Shell filed its Updated TLU Assessment together with a copy of ACFN's concerns regarding the assessment. ${ }^{463 \text { Exhibit }}$ 001-022, Adobe 3-6 and 12-27 Shell's assessment concluded that the updated traditional land use information provided by ACFN and other groups was consistent with the information that informed the EIA and therefore the conclusions in the EIA remain unchanged. ${ }^{464}$ Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 18; Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1520 Shell also funded additional revisions to

ACFN's Integrated TLU Study which was submitted as part of ACFN's evidence on October 1, 2012. 465 Exhibit 006-013I Again, the ACFN TLU information that was filed in 2012 was reviewed by Shell and was found to be consistent with the conclusions in Shell's EIA. ${ }^{466}$ Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 436

Shell also consulted with Métis Locals, as I discussed earlier, to provide opportunities for them to provide input into the Project including providing information about Métis land and resource use. Shell provided funding to Métis Local 125 in 2009 for a Traditional Land Use Study that has not yet been completed. 467 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 64

Also in 2009, Shell provided funding to Métis Local 1935 in accordance with their wishes and direction for support of the Mark of the Métis study, the video portion of that study which was completed and considered in Shell's Assessment of Project Affects on Traditional Land Use. ${ }^{468}$ Transcript vol. 15, pg. 3771-3773 Métis Local 63 was included in the extensive Traditional Land use work that was done with the Fort McKay First Nation and that was also included in the Assessment, including the Fort McKay Community-Specific Assessment that considered the effects of the Project specifically on those
groups. 469 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 64; Exhibit 001-088
As a result, Shell has a thorough understanding of Aboriginal traditional land and resource use in the Project area and the broader region.

Shell examined the evidence provided by those groups regarding the areas in which they exercised Aboriginal Rights including hunting, fishing, trapping, and other activities, and determined how the Project would impact those areas. ${ }^{470}$ Exhibit 001-039H, Adobe 3 This assessment considered how the Project would affect the availability of resources that are harvested by Aboriginal groups for their continued use, as well as how the Project would affect access to those resources. ${ }^{471 \text { Transcript Vol. 8, }}$ pg. 1493

The EIA concluded that during construction and operation, the Project will result in a direct loss of land for hunting, trapping, and plant harvesting, for traditional land users, particularly the six Registered Fur Management Holders and their families. 472 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 274 Given that information collected on traditional use indicated almost no subsistence fishing within the Project footprint, the EIA concluded that the

Project would not have a direct effect on traditional fishing within the LSA. ${ }^{473}$ Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 275 This conclusion was supported by Marvin L'Hommecourt's testimony where he said that nobody really uses the portion of the Muskeg River that crosses the Project. ${ }^{474}$ Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2261

Overall, the EIA determined that the Project will not prevent traditional land users from accessing any areas in the region except within the Project development area itself prior to site reclamation. Combining the assessment of Project effects on access with the assessed effects on terrestrial and fish resources, the EIA determined that the Project is not likely to have a significant effect on traditional hunting and trapping, traditional plant harvesting or traditional fishing within the region. ${ }^{475}$ Exhibit 001-022, Adobe 21

Within the broader region, the EIA concluded that the Project would result in a negligible to low environmental consequence on the availability of traditional resources in the RSA. ${ }^{476}$ Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 31 For example, project-related disturbance will affect less than 1.0 percent of the area of ACFN's traditional territory. 477 Exhibit 001-070A, Adobe 52 On a cumulative basis, roughly 11 percent of the

ACFN's traditional territory was considered disturbed at Base Case, and the Planned Development Case will increase that number to 13 percent. 478 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 155 Changes in access resulting from Project activities will have negligible environmental consequences at the LSA and the RSA levels. 479 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 50

Shell has also committed to the following initiatives to minimize the Project's impact on traditional land and resource use. These are as follows:

- Undertaking progressive reclamation wherever practical;
- Facilitating access across the Project area by trappers to their traplines;
- Providing compensation to trappers directly affected by the Project as per industry standards and past precedent;
- Negotiating mitigation agreements with willing First Nations whose traditional land uses are directly impacted by the Project, which in this case currently include Fort McKay First Nation, Métis Local 63, and Mikisew Cree First Nation; - Actively participating in regional multi-stakeholder planning and research initiatives

1
to address the long-term sustainability of effective traditional land use, including the Reclamation Working Group, and the Sustainable Ecosystems Working Group;

- Continuing to consult with all potential affected Aboriginal groups, including Fort McKay, Mikisew Cree, ACFN, and the Métis Locals; ${ }^{480}$ Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 464 and - Implementing the mitigations outlined throughout the EIA, as amended, to minimize effects of the Project on the resources that are relied on for traditional uses and activities.

Shell is also committed to providing a system for cultural diversity awareness training for their employees and contractors regarding respect for traditional resource users, traplines, cabins, trails and equipment. ${ }^{481 \text { Exhibit 001-001e, Adobe } 464}$

Mr. Chairman, through different initiatives, Shell has shown a commitment to working with Aboriginal groups to ensure that they can continue to use the land and resources in a traditional way. Shell has been successful in addressing the concerns of the Chipewyan Prairie Dene First Nation, and in reaching agreements with Fort McKay, Fort McKay Métis Local 63, and MCFN to address
their concerns and has entered into similar arrangements in the past with ACFN for the Jackpine Mine Phase I. Shell has implemented initiatives to minimize any Project-related impacts on traditional land and resource use, and these have proven effective as there will be negligible effects on the availability of traditional resources at the RSA level and changes in access to the LSA and RSA levels. As a result, the Project is not likely to have any significant impact on the users of those resources.

So ACFN's traditional land use expert, Dr. Candler, submitted several reports that purport to assess the impacts of the Project on ACFN traditional land and resource use, but Dr. Candler's approach is inconsistent with CEAA agency guidance as well as the nature of Aboriginal Rights.

Dr. Candler assessed impacts on ACFN TLU on the basis of strong concerns for the most sensitive individuals impacted by the Project. ${ }^{482}$ Exhibit 006-013I, Adobe 46 Dr. Candler was explicit that his assessment was not an assessment of impacts on the entire community. 483 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2409 His assessment was that, if an individual ACFN member experienced
significant effects, that would be a significant effect on the ACFN community, based on his methodology. This is inconsistent with standard environmental assessment practice that considers significance from the broader community level, not the individual. ${ }^{484}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 468 It is also inconsistent with what was arguably the most extensive Joint Review Panel of potential impacts on traditional uses ever conducted in the country's history. In the Final Report for the Joint Review Panel for the Mackenzie Gas Project, that panel stated as follows, and I quote:
"There may well be impacts on
regions or communities that would
be significant. To those regions
or communities but which the Panel,
in its collective judgment, has
concluded are not significant in
the context of its overall Mandate.
There may well be impacts on
individuals that, from an
individual perspective, would be
significant but which, again, the
Panel might conclude would not be
significant in the broader
context." 485 Final Report of the Joint Review
Panel for the Mackenzie Gas Project, at pg. 102

The idea that there are degrees of importance which must be considered when determining significance under the CEAA has also been acknowledged by the Canadian courts. In Alberta Wilderness Association v. Express Pipelines, the Court of Appeal stated as follows, and I quote:
"[T]he principal criterion
set out by the [CEAA] is the
"significance" of the environmental
effects of the project: That is not a fixed or wholly objective
standard and contains a large
measure of opinion and judgment.
Reasonable people can and do
disagree about the adequacy and
completeness of evidence which
forecasts future results and about
the significance of such results
without thereby raising questions
of law." 486 (1996), 137 D.L.R. (4th) 177 at
para. 10 [Emphasis added.]

Therefore, in considering whether adverse effects caused by the Project are likely to be significant, the Panel must ask itself whether any likely adverse environmental effects are significant in relation to the size and the scope of the environment in which the Project will be carried out and in the broader context of the long-term benefits of the Project.

In addition, as I discussed earlier, Aboriginal and Treaty Rights are collective rights, not individual rights. Therefore, assessing impacts on a community's Aboriginal Rights on the basis of certain individuals does not reflect the legal nature of the rights potentially being affected. This is particularly true given that Dr. Candler's assessment relies primarily on a single trapline. And as Ms. Somers correctly noted in her testimony, commercial trapping rights are much different than Treaty Rights. ${ }^{487}$ Transcript Vol. 10 , pg. 2201

The trapline relied on by Dr. Candler is also included in Fort McKay's traditional land use work, which suggests that traditional use by the most
proximate Aboriginal groups, who are not objecting to the Project, also occurs on this trapline. 488 Transcript Vol. 11, pgs. 2501-2506 Furthermore, individual or commercial impacts, like those on Mr. L'Hommecourt's trapline, are dealt with through Shell's trapper compensation program. Impacts on ACFN's collective rights must be considered at the community level.

Dr. Candler's assessment also suffers from other methodological shortcomings. For example, Dr. Candler explained that habitation sites could represent different physical sites used for habitation or they could represent multiple references to the same site from different interview participants. ${ }^{489}$ Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2395 For example, 25 habitation sites could mean 25 different cabins or it could mean one cabin that 25 different people visited over the course of years. That makes no sense in attempting to assess what the impact on the use of lands for traditional purposes is. His study area also excludes the Wood Buffalo National Park and other areas in the vicinity of Fort Chipewyan that are used by ACFN members. This overrepresents the effects of the Project on ACFN traditional land use. Finally,

Dr. Candler estimated that about 10 percent of the ACFN community uses the Project area, 490 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2402 even though he could only confirm 12 ACFN members that reported using the area, and Dr. Candler's assessment does not distinguish between active frequent use of an area and one-time users of the area. ${ }^{491}$ Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2391

The ACFN witnesses were asked if their use of the Project area is unique. And they responded that it was. ${ }^{492}$ Transcript vol. 10, pgs. 2246-2247 However, there's no evidence to support that conclusion. When asked for a list of resources that have been harvested in the Project footprint, the ACFN witnesses did not identify any resources that do not exist elsewhere throughout the region and ACFN's traditional territory. ${ }^{493 \text { Exhibit 006-029 }}$ Furthermore, the ACFN traditional territory is approximately 4.4 million hectares. 494 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 155 Their consultation area is approximately 245,000 square kilometres or 24.5 million hectares, an area nearly the size of Italy. ${ }^{495}$ Transcript Vol. 11 , pg. 2587; Exhibit 006-029 Meanwhile, the entire ACFN community is about 1,000 individuals, 496 Transcript vol. 9, pg. 1955 which includes a substantial number of members living in places like Edmonton, 497 Transcript
vol. 11, pg. 2487 which means that each ACFN individual has in excess of 200 square kilometres to exercise their rights.

The Project is also located roughly 150 kilometres south of ACFN's main reserve, outside of ACFN's homeland area. The Project is already surrounded by existing oil sands development, which, according to ACFN's own depiction of disturbance put forward by Dr. Komers, means that the Project area itself is already disturbed and not available for use. ACFN has characterized this area as not being prime land. 498 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2195

ACFN's evidence supporting the Bennett Dam inquiry suggests the ACFN TLU was focused on the ACFN reserves near Fort Chipewyan prior to the dam's construction only a few decades ago. During that inquiry, one ACFN Elder testified that he had never trapped off ACFN Reserve 201. 499 Exhibit 006-013H, Adobe 118 others testified that all their families' needs were obtained exclusively from the reserve. 500 Exhibit 006-013H, Adobe 120 and 132 ACFN's position during that inquiry was that the Bennett Dam had changed water flows to the Peace-Athabasca Delta and that forced members to change their patterns of
traditional land use.
In addition, the area identified by the ACFN as their homeland area is surrounded by parks and conservation areas created under the LARP, including the Richardson Backcountry area, which was referred to as important use area by ACFN members during the hearing. 501 Transcript Vol. 9, pg. 2002; Exhibit 001-070S, Adobe 64, 84 and 96-97 There are no petroleum and natural gas rights or forestry agreements in that area. 502 Exhibit 001-099; Exhibit 001-100; Transcript Vol. 11, pgs. 2489-2490 To suggest that this Project will result in significant effects on the exercise of the entire ACFN community's Aboriginal and Treaty Rights is not supported by the facts.

With respect to the MNA, Mr. Fortna critiqued Shell's assessment of likely effects of the Project on TLU on the basis that it gave insufficient weight to historic land use by Métis. His submission presents a history of Métis families in the general region of the proposed Project that attempt to show that traplines currently held by non-Aboriginal trappers such as RFMA \#2331 were previously held and used by Métis families. 503 Exhibit 010-027 Mr. Fortna's approach to critiquing the Shell EIA is problematic for two reasons:

First, the TLU assessment was done to determine the Project's effects on current traditional land uses, not historic ones. For example, Mr. Fortna indicated that RFMA \#2331, which has been held by a non-Aboriginal trapper for more than 20 years, was previously held by a Mr. Ducharme, a Métis trapper. While the history of the trapline's ownership is of interest for historical reasons and potentially for a rights claim, the fact remains that Mr. Ducharme no longer holds the trapline and will not be affected by the Project. Furthermore, while Mr. Fortna believes the Shell EIA is lacking for failing to consider the history of trapline ownership, he failed to provide any specific evidence that RFMA \#2331 is being used by Mr. Ducharme or any other Métis person for traditional activities.

Second, Mr. Fortna's emphasis on historical use of the region by Métis is consistent with the general thrust of the MNA Region 1 and Métis Locals concern that they had been ignored by the Province of Alberta in the government's consultation guidelines and their desire to be treated more like First Nations. Shell has never disputed that Métis may have Aboriginal Rights in the Project area.

For the purposes of this Project, Shell assumed that the rights existed and consulted with all potentially affected Métis Locals and the MNA. 504 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 637 Again, evidence of historic use does not demonstrate that any Métis individuals' or communities' current use of the land for traditional purposes will be affected by the Project.

Like ACFN, the MNA Region 1 witnesses were asked if they used the Project area in a way that was unique. And they suggested that they do. 505 Transcript Vol. 12, pg. 2961 However, also like ACFN, the evidence does not support that conclusion. While several of the MNA Region 1 witnesses testified that they currently use lands in the region for traditional purposes, all of these lands are considerably to the north of the Project. Similarly, the Mark of the Métis atlas that MNA Region 1 filed during the hearing contains a variety of maps showing Métis Local 1935 traditional land use sites, and with the exception of a single moose-hunting site in the vicinity of the Project, no other TLU sites in the LSA were identified. 506 Exhibit 010-024, pgs. 94, 95, 98, 117, 127, 139 and
community of Fort Chipewyan is located roughly 150 kilometres north of the Project and is surrounded by parks and conservation areas created under LARP. While Shell's assessment assumed members of Local 125 used the Project area, there is no evidence to suggest that this Project will result in significant effects on the exercise of that community's rights in the region.

Several communities also raised concerns about land use in the vicinity of the proposed Red Lake compensation lake. While the plans for this lake are still being developed in conjunction with regulators and Aboriginal groups, any terrestrial disturbance effects are predicted to be negligible. 507 Exhibit 001-064, Adobe 85 The purpose of the lake is to create a healthy and functional lake that will be used by fish, wildlife and traditional land users. The fish community selected for the compensation lake incorporated First Nations and Métis input to identify species that were important to them as a fisheries resource. 508 Exhibit 001-064B, Adobe 94

Finally, the ACFN has requested that the Project should not be approved until a traditional land and resource use management plan or traditional use plan for ACFN is put into place. would identify the resources and associated thresholds and criteria required to support the practice of ACFN rights currently and into the future. 510 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2109 ACFN witnesses stated that developing this plan would take approximately two years. 511 Transcript Vol. 10, pg. 2234 So, Mr. Chairman, to be clear, Shell is not opposed to ACFN's traditional land use plan proposal. However, the traditional land use plan is designed to manage cumulative effects throughout the region, and as such, the development of this proposal should involve governments and all of industry. It should also take into account the rights and traditional land uses of other Aboriginal groups, not just the ACFN. This is a considerable task, and the words of Ms. Nicholls, has a lot of variables. 512 Transcript vol. 10, pg. 2234

While Shell is willing to participate along with other industry participants to explore the traditional land use concept, it has provided extensive evidence on how Aboriginal traditional and resource use was assessed for this Project and why the Project will not result in significant effects. In these circumstances, it would be
unreasonable to delay the approval of this Project indefinitely until such time as the traditional land use plan is finalized and put into place. Two final and related issues are impacts of the Project on Aboriginal culture and socio-economic impacts on Aboriginal groups. Cultural Effects and Socio-Economic Effects on Aboriginal Groups

ACFN filed a review of the Socio-Economic and Traditional Land Use Assessments for the Project in February of 2010 which expressed concerns about Shell's assessment of socio-economic and Aboriginal Rights impacts on ACFN. 513 Exhibit 001-039H Shell provided detailed responses to that review in May of 2011. ${ }^{514}$ Exhibits 001-039I, 001-039J Shell also conducted a cultural effects assessment at the request of First Nations who expressed concerns that Shell had not addressed the cultural effects information that was included in the studies provided, and as well as the assessment of the socio-economic impacts on Aboriginal groups. 515 Exhibits 001-051R and 001-051s Both of these assessments were included in Shell's May 2012 Submission and included Aboriginal community input from a variety
of sources including the consultations carried out by Shell and studies and reports prepared by or on behalf of the Aboriginal groups in the area. 516 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 6; Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 9

The scope of Shell's assessments regarding cultural effects and socio-economic impacts on Aboriginal groups was provided to ACFN in August of 2011 at their request, and ACFN declined to comment. 517 Exhibit 001-057, Adobe 80; Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 576 However, following submission of the assessments in May 2012, ACFN raised concerns with the methodology used. As a result, Shell provided funding to ACFN to review this supplemental information, conduct a gap analysis of the information available, and collect supplemental cultural and socio-economic information. 518 Exhibit 000-061, Adobe 1 ACFN filed their review of these assessments in its October 1st filing. 519 Exhibits 006-013I, 006-013K, Exhibit 006-013L, Exhibit 006-013M, Exhibit 006-013N, Exhibit 006-0130, Exhibit 006-013P, and Exhibit $006-013$ AA

The purpose of Shell's cultural effects assessment was to take the cultural information that Aboriginal groups had provided in their TLU studies and provide an assessment of the cultural effects of the Project. 520 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 575;

Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1501-1502 Shell's cultural assessment determined that the effects of the Project on tangible and intangible elements of culture will range from negligible to moderate. Many of the effects were considered small, such as Project-related effects to the availability of land, availability of wildlife habitats, ability to pass on traditional knowledge, and Project-related effects on language retention, and increases in non-Aboriginal population. The larger effects were assessed to be Project-related effects to visual aesthetics, which was in effect wilderness character, and a sense of solitude. 521 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 50 However, none of these effects were, in Shell's view, considered to be significant. With respect to Shell's assessment of the socio-economic effects on Aboriginal groups, Shell's assessment shows that Aboriginal people and communities in the region lead many other Aboriginal communities in the country in terms of income, community well-being index, and housing quality and quantity. However, Aboriginal communities in the region continue to trail the regional population as a whole in these indicators. 522 Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 63

Shell recognizes that oil sands development in general has contributed to a number of socio-economic pressures on Aboriginal communities such as increasing social stressors, psychosocial effects, and pressures on local services and infrastructures. 523 Exhibit 001-051s, Adobe 62-63

But Shell also noted that oil sands development has provided a number of benefits, such as increased wages and benefits, increased employment and business opportunities, increased access to education and training opportunities, and increased access to a broader range of local services and infrastructure.

These are regional issues that are not the result of any one project and should not be the responsibility of any one project proponent to solve.

For its part, however, Shell is committed to taking a number of actions to minimize the stresses and maximize the benefits from its Project on Aboriginal communities. Those actions include: Providing financial and inkind contributions for local community social groups, education institutions, and healthcare providers, supporting Dene gatherings, Elder youth programs, language
retention initiatives, and video documentation of traditional knowledge. It includes supporting historical preservation initiatives such as the Fort Chipewyan museum, working with industrial relations corporations and employment coordinators to identify and remove barriers to employment wherever possible, and carrying out a fly-in/fly-out program for workers living in Fort Chipewyan which allows Aboriginal individuals to continue to practice traditional living while participating in the wage economy and avoid the high costs of housing in Fort McMurray. 524 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 450; Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 64; Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 46

ACFN hired several experts who submitted reports addressing cultural and socio-economic effects on the ACFN community. The first, Dr. McCormack filed a detailed research report on the ethno-history of the ACFN community and how the ACFN culture has been impacted over time. ${ }^{525}$ Exhibit 006-013k, Adobe 1 During the hearing, Dr. McCormack also challenged the approach that Shell took in its cultural assessment. The second ACFN expert, Dr. Larcombe, filed a narrative of encroachment which explains various pressures on the ACFN community through history and up to the present.

526 Exhibit 006-013L, Adobe 1 While this submission discusses oil sands development generally, it does not address any specific impacts from the Project. Finally, Mr. MacDonald with the Firelight Group filed a supplemental social, economic and cultural effects submission for the Project to address perceived gaps in the Shell Assessment. ${ }^{527}$ Exhibit 006-013M, Adobe 1 This report discussed cumulative effects on the ACFN community over time and into the future primarily based on the perceptions of the ACFN community members.

Mr. MacDonald and Dr. McCormack both critiqued Shell's cultural and socio-economic assessments on the basis of a lack of participation by the First Nation groups in the Assessment and a lack of ethnographic and ethno-historical information.

Mr. Chairman, these criticisms are unfounded. Shell's Cultural Assessment was led by a qualified cultural anthropologist who has conducted dozens of social impact assessments including for past projects in the oil sands. 528 Exhibit 001-073, Adobe 119-127

Also, in conducting its cultural and socio-economic assessments, the authors drew on a variety of sources including consultations carried
out by Shell with First Nations and Métis groups in the regions, and reports that were prepared by the First Nations themselves or by their consultants. 529 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 6; Exhibit 001-051S, Adobe 9 Furthermore, Shell's Cultural Assessment focused on potential effects of the Project. 530 Transcript vol. 8, pg. 1503 It was not intended to address cumulative impacts on Aboriginal culture over time, which is beyond the scope of an EIA for a single project.

As a result, Mr. Chairman, while the reports of Dr. McCormack, Dr. Larcombe and Mr. MacDonald may be interesting in understanding the history and challenges of the ACFN community, they do not assist the Panel in understanding the potential impacts of this Project on Aboriginal culture or communities.

Finally, Shell's Assessment also acknowledged the benefits of initiatives that oil sands developers have made to validate Aboriginal culture and support retention of aspects of culture, initiatives that were ignored by Mr. MacDonald and Dr. McCormack and which are important in understanding how potential effects of the Project and culture may be mitigated. For example, Shell supports numerous cultural retention initiatives in
the region which aim at helping Aboriginal communities to maintain their social cohesion and unique characteristics. 531 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe 46-47 Many of these initiatives have focused specifically on the communities of Fort McKay, Fort Chipewyan and Fort McMurray. 532 Exhibit 001-051R, Adobe $46-47$ This demonstrates that Shell is committed to doing its part to help address regional issues that are caused by cumulative effects of oil sands development which would otherwise not exist. So let me turn now to socio-economic issues, which is an area that was raised by the ACFN and its consultant, Firelight, OSEC, and the Regional Municipality.

## SOCIO-ECONOMIC

Intensity of Development \& Pressures on Municipal Infrastructure

To be clear, these issues are not specific to the Project, but are broader issues associated with oil sands development over the past several decades. This is reflected in the fact that the Regional Municipality is not opposing this Project, but rather, is raising broader cumulative concerns with the Provincial Government primarily in
relation to the availability of developable land, transportation and traffic, and work camps. 533 Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 26392777 In addition, many of the socio-economic concerns raised by Chief Adam of the ACFN, such as high food prices, are issues common to many northern communities and are not directly a result of the Project. ${ }^{534}$ Exhibit 001-051s, Adobe 30

Oil sands development has brought challenges to the region. There's no doubt about that. But it has also brought substantial benefits. The Provincial Government has made a number of investments in recent years to address many of these concerns. 535 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 161 and 179-184

Socio-economic issues like affordable housing, infrastructure, education and health care, are the responsibility of the various levels of government. Government, not industry, is best equipped to respond to the social needs of the people allowing businesses to do what they do best, which is to provide economic opportunity and wealth to society. The Joint Review Panel for the Muskeg River Expansion Project confirmed that local infrastructure and capacity are the responsibilities of governments, not project
proponents, and that the panel did not have the mandate to resolve pre-existing socio-economic issues. 536 EUB/CEAA Joint Review Panel Report (EUB Decision 2006-128) (December 17, 2006) at pgs. 15 and 16 Having said that, Shell works actively with various levels of government and regional planning initiatives in funding innovative solutions to resolve the regional issues that have been raised in this proceeding. 537 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 297; Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 471 and 490 Shell also invests in the communities affected by its operations. For example, Shell has spent more than one billion dollars on Aboriginal contractors and businesses in the Athabasca Region in the last six years. 538 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301 Shell has also spent millions of dollars on local community infrastructure and programs like daycare centres, health care, education and social programs. 539 Transcript Vol. 3, pgs. 221-229 Finally, Shell has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Regional Municipality that will allow Shell to support the Municipality's efforts in addressing regional socio-economic issues. 540 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 297

In addition, the billions of dollars that will be invested in capital expenditures for the

Project with result in direct benefits to the local communities and the country through increased employment, income, contractor revenue, and government revenue. 541 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 301, 302

The bottom line is that Shell proactively engages in the issues within its control. It has supported and will continue to support community initiative aimed at improving the quality of life for residents in the region.

Let me talk briefly about a few specific issues. The first being housing.

## Housing

OSEC and ACFN have raised concerns regarding supply and affordability. It is no secret that housing in Fort McMurray is both expensive and in short supply. 542 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 465 However, Shell is doing what it can to mitigate potential effects of the Project on housing. For example, Shell will operate a construction camp for the duration of construction for the Project which will include recreation, health care and leisure facilities and services, as well as a fly-in/fly-out approach for transporting workers in and out of the region, thus reducing the need for temporary housing in Fort

McMurray and taking pressure off the housing market. 543 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 450, Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 227

During Project operations, Shell will abide by the Regional Municipality's desire that operational workers reside in the community as permanent residents and it will not use an operations camp. 544 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 461; Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2732-2733

Government authorities continue working towards addressing housing issues in the region. Since 2007, the Government of Alberta has invested more than $\$ 50$ million in affordable housing in the region. 545 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 182 As well, several planning initiatives have been completed or are underway to make sufficient land available for residential and other uses in the various communities in the region, such as the Provincial Government's commitment of $\$ 241$ million to develop lands in the Parsons Creek and Saline Creek Plateau areas. 546 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 182 The most significant of these is a assigning of a Memorandum of Understanding between the Province and the Regional Municipality for the creation of an Urban Development Subregion which will enable the Municipality to keep pace with the demand for residential, commercial, industrial, and
institutional land. 547 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 182

## Education

In terms of impacts on the school system, Shell has voluntarily taken steps to address various issues related to the education system in the region. Examples include:

- Providing ongoing support for e-learning in Fort McKay; 548 Exhibit 001-001e, Adobe 474, Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 229
- Supporting other Aboriginal education initiatives identified by schools in Fort Chipewyan, Fort McKay and Fort McMurray;
- Supporting Keyano College through financial donations including funding to open a new campus in Fort Chipewyan; 549 Exhibit 001-001e, Adobe 474; Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 223
- Supporting Aboriginal scholarships through contributions to the National Aboriginal Achievement Foundation and environmental education of Aboriginal students in the region;
- Bringing science and technology camps and workshops to Fort Chip and Fort McKay through ACTUA; 550 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 474, Transcript, Vol. 3, pg. 228
- Delivering drilling rig and driver
training in Fort Chipewyan;
    - Sponsoring delivery of the Building
Environmental Aboriginal Human Resources Program in
Fort Chipewyan; and
    - Implementing environmental monitoring
programs and training to allow local workers to
take advantage of job opportunities available in
the oil sands industry. 551 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 474
Health Services
Chief Adam's testimony also raised concerns
about health care. Shell acknowledges that health
care service providers in the region face a number
of challenges including difficulty in recruiting
and retaining health care professionals and the
need for additional regional health infrastructure.
However, progress has been made on a number of
fronts over the past few years in addressing these
challenges. Examples of this progress includes:
An additional $\$ 177$ million in funding
that was provided to the Northern Lights Health
Region between 2007 and 2010 to address regional
health related growth pressures;
Additional doctors that have been
recruited to the area, the fact that emergency
department wait times have been reduced, and investments in regional health infrastructure that have been made. 552 Exhibit 001-051, Adobe 161

To further mitigate any impacts of its operations on regional health services, Shell is committed to the following:

- Establishing an onsite health care facility at the Albian Village site that provides 24/7 onsite primary emergency and occupational health service;
- Continuing to provide financial contributions to the Northern Lights Health Foundation where appropriate, including \$1.2 million to the Inner City Health Initiative; 553 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 222 and
- Working with other industrial
proponents to address the cumulative socio-economic effects of their projects on the region. This includes ongoing discussions with Alberta Health Services about medical infrastructure and services needs, and how industrial proponents might contribute to addressing those needs. 554 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 481


## Traffic

Concerns were also raised about traffic in
the region and specifically traffic on Highway 63. Shell has committed to taking a number of steps to minimize Project effects on the local road network, including the following:

- Using construction camps at the Project site;
- Using the Albian Sands Aerodrome as the primary conduit for transporting construction workers;
- Busing Fort McMurray-based Project workers on a daily basis; and
- Scheduling construction truck traffic, including oversized loads, during off-peak hours. 555 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 489, 490

Shell has also committed to working with other developers in the region to address transportation issues outside of its control, this includes Shell's participation in the Oil Sands Development Group Transportation Committee to ensure continued awareness of all discussions related to highway safety and improvements. 556 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 490; Exhibit 001-006D, Adobe 26-27

On the Province's part, there has been a commitment to twin Highway 63 south of Fort McMurray. A five-lane bridge across the Athabasca

River in Fort McMurray has been constructed. And construction of interchanges at the intersections of Thickwood Boulevard and Confederation Way with Highway 63 were completed in 2011. 557 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 183

Lastly, the long-range planning for future road improvements in the Wood Buffalo region continues. The Alberta Oil Sands Sustainable Development Secretariat, in cooperation with a number of Alberta government departments and local area municipalities, has developed the Athabasca Oil Sands Area Comprehensive Regional Infrastructure Sustainability Plan, CRISP, which lays out the infrastructure requirements, including highways, required for future scenarios in which the Athabasca oil sand region produces six million barrels per day of bitumen. 558 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 161 In addition, a new advisory committee called the Athabasca Oil Sands Area Transportation Coordinating Committee has been created comprised of municipal, provincial, and industry representatives, who review and make recommendations on current and future transportation needs in the region. 559 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 183

Let me now turn to the role of the Province and the Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo in addressing these regional concerns or issues.

## The Role of the Province and the Region

Since the Municipality and other regional service providers began raising socio-economic concerns at regulatory hearings in 2006, the Province has contributed $\$ 3.6$ million over three years to provide strategic municipal planning support to the region.

It's provided $\$ 103$ million in direct funding in addition to a $\$ 136$ million four-year interest-free loan to build a replacement sewage-treatment facility and an upgraded water treatment plant in Fort McMurray.
$\$ 30$ million to support the lower town site water collection system upgrader.
\$15 million for regional landfill development.
\$33.4 million for the Keyano Sports and Wellness Centre.
\$54 million for the Wood Buffalo Housing and Development Corporation.
\$10 million plus land for the construction of
the south cell block and station.
And they've contributed another $\$ 52$ million for Phase I of the new RCMP Detachment in Timberlea. 560 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 161, 179-184

Further, while the region may be experiencing rapid growth and its accompanying pressures, it is also experiencing unprecedented tax-base growth. Property assessment in the Rural Service Area of the Regional Municipality, which consists mostly of oil sands facilities, grew by an average of 24 percent per year from under \$6 billion in 2005 to more than $\$ 24$ billion in 2011. 561 Exhibit 001-051G, Adobe 183 For its part, the Project will contribute for annual property tax payments estimated at between 23 and 34 million dollars, assuming current rates, while Project-related activities will have minimal effect on municipal costs. ${ }^{562}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 301 These property tax payments will be in addition to the more than $\$ 50$ million in annual property taxes already paid by Shell for its existing facilities in the region. 563 Exhibit 001-001E, Adobe 493

During its presentation to the Panel, the Regional Municipality emphasized its 20-year Municipal Development Plan and the various
initiatives it is taking to respond to issues in its communities, including moving forward with
investments of upwards of $\$ 2$ billion in such things as its downtown redevelopment and transit corridor. 564 Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2645, 2666 and 2752 The Municipality
also demonstrated that it is attempting to engage with its provincial counterparts on priority issues
such as land availability and transportation,
though it expressed concerns with the Province's lack of responsiveness. 565 Transcript Vol. 12, pgs. 2738 and 2755-2763 While shortfall may remain, the

Municipality, the Province and Shell, are all
taking steps to address these regional issues.
Mr. Chairman, the last topic that I'm going to deal with today relates to Project operation issues primarily raised through questioning from Panel and Board staff.

## PROJECT OPERATIONS

Let me first talk about tailings.

## Tailings

The first of these issues is tailings management. In 2009, the ERCB released Directive 074, which requires all oil sands mining operations to capture a minimum percentage of fine tailings
and ensure that tailings disposal areas achieve a minimum undrained shear strength of 5 kilopascals within a year of deposit and 10 kilopascals within five years of deposit. 566 Energy Resources Conservation Board, Directive 074: Tailings Performance Criteria and Requirements for Oil Sands Mining Schemes (February 2009), Adobe 4-5 This will ensure that tailings disposal areas have the strength, stability and structure necessary to establish a trafficable surface within five years after active deposition has ceased.

In December 2010, Shell received approval for its ERCB Directive 074 compliant Jackpine Mine Tailings Management Plan. The plan included detailed information on the management of tailings in the Jackpine Mine - Phase I Project area, including the construction and operation of sand cells, dedicated drying areas, densification, and the start-up and operation of end pit tailings using non-segregated tailings technology. This plan includes the use of tailings thickeners which have not achieved expected solids content in the fine stream to date at the existing Jackpine Mine, but this will be upgraded through a project that Shell is currently in the process of implementing. ${ }^{567}$ Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1262-1263 The plan
also includes the use of atmospheric fines drying which has been used successfully at Shell's existing operations, and centrifuge technology which is currently being demonstrated at the commercial scale. 568 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 122 Shell has provided detailed information to the Panel describing how the expansion tailings management plans align with existing approved plans to ensure continued compliance with Directive 074. Shell has continued to actively collaborate with other industry participants through initiatives such as the Oil Sands Tailings Management Framework that is currently under development in a collaborative effort between ESRD and CAPP industry members and COSIA. ${ }^{569}$ Transcript vol. 3, pg. 248 and 276 Shell has also played a proactive role in the formation of the Oil Sands Tailings Consortium, or OSTC, whose members include the seven primary oil sands mining companies who together have invested approximately $\$ 500$ million into tailings research and new tailings technology. In 2011, OSTC companies dedicated $\$ 75$ million to support additional tailings research. 570 Transcript vol. 3, pg. 273 These efforts will lead to continued improvements in tailings technology and will ensure
that the entire industry works together to share successes and address this important industry-wide issue.

A specific issue with respect to tailings management for this Project related to the placement of mature fine tailings, or MFT, into end pit lakes. This was a feature of Shell's original application but was raised as a key concern by most Aboriginal groups. 571 Transcript vol. 3, pg. 240-241 Shell's Muskeg River Diversion Alternative involves removing all MFT from end pit lakes, which requires a combination of centrifuges in conjunction with in-pit placement of NST. ${ }^{572 \text { Exhibit 001-015A, Adobe } 25}$ While removal of MFT from pit lakes will certainly improve pit lake water quality from that of a lake with MFT, outstanding concerns about final distribution of process-affected water from the centrifugation process into end pit lakes was also raised at the hearing. When questioned on this, experts from Natural Resources Canada confirmed that Shell's plans for managing this remnant water in the end pit lakes was an appropriate method. 573 Transcript Vol. 14, pgs. 3564-3565

## Bitumen Recovery

The Board staff also asked questions of Shell's track record regarding bitumen recovery in relation to the Board's Interim Directive 2001-7. Shell acknowledged that historically there have been challenges meeting the bitumen-recovery targets at the Muskeg River Mine. This is an issue that Shell is currently working through with the Board and that Shell is taking steps to address. Mr. Mayes detailed all these changes during the hearing. 574 Transcript Vol. 7, pgs. 1226-1230; Transcript Vol. 8, pgs. 1569-1572

The Jackpine Mine was designed to incorporate several improvements over the Muskeg River Mine design in terms of bitumen recovery, including a longer conditioning pipeline, primary separation cell design improvements such as improved feed distribution, and froth underwash and increased flotation capacity. 575 Transcript Vol. 3, pg. 237

These design improvements have resulted in improved performance relative to the Muskeg River Mine, and according to Mr. Mayes, Shell's current data indicates that the Jackpine Mine is expected to exceed the ID 2001-7 requirements for 2012. 576 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1572

Shell is also planning further capital
investments over the next several years to further improve bitumen recovery, and the Project will benefit from those investments. 577 Transcript vol. 8, pgs. 1572-1573

In summary, Shell has committed to complying with the Board's bitumen recovery targets and its recent success at the Jackpine Mine demonstrates its ability to perform in this regard.

## Solvent Recovery

A further operation issue is solvent recovery. In extracting bitumen from the oil sands, Shell first uses hot water and then applies a froth treatment which includes a solvent which separates the bitumen from other constituents. 578 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 539 The froth treatment tailings are processed in the tailings solvent recovery unit, or TSRU, to recover more than 99 percent of the solvent and to comply with ERCB criteria of limiting solvent losses to less than four parts per thousand parts of bitumen produced by volume. 579 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 541 For this Project, Shell has committed to not discharge any untreated TSRU tailings during plant operations. 580 Exhibit 001-113, Adobe 2

Solvent recovery performance is an area where the Muskeg River Mine had difficulties in its earlier years due to equipment reliability issues, but since 2008, all of Shell's oil sands operations have been fully compliant with the Board's solvent recovery requirements. ${ }^{581}$ Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 543; Transcript vol. 8, pg. 1567 In addition, to the extent that solvent reaches Shell's tailings ponds, Mr. Martindale explained that Shell has conducted testing for two years to determine whether solvent in the tailings ponds could have adverse effects on waterfowl that come into contact with it, and it has not identified any adverse effects. ${ }^{582}$ Transcript vol. 4, pgs. 587-588

During the hearing, Board counsel asked questions regarding the placement of discharge from the $T$ SRU tailings piping into the tailings ponds. For the Muskeg River Mine, Shell was originally required to discharge the TSRU tailings in a subaqueous manner a minimum of three metres below the surface of the tailings pond. ${ }^{583}$ Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1366 This is also the method of discharge that Shell is applying for in this Project Application. 584 Exhibit 001-001A, Adobe 204; Exhibit 001-051, Adobe 136

Subaqueous discharge was imposed as an
approval condition in the Muskeg River Mine as a result of concerns from the Fort McKay First Nation that surface discharge of the TSRU tailings would cause increased odour emissions. 585 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1366 Compliance with this approval condition however resulted in operational challenges such as ice formation at the surface and freezing in the tailings piping. 586 Transcript vol. 7, pg. 1366 Shell conducted trials in 2010 with tailings discharge subaerially on to exposed tailings beach and these trials identified no discernible increases in odour emissions. As a result, Shell, with the support of Fort McKay, applied to the Board for approval of subaerial discharge of its TSRU tailings and this was approved in 2011. ${ }^{587}$ Transcript vol. 7, pg. 1367

Monitoring of odour emissions from the Muskeg River Mine tailings ponds will occur for several years to confirm that subaerial discharge is not causing odour problems at site or at Fort McKay. If this monitoring confirms that subaerial discharge is successful, Shell will apply to the ERCB for subaerial discharge arrangement for the Jackpine Mine as well. 588 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1538

## Asphaltene Rejection

The issue of asphaltene rejection was also raised during the hearing. Asphaltene rejection is the mechanism in the paraffinic froth-treatment process that removes water and fine solids contaminants from bitumen. The extent of asphaltene rejection affects the extent of contaminant removal and thus the higher rate of asphaltene rejection, the higher quality of bitumen produced. At its existing operations, the current design basis for the high temperature froth-treatment process is to reject less than 10-weight-percent asphaltene based on bitumen production on an annual basis. 589 Exhibit 001-009, Adobe 40 During the hearing, Shell accepted that same limit for this Project. 590 Transcript Vol. 7, pg. 1288

In terms of lease boundary issues, which were raised by Syncrude in submissions leading up to the hearing, as well as by Board counsel during cross-examination, Shell has committed to working with all adjacent leaseholders to address any lease boundary issues that may arise. 591 Transcript vol. 3, pgs. 297-298 Shell currently has cooperation agreements with both Syncrude and Imperial and is working with those companies to coordinate reclamation and watershed drainage. 592 Transcript Vol. 3,
pgs. 297-298 To the extent that issues cannot be resolved between the parties, disputes will be brought to the ERCB for adjudication. 593 Exhibit 001-071

A specific lease boundary issue that arose during the hearing was a modification of the south external tailings disposal area at the existing Jackpine Mine. While this modification was included in the original Application for the Project, the footprint for the modification was included in the original Jackpine Phase I approval. 594 Transcript vol. 7, pg. 1252 Shell has now applied for this modification separate from this Project Application as part of its Directive 074 filings, which have yet to be approved by the Board. Based on its existing approval conditions for the Jackpine Mine, Shell will work with adjacent leaseholder Syncrude to reach an agreement on the appropriate design and setbacks for this modification of the south tailings disposal area, which minimizes ore sterilization and forms the basis of a final submission to the Dam Safety Branch of ESRD and to the ERCB. 595 Transcript Vol. 8, pg. 1550

## Cell 2A and Geological Risks

The next issue relates to Devonian risks and Cell 2A that occurred at the Muskeg River Mine in October of 2010. This was an incident that ACFN asked a number of questions about and they expressed concerns about the risk of a similar event occurring for the proposed Project. 596 Transcript Vol. 4, pg. 550 In his response to ACFN's questions, Mr. Mayes explained that the Cell 2A incident was the first event of its kind in the oil sands' 45-year history of large-scale mining in eight different mine pits. 597 Exhibit 001-077, Adobe 1 Mr. Mayes also explained that despite the fact that Cell 2A was an entirely unforeseen occurrence, it was effectively contained to the mine pit and at no time was there any release or any threat of a release to a surface watercourse. 598 Exhibit 001-077, Adobe 1

As a result of the Cell 2A incident, Shell has committed to carrying out geological surveys at its current mines to develop a complete understanding of the Devonian geology in the area so that Shell can identify areas of potential risk within the Muskeg River Mine and Jackpine Mine footprints. 599 Transcript Volume 6, pg. 1200, 1201, Exhibit 001-077, Adobe 1

Shell has also developed a process for assessing and managing any risks that are identified. 600 Transcript Volume 6, pg. 1201 If the Project is approved, Shell is committed to carrying out this same risk assessment for the Project to ensure that the likelihood of an event such as Cell 2A is remote in the future. 601 Transcript volume 6, pg. 1201

## Accidents and Malfunctions

The final operations issue I would like to briefly touch on is the issue of accidents and malfunction.

Shell provided details about a variety of potential accidents and malfunctions and the likely environmental consequences of each in its response to the Panel's Supplemental Information Request 33 in May of 2012. ${ }^{602}$ Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 93 None of the potential scenarios were concluded to be likely. 603 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 95 and 98-104

The Sierra Club Prairie has focused their intervention on the safety of tailings pond dams and ensuring that these do not fail. Mr. Roberts explained during the hearing that a tailings dam failure would be very serious, and as a result, huge efforts are in place to ensure that failure
does not occur. 604 Transcript vol. 6, pgs. 1096-1099 These efforts include designing dams to meet Canadian Dam Safety Association Guidelines, and the Mining Association of Canada's Tailings Management Protocols, conducting regular independent audits, and monitoring dam stability on a $24 / 7$ basis. 605 Transcript Vol. 6, pgs. 1097-1099 Based on these measures, a tailings dam failure was concluded to be remote. 606 Exhibit 001-051E, Adobe 99

## CONCLUSION

Mr. Chairman, in conclusion, Shell's evidence is that there is not likely to be any significant environmental effects caused by this Project that cannot be mitigated. The benefits of this Project to local communities, Alberta and Canada are significant, and the negative effects, most of which are regional issues, can all be managed with the initiatives that are already in place or that are underway and which Shell is committed to supporting.

We ask that you approve the Project as the ERCB and as the CEAA Joint Review Panel, we ask that you recommend that this Project is not likely to cause any significant adverse environmental
effects that cannot be mitigated.
Based on the evidence before the Panel, Shell urges the Panel to approve the Project.

Mr. Chairman, you and the other Panel Members can be confident that Shell's Expansion is in the public interest and that it will continue to be a leader in the development of this world class oil sands resource.

Thank you for your time and attention over the last three weeks.

Particularly, I would thank the Court Reporter for her incredible patience with me this morning.

And if there are any questions, I'm happy to give it a try.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have no questions, Mr. Denstedt. Thank you. MR. DENSTEDT: Thank you, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: We'll take our lunch break and resume at 2:00 p.m. It would be helpful to the Panel if counsel could huddle with Mr. Perkins and prepare a rough schedule for the balance of the argument so we know how to plan. Thank you.
(The Luncheon Adjournment)
(The Hearing Adjourned at 1:00 p.m.)
(The Hearing Reconvened at 2:00 p.m.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, everyone. Thank you for your estimates. So we have a time management problem, but we'll proceed and take a reading at about 5 o'clock and decide what to do. In the meantime, I've asked our reporter, Ms. Nielsen, to feel comfortable in advising if anyone's going at too great a clip, so you can expect that.

Mr. Roth for Syncrude.

## FINAL ARGUMENT OF SYNCRUDE CANADA LTD., BY MR. ROTH:

MR. ROTH: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Panel. I have, or actually Ms. Ladha of our firm has e-mailed to the court reporter a copy of our argument and I plan to stick to it very closely. However, what I would request, if it is acceptable to the Panel, I think the same as what Mr. Denstedt requested, that footnotes, references to the footnotes -- Ms. Ladha has been here over the past couple of weeks and did a very diligent job in footnoting and referencing my argument. And what's she's also done is put some
headings. And I'd ask that those appear in the transcript as well, if that's acceptable to the Panel.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is, sir.

MR. ROTH: Mr. Chairman, I was happy to get Mr. Perkins's letter on Friday providing an issues list for final argument. I had not yet started to draft argument, and Mr. Perkins's list provided me with a very useful structure for argument. Not only did Mr. Perkins provide me with the structure for my final argument, but as you will hear, when $I$ get into the substance of some of the issues I will address, I'll be relying on his cross-examination for clarity that it brought to the record on the principal issue that brought Sycrude to this hearing.

Before I get to the issues list, however, I would like to discuss the two core regulatory principles that underlie Syncrude's argument on each issue that I will address today.

The first is the principle of equity. Equity underpins why the ERCB has a public hearing mandate that is being fulfilled through this Joint Review Panel process. Equity demands that if a person's rights could be directly and adversely affected by
a regulatory decision, that person has the right to be heard. They also have the right to be provided with notice as to how their rights may be affected.

It is adherence to this fundamental principle by the ERCB, its predecessors, and regulatory tribunals that may assume its mandate in the future, which has allowed Alberta to attract the breadth and depth of investment necessary to develop its world-class energy resources.

The second core regulatory principle I will address is conservation. As its name suggests, resource conservation is central to the Energy Resources Conservation Board's public interest mandate. The Board exists to ensure that the energy resources that we are endowed with in this province are not wasted. Over the years, this mandate has evolved to include conservation more generally, including the equally important objective of conserving our resources in the natural environment. The Board currently shares this responsibility with Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development.

As I proceed to discuss the specific issues identified by the Panel from its issues list for final argument, it should become clear that these
two regulatory principles of equity and conservation are not competing principles. In this case, they work together to arrive at outcomes that are both fair and in the overall public interest.

## Sand Cell 2 External Tailings Disposal Area (ETDA)

 ExpansionSyncrude's argument starts with the specific issues identified under paragraph 4.c. of the issues list. It was the first item on this list, Sand Cell 2 ETDA expansion, that caused Syncrude to file its intervention.

Syncrude has been trying to resolve the issue of the offset of Shell's south ETDA for quite some time. As noted in Shell's Application and again in its Opening Statement ${ }^{1}$ Shell Canada's Opening Statement, JPME Hearing Transcript, Volume 3 (October 30, 2012) at pages 199-307 Shell had requested an amendment to the approval of the south tailings facility for Jackpine Mine from that which was originally approved by the Board as part of its Jackpine Mine Application. Shell, however, had filed its Amendment Application back in 2007, prior to the Board's issuance of Directive 074. 2 ERCB Directive 074, "Tailings Performance Criteria and Requirements for Oil Sands Mining Schemes (February 3, 2009)

When Syncrude tried discussing Shell's proposed expansion and extension of its south tailings facility footprint in proximity to Syncrude's lease boundary, Shell responded by saying the ERCB had already approved the extension.

Leading up to this hearing, Syncrude thought that it had managed to convince Shell otherwise through its intervention which went into the details of exactly what was and was not approved by the Board. Syncrude then exchanged correspondence with Shell in which Syncrude agreed not to pursue this particular issue during the course of the hearing in exchange for, and only after, Shell had agreed with Syncrude that it would not be asking for any approval of its expanded South ETDA at the hearing until Shell and Syncrude could reach an equitable agreement on managing the resources on the south ETDA boundary with Syncrude's lease in accordance with the objective of conservation.

Syncrude was surprised to then hear Shell during the course of the hearing suggest or imply that the reason it did not need approval of its expanded tailings area and offset from Syncrude's lease through this Application was because it had already applied for such through a different
process and believed that the Board had given that approval or at least had no concerns with what Shell had proposed.

During the course of cross-examination by Mr. Perkins, it became clear that Shell was relying on its Annual Mine Plans and Directive 074 submissions to suggest that the Board had already approved the amended configuration of its south tailings facility. 3 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 7 (November 5, 2012) at pages 1249-1253

Now, at the outset of my argument, I had suggested that I have something to thank Mr. Perkins for other than his issues list. Syncrude is grateful for his follow-up on the undertaking response provided by Shell on the offset that it believed was approved from Syncrude's lease boundary. ${ }^{4}$ JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 8 (November 6, 2012) at pages 1547-1555 By the end of Mr. Perkins's cross-examination, the record was clear. Shell has now conceded that it does not have approval for its expanded tailings area and amended setback and it is not seeking such approval in this Application.

There is much more work to be done in order to ensure that the principles of equity and
resource conservation are achieved in the location of Shell's south tailings facility. The information that had been provided in support of Shell's Application and the information provided in its successive DO74 submissions, did not allow for any reasoned decision to be made based on these principles.

Shell has committed to work with Syncrude to resolve these matters and bring the results of that work back to the Board for its consideration and ultimate approval. Syncrude is also willing to participate in such process and is in fact reliant on this process.

Mature Fine Tailings (MFT) at Closure - End Pit Lakes
This takes me to the second item under the specific issues identified in paragraph 4.c. under the issues list dealing with MFT at closure end pit lakes.

Syncrude submits that this is another area where parties are confused regarding the intention behind Directive 074. There are some who argue that the Board, in issuing Directive 074, foreclosed the use of end pit lakes for the treatment of MFT as part of reclamation.

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Panel, this makes no more sense than for Shell to claim that it obtained regulatory approval for its south tailings facility through the Directive 074 submission process. Regarding the principle of equity, it would mean that Syncrude's approved Reclamation Plans that rely upon end pit lakes are based on decades of research and careful planning along with hundreds of millions of dollars of investment have effectively been amended without any hearing process.

Water capping of MFT is the most researched reclamation technology that currently exists to deal with MFT. No other technology has a higher degree of certainty. Further, as even Dr. Schindler admitted, if the technology works as it is designed to, it would be preferable to alternative reclamation options that have far greater energy and surface land requirements. 5 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 (November 9, 2012) at pages 2570-2571
reasons of equity and resource conservation, Directive 074 cannot reasonably be interpreted to abandon or in any way affect the use of end pit lake technology to address inventories of MFT or other soft tailings products produced by all
current mining and extraction processes.

## End Pit Lakes

a) Risk/Uncertainty of the Strategy, Syncrude Demonstration Lake

That takes me to paragraph 4.e. of the issues list that directly deals with end pit lakes. The first issue in this paragraph is identified as "Risk uncertainty of strategy: Syncrude demonstration lake."

I have already stated that more research has been done and there's more certainty with respect to water-capping MFT than any other reclamation technology for soft tailings. People like

Dr. Miller ${ }^{6}$ Dr. G. Miller Presentation, End Pit Lake: Unresolved Issues (Exhibit 017-031) at slide 2 and Dr. Schindler 7 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 (November 9, 2012) at pages 2542-2547 come to this hearing and suggest to you that end pit lake technology is based on modelling and modelling alone and there's no certainty in modelling. They have not, however, gone through the realtime data that exists from decades of research from Syncrude's test lakes. Not knowing their size, Dr. Schindler calls them small. 8 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 (November 9, 2012) at pages 2556-2557 although it is
true that they are smaller than the base mine lake, they are large facilities that provide decades of valuable data that neither Dr. Miller nor Dr. Schindler have reviewed.

As I suggested to Dr. Schindler in my questioning of him, there was a voluminous record already back in 1993 regarding the state of the science of end pit lake technology. He did not look for this information or review any of it, and expressed relief that he did not have to sit through the longest hearing in the history of the Oil sands. 9 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 (November 9, 2012) at pages 2553-2556

In my questioning of Dr. Schindler, we discussed at some length the science of limnology and the analytical tools used by that science. 10 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 (November 9, 2012) at pages 2540-2544

Syncrude's research and demonstration of end pit lake technology uses the very analytical tools that Dr. Schindler confirmed formed the basis of the science of limnology.

Syncrude's initial conceptual approval of water-capping MFT in end pit lakes was based on years of data derived from large-scale test facilities. From the data derived from these
facilities, Syncrude and others developed models and we are now at the point of validating this work through Syncrude's Base Mine Lake demonstration project. It is essential in the public interest that this important research and validation continue to completion.

Dr. Schindler speculated that the reason that Syncrude's approval for the base mine lake was conceptual was because of uncertainty associated with it. That is not correct. The reason that approval is conceptual is because of the jurisdictional mandates of Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development and the ERCB. The conceptual approval is an ERCB approval. It is Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development that is responsible for the ultimate approval of all forms of reclamation, including end pit lakes.

Syncrude has been working with Alberta
Environment for years on the Base Mine Lake Demonstration Project. Syncrude requires approval from Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development to conduct its Base Mine Demonstration Project under the Water Act ${ }^{11 \text { RSA, 2000, c W-3, and, }}$ ironically, DFO required that Syncrude apply for a

HADD authorization 12 Harmful Alteration, Disruption and Destruction (HADD) authorization, pursuant to the Fisheries Act RSC, 1985, c. F-14 in order to divert water from Syncrude's Beaver Creek diversion system into the base mine lake to provide the water cap.

The reason $I$ say it is ironic, is that at pre-development, Beaver Creek did not sustain any fish populations of significance. Syncrude's diversion system, in the opinion of DFO, provided fish habitat that had to be compensated because it lowered water levels in the diversion system that Syncrude had constructed. This resulted in an approximate two-year approval process. When Dr. Schindler suggests that even if end pit lakes work, they will eliminate creeks and streams that constitute fish habitat, he is wrong. Oil sands operators have already created this type of habitat and will continue to do so as part of the drainage plans that will incorporate end pit lakes. Syncrude agrees with Dr. Schindler's recommendation regarding the need for a number of end pit lakes to be constructed and studied. 13 Dr. David Schindler's Expert Report (Exhibit 017-016B) at page 15

As Dr. Schindler suggests, each lake will be unique. Dr. Schindler agreed that within a couple
of decades we will have the data needed to confirm the success of Syncrude's Base Mine Lake. ${ }^{14}$ JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 (November 9, 2012) at pages 2570-2571 This will in turn lead to further demonstration of the technology at Syncrude's north mine and again, with success there, at Aurora North. Each lake, however, will be unique and must be successful.

## b) Contingency options

The next item under paragraph 4.e. of the issues list dealing with end pit lakes is contingency options.

Contingency options were a requirement of Syncrude's conceptual approval of end pit lakes. During the 1993 hearing, work was just commencing on consolidated tailings technology. A decade later, this technology was commercially proven. Then, well before the issuance of Directive 074, Syncrude started working on centrifuging technology, which was discussed by Mr. Roberts in questioning by the Panel. 15 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 8 (November 6, 2012) at pages 1637-1642 Centrifuging is a viable contingency option for end pit lakes with MFT. It would not, however, be a contingency option for other forms of soft tailings reclamation technologies in the event that they do not deliver a trafficable landscape. The fact is that the best understood reclamation technology for soft tailings is water capping and it is also the technology that is best suited for the application of contingency options.

## c) Liability Management

The next item under paragraph 4.e. is liability management for end pit lakes.

There is a legal response to this issue as well as a practical response. And the two are related.

Starting with the practical response, oil sands mining will continue for decades. On a number of occasions, Shell has indicated that the expanded Jackpine Mine has more than a 40-year reserve life. Syncrude is just completing its investment made as part of Syncrude 21 that involved investing billions of dollars, not only for further upgrading capacity but in retrofitting existing upgrading capacity to address acid-gas emission concerns.

These significant investments have been made in a reliance on mining approvals that Syncrude
currently holds at Mildred Lake, Aurora North and Aurora South. At its current rate of production, Syncrude will be producing and utilizing its significant upgrading facilities for decades to come. Not only does this accommodate progressive reclamation using end pit lakes, it assures the financial capability to see that reclamation through to a successful conclusion.

This practical response is related to the legal answer because the reality of valuable oil sands reserve back-stopping reclamation success is at the heart of the mine liability management system that has been recently adopted and was spoken to by Mr. Broadhurst in questioning by the Panel. 16 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 8 (November 6, 2012) at pages 1637-1640

## d) CEMA Guidelines - Applicability and Suitability

Moving on to the last item under
paragraph 4.e. that $I$ will address on behalf of Syncrude, we arrive at the issue of the CEMA guidelines applicability and suitability.

Mr. Cooke, your question of Shell's witness panel made this a very important issue. You've apparently been struggling to understand why

Syncrude would not have endorsed guidance provided through CEMA. ${ }^{17}$ JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 8 (November 6, 2012) at pages 1632-1633 The fact that Syncrude would have reservations about this guidance seems to have undermined your confidence in end pit lake technology.

To understand the letter Syncrude submitted in respect of CEMA's guidance document 18 Syncrude Letter dated August 21, 2012 - Appendix to CEMA Recommendation to Alberta Government - End Pit Lakes Guidance Document (Exhibit 02-39), one must understand the history of CEMA. Although it is a science-based organization, it is also one that is constituted by multiple stakeholders that have their own perspectives. There's government, industry, First Nations, environmental organizations. Given that CEMA has been a consensus-based organization, there have been occasions upon which compromise is sought in order to obtain consensus. There would be a number of participants in CEMA who may be the same groups and organizations that interpret Directive 074 as abandoning end pit lakes as a reclamation option for soft tailings. This bias on the part of some members of CEMA led to an implication in the document that the introduction of MFT into end pit
lakes posed additive risks. There is absolutely no science behind any such implication or suggestion and it is one of the concerns Syncrude voiced in its comments to explain its concerns with the CEMA document.

This is what Syncrude is responding to in its letter. The Panel Secretariat put this question to Dr. Schindler directly. He pointed out that end pit lakes will have to contend with surface and groundwater that encounters products of tailings streams which will have to be managed in any event. 19 Dr. Schindler's Responses to Secretariat Questions (Exhibit 017-051) Questions 12 and 13 at pages 6-7

No one knows the science of end pit lake technology better than the scientists who work for and with Syncrude. When Syncrude expressed reservations regarding CEMA's science document, it was based on its experience. To the extent that CEMA guidance document can in any way be interpreted as suggesting that the risk of proceeding with end pit lake technology is increased by water-capping MFT, Syncrude submits that the science simply does not support those taking that view. Just as Directive 074 does not prejudge Syncrude's Base Mine Lake Project, neither
should the CEMA guidance document.
In conclusion, the core regulatory principles that instruct and guide regulators, industry, and government, are equity and resource conservation. All regulations, directives, and guidance documents must be developed based on these fundamental principles. Once they are written, they must be interpreted using these principles, and, if necessary, they must adapt in order to meet these principles. The ERCB and its predecessors have a long history of responsibly applying these principles to both conventional oil and gas resources, and now, for almost 50 years, the oil sands.

Regulators, governments and industry all at times face pressure that could cause them to want to stray from these principles, we do so, however, at our peril. It is adherence to these principles that has made the oil sands industry not only commercially viable, but one of the most significant energy resources in the world. The oil sands industry has attracted investment and long-term commitment from the world's leading energy companies. This in itself has substantially mitigated the historical, technological, and
environmental risks, that the industry has had to confront.

Not so many years ago, there were those that insisted that the oil sands would never be a commercial success. Not many of those sceptics remain. They have, however, been replaced by sceptics that suggest the oil sands industry, working with government and its regulators, will not achieve reclamation success. Experience has shown that the vigour of these sceptics will surely dissipate with time. 20 JPME Hearing Transcript Volume 11 (November 9, 2012) at pages 2543-2544

Those are my submissions. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Panel. If you have any questions, I would be happy to respond.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have no questions,
Mr. Roth. Thank you.
MR. ROTH: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Buss for Fort McKay First Nation.

FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE FORT MCKAY FIRST NATION AND FORT MCKAY MÉTIS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, BY MS. BUSS: MS. BUSS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Panel, staff, and the other counsel
and parties in the room.
My first order of business is to file an amendment to Fort McKay's pre-hearing submission. And I took the liberty of providing copies to the Panel during the break and to my friends at Shell, as well I have an extra copy for Board counsel.

And so this exhibit is a replacement for the Requested Disposition section in Fort McKay's Exhibit 009-008. And I'm wondering, Mr. Chairman, if we might have this filed. I believe it will be Exhibit 009-011.

```
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, it is.
```

EXHIBIT 009-011: REPLACEMENT FOR THE REQUESTED DISPOSITION SECTION IN FORT MCKAY'S EXHIBIT 009-008

MS. BUSS:
Now I've also provided the court reporter with my speaking notes, which include references to the evidence which I will not be repeating in oral submissions but ask that that be inserted into the transcript. And I may also deviate from my speaking notes, in which case I ask that my verbal comments take precedence. Mr. Chairman, I'm also not going to read into
the record the Requested Disposition in order to save time, but I am going to speak to why we're asking for that disposition and specifically the recommendations, what evidence you have to rely upon in meeting our request, and just briefly highlight some points in the evidence that we would like you to be cognizant of.

So, firstly, why does Fort McKay seek these recommendations? Fort McKay would find it very helpful for the Panel to make the recommendations requested because both Canada and Alberta's consultation frameworks and policies rely, in part, upon the findings and recommendations of this Panel, or panels like yourselves. Therefore, in order to be eligible for further consultation or meaningful consultation on regional impacts, there needs to be some reference to it or some requirement or recommendation in the Panel's decision in order for Canada particularly to pay attention to it, but Alberta is also following that general practice.

And a second reason is, frankly, Fort McKay has not been able to get either government to pay attention to the increasing regional impacts and need for accommodation with respect to Treaty and

Aboriginal Rights of the community, although we have asked numerous times.

So what evidence does the Panel have to support the request for recommendations? First of all, we have the Fort McKay Specific Assessment, which wasn't able to be filed because it's very large, but it was part of Shell's Application pursuant to an agreement made between the parties in 2008. It itself is a detailed Environmental Impact Assessment of impacts directly as they relate to the community. It includes a pre-development baseline, cultural baseline study, cultural impact assessment, as well as the traditional categories of Environmental Impact Assessment like air, water and so on.

Secondly, there's the Environmental Setting Report, which is in the 2007 Environmental Impact Assessment Section 3.3.1, which documents traditional land use by Fort McKay.

Thirdly, there is a Fort McKay First Nation Traditional Knowledge Report from 2008 prepared on behalf of Shell filed as part of the Application.

So these latter two reports, both extensively document Fort McKay's traditional land use and practices and the use of natural resources in the

Regional Study Area. And then in Volume 5 of the EIA, Section 8.3 is an assessment of the impacts or some assessment of the impact on those rights and activities.

Shell's Cumulative Effects Assessment and Assessment of Impacts on Aboriginal Communities filed in May of this year also provides helpful information to the Panel. And these documents rely in part on the Fort McKay Specific Assessment as their source of information.

And then, finally, you also have information about the increasing environmental impacts and changes to the land which is contained throughout Shell's Assessment.

Next I'm going to highlight just a few aspects of the impacts identified in evidence before the Panel. I expect that much more detail will be highlighted by other parties, so I don't want to repeat that.

But I do note some things for you to pay attention to. One originally comes from Shell's Cumulative Effects Assessment in May 2011 in answer to an SIR from the Panel. That contains in Table 2.5-1 a calculation of the direct land and disturbance in the Regional Study Area with respect

1
to Fort McKay. And it shows that from the Pre-Industrial Case, of which there was approximately 1700 hectares of direct disturbance, that's changed in the 2012 Base Case to 674,968 hectares; that constitutes an increase of

31 percent in the intensively-used cultural areas of Fort McKay, and 29 percent of the moderate-use areas.

Table 3.5-1 of the same document shows disturbances to traplines in the Local Study Area, which form a component of the overall Fort McKay traditional land use and trapping area. Of the four traplines specifically mentioned, three currently belong to Fort McKay members, that's 1716, 2137, 2172.

And then the area of the traplines affected in the JPME Application and the Planned Development Case is the same: 57 percent, 53 percent and 63 percent respectively.

Now, if you look at the evidence, you will see that trapline 1714 is included as a Fort McKay trapline in the Fort McKay Specific Assessment and in two Traditional Land Use Studies filed by Shell that I referenced.

These documents indicate that at the time
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that these assessments and reports were prepared, trapline 1714 was registered to Annie L'Hommecourt, who was a Fort McKay First Nation member, but she's now deceased. So that explains that discrepancy for the Panel.

Now carrying on in that same document, the next section deals with changes from the Base Case to Planned Development Case. And you'll see in there that the changes to Fort McKay's traditional area due to land directly disturbed for all types of traditional land use is a total increase from 31 percent to 36 percent for the PDC, and for moderately-used areas, the increase is 29 percent to 37 percent in the PDC.

Table 3.5-5 shows disturbance to traditional plant-harvesting areas will increase from 47 percent to 55 percent for the intensively-used areas, and 31 to 42 percent for the moderate-use areas.

That's going to be all the figures that I'll cite to you.

But I would ask is that you also consider that these disturbance numbers need to be put in perspective because they are direct disturbance.

As Shell noted in its November 2011

Traditional Land Use Update Report, its assessment of the significance of impacts did not include the value placed on resources by Aboriginal persons, but, and I quote (as read):
"Agencies responsible for making public-interest decisions should be aware of the value placed on these resources by local users as part of their decision-making process."

Fort McKay agrees with that statement, and that is why it provided, or partly why, it provided the Fort McKay Specific Assessment because it helps everyone, including the Panel, understand how land and resource-use patterns are affected by regional development and how that ties into the cultural identity and values of the community.

Now, the Fort McKay Specific Assessment looked at what they're calling the 40 Township area, which is Townships 93 to 100 and Ranges 8 to 12. And that's located within Shell's RSA.

And that area was chosen in part because it represented all of the traditional land use area
that was reasonably accessible from the community. Now, of that area, at the time that the data for the Fort McKay Specific Assessment was collected in 2007, so even though the report is dated 2010, so these numbers are underestimating current impacts. But in any event, it showed that 133,000 hectares was estimated to be disturbed in the Planned Development Case, as it was known in 2007. Of course it would be greater now. But what was important was that 91 percent of these disturbances occurred within the moderate or intensively used areas of Fort McKay's traditional land use, or TLU area. And all of it occurred on Fort McKay's, or the same 91 percent applied to it occurring on Fort McKay traplines.

Now, the other important perspective is that the direct disturbance numbers in the cumulative impact assessment prepared by Shell relates to direct impacts only. It does not include loss of access and indirect disturbance. For example, you know, the zone of influence we heard about for wildlife, which, you know, is somewhere around 500 metres around for example a mine site, it does not include the loss of trails. In the 2007 Planned Development Case, the Fort McKay identified or the

Fort McKay Assessment identified 107 kilometres of trails would be lost, which is 38 percent. And that doesn't capture the whole effect because if you take out a significant chunk of a trail, obviously it's like a road, you take out the middle and the two end bits aren't very useful to you.

And I also would direct your attention to the 2008 TEK study as well as the Fort McKay Specific Assessment filed by Shell, because that provides you a description of the actual difficulties experienced by Fort McKay members.

You can see from any map that because the community is surrounded by development that it's going to require circumvention of large mine sites in order to access certain areas. Fort McKay members also spoke about difficulties, even getting lost on the land, because the landscape has changed so much and not being able to find their way with the traditional trails gone and land disturbed.

The other important piece of information for the Panel to be cognizant of when considering Fort McKay's request is the loss or declining wildlife. The Traditional Environmental Knowledge report filed by Shell documents that Fort McKay members have observed declining population levels,
particularly in lynx and moose.
Now, wildlife populations are not monitored regularly in the region, and we submit that this is quite a significant gap.

However, there has been some recent studies by Alberta Sustainable Resource Development and these are described, some of these are described in Shell's Updated Moose Population Viability Assessment.

Now, Shell's own assessment admits that there's evidence of declining moose populations. For example, the survey of Wildlife Management Unit 531, which is about 50 percent of that or so is within the Regional Study Area, indicated a decline of 60 percent in the population between 1994 and 2009 .

Exhibit 017-030 is another moose survey done for Wildlife Management Unit 530, which again is around half of which is in the RSA, and it also showed declining population levels as compared to past surveys.

And interestingly, that document notes that SRD planned surveys to be done every five years but they continue to be underfunded, which I guess accounts for their scarcity.

Now, the lack of wildlife population counts for the region underscores the important point that simply pointing to an absence of evidence does not equate with absence of effects. It just means that the monitoring is inadequate.

Now, the other exhibit that was filed was an excerpt from Dover, the Dover Project, an Environmental Impact Assessment, which also predicted a significant -- sorry, this wasn't a study, this was a prediction -- decrease in habitat for moose, black bear, and snowshoe hare. But the Dover Project is on the west side of the river, and we just point that out because one cannot assume that wildlife populations are going to be available in the far reaches of Fort McKay's traditional territory.

Now, we also point out that this evidence of declining wildlife in the region is not surprising. It's entirely consistent with the predictions from the research and modelling that was done by CEMA for the Terrestrial Effects Management Framework in 2007.

Now, I turn back again to the issue of significance assessment. Fort McKay's Assessment of Significance to the Impact to its Culture and

Way of Life is included as an Appendix to Exhibit 001-088. It's called the "Cultural Heritage Baseline."

Interestingly, Shell prepared its own Cultural Heritage Assessment, which referred in a number of instances to Fort McKay's Specific Assessment but did not refer to the conclusions or the actual assessment of impacts. And it makes no reference to the methodology, either.

But in a nutshell, I can explain that the Cultural Heritage Baseline looked at cultural values that were expressed and maintained through cultural activities and through what might be called "project mitigation," such as participation in industry jobs and more educational opportunities.

Nevertheless, it concluded, based on erosion in community values, that regional development was having a significant and adverse effect.

Now, on the other hand, Shell's assessment found no significant effect for the regional impact on culture or Aboriginal people and it only found one moderate effect, which was to visual impacts and noise.

Now, the reason for the difference is the
methodology used by Shell's consultant was simple: It concluded because the Project site only made up 1.0 percent of the total of this very large Regional Study Area used in this Impact Assessment, therefore any changes could only be contributed to by 1.0 percent. So no matter what changes were going on around, the impact was insignificant from the Project. So that's how they went about it.

Now, why I point out this methodology, which this Panel or Members of the Panel have seen many times, is that this takes us back to Fort McKay's reason for asking for the recommendations from the Panel. Every project EIA says its contribution to regional effects are not material because each project's contribution is 1 or 2 or 5 percent of the total because the total area is big. And getting bigger. As projects get bigger, the reference area is bigger.

Now, what that means is that no single operator is responsible for the large-scale landscape change and resources change that are going on, but it doesn't negate the fact that they are going on.

Now, if you listen carefully to, which I'm sure you did, Alberta and Canada's submissions on
consultation, it was important to note what they didn't say. The only post-hearing consultation that they referred to was in relation to the Project's effects and the Project's approvals. Neither government mentioned a process for consulting specifically on the cumulative effects of regional development and their significance to a specific community.

So nobody is consulting or addressing the accumulation of all of the 1.0 percents and 5 percents.

Now, the regional effects that Fort McKay identified require measures -- what I should say is that are also identified in part in Shell's assessment -- these regional effects require measures that only government can provide and only government is responsible for implementing the terms of Treaty 8 and protecting Treaty and Aboriginal Rights. That's why at some point the government needs to come to terms. And, frankly, that would help establish peace in the valley because there's no doubt that these impacts are going to continue. Now, I know government will say and operators will say that LARP is an answer, the Lower

Athabasca Regional Plan, is one way of dealing with these regional impacts. However, if you look at the conservation areas that are included in the plan filed in these proceedings, you will see that very little of the conserved or protected areas are in Fort McKay's traditional territory. Just from looking at it, you can calculate that it's roughly 10 percent, maybe, of the total protected areas. Now, this isn't surprising considering that 85 percent of Fort McKay's traditional land is leased for development. But that does not mean that other measures are not required, there are still things that could be done. The new monitoring plan, for example, will hopefully address the inadequacies of the present system, and Fort McKay agrees it's very important to monitor, but also that monitoring itself is not mitigation. What it does is documents the need for mitigation. Things that many of the Fort McKay members are observing themselves every day and are monitoring through their daily experience.

Now, finally, I just want to make a couple of points about air quality.

Fort McKay is the community that's most affected by emissions from the oil sands
development. Chapter 2 of the Fort McKay Specific Assessment provides a detailed examination of emission predictions as well as ambient air quality trends. Admittedly it's outdated now and there are some newer numbers in the more recent amendments to Shell's EIA, which are entirely consistent with the trends identified in the Specific Assessment, that is, shows that emissions are steadily increasing and air quality is deteriorating.

Now, there's one exception possibly which is $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and that's moderate, the increase in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions has moderated somewhat as a result of Syncrude's desulphurization unit.

Now, Fort McKay is doing its best to monitor the situation itself but it still relies heavily on regulators and the regulators to diligently watch, manage and monitor this situation so that this trend doesn't continue to rise at the rate that it is rising.

It will eventually become, well, not very long in the near future, will become a significant problem if it's not managed.

We also point out that there's a major gap in the regulations and that is that there's no standards for odours or a regional system to manage
them. And that's one of Fort McKay's requests. And we ask that the Panel highly recommend that this be done forthwith. We think that this will bring the request up a bit in the priority for the new monitoring systems.

So thank you very much for your attention.
And I think I might have made my time estimate which might redeem me from being the worst time estimator at this hearing. Thank you, Panel.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Buss, I think you beat your time estimate, so congratulations.

MS. BUSS:
Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN:
Ms. Bishop, if you were going to be three quarters of an hour or so, maybe we could just take 10 minutes for the reporter. Thanks.

THE CHAIRMAN:
Ms. Bishop, would you like to go ahead with your argument.

FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE MÉTIS NATION OF ALBERTA REGION 1 AND THE INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS NAMED TOGETHER WITH REGION 1, BY MS. BISHOP: for this opportunity to present final argument on behalf of my clients. I say I'm very proud to stand here today on behalf of my clients. It's been a challenging and very rewarding process; challenging, primarily because of the lack of funding, capacity, witnesses and witness schedules. Volunteers, for the most part, make up the Government of the Métis Nation of Alberta. But through the efforts of Region 1 and the Locals, my clients have brought to you their concerns, they've brought them in a cohesive way, and they ask you not to ignore their hard work. Capacity has been an issue. My friends at Shell mention $\$ 80,000$ in CEAA funding. We advise, we understand that's for two processes, and so that brings us down to about $\$ 40,000$.

We also point out that the ERCB in history has never advanced funds under Directive 031 to a Métis group.

The Métis as a people have a rich history of independence and perseverance and I think their intervention in these proceedings proves this point.

They've worked hard. They've travelled many
miles. And you will see in the audience the president and vice-president of the Métis Nation of Alberta Region 1 who travelled in from Lac La Biche today, and also Jumbo Fraser from Local 125 who travelled from Fort Chip.

They are here to remind you that the lands in the Local Study Area and the Regional Study Area are their homelands and they are still used by many Métis, members of the Métis Nation of Alberta in a traditional way.

I refer you to Exhibit 010-023 and that is Barb Hermansen's story. You heard from Ms. Hermansen, her poignant story of the Métis community where she grew up. The community that spanned from Fort McMurray to Fort Chip, but primarily where she grew up, on trapline 2331, which is in the LSA.

Maps within that exhibit, Figure 4, show the extensive Métis use of the area. She mentions the other Métis families that she grew up with that continue to trap and hold traplines in the area, MacDonalds, the Grants, LaCailles. Shell's argument today seeks to erase that mark of the Métis, their historic and current use in the area. And if not erase, seeks to ignore it.

Shell talks about an assessment of current traditional use. My clients submit that completely misses the point of their evidence. It might explain why Shell's Traditional Land Use reports do not mention any of the Métis historic use that my clients presented to you. Nor does it take into account any of the publicly-available historic literature, presented to some degree by Peter Fortna. None of it was included in Shell's EIA. It seems as though this morning Shell suggested that with the $\$ 40,000$ in CEAA funding, my clients should have done a thorough review of the EIA and presented that to you. And I suggest to you that this misses the point. It also is not in accordance with the case law from the Supreme Court of Canada. And I refer you to a passage that's in our submissions but it's a passage from Haida and I just wanted to read that to you. It's reproduced on page 21 of our submissions (as read):
"The Supreme Court has been clear that in order for the duty to consult to be engaged, the Aboriginal Right does not have to be proven but merely credibly
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asserted."
And this is from Haida:
"The government's arguments
do not withstand scrutiny. Neither
the authorities nor practical
considerations support the view
that a duty to consult and, if
appropriate, accommodate arises
only upon final determination of
the scope and content of the right.
The jurisprudence of this
Court supports the view that the
duty to consult and accommodate is
part of a process of fair dealing
and reconciliation that begins with
the assertion of sovereignty and
continues beyond formal claims
resolution. Reconciliation is not
a final legal remedy in the usual
sense. Rather, it is a process
flowing from rights guaranteed by
Section 35(1) of the Constitution
Act, 1982. This process of
reconciliation flows from the
Crown's duty of honourable dealing
towards Aboriginal peoples which
arises in turn from the Crown's
assertion of sovereignty over an
Aboriginal people and de facto
control of land and resources that
were formerly in control of that
people."

And I just wanted to refer you to that passage because I think for Shell to stand here as a delegate of Alberta and suggest that the onus should be on my clients to prove to you what the use is and what the impacts are, I say that's an impoverished view, and so do the Courts.

My clients came to this hearing and they wanted to be heard. They are asking in part for a Consultation Policy from the Government of Alberta. They have rights protected by the Constitution, Section 35 states:

> "The existing Aboriginal and Treaty Rights of the Aboriginal peoples of Canada are hereby
recognized and affirmed. In this
Act, Aboriginal peoples of Canada
includes the Indian, Inuit and
Métis peoples of Canada."

And case laws define what this means, specifically in Powley. And I just want to go through Powley really quickly. I know my friend talked about it. And I think that if you look into this case, it explains why my clients are here.

So I just refer to page 14 of Powley, which is Tab 1 of our Book of Authorities. Page 14, paragraph 7 states:
"The inclusion of Métis, the Métis Section 35 represents Canada's commitment to recognize and value the distinctive Métis cultures, which grew up in areas not yet open to colonization, and which the framers of the Constitution Act, 1982 recognized can only survive if the Métis are protected along with other aboriginal communities."
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And further at paragraph 18:
"Section 35 requires that we
recognize and protect those customs
and traditions that were
historically important features of
Métis communities prior to the time
of effective [European] control,
and that persist in the present
day."

So in the Powley test, there's the discussion of a number of different characteristics that should be looked at. And I'll just present our evidence along with the test as we go through.

Métis rights are contextual and
site-specific. And that is the first test under
Powley is characterizing the right. In this case, the use of both the Regional Study Area and the Local Study Area clearly show Métis occupation and use in the LSA and the RSA. And I refer you to the maps in Barb Hermansen's book and also her description of the families in the area. Traplines in the area at that time before

Bill C-31 were primarily Métis and families lived on the traplines. And for Métis people, this was where they lived and where they grew up, they had no reserve lands.

The second test under Powley is
identification of the historic rights-bearing community. And this is important in terms of my friend's criticism of our group. There's no question that there's a strong connection, based on the evidence that we've provided within our submissions that there's a strong connection between Lac La Biche, Fort McMurray, Fort McKay, Conklin, and Fort Chipewyan. The evidence that we provided in historic reports establishes that there is a continuous historic Métis community in the area from Lac La Biche extending north of Fort Chipewyan. And I refer to the historical report of Frank Tuff and John Aniuk that was filed in our submissions and Shell agreed could go in
unquestioned. This is Exhibit 010-004K. And I also refer you to the work of Tereasa Maillie, Exhibit 010-004C, entitled "The Métis Experience in Northeastern Alberta."

My clients do not agree that only Fort McKay and Fort Chip are historic communities. It is
clear that there are also historic settlements in Fort McMurray, MacDonald Island and Waterways, that stretched along the river past McKay and to Fort Chip. This area was settled by chain migration from Lac La Biche northward and this was discussed in the expert reports that we provided.

Powley talks about the importance of identifying the contemporary rights-bearing community. And at page 17, paragraph 24 , it talks about how Aboriginal Rights are communal rights, and this is why I felt as though it was important to talk a little bit about Powley because it brings into perspective the consultation requirements.

Powley states (as read):
"The contemporary rights-bearing community must be grounded in the existence of a historic and present community and they may be exercised by virtue of an individual's ancestry-based membership in the present community."

So there's no question that my clients gave
evidence, or their witnesses gave evidence, that they self-identify as Métis, they belong to different contemporary Métis communities or Locals. For example the evidence of Mike Guertin and Johnny Grant, Barb Hermansen and her sons, all currently use the area, and they all have traplines or had traplines, and current leases, and I think they all have current leases. Barb Hermansen, her estranged husband has a lease within the Regional Study Area. So these are all different users within the area and they all identify to a different Local, which is also evidence of a broader Métis community stretching from Lac La Biche on. Mike Guertin currently lives in Lac La Biche, Johnny Grant associates with Fort McMurray and Barb Hermansen with Fort Chip.

> The fourth arm of the Powley test is:
"Verification of the claimant's membership in the relevant contemporary community." And what Powley says at page 19, paragraph 29 (as read):
"While determining membership in the Métis community may not be as simple as verifying membership in for example an Indian Band, this
does not detract from the status of Métis people as full-fledged rights bearers."

And I think that's important. You know, my friend raised the issue of which groups should they consult. And we suggest it's not that difficult. There is a Métis government. There are Métis Locals. There is a government structure that should be used.

The fifth arm of the Powley test is identification of the relevant timeframe. And Powley changes the test to a test of effective control.

And we suggest in that area it was later than Lac La Biche, around the 1900s, and this is important as well.

Whether the practice is integral to the claimant's distinctive culture, this is the sixth arm, and I think it's clear from the evidence you heard from my witnesses or my client's witnesses that trapping, hunting and harvesting in the area of the proposed Jackpine Mine Expansion was integral to the Métis way of life. They lived there. They lived off the land.

And contrary to what my friend said this morning, there is evidence of Métis gathering, fishing and hunting, specifically in the maps that were entered from the Mark of the Métis. And those were entered separately as Exhibit 010-024. And I hope you'll take a look at those maps because, contrary to what my friend said this morning, there is documentation of berry gathering, plant harvesting, fishing and hunting in the area of McLennan Lake and also around the mouth of the Firebag River.

Continuity is important in the Powley test, and you heard from my clients that they currently use the area, currently exercising those rights.

Now, this the eighth arm of the test, determination of whether or not the right was extinguished, clearly there's no extinguishment of the Métis rights in the area. There's no Treaty. Arguably, my clients still hold commercial hunting and fishing rights in the area.

Section 9 of the Powley test states if there's a right, determination of whether there is an infringement. And the Kelly case, which is an Alberta case, states that the lack of recognition of Métis rights is in itself an infringement. And
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that Kelly case is also within our Book of Authorities, Tab 9, and I refer you to paragraph 64.

And the last arm of the Powley test, which is important here as well, is determination of whether the infringement is justified. And my friend suggested that that's what we're here to discuss today.

On the record there's no evidence of any investigation of Métis use in the area, there's no TLU or $T K$ in the area with respect to the evidence that you heard from my clients. And I just want to point you to some of the transcript references, and the evidence of Mr. Goodjohn.

In Volume 4, page 651, I asked Mr. Goodjohn about the importance of looking at historical use of traplines. And he responded at line 21:
"In response to your
question, before you do move on, I
just want to make clear that what
we're trying to understand is the
effects to the trapline and
traditional activity as it's
occurring today..."

And I think that this misses the point in terms of what rights we're looking for in terms of Powley and what traditional use actually is.

I asked him on page 652, line 4, if he knew that trapline 2331 was formerly owned by Edmond Ducharme, and he said he wasn't aware of that, he had spoken only to the current owner.

And at the time, I also asked about trapline 1716, which, before his death, was held by a Fort McKay Métis member who Mr. Goodjohn had called a Fort McKay First Nation member.

Mr. Goodjohn went on to agree that he did not look at any of the historic Métis literature, any of the publicly-available documentation. He mentioned that he did look briefly at the Northern Rivers Basin Study, but he said at page 714, line 22, it was the Northern Rivers Basin Study, and that includes areas, it includes the Métis people in Fort Chip and it includes all residents in Fort Chip in the aggregate admittedly. And he went on to say that it was quite general.

However, you heard from Peter Fortna upon review of the transcripts of that study, the evidence of my clients would have come clear to

Shell. The use of Castor's cabin, Edmond Ducharme, Barb Hermansen.

You might all remember the deadpan silence when I asked about my client Johnny Grant. There wasn't one member on Shell's panel that knew who Johnny Grant was.

I submit to you that justification in your job, if you're finding that an impact is justified, it cannot occur in the complete absence of an assessment of the right and impacts. Métis harvesting, hunting, fishing rights exist in the area of the proposed Jackpine Mine, they are represented by the MNA Region 1 as agents for Métis and MNA members, and we submit that they are the appropriate body to do so.

And if you look at the case, and I hope you'll have a chance to read it, the Newfoundland and Labrador v. Labrador Métis Nation 2007 NLCA 75 in Tab 11 of our authorities. And this was a case that was also discussed by Mr. Clem Chartier. Shell is under the impression, so it would seem, that the Terms of Reference only applied to First Nations. This is documented in Exhibit 010-030. And these were the meeting minutes of a recent meeting between Shell and Local
1935. Meeting minutes that were produced by Shell where they said (as read):
"Métis Local 1935 queried the
possibility of sustainability
funding. Shell advised that they
don't provide such funding as they
aren't legislated to do so for
Métis communities. Any additional
supplements wouldn't be addressed
with this community relations
team."

This impression of Shell's that they are not legislated to deal with Métis communities, this may be as a result of Alberta's rejection of my client's Statement of Concerns and Alberta is informing Shell of this rejection, even when Statements of Concern were filed by other groups, I would suggest aren't rights-bearing. A Statement of Concern is not a heavy burden to meet. My clients did everything they should have done. They filed their Statements of Concern, they provided submissions. They even got some historical expert report. They came and they spoke eloquently about
their use of the area and their experts spoke about their use in the area and the failure of Shell to provide any documentary evidence from the publicly-available sources or the Métis people themselves.

I suggest to you the evidence of my clients is the elephant in the room.

Shell tried in rebuttal to somehow equate sponsorship of golf tournaments, dinner meetings, and two technical presentations that my client stated were too technical and they didn't find helpful. And they tried to turn this into consultation on Métis traditional land use.

Ms. Jefferson explained their approach to TLU as documenting current use. This is in Volume 15, page 3773 continuing on to 3774 . She said:
"And so we're looking at who is there currently. Who is using the land currently. Who is actually in the area. That is not to say that a lot of this information isn't really important and from an historical perspective, but the assessment actually deals
with who's there, here, and may be
affected."
And this is the question:
"Q. So you're saying now, you're saying
who is there now, that's what Shell looked
at?"
"A. That's the primary basis for an
impact assessment, who may be impacted now by
the project."

And I suggest to you that the reason that the historical use is so important, if you go back to Powley, you'll see that this is the approach, there is no legislated approach on how to deal with Métis rights, it comes from Powley. Métis rights are defined by the common law. Of course the common law interpreting the Constitution of Canada. In the case of Mikisew Cree First Nation and Fort McKay First Nation, providing the capacity funding to document impacts, Shell provided the capacity funding, and then entered into Impact Benefit Agreements. We've heard from Fort McKay

First Nation, and we'll hear from Mikisew Cree, but they didn't participate in this hearing presumably because the impacts to Aboriginal Rights were documented and accommodated in accordance with the Terms of Reference.

There can be no question that my clients have credibly asserted rights. They will be impacted by an approval. They are seeing the degradation in the area. They are seeing their ability to live off the land taken from them. They explained the changes in water level, the changes in wildlife. And no one can argue with the evidence, with their evidence, that what was once there is no longer. Who would have evidence of the changes but those who experience them directly? Many, many technical reports stating incrementally that these changes are small with each new project did not change the truth of my clients' direct observations that they provided to you. They've heard decision-makers say that there's no significant adverse effects, but they've told you that they see significant changes. Even the language of the Terms of Reference suggests that Shell should have provided more information about my clients.

And I just want to refer you quickly to the

Terms of Reference. And I think Mr. Denstedt referred to these as well. The language of the Terms of Reference speaks to accommodation and a duty to consult. Page 4 of our submissions, I'm restating the JRP agreement, Part III, Scope of Factors.

And the last one I just wanted to point your attention to:

> "The methods and measures proposed to manage, mitigate and compensate to an acceptable level any identified effects on the asserted or established Aboriginal Rights and interests."

And I would suggest to you this is impossible for my clients. They have been excluded. I would suggest to you even marginalized by this process.

The Constitution of Canada and Powley says my clients possess rights that should be protected. And I would suggest to you that's your role here. And it's important. It must be done.

I suggest to what's happened here, ironically, is that my clients who are supposed to
have extra protection for their rights, as they are specially protected by the Constitution, they've actually been afforded less consultation rights than would have been afforded other stakeholders. And I refer you to Decision 2007-058 from this Board. And that's the North West Upgrading decision which quotes the Suncor Decision 2006-112. And it states, this is page 8:

> "A number of parties questioned whether North West's public involvement process met the requirements of Directive 056. The Board notes that Directive 056 applies directly to oil and gas energy projects and not oil sands upgraders. As previously noted in Decision 2006-112, the Board considers the basic elements for public consultation and Directive 056 to be the minimum public participation standards that mineable oil sand applications must meet. The Board also considers Directive 056 to be the minimum
requirement for an oil sands
upgrader; therefore it expects an
applicant for an oil sands upgrader
to meet the consultation
notification requirements for
category E facilities in
Directive 056, Table 5.1. The
Board is satisfied that North West
has met these requirements. If
other information, such as the EIA,
indicates that parties outside the
minimum distances required for
category E facilities could be
impacted, the Board expects that
they would be part of the
applicant's public consultation
program as well."

And I would suggest to you that Mr. John Grant, who came and gave evidence, is a person with legally-recognized rights, legal interest in land, even outside of his Métis heritage; he's a trapline holder and he's also a leaseholder. The fact that his trapline and access to his trapline will be affected, has already been affected by some
projects, will be further affected by this Project, Directive 056 hasn't even been met. Nobody met him. Nobody documented any conversations with him. Nobody wrote it down. Directive 056 has a lot of documentation requirements.

So I would say ironically that the Métis, or my Métis clients, have actually received less consultation than even required for other stakeholders.

Similarly, Mike Guertin and Frank LaCaille, also named as interveners in their own right, and also MNA members, have similar interests to John Grant within the LSA and nowhere does the Application mention them or their concerns; Barb Hermansen as well.

I suggest to you that what we're seeing here is a very odd result. Shell has no information about Métis use specifically. Mr. Goodjohn stated that there's no information about Métis Local 125, their use. However, he did state, he assumed the impacts would be the same as MCFN because they live in the same community. I suggest to you that my clients have shown that there was more Métis use in the area. However, Mikisew Cree First Nation's concerns have been mitigated and accommodated in an

Impact Benefits Agreement. My clients, however, Shell says there's no Impact Benefit Agreement to be had.

I suggest to you this is a direct result of Alberta having no Consultation Policy for Métis rights.

There are no further processes for my clients after this decision is made. There's no right of appeal for Water $\boldsymbol{A c t}$, or an approval under EPEA where there's been a hearing by CEAA or the ERCB. That's Section 95 of EPEA.

My clients are disappointed by this Panel's decision before even hearing their evidence that they did not want to decide or hear from my clients about their constitutional rights and their duty to consult. They've been left with no forum. They believed, based on past experience, and, of course, reading of the Notice of Hearing and reading of the Administrative Procedures and Jurisdiction Act that this is the forum where their concerns could be heard.

Alberta, in making a motion that this Panel should not take jurisdiction to consider the duty to consult, has succeeded in avoiding the issue in this forum.

I suggest to you that Shell's response, when I put to them on cross, that they could have provided capacity funding in order to make this process easier, in order to document the impacts. And their response to me, I heard a few different responses. For the most part, it was that, we would have provided information had the Métis groups provided it to us. So then when asked about capacity, Shell seemed to say, well, they didn't ask for capacity. So when I showed them evidence of where my clients had asked for capacity, they said, well, we don't do that, that we provide Good Neighbour Agreements. When asked about Good Neighbour Agreements, Shell said, well, the Good Neighbour Agreements are only for community-based projects. They are not for oil -- they are not project-specific. They are for Christmas parties, they are for golf tournaments. They are for in some cases they are used, and I would suggest this could actually be considered double-dipping by Shell, because they are used by the Locals to implement community awareness programs which Shell also takes credit for. So not only is the money attributed to the Locals but Shell also takes credit for these good works. And in all fairness,
the Locals are happy to work with Shell in that manner, but they feel as though that funding has been mischaracterized. It's not funding that's provided to the Locals to use to fund their organizations or to hire experts or to participate in these forums. And I think the $\$ 700,000$ that was quoted by my friend over six years reflects $\$ 100,000$ for the Mark of the Métis project over five years, and $\$ 20,000$ in funding for any TLU from Fort Chip. So just to put those numbers in perspective.

So I just want to finish on this note. And I think Mr. Chartier summed it up quite well, and also Mr. Fortna under questioning about who should be consulted. It's not that hard. It's not as hard as Shell makes out. It's the MNA, the Regions, and the Locals are there. There's a government structure. To suggest that there isn't overlap between other governments, $I$ think is absurd. Obviously there's always cross-jurisdictional issues between municipal, provincial and regional governments. While this could be the same. In any event, I would suggest this morning my friend misquoted Jumbo Fraser as well by saying
that consultation can only go through the Local. And I think that's not at all what Mr. Fraser said. He said that impacts need to be addressed with communities, consultation needs to work through the regional governments.

In any event, if Alberta had a Consultation Policy, which was negotiated with the MNA and the Region and the Locals, this would be addressed. It seems unfair to put this on my clients and say, you need to work all this out. It's clear that any accommodation that should occur here needs to be a negotiation between Alberta and my clients, and ultimately with the project proponents like Shell, who are, as Alberta states, and as Shell states, Alberta's delegate in these processes.

Those are all my comments. Thank you very much. If you have any questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: We don't have any questions, Ms. Bishop, thank you. Mr. Jeerakathil, did you plan on being about an hour?

MR. JEERAKATHIL: I don't think I'll be more than an hour. I might be under an hour, but I'm happy to take a break now if Madam Court Reporter would like one.

THE CHAIRMAN:
We'll take 10 minutes.
(Brief break)

THE CHAIRMAN:
Please proceed, sir.

FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE FORT MCMURRAY \#468 FIRST NATION, BY MR. JEERAKATHIL:

MR. JEERAKATHIL:
Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Panel Members.

## I. INTRODUCTION

To begin, I have a bit of housekeeping. I have a request from my client due to concerns expressed in their community to redact the maps contained in Exhibit 011-002, and Exhibit 011-009, Figures 1 to 9, from the public portion of the Registry. They would still be full exhibits on the record, but just in terms of them being accessible from the public that they be redacted in that respect.

I've spoken to my friend from Shell, Mr. Denstedt, and I understand that Shell has no objection to that taking place.

THE CHAIRMAN:
Any other comments with
respect to the motion? Mr. Perkins?
MR. PERKINS: We, and when I say "we," I mean the Secretariat, we've seen the request from Mr. Jeerakathil's client. The one concern we have, sir, and I apologize that we don't have an answer for you, there is an obligation under the statute for an internet-based Registry to be provided in relation to the hearing, sir, and we're just trying to develop an understanding of whether redacting evidence in the hearing, that is, not making it available on that internet Registry, is something that would be a problem with the statute. And I wonder if we might beg your indulgence on that and we'll work on it a little bit more and maybe come back to you if you would be inclined to take Mr. Jeerakathil's request under advisement.
THE CHAIRMAN:
Yes, let's do that.

MR. JEERAKATHIL:
Certainly. Thank you.

## B. Fort McMurray First Nation

To begin, Mr. Chairman, the Fort McMurray First Nation is a Cree and Chipewyan First Nation whose traditional territory includes the area of the proposed Jackpine Expansion.

Fort McMurray First Nation is a signatory to

Treaty 8, which was signed in 1899, which gives it certain rights under that Treaty, and Canada has made certain covenants with respect to that First Nation.

Please be advised that the reason why the Fort McMurray First Nation did not seat a panel in this proceeding, even though it did file evidence, was solely because of financial reasons. It wasn't a reason not to participate in the process or not wanting to participate in the process, but, as you can gather, it's a very expensive process, particularly if you want to do it correctly. And certainly they could have come down unrepresented and done something, but that wasn't viewed as an appropriate way to participate. This is a very technical and legal proceeding. And so that is the reason why they didn't participate with respect to a panel.

The Band did receive some CEAA funding but it was limited, and it did not get capacity funding for the studies that it did do, from the Proponent. But please rest assured that we have been reviewing the transcript remotely on a daily basis and been participating that way in the proceeding in a lower cost way.

With respect to the evidence on the record, my friend made some comments about it. We agree it is untested but we submit it should still be afforded some weight by the commission. And these are the reasons why. With respect to Exhibit 011-002, the maps contained in that Exhibit, and Exhibit 011-009, which is the report that was prepared, the maps contained in that exhibit, those are part of, and Shell's admitted this, are part of a study that was commissioned by Shell in 2006. They are the same dataset. They are just points that weren't included in the 2006 report because it was for a more southern project. So we submit that even though that evidence is untested it is reliable from a hearsay perspective, and is part of a document which Shell has funded in the past and has been published.

I'm not suggesting that, you know, the greater weight couldn't have been given if there was cross-examination involved, but I'm saying with respect to the reliability of the evidence, it is reliable. And the exception, this Panel can listen to hearsay, it's not bound by the Rules of Evidence, particularly the Energy Resources Conservation Board, Section 27 of that Act, but it
can rely on that evidence as reliable even though it's not adopted.

With respect to the disturbance analysis contained in Exhibit 011-009 by MSES, again we would submit that even though that isn't tested, there are elements of it that are reliable enough for the commission or the Panel to rely on, in particular the methods used to create that analysis are the same as were used to create the ACFN Exhibit 006-013-0. And that was subject to cross-examination. So although the exhibits are on the record, and not tested, I submit they are reliable in that respect and the Panel could rely on them if it choses to do, and, in my respectful submission, should give them some weight.

Similarly, the affidavits of Alden Cree, Exhibit 011-003, and Phillip Cheecham, 011-002, are sworn statements in Affidavit form, which are routinely admitted in regulatory proceedings without being formally adopted because they are sworn statements. Granted they haven't been tested by cross-examination. I grant that.

And according to the Rules of Practice of the ERCB, Section 16, you can receive Affidavit evidence.

And so in my submission, they aren't untested to the extent that I've described those documents, they are reliable to that extent, and, in my submission, have some weight for the Panel to consider.

In our submission, Mr. Chairman, and you heard some of this from my friend earlier, Ms. Bishop, with respect to the Métis, I think Fort McMurray is in a similar situation, although they've received no capacity funding. And I'm not going to talk about that a lot, but I did want to say that, in our submission, this sets a low watermark for Aboriginal consultation for such a project of this magnitude.

This is a project that is $\$ 9$ billion, was $I$ think the capital cost estimate, nine to ten, nine to twelve. That's a significant amount of capital, no question about it. And despite that, there are only, quite frankly, a handful of Aboriginal groups involved here. This isn't Enbridge Northern Gateway where there's 150 Aboriginal bands involved. There are five First Nations and it seems like one or two Métis groups. That is completely achievable from an Aboriginal consultation perspective. And in my submission,
respectful submission, Shell should have engaged all of them in the appropriate way, and did not. And, in my submission, this sets a low watermark. The amount to spend to do a proper study in this case for Fort McMurray and the Métis as well, based on that kind of capital cost is rounding error, it's not even rounding error, it's zero percent of the cost almost. It's four decimal places of a zero and then a one.

And it's necessary for the process. It isn't up to the Bands to create the studies and then bring them and then ask for further study. They don't have the capacity to do that. There's a lot of development going on. It's up to the Proponent to study that. And the point is that Fort McMurray was very willing to study that but wasn't given the opportunity to.

## C. Project

With respect to the issues list, I'll move on to that now, I intend to discuss Section A1, which is the adequacy of Shell's assessment methodology; A2, the significance of Project effects; B5, C and I, terrestrial resources and cumulative effects, although cumulative effects much less; and B7,
impacts on Aboriginal groups and consultation.
I notice the issues list didn't contain a section dealing with alternatives to the Project and I'll be making some minor submissions on that as well. I may touch on other issues because there is overlap. I hope to be relatively focused. To the extent $I$ don't deal with all the issues, that doesn't mean we don't care about them or don't support the other interveners on them, it simply means we are leaving those to them to argue and trying to be as focused as we can in our argument with respect to how we participated in the proceeding.

## II Adequacy of Shell's Assessment Methodology

So let's talk about Shell's Assessment methodology first. The Terms of Reference of the Joint Review Panel on page 12 talk about how you're supposed to consider that, Part II - Scope of the Environmental Assessment: In Part II on page number 11, it says:
"The Joint Review Panel shall conduct an assessment of the environmental effects of the
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project based on the Scope of Project."

In 2, it says:
"The assessment shall include
a consideration of the..."

Following factors:
"a. the environmental effects of the Project..."

And it goes on:
"... and any cumulative
environmental effects that are
likely to result from the project
in combination with other projects
or activities that have been or
will be carried out;"

And then 2.b:
effects referred to in
paragraph a."
So this issue is clearly very relevant to
your mandate.
And on methodology we submit two concerns
that we have with the methodology that Shell has
used.

## B. Regional Study Area

First, we say that the size of the RSA is inappropriate and too large, and the LSA is inappropriate given the size of the footprint.

And two, we submit that Shell failed to appropriately consider the ecological context for both terrestrial resources and Aboriginal and Treaty impacts, rights, and use of land.

So dealing with the first one, the Regional Study Area. We submit that this Regional Study Area was initially set out for two projects, Pierre River and Shell Jackpine Expansion, and it's too large with respect to the one project. For example, you might take judicial notice of the fact that the Kearl Oil Sands Project had an RSA for terrestrial resources of $1,195,956$ hectares, and
that's at Volume 3, page $7-12$ of that EA, whereas this RSA is a million hectares greater for a project that is actually smaller in bitumen production.

I think that's a problem, in our submission, with respect to the RSA.

In effect, an RSA is supposed to delineate the furthest measurable effect of the project in the area, in our submission, so you define the RSA based on the furthest measurable effect. And this defines the RSA on the furthest measurable effect potentially of two mines, not the Jackpine alone.

And so we submit what the issue with that is is simple: If the RSA is too big, it's easy to say there are no impacts or all the negative impacts in the RSA are negligible because it's such a large area. It's a pretty simple exercise, the larger the RSA gets, the lower the environmental consequences become. And so it's vital that the RSA be set out in an appropriate manner, and we submit it has not been.

## C. Local Study Area

The Local Study Area. Shell's LSA is slightly larger than the disturbance footprint. I
think there's a 500-metre buffer around the disturbance. We submit that Shell should have chosen a larger LSA. And that's because basically the entire LSA is disturbed, given their analysis. And then they argue that because the entire LSA is disturbed and there are significant environmental effects on terrestrial resources within the LSA, you shouldn't pay any attention to that, what's important is the RSA. I submit that that's a type of sleight of hand, it's a neat trick, but it should be rejected. Because the Total panel, for example, and OSEC pointed this out in cross-examination, that significance effects are supposed to take place in the LSA, and cumulative effects assessments within the RSA.

And what they've done is sort of turned that around a little bit and said, well, the LSA should be, you know, there are significant effects but don't really pay attention to that because, I think the analogy was, if you build a shed, of course the imprint of the shed is going to be impacted. But that isn't the way it should be. I think the LSA should have been larger. If the LSA had been larger, the problem they have in that circumstance is that there still would have been significant
adverse environmental effects but they couldn't have said "don't pay attention to them" because they would have been significant because of the other developments.

And so we submit that, and in particular, the panel in Total indicated what $I$ just said at page 44 of their Decision with respect to considering significant effects in the LSA and cumulative effects in the RSA.

So we submit that that is a fundamental problem with the way the determinations are made, and should be rejected.

## D. Failure to Properly Incorporate Ecological Context

The second concern that we have with the methodology is the failure to properly incorporate ecological context. And here is our concern there. We submit that in the context of the area in the area of the disturbance, as is reflected in the documents, and I'd like to refer to a few, Figure 2.4-1 in Exhibit 001-051H, Exhibit 011-009, and Exhibit 006-0130, which are the disturbance analysis I've spoken about earlier done by MSES. And Figure 7.2-2, which is Exhibit 001-001-E, and Exhibit 001-014, which are the Alberta Government
project maps which I had entered during cross-examination. I'm just going to refer to those generally as "the disturbance exhibits," so I'm not going to go through them again.

But I think if one looks at those documents in a realistic way, it will show that the entire LSA will be disturbed. And there was an admission on cross-examination by Canada that 1.42 townships of land are currently going to be disturbed. That's at Volume 7, page 1219, undertaking 18 -sorry, actually that was an undertaking response.

And given that impact that you will see if you look at those exhibits, there's three or four simple exhibits, just look at them in a clear way, I think it's clear that the admission by Shell that the area has been adversely affected by human activities is correct. They've admitted that. And that's obvious from the disturbance exhibits I've just mentioned.

And that admission, which took place at
Volume 3, page 372, line 6 , with respect to the area being adversely affected by human activities, is an important one, because Shell also accepted the methodology contained in Exhibit 011-015 which is a reference guide determining whether a project
is likely to cause significant environmental effects. And they accepted that at Volume 3, page 375, line 17.

Although we now have agreement by Shell that this methodology is correct, and that the area is affected by human activity, which is obvious from the exhibits, they failed to factor that in appropriately into their rating system for the assessment of environmental consequences, in our submission.

And they discussed that in cross-examination, but it appears in the September 2012 Responses, Exhibit 001-063, where they indicated, they said this. They said:

```
"All of these criteria..."
```

And they listed:
"... direction, magnitude, geographic extent, duration, reversibility, frequency..."

Were included in the rating. But they said:
"All of these criteria were
included in the assessment
environmental consequences rating system, except ecological context."

We submit that's a significant error in light of the fact of the disturbance in this area, particularly in the Existing Developed Case, the Application Case and the Planned Development Case, all of which show significant disturbance in that area. That is one of the key concerns with development in this area is the imprint of the development, and the impact of human activities. Without a doubt, that is one of the most important criteria and we think it should have had a greater role. They said they considered it through professional judgment. But something like that, in our submission, should have had more of a quantitative impact on that criteria.

You cannot exercise professional judgment by assuming away the problem within the analysis, in our submission. So we submit that the failure to consider ecological context in that respect casts a shadow on the results of the effects analysis in the RSA. And I'll be talking about that a bit
later.

## E. Impacts on Terrestrial Resources

With respect to impacts on terrestrial resources and effects determinations, which is the next step of this argument that we're making, we submit that the Panel in its consideration should consider effects determination prior to reclamation taking place with respect to effects determinations. And that's because there's very little reclamation actually taking place now and we don't know what the results of that reclamation is going to look like in a real concrete way.

There isn't enough evidence to say that reclamation will be successful or not. And my friend this morning talked about risk. And the question is one of what is a reasonable risk? Some things are reasonable and others are not. And, in our submission, to say that reclamation will be successful, I think is a risky proposition.

In Exhibit 001-051-E in Table 4.4-1, I had a discussion with Shell about that table and its meaning. It's called "Wildlife Abundance," but if I understood their answer correctly, it was really more about wildlife mortality due to interaction
with infrastructure. If that's correct, then that's fine. If the argument is that a 500 -metre buffer, on the other hand, around a surface mining area is appropriate to maintain wildlife abundance, then we submit that that notion should be rejected. With respect to the impacts contained, the effects impacts contained in Table 4.4-2 in Exhibit 001-051-E, many of the effects on species of concern to my clients, the large mammals in particular, and animals that can be trapped, are significant in the LSA. And we submit that that means that there are going to be significant adverse environmental effects from the Project.

With respect to the RSA determinations, they are typically listed as negligible. We submit that that's incorrect. And the reason why we say that's wrong is for the reasons we cited earlier in our criticism of the methodology.

The first being that the RSA is too large, so it's easy to say that the effects are going to be negligible to the terrestrial resources in such a large RSA. We say that should be rejected.

And the second is the ecological context issue. We don't think that was appropriately considered in the methodology resulting in those
effects determinations within the RSA.
So we submit that the impacts in the RSA, if one considers those emissions, are significant. And if one looks at the disturbance exhibits, which make up a fairly large, if you look at the disturbance in those exhibits that I've referred to earlier, there's a significant part, even of the very large RSA, that's already disturbed, and in the Planned Development Case, it's going to be more disturbed.

There's been discussion about planned development versus pre-industrial cases and those are very useful concepts, particularly for my clients who were here before there was industrial development and have lived through industrial development. But even if one doesn't look at those and just looks at those disturbance exhibits, which I ask you to look at again and again, I think you can say that there's significant disturbance in the area already, and here's more coming, and as a result of that ecological context, we're going to have significant environmental impacts in the RSA.

## F. Impacts to Aboriginal and Treaty Rights and the current use of lands for traditional purposes

Last point on this argument, this line of argument, the footprint of the Expansion of the Jackpine Mine was set out in Figure 1-1 in Exhibit 001-001-A, which is Volume 1, as being 15,900 metres cubed per day, that's the capacity. The original Jackpine Mine was 31,900 metres cubed per day. The area of the Jackpine Expansion it looks like it's almost double the area of the original Jackpine Mine. And I asked some questions about this to Shell to explain why that was the case, and I don't think they, at least from my perspective, answered those questions in a way that I could intelligently understand. And that was in Volume 3, page 363 to 365. And I think what we've, what I conclude from that is simply that with respect to the Jackpine Expansion, we've got twice the disturbance, twice the environmental impact for half the bitumen product. That's what I gather from that line of cross-examination and those maps. With respect to impacts to Aboriginal and Treaty Rights in current use of lands for Aboriginal purposes, the Terms of Reference contains a lot of language, as does the new Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (2010) in Section 5 about impacts to Aboriginal peoples. So
this is clearly a very important part of your mandate.

In particular, on pages 5 and 6 of the Terms of Reference under "Aboriginal Rights and Interests", it says the Joint Review Panel may receive information about Aboriginal groups and rights. And then it goes on to say on page 12 , Part III that the assessment by the Joint Review Panel shall also include a consideration of the following additional matters. And it includes effects of the project on asserted or established Aboriginal and Treaty Rights and community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge received during the Joint Review. And then it says (as read):
"The Joint Review Panel shall consider: . . .都

```
    - Any potential effects on
    uses of lands and resources
        by Aboriginal groups for
        traditional purposes;
```
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- Any effects (including
the effects related to
increased access and
fragmentation of habitat) on
hunting, fishing, trapping,
cultural and other
traditional uses of land ...
as well as related effects on
lifestyle, culture, health
and quality of life of
Aboriginal persons."

It goes on:
"- Any effects of
alterations to access into
areas used by Aboriginal
persons for traditional uses;

- Any adverse effects of
the project on the ability of
future generations to pursue
traditional activities or
lifestyle;
- Any effects of the
project on heritage and
archaeological resources in the project area that are of importance or concern to Aboriginal groups;
- The methods and measures proposed to manage, mitigate and compensate to an acceptable level, any identified effects on asserted or established Aboriginal rights and interests."

Yet the Terms of Reference are full of this type of language, and, in my submission, the Application doesn't go to meet those Terms of Reference. And we submit that impacts to Treaty Rights and current uses of land for traditional purposes by Aboriginal persons are significant and adverse, as we've submitted earlier that the impacts to terrestrial resources are significant and adverse.

I think we still have to consider the ecological context when we're talking about assessing an impact to an Aboriginal Right or the current use of lands by Aboriginal persons, because
it is, in effect, an environmental effect that we're talking about. And the ecological context wasn't discussed by Shell at the hearing. I didn't see it contained in a significant way in the Application.

The ecological context is one of heavy disturbance. The First Nations peoples culture and use of the land is fragile. It is, you know, an area that's been impacted heavily by human activity. And we are not talking about the socio-economic benefits of the Project and the jobs. And I'm not saying that that is bad, no, I'm not. And I agree with Shell, with people that say that that's a benefit. I can't deny it. And it's a big one, $I$ think, in the area. But the question is, that isn't what we're talking about when we're talking about impacts to culture, though. We're talking about use of the land. We're talking about the ceremonies and those types of things. Those are the types of things that have been eroded and that are of concern in an EA, and that the Terms of Reference talk about.

Certainly Shell might argue we're spending nine to twelve billion dollars here, this is of economic benefit. We think there's got to be a
limit at some point in time, but that isn't for the Panel to consider, in our submission, perhaps it's part of the ERCB's public interest jurisdiction. But under CEAA, in any event, that decision is to be made by the Minister or the Governor in Council, taking into account those effects and those economic matters. And when we're looking at culture, $I$ don't think we can say that it's compensated by these jobs, that's not the point of the analysis. If that's in fact going to be the decision of the Governor in Council, fine, let him make that decision, but that's not part of the EA. There is no study with respect to culture. The areas over which the rights are exercised in Fort McMurray's traditional territory are severely restricted, not just because of a loss of animals and plants but because of a lack of access to these areas due to mining. Although public access is provided, we understand, in areas where no active mine exists, as clarified by Shell, there are many active mines in the Project area, and with respect to the Planned Development Case, limiting access. And the affidavits of Alden Cree and Philip Cheecham set out some information about concerns about access.

And although Shell said that there was readily available access, that hasn't been clearly set out in the Application. I don't see anywhere where it's clear how someone might access these areas, what areas are going to be available, what areas are not going to be available, that could have been set out, that wasn't set out. There isn't enough information about that, and the conclusion is simply, in my submission, that access will be further restricted. Despite efforts potentially to allow some access, it's a surface mine, so there's going to be impacts to access, and not just the impacts to the species but to access as well, which is an impact to the exercise of the right.

So we submit that it follows that significant adverse impacts from the Project will exist on Aboriginal Treaty Rights and current use of land in both the LSA and the RSA. We say that with respect to the LSA because it's being completely disturbed just about, so there has to be significant adverse impacts. If there are to terrestrial resources that are harvested then there are to the First Nation Rights in that circumstance. They are in the RSA. If one simply looks at the disturbance
exhibits that I've referenced earlier, and looks at the disturbance in that area, which we submit of course is too large for the purposes of the EA, the impacts are great. And we submit that we might as well call a spade a spade here and say that the impacts are significant so we can then at least deal with them, if we need to. But I think that point is an important one that should be accepted. We are talking about significant adverse effects here. And maybe there's a way to deal with them. But we might as well not say they're not significant, as Shell is urging you to do. I'd like to speak a little bit about some previous decisions of CEAA panels and their consideration of Aboriginal concerns in their decisions. In particular, and I can provide these if they are not available, I've spoken to Mr. Perkins about that. In the Kemess North Copper Gold Mine Project Joint Review Panel Report, which is September 17th, 2007, that project, which was a copper mine, was denied and one of the main contributing reasons was risks to culture. The analysis is stated at page 245 of that report, Risks to Aboriginal Culture. The JRP noted that there would be a long-term negative
environmental legacy for the Aboriginal peoples living in the area, and given, in our submission, the pace of development in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region, that case is relevant. It's relevant because that is the legacy that will be left once the mining is done, is what's left for the Aboriginal people. It's a consideration that that panel used in denying that project. We submit it applies equally here today.

The Panel in Kemess Ness (sic), also at page 246, had a concern about the proponent failing to engage Aboriginal people in the region. And I don't know why, but for this Project, in my submission, as I mentioned earlier, I think it's a low watermark for Aboriginal participation. We have a lot of opposition. There have been agreements I think with Mikisew and Fort McKay, but ACFN is opposing, Fort McMurray is opposing, the Métis are opposing. That's not a lot of stakeholders. That's only a handful of stakeholders and half are opposing.

I submit that's significant Aboriginal
opposition. It's not that much different than
Kemess Ness (sic), in my submission. It's a
different project, and my friend may argue that,
and that's fair, but the principles are the same, in my submission.

The panel in that case specifically stated at 246 (as read):
"The Panel simply observes
that having such agreements in
place at the outset of a Panel
review is strongly recommended, and
that failure to conclude such
agreements in advance puts a Panel
in a difficult position in any
situation where the Project under
review could substantially affect
Aboriginal interests."

And we're not speaking about a project with marginal economic viability that may have difficulty to engage Aboriginal groups, which was the case $I$ think in Kemess Ness (sic), we're talking about a large multi-national blue chip company.

Similarly, in the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal Joint Review Panel Report of October 7th, 2007, which took place in Nova Scotia,
one of the main factors for rejecting the project was respect for traditional and community environmental knowledge. In particular, the Joint Review Panel noted at page 101:
"The Panel believes that the assessment would have benefited from more effective integration of traditional community knowledge into the EIS. The public consultation employed by the Proponent was not effective in creating a transparent process where community members felt that they could openly and freely express their opinions and concerns about the Project. Consequently, for example, information on..."

Sorry, I'll skip that.

> "The Proponent failed to
incorporate vital information into
its consideration of alternatives
or into its project design."
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And at page 103:

> "A primary consideration
influencing the Panel's decision to recommend rejection of this Project is the adverse impact on a Valued

Environmental Component: the people, communities, and economy of Digby Neck and Islands. This region of Nova Scotia is unique in its history and in its community
development activities and
trajectory. Its core values,
defined by the people and their
governments, support the principles
of sustainable development based on
the quality of the local
environment. Local residents are
deeply embedded within and
dependent on the terrestrial and
marine ecosystems of this region:
human health and well-being is
intrinsically linked with the
viability of the ecosystem."
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And that can be equally said for the Aboriginal peoples, including my client, in this area of Alberta.

And, finally, the last authority is, or decision is the Prosperity Gold Copper Mine Review Panel of 2010 where the panel, in denying that project for a number of reasons, but including First Nations issues, said at page 2 of the Executive Summary:
"The Panel concludes that the Project would result in significant adverse environmental effects on
fish and fish habitat, on
navigation, on the current use of
the lands and resources for
traditional purposes by First
Nations and on cultural heritage,
and on certain potential or
established Aboriginal rights or
title."

It goes on at page 3 to talk about a reduction in use areas being a significant impact.

And on page 4 of the Executive Summary as well, which I won't read into the record, you can refer to those.

But what I'm saying is this isn't just noise any more. In the previous panel decisions, I submit, that they weren't given, these types of interests weren't given the proper consideration that they should have. But that's changing. We're growing as a society. We're seeing that these are actually valued, and the Terms of Reference of the Panel set that out clearly, in my submission.

These are reasons to deny projects if these are impacted, these types of rights are impacted significantly or not studied properly. And in my submission, you have both here, particularly with respect to my clients, Fort McMurray First Nation. And I think the Métis made some comments about that as well.

## G. Consultation and Impacts to FMFN

And I would like to talk a little bit now about, this is my last area, about that consultation dialogue between my client and Shell. In our submission, Shell has admitted on the record in numerous places that Fort McMurray has an
interest in the Project area. The evidence on the record indicates that Fort McMurray has use in the RSA and very close if not within the LSA itself. These are subject to significant developments in the Application Case. The LSA is drawn around the mine footprint. We submitted earlier that that should have been a larger footprint. And had it been, it would have included my clients probably within some of their traditional use points, but it wasn't, it was smaller. But they are contained within the RSA but for some reason that isn't relevant now. It was Shell's RSA that they chose. And my clients have use in that RSA and very close to the Project area, if not in the Project area, yet they weren't provided the ability to study impacts to their rights, which are of great concern to them.

The exhibits of Fort McMurray, the maps that I've referred to earlier, which we submit you can pay attention to, in Exhibit 011-009 and 011-002, show that the Project is located in the northern part of what's -- that isn't the territory of the Nation, that is those areas identified as the northern end of that area is simply based on the 2006 study and the limits defined therein. But
that study was with respect to a southern project, so they didn't go further, in my submission.

McClelland Lake, for example, is an important site to the First Nation, which is very close to the LSA, and that's contained in that information that I've referred to in the Fort McMurray exhibits.

Effectively the data points in those exhibits are based on mapping layers that were currently available for the 2006 study, and all we did was include some of those in those maps, whereas they weren't included in the 2006 study because the maps just didn't go far north enough. So we just used that same data in the 2006 study and plotted it later on. But that doesn't mean that that's the extent of the traditional use of Fort McMurray. No. We provided that information to say, because Shell was saying to us, you don't have use there and we need you to show us how you do. We said, okay, we can, we don't have a lot of resources, so here you go, here's the 2006 data that you commissioned and it shows all these data points in the north around the area, so can we get some capacity assistance to study this properly so that you for your EA for your Project can determine the
impacts, which is your obligation, which is Shell's obligation, in your EA, in Shell's EA. But that was refused.

And if you look at the maps attached to Exhibits, which are the affidavits, 011-004 and 011-003, Philip Cheecham and Alden Cree, you will see where they have noted their use is beyond the data points further north along the Athabasca in around McClelland Lake. So even just those two affidavits show further data which Shell should have said, okay, there's different data here, maybe we need to study this. But just those two affidavits show the use in greater areas.

It is ironic, Mr. Chairman, that although Shell came to this area with its first Muskeg River project, if I have that correct, in the mid-1990s, it's ironic that it has assumed the role of deciding the validity of Fort McMurray's claims to impacts in the area despite Fort McMurray being there for thousands of years. I submit that's very ironic. But that's what we have.

Another reason why it isn't appropriate necessarily to rely on older data with respect to traditional use is because traditional use isn't a constant thing in one particular area. It moves
around. And because of certain rights under the NRTA, and I guess this is all the Treaty 8 area, people can hunt and trap in different areas, and often is the case that particularly in an area like this, which has significant human disturbance, you will see people using areas that they perhaps hadn't used before because they are available and the ones that they had used previously are no longer available. But the point is that that traditional use is an evolving concept, which is why you can't just do a study and rely on it for 10 years, why you have to continue to update it because sometimes, as Shell has argued, we will have areas that are currently being mined that are going to be reclaimed. Well, if they are successfully reclaimed, and animals go there, then traditional users may go there, and that may shift their pattern, which is why it's important to study the impacts on an ongoing basis and for consultation to be ongoing, and assessment to be ongoing. But that wasn't done here.

What the Application has done is assumed the impacts to Fort McMurray are the same as for other First Nations that were studied, but that isn't the case. And that assumption isn't proper in the
context of an EA, in my submission.
And this doesn't result from a lack of cooperation by Fort McMurray First Nation with respect to EA. They were happy to meet with Shell to do an EA, but the point was, and Shell in fairness confirmed this on the record, that they weren't prepared to provide capacity assistance because they didn't view there to be any impacts. I'm not sure how they came to that conclusion. I'm not sure why they wouldn't have just said, well, this group is claiming rights, they've been here a long time, we should study them, we have a large project, this isn't going to be a significant cost, and it's needed for the EA. That to me would have been the best decision. I can't for the life of me understand why that decision wasn't taken. But it was not. And so the Panel doesn't have that information.

And I think that's a problem with the record that Shell has.

The record is clear that Fort McMurray has on numerous occasions attempted to provide information to Shell to have this matter studied. And I've referred to some of the exhibits, Exhibit 011-005, which is a letter by us, which contained the
affidavits and the maps, which are Exhibits 011-003, 011-004 and 011-002, and our letter setting out the concerns of the Nation in those exhibits.

In addition to failing to engage with respect to capacity for the study of effects, there has been no socio-economic benefits provided to Fort McMurray as there have been to perhaps other stakeholders. They had one time contributed to the Consultation Initiative, the IRC, but stopped that in I believe 2010. I'm not sure why, but they did. So to conclude that line of argument, we submit that it isn't for Fort McMurray to establish rights for the purposes of the EA. The Band, which is not a wealthy Band, has done what it can in this process to try to assert its rights for the Panel's consideration. But in effect, it's Shell's onus as part of its EA and the Panel's onus as part of its Terms of Reference to consider impacts to those rights and, in my submission, Shell has failed to do that and provide that information to the Panel.

## H. Delay and Other Conditions

So our client's position is that the Application should be denied at this time due to
the concerns that we've outlined.
If the Panel disagrees with that and agrees to approve the Project, we submit that in order to prevent significant adverse environmental effects from occurring to terrestrial resources and the use of land by Aboriginal peoples for traditional purposes and Treaty Rights, the Joint Review Panel should recommend a condition that the Project be delayed for a period of 10 years.

And I submit that's a reasonable condition given the pace of development in the oil sands. And I'll tell you why. There was a question asked by the Panel in SIR-7 about an alternative and delay and I had some discussion with Shell about this in cross-examination. And I submit that the following points support such a condition, and we submit it's necessary to avoid significant adverse environmental effects and so is within the Terms of Reference of the Panel:

Shell did not perform an analysis of the economic impacts of delaying the Project for a period of time, such as 10 years, as confirmed in cross-examination. We submit that positive environmental benefits would result from delaying the Project. That discussion took place at
transcript Volume 3, page 335.
Shell admitted that oil prices will likely continue to remain strong over the longer-term, as confirmed by Shell at transcript Volume 3, page 336.

We submit that pipeline capacity in the medium term remains questionable at the present time.

We submit the Jackpine Mine will continue for its useful life until 2030, which will coincide with the Muskeg River Mine, and the resources between those mines can continue to be shared over the lives of those mines, as confirmed by Shell at transcript Volume 3, page 331.

The resource contained in Lease 13 will eventually be utilized by Shell, just at a later date. The lease costs associated with that lease were confirmed in an undertaking, and aren't that significant: \$185,000 in 2012. \$1.170 million in 2020. And \$3,750,000 in 2025.

We submit that we would ask for a condition to delay the Project if it's approved.

We would also ask that a condition be put on any approval that Shell consult with Fort McMurray First Nation and complete a traditional use study
with respect to impacts from the Project on Fort McMurray's rights and file the same within six months prior to construction commencing.

Mr. Chairman, those are my submissions, subject to any questions you and the other Panel Members may have.

THE CHAIRMAN: No questions, sir. Thank you.

MR. JEERAKATHIL: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: We'll take 10 minutes before we turn to ACFN's argument. Mr. Murphy, are you and Ms. Biem prepared to deliver all of ACFN's argument today?

MR. MURPHY: We can do our best. I should say with one caveat, we had hoped to provide to our transcriber a written copy of our argument, and frankly to all the parties. We've had some formatting issues so that's not quite done. I can certainly do my portion of the argument and we'll see where we get. And then perhaps Ms. Biem can carry on or perhaps she can carry on in the morning.

THE CHAIRMAN: In terms of the material that you're having trouble formatting, is that something that could be provided a little later?
MR. MURPHY: Yes, I think it could.
Certainly by this evening, we could provide that to
Madam Court Reporter.
THE CHAIRMAN:
Thanks. I have 4:37. We'll
take 10 minutes.
(Brief break)
THE CHAIRMAN:
Mr. Murphy, thanks for your
patience. I understand what we're going to try to
do is have you deliver your portion of ACFN's
argument, and then we'll turn to Ms. Gorrie and
she'll do a portion of hers. I hope that's all
satisfactory. I think it will help us out.
MR. MURPHY:
Perhaps. I think we're going
to see where I get to, and I'm certainly going to
finish my end of the submissions, and then it may
be that Ms. Biem does carry on from there.
THE CHAIRMAN: Are you going to go for about
an hour. We should have a short break. Go ahead.
FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE ATHABASCAN CHIPEWYAN FIRST NATION,
BY MR. MURPHY:
MR. MURPHY: ACFN's position in this
hearing is that they oppose the approval of the

Project.
They say that there's direct and adverse impacts on their Aboriginal and Treaty Rights and traditional land use.

They say that consultation has been inadequate.

They say that the mitigations proposed haven't responded to the impacts that they've raised and their concerns about the Project.

And they also say that the EIA has significant gaps.

And so we'll be speaking to each of those areas.

On October 30th, Shell presented its directive evidence and Mr. Kovach said there will be no likely significant adverse effects to ecological resources. And Ms. Jefferson said there will be no significant adverse effects to traditional activities within the RSA or within larger traditional use areas. And my learned friend for Shell has reiterated those positions through his submissions.

In my submission, we will show why those statements are irreconcilable with the facts before you. And as my friend said earlier, your decisions
here must be based on fact and analysis, and so I'm going to take you to that.

I was going to walk through some of the Terms of Reference. I'm not going to do that. My friend Mr. Jeerakathil already has taken you to those provisions. It takes me to about paragraph 9 of the argument that $I$ said we'd be circulating. I do want to, however, highlight a couple of portions of the Terms of Reference and it's only by way of introduction to some of the evidence that ACFN has provided.

ACFN has taken the Terms of Reference quite seriously in developing the evidence that they've prepared for this hearing. And the reason I say that is, you know, you'll find in the Terms of Reference, under Part 3, things like this Panel considering any effects on, and it goes through hunting, fishing, trapping, but it also talks about related effects on lifestyle, culture, health, quality of life. It talks about any adverse effects of the Project on the ability of future generations to pursue traditional activities or lifestyle. And it also talks about any effects of the Project on heritage.

And I point those out specifically because of
course we submitted a number of studies to this Panel by, you know, authors such as Dr. McCormack, Patt Larcombe, Alistair MacDonald. And I just want to make the point that they are not just for interest's sake, they are actually prepared to inform those specific areas that the Terms of Reference say will be considered by this Panel. So they are fairly core reports. They speak to a lot of evidence about those matters that I just referred to in the Terms of Reference.

And I should add that those reports weren't challenged in any way by any party. They stand as uncontroverted evidence. I just wanted to make that point at the outset.

## A. DESCRIPTION OF ACFN and ACFN's RIGHTS and INTERESTS

Now, you heard from Elder Rene Bruno who said:

```
            "Anything on your land,
        you'll never be restricted from
        carrying on with your traditional
        vocations. And that's what we were
        told." Elder Rene Bruno, Nov 7 Transcript,
        page 1996, lines 13 to 15
```
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And he was referring to what ACFN was told by the Commissioners.

The Supreme Court of Canada, by way of context, has looked at Treaty 8. And this is in the R. v. Badger case, 1996 case of the Supreme Court of Canada. And in looking at the importance to the Indians of the right to hunt, fish and trap, the Commissioners wrote:
"We pointed out ... that the same means of earning a livelihood would continue after the treaty as existed before it, and that the Indians would be expected to make use of them ... Our chief
difficulty was the apprehension
that the hunting and fishing
privileges were to be curtailed."
"... we had to solemnly assure them that only such laws as to hunting and fishing as were in the interest of the Indians and were found necessary in order to protect the
fish and fur-bearing animals would be made, and that they would be as free to hunt and fish after the treaty as they would be if they never entered into it." supreme court of Canada, R. v. Badger, [1996] 1 S.C.R. 771,
para. 39

And, finally, by way of context, the Indian Claims Commission also looked at Treaty 8 and what it promised. And they said, and this is at page 77 of that report, which is in evidence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "In our view, no reasonable } \\
& \text { interpretation of Treaty } 8 \text { could } \\
& \text { allow either the Government of } \\
& \text { Canada or a provincial government } \\
& \text { to destroy the ability of a First } \\
& \text { Nation to exercise its treaty } \\
& \text { harvesting rights or to alter } \\
& \text { fundamentally the environment upon } \\
& \text { which those activities were based." } \\
& \text { Indian Claims Commission, March 1998, Athabasca } \\
& \text { Chipewyan First Nation Inquiry: wac Bennett Dam and } \\
& \text { Damage to Indian Reserve } 201 \text { at p. } 77
\end{aligned}
$$

## i. ACFN and Treaty 8

> So the Treaty itself, you heard Rene Bruno talking about his grandfather signing the Treaty, ACFN are clearly the successor to the Aboriginal group that signed on to the Treaty. Elder Rene Bruno, Nov 7 Transcript, page 1996 , lines 13 to 15

ACFN and its members continue to hold and exercise those rights guaranteed by the Treaty. They include the rights to hunt, to trap, to fish, to gather. Those rights have been affirmed by several Supreme Court of Canada cases. See R. v. Sundown, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 393 at paras. 1, 8; and R. v. Horseman, [1990] 1 S.C.R. 901, at paras. 60-62

And just as the right to hunt must be understood as the Treaty-makers would have understood it, so, too, must the terms "taking up" and "mining" as those appeared in the Treaty. And again, the Badger case looked at those terms and the Supreme Court of Canada said:

> "Although it was expected that some white prospectors might stake claims in the north, this was not expected to have an impact on
the Indians' hunting rights." Supreme Court of Canada, R. v. Badger, [1996] 1 S.C.R. 771, para. 55

The B.C. Court of Appeal in the West Moberly decision, it's a recent case, it's actually from last year, it's in the B.C. area of Treaty 8, and it looked at claims being made and how those relate to the Treaty. And the Court at paragraph 135 said:
"I interject to point out
that 'some white prospectors [who]
might stake claims', to the understanding of those making the Treaty, would have been prospectors using pack animals and working with hand tools. That understanding of mining bears no resemblance whatever to the Exploration and Bulk Sampling Projects at issue here, involving as they do road building, excavations, tunnelling, and the use of large vehicles, equipment and structures." West Moberly

And it's just to put this in context, Panel, we say that those findings are applicable here in that the Commissioners never anticipated that the Indians could be displaced from significant areas of northern lands by the expansion of competing land-use activities. And there's certainly some expectation they'd be displaced from smaller areas, but certainly not large areas and particularly as we've been seeing in the last 10 years or so with the expansion of the oil sands. Dr. Pat McCormack, Research Report, Treaty 8 and the Fort McKay First Nation, Exhibit 009-008B at text page 14, pdf page 17. Dr. Pat McCormack, Ethnohistory Slide Deck at 47 (Exhibit 006-022)

Now, with those Treaty Rights, ACFN says that they also have incidental rights. These are claimed incidental rights essential to the exercise of those Treaty Rights I mentioned a moment ago. Those are routes of access and transportation, which I'll be getting into a bit more, sufficient water quality and quantity, sufficient quality and quantity of resources in preferred harvesting areas, cultural and spiritual relationships with
the land, abundant berry crops and preferred harvesting areas, traditional medicines in preferred harvesting areas, the experience of remoteness and solitude on the land. You heard some of the ACFN witnesses talking about things like that, like Beatrice Deranger, the right to instruct the younger generations on the land, lands and resources that are accessible within constraints of cost and time, and of course spiritual sites. Exhibit 006-031I. Dr. Candler: Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation Integrated Knowledge and Use Report and Assessment for Shell Canada's Proposed Jackpine Mine Expansion and Pierre River Mine, pages 27 -28; Doreen Somers, Transcript November 8 at 2148 line 6 to 2149 line 13

Now, you've heard from ACFN that Treaty 8 was an agreement to share the land. And they have always understood that they'd be able to manage their lands and pursue their traditional vocations without an interference. Rene Bruno, Transcript November 7, page 1995 line to page 1995 line 24 - page 1996 line 15, ACFN Elders' Declaration on Rights to Land Use, dated July 8, 2010 at text page 122 of Exhibit 006-013I, Victorine Mercredi (now deceased), Indian Claims Commission transcript November 27, 1996 at page 137, lines 22-29, Exhibit 006-013H at pdf page 141

And as Elder Rene Bruno put it, ACFN members
would never be restricted from carrying on their traditional vocations. And so the Treaty, from ACFN's perspective, the Treaty protects the core entitlement to their meaningful exercise of their Treaty Rights on their traditional lands. Chief Allan Adam, Transcript Nov. 7, page 1958, line 24, to page 1959, line 12, Elder Rene Bruno, Transcript Nov. 7, page 1995, line 24, to page 1996, line 15

## ii. A Discussion of the Notion of "Territory"

And I just want to take a moment to just talk about the notion of territory, the notion of traditional lands. You've heard some argument on this.

Now, you've heard that the traditional lands radiate north, east, west and south from the Peace-Athabasca Delta. They include the Lower Athabasca River. They extend to lands around Fort McMurray and Fort McKay. Now, ACFN Traditional Lands are not, unfortunately, defined in the manner that sort of fits neatly within European patterns of land use and land holding.

Pat McCormack does a really great analysis and I'm going to point out some of the highlights of the analysis she does of the view of traditional lands and how they don't conform to the traditional
boundaries. But that's in her ethnohistory and it's pages 108 to 139. Of course I won't be going through all of that. Chief Allan Adam: Transcript Nov. 7, page 1956, lines 4-16, page 1958, lines 6-16, and page 1967, lines 2-4. Chief Adam notes that he is the seventh ACFN Chief since the signing of Treaty 8. Elder Rene Bruno: Transcript Nov. 7, page 1996, lines 6-16 to page 1997, line 1. Exhibit 006-013K; Dr. McCormack: An Ethnohistory of the Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation, pages 39-63, 108-139, 167-171; Dr. McCormack, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2290, lines 2-9, page 2291, line 22 to page 2292, line 4. Exhibit 006-013L: Patt Larcombe: A narrative of Encroachment Experienced by Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation, pages 2-3 to pages 2-7

Now, ACFN has been asked to identify boundaries where their legitimate interests in the land stop and start. And so it's important to note that these are constructions that are not part of traditional Dene land management practices. Mccormack at 108, 110, 115, Exhibit 006-013K. Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript November 8 at p 2031, line 4 to p 2032 line 5

Now, ACFN has used tools such as maps and planning units or zones in an attempt to explain use and occupation of traditional lands. And this is just to help, you know, those that are making decisions, those that, in the government, that are making decisions about those lands. But they've
been clear, and, for example, one of the documents that they've written called "Footprints on the Land," they clearly said in that document, look, in the context of the large nomadic territory, likely occupied by the Chipewyan people in the context of the continually evolving culture and adaptations of these Aboriginal people, it is inappropriate to speak of boundaries. Exhibit 006-013J: Footprints on the Land. Exhibit 006-013K: Dr. Pat McCormack, at page 123

And so what ACFN has tried to do in some of these planning processes is it's presented its lands in the form of, like, planning units, for example. And it's done that in submissions on the Lower Athabasca Regional Plan. Exhibit 006-013FF at PDF page 259, Section 4 - ACFN Cultural Protection Areas, text pages 9-10

You've also seen that in the Caribou Strategy, the Níh boghodi document that's been entered in evidence.

But ACFN's been clear that those planning units and zones are just that, they are units and zones based on traditional use and other factors. They are subsets of traditional lands. Exhibit 006-024, page 10

Lisa King, the Director of the IRC, talked about, you know, how her office works with ACFN
members and is constantly trying to update their knowledge and their database about their territory. Lisa King, Transcript November 8 at page 2089, line 12, to page 2090, line 6

And so just to be clear, ACFN's use of maps for communication purposes with government represents, you know, good-faith attempts on their part to reconcile their view of territory with that which is sort of expected of them. And it's not meant to provide this, you know, I think has been argued this notion that there's this massive area which is at all times open and used by them. Exhibit 006-013k: Dr. McCormack, page 125 Rather, I mean, that reduces their relationship to lines on a map. It's overly simplistic. It ignores the cultural reality that different parts of traditional lands are relied upon for different resources at different times and by different ACFN families. And what's relevant for this Panel's decisions, inclusions, recommendations, is the fact that the Project proposed here falls well within all of the mapped and narrative expressions of ACFN's traditional lands. And each of the mine Expansion itself and the proposed compensation lake are located adjacent to and on key travel routes and areas that are central to Chipewyan use and
occupation. Exhibt 006-013K: Dr. McCormack, pages 112-115, 122, and 127-128

And if the Panel does require a static area in order to understand ACFN traditional lands, our submission is that the appropriate context area is that which is set out as the Regional Study Area in Dr. Candler's evidence, and that's found at Exhibit 006-013-I. It's page 38.

## iii. ACFN - Distinctive Identity and Culture

I'm going to talk now about ACFN's distinctive identity and culture and what the evidence has shown in this hearing.

ACFN members have maintained their distinctive identity and culture as an Aboriginal people by maintaining their cultural, their social, their spiritual connections to their lands. This has been done throughout generations. And despite the challenges that they've faced, ACFN members are deliberate in their pursuit of maintaining their distinctive culture and identity. And living off the land remains very important to ACFN culture. You heard Chief Adam say so. You've heard Marvin L'Hommecourt say so. And Pat McCormack, again, there she is, in her ethnohistory, goes through
that distinctive culture and identity and how it's tied to the land. Exhibit 006-013k, Dr. Pat McCormack: An Ethnohistory of the Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation, pages 168-171. Chief Allan Adam, Transcript November 7, page 1955, lines 7-25.

Marvin L’Hommecourt, Transcript November 8, page 2029, lines 9-16, and page 2033, line 25 , to page 2034, line 10

You've also heard that ACFN members reside primarily in Fort Chipewyan, Fort McKay and Fort McMurray. Those are the three centres in which they primarily reside. Alistair MacDonald, Transcript Nov. 9, page 2481, lines 5-8

You've heard from a number of ACFN members who talked about the active exercise of their Aboriginal and Treaty Rights within Shell's Project area, the Regional Study Area that Shell's put forward, the one that ACFN has put forward, as well as the Local Study Areas for the Project.

You've heard that the traditional harvesting conducted in these areas includes, and it's not limited to, moose, deer, beaver, muskrat, marten, fisher, mink, wolf, grouse, rabbit, geese, ducks, there's fish, there's jackfish, goldeye, suckers, berries including blueberries and huckleberries, and medicinal plants. Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2248, lines 12-25; Raymond Cardinal, Transcript Nov. 8, page, 2024,
lines 13-23; Leslie Laviolette, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2055, lines 5-13; Beatrice Deranger, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2060, line 23, to page 2061, line 5; Lisa King, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2088, lines 20-22;

Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 48 to 661; ACFN Undertaking No. 32, Transcript Nov. 9, page 2587, lines 10-19

I want to pause here for a moment and address a fundamental flaw in the reasoning that was raised by my learned friend earlier this morning. It's about the notion that ACFN is not affected, there may be some individuals affected but not ACFN.

There's extensive law on this, but what you need to keep in mind is that the collective holds those rights, the individual's exercise them. And so you can't understand how those rights are exercised unless you go to the individual users. And so it's the collective holds the rights, the individuals exercise them.

And so that's what the law says and that's what's going on here. You've got ACFN rights, which I've talked about them, the Treaty Rights and the incidental rights, you have individual members exercising those rights. And, you know, of course if ACFN was not, members weren't exercising those rights, of course the argument would be, well, you have no use of the area, so they are between a rock
and a hard place. As soon as they step up and say, well, in fact, we do have individuals out there, they face the argument that you heard this morning, which is, well, that's not affecting ACFN as a whole.

You will never, you will never see every single member of any First Nation or Aboriginal group in this country going out on the same area of land either together or one after the other. You just won't see that. It just doesn't happen. And that's not the way rights are exercised.

As you've heard in the hearing, the Athabasca River, it's the lifeblood of ACFN traditional lands. The river provides a vital transportation corridor, it provides access to reserve lands, it provides access to traditional hunting areas, trapping, fishing, gathering areas. It also supports traditional resources required for the meaningful exercise of ACFN rights and the continuity of their distinctive culture. Elder Rene Bruno, Transcript Nov. 7, page 1998, lines 5 to 10; Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2027, line 18, to page 2028, line 9; Jonathan Bruno, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2069, lines 5 to 15; Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, As Long As The Rivers Flow: Athabasca River Knowledge, Use and Change, PDF pages 196-197; Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use

Report, pages 56-58
You heard a number of ACFN members speak to this and how core the river is to getting around, to getting to their sites.

There's also some, in my submission, very helpful studies of ACFN use of the Athabasca River and the tributaries and that's Dr. Candler's report
"As Long as the Rivers Flow." And he also did another report for this hearing called the "Integrated Knowledge and End Use Report." And those have been filed in evidence.

Of course ACFN members have observed a rapid expansion in oil sands development in the last 10 years. And as Lisa King put it in her testimony, they are frustrated. They don't see that there's actually any real protection for the Athabasca River or for the Peace/Athabasca Delta, frankly. And they are frustrated because, you know, they've been watching prior recommendations of prior panels in these hearings, you know, recommendations to establish inflow needs of the Athabasca River, protection of the Muskeg River basin, and they just don't see that happening. And all they see is the water levels continue to get lower and they have a more and more difficult time accessing and using
their lands and exercising their rights. Lisa King, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2091, line 16, to page 2092, line 8

The Muskeg River itself, and Kearl Lake, and Kearl Lake you've heard is also known as "Muskeg Lake" to ACFN, the surrounding lands, the lands and waters between Kearl Lake and McClelland Lake, those are also important hunting, trapping, gathering and fishing areas.

And the Muskeg River particularly holds spiritual significance to ACFN. It's not just about, you know, have we pulled any fish from the river lately. You know, as Marvin L'Hommecourt said, being there is medicinal. He talked to you about, you know, waking up, hearing the river. It's part of the connection to the land that I think gets missed. And I want to emphasize that. Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript Nov. 7, page 2002, lines 10-17. Marvin L’Hommecourt, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2035, lines 2-12. Raymond Cardinal, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2040, lines 6 to 14. Leslie Laviolette, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2050, lines 11-21. Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 56-58

The area to the south of McClelland Lake, the muskeg area that Mr. Laviolette spoke of, supports woodland caribou. Their observations are that it supports woodland caribou. And of course that's a
listed species at risk under the Species at Risk Act. What you've heard about is the muskeg providing safe areas for the caribou to raise their young.

And woodland caribou are a culturally important species for the ACFN. And their survival is of great concern to the ACFN. And you heard Chief Adam talking about the translation of their name meaning "caribou eater." But what he said now is, caribou are calling out for us and they are asking for our help. And you see that in Níh boghodi their Caribou Stewardship Plan. They really take that seriously, they really feel that they have a role in protecting the caribou, it's important to them, spiritually and culturally. Chief Allan Adam, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2207, line 16 to page 2208, line 17. Leslie Laviolette, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2058, line 12 to page 2059, line 20. Elder Pat Marcel, Transcript Nov. 7, page 1981, lines 9 to 16. Exhibit 006-024, Nih Boghodi, We are the Stewards of the Land. Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 60-62

The bison. You've heard about the bison. Bison are also important as a food source, they are important culturally. You've heard about bison being hunted by the Dene as long as the Dene people
have been around. It's been thousands of years, Elder Pat Marcel said. Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, pages 60-61. Elder Pat Marcel, Transcript Nov. 7, page 1974, line 21 to page 1975, line 5

The Project of course also is in the direct path of migratory birds and migratory bird habitat upon which ACFN rely. The spring bird hunt, it's a core component of ACFN's past and present seasonal round. Ray Cardinal, Transcript November 8, page 2039, lines 21-24. Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report, page 62. Exhibit 006,-013I: Dr. Candler: Migratory Bird Traditional Use, starting at PDF 170

The Project and surrounding area of course are also a source of fish and fish habitat for ACFN members. And Lisa King also talked about how the area will of course, some of the streams and tributaries that $g o$ off of the Athabasca River and that go through this area are also fish habitat that members rely upon in terms of the fish that enter the Athabasca River. So in looking at fish and fish habitat, you need to look beyond just the Project footprint, it's not just about whether members fish in that segment of a river that's covered by the Project. Les Laviolette, Transcript November 8, page 2057, lines 2-12. Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge
and Use Report, pages 57-58

And of course you've heard about the medicinal use, the spiritual connection to the lands that the members talked about. Those are all very important factors that they, ACFN have made connections to in terms of this Project area.

## B. Direct and Adverse Effects

So let me talk a bit about the direct and adverse effects that ACFN says that they have. And they say they stand to be directly and adversely affected by the Project in several ways.

## i. Land \& Resources

And the first area I would like to cover is with respect to land and resources.

The Project of course would remove two further tracts of land, that being the mine, and then the compensation lake. And you've heard the members talk about the diminishing intact land base that they have available to exercise their rights. And in practice, the members end up avoiding an even more expansive area of land, it goes beyond the immediate and substantial footprint of the Project. You've heard Chief Adam talked about
contamination concerns. And it also characterizes
a lack of confidence in the natural resources, it's a lack of confidence in the health of the fish, a lack of confidence in the health of the water.

Elder Charlie Voyageur talked about the impact that gates have and how they just end up seeming like areas that they can no longer go.

Heard about Marvin L'Hommecourt talking about the loss of the land base and the loss of resources.

Raymond Cardinal talked about the effect of gates. He talked about the effect of the noise, of the larger land disturbance of typically an avoidance of a larger area. He talked about the impact of the loss of the land itself. He also talked about going to an area, finding berry bushes covered with dust and what he thought were contaminants and his avoidance of those areas that were once used.

You also heard Mr. Laviolette and
Ms. Deranger talk about gates and the effect they have on their psyche and their desire to go into areas.

You also heard Ms. Deranger talk about the need for quiet space, how important it is to have a
quiet area and how important it is to try and maintain that spiritual connection to the land. And so all of those factors have to be considered, in my submission, by this Panel. It's not just about the immediate footprint. There is a broader set of impacts. Chief Allan Adam, Transcript November 7 at page 1968, lines 7-12 (contamination concerns); Elder Charlie Voyageur, November 7 transcript at page 2009, line 19 to 2010, line 1 (gates); Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript November 8 at page 2036, lines 2-8 (loss of land base and resources); Raymond Cardinal, Transcript November 8 at page 2038, lines $7-13$ and page 2039, lines $2-12$ (gates); page 2040, line 15, to page 2041, line 11, and page 2043, line 22, to page 2044, line (noise, larger land disturbance, avoidance of larger area); page 2046, line 6 to page 2047, line 3 (loss of land); page 2048, lines 1-7 (dust, contaminants); Les Laviolette, Transcript November 8, page 2054, line 20 to page 2055, line 1 (gates); Beatrice Deranger, Transcript November 8, page 2062, line 7 - 22 (need for quiet space); page 2063, line 1-17 (effect of noise, gates); Dr. Candler, Exhibit 006-013I at text page 69, 71; Dr. Candler, Transcript November 8 at page 2400, line 7 to 2401, line 10; Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L at text pages 5-7, 5-8 and 5-10

And Marvin L'Hommecourt aptly summarized the effect that the oil sands operations have on the land from ACFN's view and, in particular, what the Project would do to the Muskeg River watershed, and the impacts, the associated impacts on reliance on
the lands and wild resources. And he said:
"Now talking a little bit
about the muskeg..."
And this was on November 8th, starting at
page 2031:
"... now talking a little bit
about the muskeg. Everyone says
it's a mosquito infested bog, but I
think it's a living breathing
entity that houses numerous species
of animals and there's a whole
ecosystem that -- and the life
blood of that is the Muskeg River.
You know, I can give you an
analogy of if one were to poke
one's arm with a knife or
something, you'd say you'd have
adverse effect in the surrounding
tissues and ultimately the whole
body. So if you're to punch holes
in this living, breathing entity
here, certainly -- and ultimately
it will kill the Muskeg. And if you were to move, manipulate the Muskeg River, which is a big thing, you're going to do to grab it and move it somewhere else, and that will certainly kill the surrounding body of muskeg that sustains, you know, moose and caribou, which of course sustains us. And then, you know, the smaller animals, which depend on the muskeg, or the moose to eat, willows, caribou have the lichen or moss. And the lynx have the rabbit and the rabbit eats the willows, and, of course, you know, and the birds feed on those pesky mosquitoes in that muskeg, and of course if we were to do all that and manipulate all the surrounding area, certainly have adverse effect on that whole area and body." Transcript November 8 page 2031, line 4, to page 2032, line 5

So it's to say that it's not just about redirecting a part of the river, it's much more
than that to the users of the land.
And in terms of the specific use, there's been a lot documented, you heard from some of the witnesses, but within the Local Study Area that was set by ACFN, and that was an area of the disturbance plus a five-kilometre radius, and they chose that five-kilometre radius because it's the distance a land user is likely to walk in a day where they're out on the lands. And this is in Dr. Candler's report at Exhibit 006-003I (sic), [006-013I], there's 65 site-specific subsistence values and that includes things like harvesting, food plants, it includes high-value moose habitat. There are 25 site-specific habitation values like camps. Three cultural and spiritual value areas like a burial area or a medicine collection area. And then there's six transportation values like the Muskeg River. Exhibit 006-03I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report at text pages 67-69

You've heard about the members who say, when we've got a specific connection to the land and it's gone, we're unable to pass place-specific traditional knowledge on to future generations. And so when we're talking about the impacts on future generations, we're talking about the ability
of members to pass on that knowledge. And once a landscape is changed like this, dug up, that place-specific traditional knowledge gets lost. You heard Elder Charlie Voyageur talk about that. There's no evidence that Shell's Reclamation Plan can reasonably be expected to recreate the cultural or ecological landscapes that are consistent with Aboriginal traditions of knowledge and use. So it's not just about putting some trees back there and hoping the animals come back. It's a much broader effect. Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript Nov. 7, page 2004, lines 14-19, page 2006, lines 12-17, page 2009, lines 5-15; Raymond Cardinal, Transcript Nov. 8, page 2046, line 6 to page 2047, line 3; Exhibit 006-013I: Dr. Candler, at text pages 75-78

The compensation lake proposed on the west side of the river would also have a direct and adverse impact on ACFN. You've heard how they are concerned how it's going to affect the important bison habitat, impact hunting areas and impact berry and medicinal gathering areas. Pat Marcel said, look, it's going to destroy their summer habitat. Ray Cardinal said, look, I think it's going to push the bison out of that area. Elder Pat Marcel, November 7 at page 1978 line 23 to page 1980, line 6; Marvin L'Hommecourt November 8 at page 2042 lines 14-25; Ray Cardinal, November 8
at page 2041 line 24 to page 2042 line 10; Dr. Candler, Transcript, November 8 at 2380, line 2 to 21; 2381 at $20-2382$ at line 2; 2391 at lines 9-16; 2394 at lines 4-22; Exhibit 006-03I: Dr. Candler, Integrated Knowledge and Use Report at page 72

Getting back to the area around the Muskeg River. The one other factor that wasn't mentioned is how valuable the muskeg is to the high water quality. And this is of course an increasing concern of ACFN is the water quality in the region. Marvin L'Hommecourt, November 8 page 2031, line 4 to page 2032, line 5; Shell October 15 Response, Exhibit 001-0070 at text page 9

The Project is also going to remove a known and regionally valuable wildife movement corridor along the Muskeg River. And the corridor is going to be ineffectual, in our submission, because it's going to be truncated at the northeast end by the mine expansion pit. And the concern of ACFN is that Shell hasn't provided evidence that the genetic connectivity will be ensured. And Mr. L'Hommecourt put it well when he said, look, migratory animals such as moose and caribou just don't have the luxury of a mine escort to get to their habitat. I mean, in a way, it's humorous, but frankly, it describes what's going to happen with the habitat corridor here. MSES, Review of Muskeg River

Diversion Alternative, Exhibit 006-013AA, starting at pdf page 27, text pages 4 and 5; Marvin L'Hommecourt, Transcript November 8 at page 2031, line 2

You've heard about migratory waterfowl, how they are a key cultural resource and how it's becoming increasingly difficult to find adequate numbers of birds for harvesting. Chief Adam, Transcript, November 7 at page 1957, lines 9-12; November 8 at page 2255, line 25, to 2258, line 8; Jonathan Bruno, Transcript November 8 at 2066, line 24, to 2069, line 4; Dr. Candler: Migratory Birds and Aquatic Fur, Exhibit 006-013I, starting at pdf page 166, at text pages 4-5; McCormack, Ethnohistory, Exhibit 006-013K at text page 32

You have also heard about, in addition to the Project removing wetlands, it's also increasing the area occupied by tailings ponds and by industrial waterbodies. It increases the hazard for waterfowl and other migratory birds.

Environment Canada said, look, you know, in seriously adverse weather conditions, we don't think that the bird deterrents work.

And ACFN is concerned that in respect of birds landing on these tailings ponds that operators have just not effectively managed bird-oiling events and the concern that there is still an inadequate capability to manage the risks
here. Shell at EIA Update 2008, Appendix 2, Table 14. Exhibit 001-002A; MSES, Avian Hazard Map, Exhibit 006-013AA at ii, pdf 3. - see report in its entirety

## ii. Water \& Aquatic Resources

Other impacts, you've heard about the area's hydrology and groundwater flows. The flows in the Athabasca River, how they are too low to support the exercise of ACFN's Treaty and Aboriginal Rights and the access, access, this is key, you can't practice your rights if you don't have access. If you can't get into an area, you can't practice the rights.

And ACFN have done their own community-based monitoring program and they found that at six of the eight sample sites in 2011, water quality levels were recorded as below the established Aboriginal Base Flow of four feet. And that Base Flow number comes from -- it's an average depth which is a boat loaded with a moose, and that's the depth you need in order to get in and out of an area. You heard Jonathan Bruno talking about not being able to get into Richardson Lake anymore. It's nearly impossible. And it's an excellent moose-hunting area. They can't get their boats in.

They can't get the moose loaded in. So that's where the Aboriginal Base Flow comes from. ${ }^{\text {Elder Rene }}$ Bruno, November 7, page 1998, line 4, to page 2000, line 8 (on inability to access the land and waters to practice Treaty rights); Elder Pat Marcel, November 7, page 1987, line 7, to page 1988, line 15 (on the effects on fisheries); Jonathan Bruno, November 8, page 2070, line 13, to page 2072, line 13 (on the impact on exercising rights); Marvin L'Hommecourt, November 8, page 2033, lines 9-24; Dr. Martin Carver, Water Quantity Considerations, Exhibit $006-0132 Q$ starting at page 435 , see section 2.2 and 2.4; Dr.Martin Carver, MDRA Review, Exhibit 006-013BB at sections 2.2 and 2.3 (re: will affect hydrology and groundwater flows); Dr. Martin Carver, NNLP review, Exhibit $006-013 B B$ starting at pdf page 24 , see section 2 (re will affect hydrology); Dr. Martin Carver, Transcript November 8, at page 2338 at lines 4 to 14; and, more generally, Dr. Martin Carver, Transcript November 8 at pages 2334-2342 re: withdrawals permitted at very low flow already, lowest flows are in fall - an important traditional harvesting time, and allowable withdrawals based on historic rather than current hydrograph; Bruce MacClean, Community Based Monitoring Program Final Report 2011 Water Quantity Monitoring, Exhibit 006-013BB, beginning at pdf page 199; see text page 3, 4; and responses to Secretariat questions dated November 19, 2012, Exhibit 006-031

Dr. Carver's work has demonstrated that we've had 20 or 30 percent less flow during the fall over the last seven years than we've had in the historic hydrograph, upon which the Phase I rules are based.

Fish have been a subsistence mainstay of ACFN, both before and after the Treaty. Residential locations and therefore reserves, the Indian Reserves for local bands were typically in areas where fish could be caught. And so when we talk about getting access to the reserves, those reserves are set up and historical records shows this, the reserves are set in areas where there are good fishing locations. And Reserve IR 201D, it was intended specifically for fishing for ACFN members.

And fish continue to be important today. As Chief Adam noted, members can constantly fish for pickerel, pike, and in the summer months they fish for whitefish. McCormack, Ethnohistory, Exhibit 006-013K at text pages 29-30; Chief Adam and Lisa King, Transcript November 8, page 2257, line 10 , to 2258, line 20

If approved, the Jackpine Mine Expansion would destroy a large amount of fish habitat in the Muskeg River watershed. Shell estimates the physical habitat loss at closure in the Jackpine Mine Expansion area alone to be 795,000 approximately metres squared, if one doesn't include the loss of Kahago Lake. But with the Kahago Lake, it's 1.65 million square metres.

And you've heard from DFO that Shell hasn't applied, and certainly doesn't seem to consider, habitat loss due to chemical deposition in its compensation habitat that's planned for habitat loss. Exhibit 001-001C, EIA Vol 4A at table 6.7-11, text page 6-600; Brian Makowecki, Transcript November 15, at 3398 lines 6-13

So Shell proposes to replace the loss of fish and fish habitat with a compensation lake. In our submission, the efficacy of compensation lakes in terms of productive fish habitat, they are unproven. It's not disputed that the proposed Redclay south compensation lake would not produce harvestable fish for a number of years. In large part, due to methyl-- mercury contamination, pardon me.

So even if fish were eventually safe to harvest, the farming of the fish resource shouldn't be confused with sufficient resources to support the Treaty right to fish. There's no evidence before this Panel that Dene people would find fishing in such a compensation lake a suitable alternative or substitute. It simply wouldn't have any cultural meaning. It would be an imposed feature on the geography, on ACFN's geography. Shell nNLP Exhibit 001-064B at section 2.1.2 and Figure 5; Bill Kovach, Transcript

November 2, page 1155, at lines 7, to page 1161, line 22; Brian Makowecki, Transcript November 15, at 3646 , lines $17-19$ (too early to claim success re: NNLP); Lisa King, Transcript November 8 at 2266, lines 4-17

Many ACFN members already avoid harvesting fish from the Athabasca River. The research by Dr. Jones, what it demonstrates, and let's be clear about this, the hypothesis is that contaminants are higher in the fish near oil sands operations. That's the hypothesis. That's what's been demonstrated by the study. And so the study that Dr. Jones presented is that the fish in the vicinity have higher concentrations of larger five-ring PAH in their bile than anywhere else in the river system. Dr. Craig Candler, As Long as the Rivers Flow, Exhibit 006-013I starting at pdf 185, see in particular text pages 19 (Map 4), 25-27; Dr. Candler, Exhibit 006-013I at text page 69, 71; Dr. Candler, Transcript November 8 at page 2400, line 7, to 2401, line 10; Chief Adam (re fear of tailings pond seepage) Transcript November 7 at page 1957, line 23, to page 1958, line 5; Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L at text pages 5-16 to 5-21; Dr. Paul Jones, Preliminary Analysis of Health and Contaminant Status of Fish Collected from the Slave and Athabasca Rivers, 2011-2012, Exhibit 006-013BB beginning at pdf page 130

And so it's expected that if the Project is approved as proposed, ACFN members will just
increasingly avoid fish downstream of the Project.

## iii. Socio-Economic and Cultural Effects

There are also direct and adverse cultural and psychological impacts that arise and would arise if the Project were approved. Chief Adam talked a bit about ACFN culture being grounded in respect for Mother Earth. He said: "When land is taken up, we feel the hardships, we feel the pain that comes with it." Chief Adam, Transcript, November 7 at 1954, lines $7-24$; 1956, line 24 , to page 1957, line 3; page 1958, lines 13-23; page 1961, lines $12-20$, page 1962 at lines 16-21, page 1966, lines 18-25

He talked about being the seventh generation since the Treaty was signed. He talked about feeling this great responsibility to ensure that planning is effective for the next seven generations. To make sure that development that happens happens at a sustainable and controlled pace. He talked about the effect of land being taken up, the effect it has on ACFN members' morale, on their spirit. People don't just think about the land. They visit it. They do things on it. They relate to the reciprocity between themselves and what they see is the spirits that inhabit the land and promote meaningful orientation

1
to the landscape. And so these aren't just beliefs of the past. They are ongoing parts of Dene awareness, of spirituality.

As Beatrice Deranger said: The land is "like a church to some people." Dr. McCormack, Ethnohistory at 115; Exhibit 006-013K, at text pages 114-115; Beatrice Deranger, Transcript November 8, page 2061, line 17, to page 2062, line 6; pg 2062, line 6, and page 2064, lines 17-20

That's the kind of effect it has when Dene people go out there. You heard Lisa King testify that:
"The people feel the spirit
of the land. When the land is
disturbed they feel it. I took my granny north..."
"... she closed her eyes and she just blocked her head..."
"I just want to say it affects, when you see the impacts on land, it affects people in different ways."
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And:
"Depending on your spirit and your strength, you can deal with the impacts of development differently."
"I just want to say it's our duty as indigenous people on this land to care for our Earth Mother." Transcript November 8 at page 2103, line 10 to 2104, line 8

And so ACFN has submitted studies to this Panel.

Alistair MacDonald in his study, he talks about the loss of ability of ACFN members to meaningfully exercise their Treaty Rights and the results this has causing adverse sociocultural impacts including decreased ability to transmit knowledge, the adverse impacts to community well-being. Alistair McDonald, Supplemental Social, Economic and Cultural Effects Submission, Exhibit 006-013M at Chapters 6 and 7

Patt Larcombe talks about in her encroachment narrative, she talks about, you know, a decrease in
the population in a traditional resource species, the need to travel further afield to harvest species, or the increased competition can lead to decreased harvesting opportunities.

And in the context of social-economic effects, traditional food has been referred to as the "anchor for cultural and personal wellbeing." And consuming wild foods is fundamentally important for personal and cultural wellbeing of Aboriginal individuals and communities. Patt Larcombe, A Narrative of Encroachment Experienced by ACFN, Exhibit 006-013L, generally at chapters 5 and 6, specifically at text pages 6-12, 6-13, 6-20 to 6-23. See also Figures on pages 2-14 and 2-15; Les Laviolette, Transcript November 8 at page 2277, lines 1-21

And when access to country foods is impacted or lost, a subsequent effect is loss in personal identity and deterioration in overall sense of self.

You heard Jonathan Bruno, he's a young guy, he talks about he has four children, he really wants them to learn to live off the land. It's extremely important to him. He really worries that they are not going to be able to do, they are just not going to have the ability to do so, the way the lands and waters are being affected. Jonathan Bruno,

November 8, page 2073 lines 12 to 21

You heard Marvin L'Hommecourt talk about how being able to survive off the land, it's key, it's a key part of the culture. Marvin L'Hommecourt November 8, page 2033, line 25 , to page 2034, line 7

And so ACFN is subject to an increasing level of adverse socio-economic effects and the effects on their culture associated with rapid oil sands development. And so this Project, this Project itself, we're not talking about some other project, we're talking about this Project, it is anticipated and it's anticipated because of what's happened in the last 10 years, it's going to have effects on members passing on their culture, accessing spiritual sites, a loss of tranquillity in relationship with the land. Alistair McDonald, Supplemental Social, Economic and Cultural Effects, Submissions, Exhibit 006-013M at chapters 6 and 7; Beatrice Deranger, November 7, page 2061, line 8, to page 2062, line 6; Jonathan Bruno, November 7, page 2073, lines 5-19

And some of the other social issues that you've heard about, members that try to get involved and work in the oil sands industry, you know, as my friend Mr. Jeerakathil said, look, there's no doubt that it brings economic benefits, but you also have to consider some of the other
effects. And so you've got ACFN members going to try and work in oil sands, like they report disruptions in family and community dynamics because of long shift rotations, income inequity, isolation from their social support networks.

You heard Kim Marcel, the employee for ACFN talk about some of the social issues she sees. Kim Marcel, November 7, page 2082, lines 2-8; page 2085, line 11, to page 2086, lines 1-15

## C. Cumulative Impacts in the Region.

I'm going to talk a bit about now cumulative impacts.

One of the challenges that ACFN sees with the way these projects are approved is that cumulative impacts are clearly occurring but they don't seem to be adequately addressed in the context of the Projects. And I believe one of you Panel Members, I think it was you, Mr. Bolton, talked about how everybody sees the cumulative impacts happening but nobody says their project has any connection to those cumulative impacts. And ACFN of course would disagree with that, Shell's assessment in that respect. What they would say is this Project would substantially contribute to the cumulative impacts
of development in the region and it would do so in a way that threatens the sustainability of ACFN's culture, their way of life, exercise of their rights.

Going back to what I mentioned at the beginning, Treaty 8 promised the continued patterns of use and occupation forever. The words are in perpetuity. And they've already experienced significant degradation of their ability to exercise their rights and their traditional ways of life.

And you're looking at the, in the Terms of Reference, going back to a pre-industrial baseline in terms of considering over the last 40 years in terms of considering the cumulative impacts.

And so, again, the studies that we've put forward in our submission assist you in understanding that.

So it puts in context what members say when they say, look, we can't just go somewhere else, there are problems with just going somewhere else.

You know, the cultural importance of the lands between the Peace-Athabasca Delta and Fort McKay, which include the Regional Study Area here, the importance of those lands has increased
dramatically in recent years and it's as a result of a number of cumulative factors, and those include:

Loss of significant portions of lands for traditional activities, you know, starting with the construction of the Bennett Dam.

There's been loss of other portions of territory due to industrial development.

There's been the creation of Wood Buffalo National Park, which of course goes back much further, but until very recently ACFN was simply not allowed to go in there and they don't feel the connection to the land any longer, they've lost that through generations.

Government regulations including the prohibition of hunting migratory birds and bison for periods of time.

The imposition of the registered fur management regime. Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript November 7 at 2006, line 22, to page 2007, line 13 (impediments posed by RFMA system); Dr. McCormack, Ethnohistory, Exhibit 006-013K, generally, and specifically at text pages 18-20, 25-27, and at section 7 (text page 139); Dr. McCormack, Fort Chipewyan and the Shaping of Canadian History; Exhibit 006-013K, starting at pdf page 209 at Chapters 5 - 9; Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L, specifically at 3-6 to 3-8 and 3-12, generally
at Chapters 3 and 4; Doreen Somers, Transcript November 8 at page 2132, lines 12-16, page 2135, lines 11-22

You heard about Elder Charlie Voyageur talking about how the trapline regime has, you know, ended up being imposed on all of northern Alberta. There's been a significant relocation of populations of ACFN members.

And so the suggestion that ACFN members who use and are connected to the area that the Project is going to affect, that they can just go elsewhere, is a complete and utter misunderstanding of the impacts to the land that ACFN has already faced. Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript November 7 at 2007, line 14, to page 2008, line 15

You know, and we've heard a number of times in this proceeding that Shell's been consulting with ACFN for 15 years or so. Surely Shell would understand by now that it's not an answer to say, well, sure, we're using up this area, but you can just go somewhere else. Surely through that consultation process they will have understood that that's just simply not a reasonable suggestion. And so when Shell says, look, we listened to your concerns, we take them into account, my suggestion is, on that issue, they just don't, they do not, if
that's the answer, "you can go somewhere else."
So in short, place matters. Specific locations and the resources and traditional knowledge associated with specific locations is really important. It's important to those who know the land, who use those areas. Dr. Pat McCormack, Ethnohistory, Exhibit 006-013K at text pages 167-171; Dr. Candler, ACFN Integrated Knowledge and Use Report for JPME and PRM, Exhibit 006-013I at 65, ACFN Advice to the Government of Alberta on LARP, Appendix F, Tab 51 (g); Exhibit 006-013FF, starting at page 259, text page 27 re cultural protection zones; Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L at section 6.3.2, starting text page 6-17

You know, prior to the construction of the Bennett Dam, the Peace-Athabasca Delta was resource-rich. It was a heavily relied-upon area of ACFN traditional lands. There's reports of the multitudes of fish, and the channels swarming with muskrat, and large bison herd, and the waterfowl densities were massive. Historical review of Biological Resources of the Peace Athabasca Delta, Exhibit 006-006-013H starting at pdf page 179; see text page 155, 156, 158, 160

And the Elders talk about this as well. And this is in the study of Footprints on the Land. It accords with the traditional knowledge of the delta.

Elder Charlie Voyageur, Transcript November 7, page 2004,
lines 3-9; Footprints on the Land, Exhibit 006-013J at Chapters 7 and 8; Dr. Candler, Migratory Birds and Aquatic Fur Technical Memo;

Exhibit 006-013I, starting at page 166 , see text pages $8-9$

But the severe impacts on ACFN's way of life after the dam was constructed was that many families had to leave the bush for life in town. Exhibit $006-013 \mathrm{H}$ pdf pages $114-146$ and pdf page 323

And so the delta began to dry up and habitat was reduced for key species, like muskrat, like moose, waterfowl, and this has had long-lasting negative impacts on ACFN members and other local people who use the land. Dr. McCormack, Ethnohistory at text pages 15-16 and 161-63; Indian Claims Commission decision, Exhibit 006-013Hat text page 78; Letter INAC to ACFN, Exhibit 006-013H at page 147

And you've heard about the ongoing issues with the ability to travel by water. And that's been for a number of years and it's just getting worse.

And so that's the area around Fort Chipewyan. But you've also heard about the southern portion of ACFN's traditional lands and how those lands are being overwhelmed by industrial development and it's most significantly from oil sands exploration and extraction.

And virtually all of the lands that ACFN includes within its Traditional Lands in Alberta south of Wood Buffalo National Park and west of the Saskatchewan border have been sold off by way of oil sands leases. And so we're not talking about, you know, this is a real prospect, a very real prospect of exploration activity and development on those tenures. We're not talking about some hypothetical. Shell's witness panel talked about how they are obligated to develop their tenures. I think the wording by Mr. Roberts was, we're obligated to our stakeholders, which include the public and everybody else out there. And so this isn't a hypothetical. These are leases that have been given out over the lands that ACFN uses. John Broadhurst, Transcript, October 30, page 215, lines 3-6; page 230, lines 2-9; Mr. Roberts, Transcript October 30, page 447, lines 5-9

And so we'd ask that you keep that in mind. I mean, this is also about cumulative impact.

And other pressures that have also been experienced include, you know, increased non-Aboriginal hunting, other recreational uses, forestry, mineral development, uranium exploration, conventional oil and gas development, and increasing settlement and infrastructure
construction. Patt Larcombe, Encroachment Narrative, Exhibit 006-013L, Chapter 4

So that paints a, you know, in our submission, a picture of the cumulative impacts that have been occurring for years and will continue to impact if this Project is approved.

The only herd of bison outside of Wood Buffalo Park is the Ronald Lake herd. You've heard about that. ACFN members worry that it's already at dangerously low levels. MSES, Effects on Traditional Resources - ACFN Exhibit 006-0130 at text pages iii, 16-18; Mr. Virc, Transcript November 15, page 3348, line 10, to page 3349, line 10; Mr. Wiacek, Transcript November 15, page 3360, lines 4-8

I believe my friend talked about the numbers within Wood Buffalo Park. ACFN does not refer to those bison as bison they use. They refer to the ones at Ronald Lake as the ones that they would have access to.

Of course you've heard about the woodland caribou, they are at dangerously low levels and they are not available for traditional resource use. MSES, Effects on Traditional Resources - Exhibit 006-0130 at text pages 13-15

ACFN has led evidence to show that in this proceeding that between 1992 and 2008 an average of

42 square kilometres, it's about 10 moose home ranges, moose habitat has been removed each year from ACFN's Regional Study Area and moose density has declined substantially.

They've shown beaver habitat, experienced a loss of about 6.3 square kilometres per year.

Waterfowl habitat, the loss of about 3.6 square kilometres a year. And while at the same time the area of waterfowl hazard has more than tripled.

And now the extirpation of woodland caribou from the ACFN Regional Study Area is a near certainty. MSES, Effects on Traditional Resources - Exhibit 006-0130

This comes out of the EMESIS report, effects on traditional resources, and it's Exhibit 006-0130. And those trajectories were confirmed in a recent analysis. MSES, Continued Effects on Traditional Resources - 2011, Exhibit 006-013P

And so all of those things, those are the effects on the animals, the effects on use of the lands, those are all effects that ACFN has suffered and should be considered in terms of the cumulative impacts that this Project will contribute to.

And that wraps up my segment of the argument. And I wonder if we could just take a couple of
minutes just to assess where we're at?
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, please go ahead, sir.
MR. MURPHY: Thank you. I wonder if we could just take five minutes and I'll speak with my friend, Ms. Gorrie.

THE CHAIRMAN: Fine. Sir, we need to take 5 or 10 minutes in any event, so this may be a good time.

## (Brief Break)

THE CHAIRMAN:
Mr. Murphy, did you have something?

MR. MURPHY:
I thought I would just say for the record that Ms. Gorrie has graciously agreed to go next and my colleague, Ms. Biem, will wrap up her submissions in the morning. She's going to deal with three main subject areas: And that's Shell's EIA, consultation with Shell, and the mitigation, and then conclude.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Ms. Gorrie?
MS. GORRIE: Good evening, Panel. Before I start, I was under the understanding that I should probably go about through half my submissions. Is there a timeframe in which I should be closing by?

THE CHAIRMAN: that's a convenient break point. MS. GORRIE: I might be a little under an hour where it actually breaks.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine.

FINAL ARGUMENT OF THE OIL SANDS ENVIRONMENTAL COALITION, BY MS. GORRIE:

MS. GORRIE: So I'm aware that Madam Court Reporter has been going hard all day, so I'm going to do my best to talk slowly for her poor fingers.

So I'm not going to be addressing all the issues in OSEC's pre-filed submissions; rather, I'm going to focus on the key issues. And obviously I won't be reviewing all the evidence due to time constraints.

And as some of my colleagues have done, I've provided a copy of our submissions to the court reporter, and the citations will also be in there, so I will make statements that are incorporated into the transcripts by virtue of the fact that I've already provided the citations. And I also ask that my verbal comments take precedence where I deviate from my speaking notes.

So OSEC submits that the evidence shows that
there are significant adverse effects from this Project and there's an absence of adequate assessment and demonstrated technically and economically feasible measures to mitigate those effects.

We also believe that this Project is not in the public interest.

## LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Now, to begin with, I'm going provide an overview of the legal framework within which this Panel must make its determination.

To start off with, the biggie, CEAA (2012).

## CEAA 2012

1. It includes a requirement to promote sustainable development in order to achieve or maintain a healthy environment and a healthy economy. This includes a requirement to meet the needs of the present without compromising or impairing resources for use by future generations.
2. It also includes a requirement to ensure that designated projects are considered in a careful and precautionary manner to avoid significant adverse effects.

Lower Athabasca Regional Plan ${ }^{1}$ Exhibit 017-016T
Now, we're all very familiar with the Lower Athabasca Regional Plan, or LARP, by now. It was released this fall. And as set out in Section 15 of the Alberta Land Stewardship Act, regional plans are binding on the Crown and on statutory decision-makers.

Pursuant to the Energy Resource Conservation Act, the Board must act in accordance with any applicable regional plans. In other words, its decisions must be consistent with LARP.

Now, an overriding goal of LARP is a healthy environment and it requires that (as read):
"The environmental and social
impacts associated with long-term
opportunities for oil sands
development are carefully managed."

And one of the outcomes specified in LARP is that landscapes are managed to maintain ecosystem function and biodiversity. This includes an objective to avoid or mitigate land disturbance impacts to biodiversity.

It's also important to note that LARP does not designate any areas within the region for intensive use.

## Sub-regional Integrated Regional Plan for the Fort

 McMurray - Athabasca Oil Sands regionNow, this one's a mouthful, but the Subregional Integrated Regional Plan for the Fort McMurray-Athabasca Oil Sands Region, and I'll just refer to it as the Fort McMurray IRP. And as stated in LARP, Integrated Resource Plans represent the Government of Alberta's Resource Management Policy for Public Lands and Resources and are intended to be a guide for decision-makers. ${ }^{2}$ Exhibit 017-016T, p. 4

The Fort McMurray IRP is the guiding plan for the region and includes population targets for some species. It also includes the following wildlife objectives:

- To minimize damage to
wildlife habitat and where possible
to enhance the quality, diversity,
distribution, and extent of
productive habitat.
- It also includes to maintain
and if possible to enhance the diversity, abundance and distribution of wildlife resources for native sustenance, recreational and commercial benefits. - Finally, it states that one of the objectives is to protect wildlife species considered sensitive to disturbance or environmental change and to promote increased populations and distribution of species considered rare or endangered. 3 Exhibit 017-016w


## EPEA

Another statutory instrument is the Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act. Now, the Alberta Land Stewardship Act does not repeal the EPEA and therefore environmental protection is still a legislative requirement. It's also important to note that the EPEA adopts a principle of sustainable development and recognizes the importance of preventing and mitigating the environmental impact of development.

## SARA



Finally, there's the Species at Risk Act or SARA. And this Act was enacted in part to fulfill Canada's international obligations under the UN Convention on Biological Diversity to protect and conserve biodiversity. It's depended to provide for the recovery of species at risk through various means, including the protection of its habitat. In fact the Act states that the habitat of a species at risk is key to their conservation.

Now, there's a government document entitled "Addressing Species at Risk: Considerations Under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act." And this document was referenced by Environment Canada in their submissions and was discussed during cross-examination. And it states that SARA requires that if a project subject to an environmental assessment is carried out, measures must be taken to avoid or lessen all adverse effects of the project and monitor them consistent with applicable recovery strategies and action plans.

It also states that, thus:
"... in developing mitigation
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measures ... the approach should be
systematic and rigorous." 4 Exhibit
017-041, p. 41
Now that document also states that:
"Where there is uncertainty
regarding the likelihood or
possible significance of adverse
effects on wildlife species at
risk, it is best practice to adopt
a precautionary approach in the
analysis, given their
vulnerability." 5 Exhibit 017-041, p. 35
Finally, it states that:
"From a practical
perspective, the obligations
under... SARA reinforce the need
for federal environmental
assessments to pay particular
attention to listed wildlife
species and their critical
habitat." 6 Exhibit 017-041, p. 35

## Public interest test

Now, in accordance with its provincial legislative mandate, this Panel must determine whether this Project is in the public interest of Albertans having regard to the social and economic effects and the effects on the environment.

Now, as held in Solex Gas Processing Corp., which is an Alberta Court of Appeal decision (as read) :
"The scope of the public
interest is meant to be broad and
should not be interpreted
restrictively." 7 paras 33-38

The Board in Cheviot Mine also held that (as read) :
"... the establishment of
need does not automatically imply that the project is in the public interest ... The degree of environmental, social, and economic impact must also be assessed."

In that case, the Board refused to permit coal-mining activity in one portion of the project area because it determined that the loss of the value of the coal reserves would be outweighed by the loss of the valued environmental components.

To assess whether a project is in the public interest, the Panel must look at government policy documents and legislation as they are the expression of the public interest.

Now, before delving into the meat of my submissions, it is important to note that throughout the EIA and during the hearing, Shell dealt with predictions of exceedances of thresholds and guidelines by referring to monitoring data and studies regarding impacts or pollution levels from the last decade. Evidence of impacts or lack of impacts during this past time period do not justify another project or lack of effective mitigation.

The main purpose of the Environmental
Assessment is to enable development to be sustainable and avoid environmental degradation.

This means the focus must be on the likely impact of the project and other projects in the
area that will be operating at the same time. And this will be in the future. Such an approach is required in order to adequately assess the project's effects and determine whether it is in the public's interest.

So with that in mind, I will now turn to addressing the key issues of concern for OSEC.

## TERRESTRIAL IMPACTS

The first issue, and $I$ think the only one that I will be going through this evening, is terrestrial impacts.

## Thresholds

So when it comes to terrestrial resources, Shell is hanging its hat on LARP. However, no biodiversity or land disturbance standards have yet been developed under LARP.

In the absence of those frameworks, LARP currently provides no protection for terrestrial resources in the RSA. It also fails to provide guidance regarding the thresholds for important considerations such as habitat loss, wildlife abundance and land disturbance.

While LARP does contain some conservation
areas, virtually all of those areas fall outside the RSA. ${ }^{9}$ Transcript volume 8, p. 1722, 1. 6-10

Now, Shell suggests that in the absence of frameworks under LARP, there are no applicable thresholds for assessing the impacts of the Project. 10 October 15th Submission However, that is simply not the case.

It was held in the Total Decision Report that the threshold for significance should be 20 percent habitat loss for wildlife; but when dealing with species at risk, any impacts are significant. 11 Exhibit 017-016DD Now, such a precautionary approach is necessary as species at risk are already threatened by habitat loss and population declines. As confirmed by Environment Canada, there risk tolerance is very, very low. And one has to be very conservative and precautionary when assessing risk or determining impacts to them. ${ }^{12}$ Transcript volume 13, p. 3118, 1 1-10; p. 3119, 1. 6-10

Shell is aware of the Total decision when it undertook its assessment, yet it decided to disregard it. But if they had applied those thresholds, they would have determined that there would be significant adverse effects for 16 of the assessed species. 13 Exhibit 017-032

Direction regarding thresholds is also provided by CEMA's Terrestrial Ecosystem Management Framework, or TEMF, which we've also heard a lot about during the hearing.

Now, while Shell does not take issue of the Application of some aspects of the TEMF, it argues that the Natural Range of Variability, or the NRV aspect, should not (sic) be applied on a regional scale and not to specific projects -- or, sorry, should only be applied on a regional scale and not to specific projects. ${ }^{14}$ Transcript Volume 5, p. 317, 1. 24; p. 318, 1. 12

In short, Shell only wants to apply the TEMF when it is convenient for them to do so.

The argument that TEMF should only be applied on a regional scale was dismissed by the Panel in Total Joslyn. 15 Transcript Volume 5, p. 917, 1. 24; p. 918, 1. 12 We also heard from Dr. Song with Environment Canada that the TEMF is a valuable tool but that the TEMF approach of setting management triggers at 10 percent below the NRV is not precautionary enough. ${ }^{16}$ Transcript Volume 13, p. 3117, 1. 1-8 If Shell had undertaken an assessment of the NRV, it likely would have concluded that the RSA level, 13 of 19 species assessed, would be more than 10 percent
below the Natural Range of Variability.
17 Exhibit 017-032

The Fort McMurray IRP also provides some guidance regarding thresholds. It contains a population target for moose which Shell failed to consider in its assessment but which it later admitted during cross-exam that it would not be met. 18 Transcript Volume 8, p. 1617, 1. 6-15

While Shell takes the position that we need to wait for LARP to determine thresholds, it also makes several references to the concept of critical thresholds in support of the notion that habitat loss up to the range of 70 to 90 percent is acceptable and that it should be used as a guide when assessing effects. 19 Exhibit 001-051E, p. 3-23 To put it simply, relying on the concept of critical thresholds is the opposite of precautionary.

Mr. Wiacek with Environment Canada stated that 70 to 90 percent thresholds is not precautionary, and that thresholds can vary depending on various factors, including the species at issue and the study area.

He also stated that there is a lot of uncertainty around thresholds and that habitat loss in the range of 20 to 40 percent can cause a change
in a population trajectory. He also cautioned the Panel in terms of how they apply such thresholds. 20 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3112, 1. 1-17

In sum, the critical threshold approach, which could take a species to the brink of extinction, is clearly inconsistent with CEAA and SARA, both of which require precaution be taken. Alternatively, if Shell's argument that no threshold exists is accepted, we submit that in such circumstances, the Panel should act particularly cautiously in assessing the effects of the Project.

And there's an EUB Decision 2001-33 that we reference in our submission. And there, the Board states:
"The existence of regulatory
standards is an important element
in deciding whether potential
adverse impacts are acceptable and
whether a proponent has
satisfactorily accounted for these
externalities ... Where no
sanctioned thresholds exist, it is
especially critical that the Board

```
weigh the impact of potential
adverse effects on the public and
the efficacy of the mitigative
measures designed by a proponent to
minimize these impacts to
acceptable levels." 21 Exhibit 017-016,
p. }2
```


## Determining Significance

Shell has also erred by disregarding the impacts of the Project at the LSA level in favour of an RSA level approach. And my friend discussed this earlier in his submissions, but I'm going to discuss this as well because $I$ think it's a very important aspect of the assessments.

As confirmed by Shell, there is no policy or legislative basis on which to take such an approach. In fact, again, the Total Panel said that it's unusual to use the RSA for determining significance of effects and that the LSA is normally used to assess effects of a Project. 22 Transcript Volume 5, p. 900, 1. 3-17

Shell attempts to pull support for an RSA approach by using inappropriate analogies and by citing documents that do not support that
proposition. 23 Transcript volume 2, p. 265, 1. 16; p. 266, 1. 3; Transcript Volume 5, p. 905, 1. 19 to p. 906, 1. 15

The EPEA and CEAA both indicate that there's
a requirement to provide a project-specific
assessment along with a cumulative effects
assessment. While the RSA is the appropriate scale for a cumulative effects assessment, it is not the appropriate scale for a project-specific one.

And Mr. Wiacek with Environment Canada summed it up best when he said:
"The issue I have is in
determining project effects. Shell
has only assessed the significance
of Project effects at the scale of the Regional Study Area. And part
of the justification they give is
they reference the Cumulative
Effects Assessment Guide, which
deals with cumulative effects and
not project effects. And actually,
when you review that document, it
actually talks about the potential
for significance of local effects
and their contribution to regional
effects.
So it's our opinion that the significance of project effects could be evaluated at both the local and regional scales to provide a complete understanding of what the Project effects are and the appropriate mitigation measures for the Project." 24 Transcript volume 14, p. 3608, 1. 2-19

So what we have here is really an approach by Shell that seems to expand the scale as far as is necessary in order to make very real impacts seem minor. In order to determine significance, not only did Shell look at the RSA level, it expanded its scope of assessment to include available trend information not only within Alberta but in Canada as well. 25 Transcript Volume 7, p. 1380-1381

Such an approach is contrary to the legislative requirements for conducting EAs. And again, I promise $I$ won't do much more quoting from Mr. Wiacek, but he did make an interesting statement:
"And I think that
misrepresents how significance is typically conducted or determined in Environmental Assessment. The scope of the Environmental

Assessment is the Local Study Area and the Regional Study Area, but this has been expanded to include the provincial and the national scale, which I think can be very misleading in determining significance." 26 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3605, 1. 12-20

And on that basis, Mr. Wiacek then goes on to state that he is not satisfied with Shell's determination of significance. 27 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3606, 1. 8-11

Shell has tried to dance around the information that has been provided not only by scoping out to the RSA or even the provincial or national level, but also by applying completely subjective tests to assess significance of effects. As mentioned earlier, they apply the ecological context to determining significance and
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in the case of cumulative effects they look at whether they compromise resilience of a population such as that they are no longer likely to be self-sustaining. 28 Exhibit 001-036, p. 56

Now, Shell provides no analysis in the assessment to show how they assessed the ecological context or determine that species are still self-sustaining or resilient. Shell stated numerous times during the cross-examination that they applied their professional judgment in order to determine whether the effects were significant. 29 Transcript Volume 5, p. 896, 1. 21 to p. 897, 1. 23; p. 901, 1. 8; Transcript Volume 3, p. 380, 1. 12-14

But Mr. Wiacek stated that it is very difficult to determine whether a species is self-sustaining. $\quad 30$ Transcript Volume 14, p. 3604, 1. 16-23 Despite that fact, Shell was somehow able to make that determination simply by applying its professional judgment with no documentation to support it in the assessment. So in reality, Shell undertook a subjective analysis that is not delineated in the Application. Subjective professional judgement of the Proponent that is unsupported by evidence should not guide decision making and should be disregarded by the Panel.

The true ecological context is an LSA and RSA that has been adversely affected. 31 Transcript volume 3, p. $371,1.21$ to p. 373, 1. 3 Shell has admitted that the LSA will be completely disturbed during the life of the Project but for a 500-metre buffer. 32 Transcript volume 3, p. 356, 1. 5-7 In fact, from Base Case to Project Case, 91 percent of wetlands in the LSA will be lost or altered with the majority of these being peatlands. 33 Exhibit 001-051F, Table 4.3-1

There's also evidence that the RSA generally is highly impacted and will be increasingly so as approved development proceeds. For example, 13 of 19 assessed species will lose more than 20 percent of their high value habitat within the RSA in the Planned Development Case Cumulative Effects Assessment. 34 Exhibit 001-063, Table 1.3-1 And that's to say nothing of moderate and low quality habitat which we've seen has been considered in previous assessments. Now, these impacts are also conservative as they do not include reasonably foreseeable disturbances such as mandatory exploration disturbances on oil sands leases. 35 Exhibit 017-016, p. 16-17

Evidence referenced during this hearing, including the Government of Alberta Athabasca Oil

Sands Projects and Upgrader Map ${ }^{36}$ Exhibit 011-014, the ALCES III Scenario Modelling ${ }^{37 \text { Exhibit 017-0160, the }}$ Dover EIA ${ }^{38}$ Exhibit 017-024, the TECK EIA ${ }^{39}$ Transcript Volume 13, p. 3124, 1. 22 to p. 3142, 1. 1-14, and TEMF ${ }^{40 \text { Exhibit 001-016BB, }}$ all provide evidence of a region that is highly impacted and will be increasingly impacted as more projects appear on the landscape.

To put it in perspective, back in 2007, the TEMF concluded that we have already or will soon have species going below minus 10 percent the Natural Range of Variability. That was five years ago, before we had many of the existing and approved projects that are considered in this assessment. At that time, the TEMF also called for immediate management action to reverse the declines, which hasn't happened, so presumably the declines are continuing. More recent EIAs within the RSA confirm that to be the case. For example, the TEK analysis determined that a number of species were being driven well below the lower boundary of their NRV, some down as low as 40 to 50 percent below. 41 Transcript volume 13, p. 3142, 1. 25 to p. $3143,1.14$

Now, Shell has not provided information to support its assertion that the RSA has the carrying
capacity to handle more development. Rather, Environment Canada has stated that it is concerned about the level of habitat loss that Shell has identified in the Cumulative Effects Assessment both at their Base Case and their Planned Development Case, and that those numbers appear to be very high. 42 Transcript volume 14, p. 3609, 1. 13-20

Environment Canada also stated that there have already been substantial effects on habitat and that there is no evidence that there would be surplus habitat available within the RSA. 43 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3632, l. 18 to p. 3633, 1. 4

Now, this morning, Mr. Denstedt has stated that looking at effects from the Pre-Industrial Case to the Planned Development Case should not be considered by the Panel and that that assessment is only useful for regional planning purposes. With all due respect, that assumption is ludicrous. It ignores the fact that the Panel's Terms of Reference specifically require a cumulative effects assessment that includes a Pre-Industrial Case and future foreseeable projects and activities. It also ignores the duty of the Panel to assess the significance of those cumulative effects.

The Planned Development Case Cumulative Effects Assessment prepared for this Project cannot simply be dismissed by the Panel, it's something that should be considered on another day by another decision maker.

It was prepared for this Project Assessment in order to enable this Panel to discharge its duty to assess whether the cumulative effects outlined in the Planned Development Case is significant.

As set out in the CEAA Practitioners Guide, cumulative effects assessment are done to ensure the incremental effects resulting from the combined influence of various actions are assessed.

The incremental effects may be significant even though the effects of each action, when independently assessed are considered insignificant.

## Impacts Not Considered

45 Exhibit 017-016

## Lack of Mitigation

Now, clearly the scenario presented in the cumulative effects assessment is one where incremental effects are significant, of which this Project is a contributor.
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So despite the significant impacts to terrestrial resources, Shell has not proffered measures that will adequately mitigate the impacts of the Project on terrestrial resources. Shell is relying substantially on reclamation efforts to mitigate the effects of the Project. However, no evidence has been presented that reclamation efforts will likely be successful.

As stated by Mr. Wiacek during the hearing:
"And there's also a great
deal of uncertainty regarding reclamation in terms of whether or not certain species, including species at risk, will recolonize some of those habitats in the long-term; right now, we don't have any evidence to suggest that that will occur." 46 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3124, 1. 18-23

Such uncertainty exists for various terrestrial resources, including old-growth forests. 47 Transcript volume 14, p. 3640, 1. 6-9 Now even assuming that the species that rely on old-growth
forests are able to recolonize those areas after reclamation, there will be a considerable time lag before recolonization, basically in excess of 100 years. 48 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3640, 1. 2-6 But Shell has not provided mitigation for the species that rely on that habitat in the interim, other than to suggest that they can find suitable habitat somewhere else in the RSA. 49 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3633, 1. 1-4 That proposal is not borne out by the evidence as the RSA does not have surplus habitat available to support those species. 50 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3632, 1. 18 to p. 3633, 1. 4

In its Opening Statements back in Fort McMurray, Shell claimed that the Project will have: "No unacceptable long-term environmental effects upon closure and reclamation." 51 Transcript Volume 3, p. 232, 1. 9-12 Such a conclusion cannot possibly be drawn. For example, Shell admits that it anticipates large decreases in wetlands given their current inability to be reclaimed. 52 Transcript volume 3, p. 265, 1. 8-11

Shell also stated that with losses of wetlands come losses in high biodiversity potential area, reductions in rare plants in these wetlands, and reductions in habitats for species like rusty
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blackbird, horned grebe and yellow rail. Volume 3, p. 265, 1. 11-15

In general, Shell admits that the reclaimed landscape will support a lower level of biodiversity comparative to the predevelopment
landscape. 54 Transcript volume 13, p. 3129, 1. 5-12
Despite such losses, Shell refuses to
implement sufficient mitigation. As pointed out by Environment Canada:
"There's insufficient mitigation to avoid and lessen
effects on species at risk and therefore our recommendation is for additional mitigation." 55 Transcript volume 13, p. 3133, 1. 5-17

Shell has refused to include additional mitigation in the form of compensation offsets.

Shell has refused despite the fact that there will be losses that are irreversible, particularly for peatlands.

Shell has refused despite the fact that numerous species rely on such habitat including species at risks.

Shell has refused despite the Federal
Government's request for additional mitigation and its suggestion that offsets should be considered in the event that effects are not avoided or minimized. 56 Transcript Volume 13, p. 3150, 1. 612; p. 3234, 1. 1-14

Which we know will not be happening as planned in this assessment.

Finally, Shell has refused despite the fact that Environment Canada stated that Shell has not provided enough in the way of mitigation that it does not have to consider offsets. 57 Transcript Volume 14, p. 3639, 1. 11 to p. 3640, 1. 14

Shell's rationale for failing to include offsets as part of its mitigation is that the effects won't be significant, except for woodland caribou and the black-throated green warbler. 58 Exhibit 001-070, p. 9 So it does not get to determine whether effects are significant, thereby necessitating mitigation.

Further, such an approach would be contrary to the Total decision which found that any impacts on species at risk are significant.

Also, as required under $\operatorname{SARA}$, all adverse effects of species at risk should be mitigated.

Shell provides a similar rationale for
refusing to avoid drawdown effects to the unique lenticular patterned fen in the northeastern corner of the LSA during construction and operation, of which 16 percent will be directly affected by mine clearing and the remaining 84 percent being affected by drawdown.

Although the fen may provide suitable habitat for several federally-listed species, including the yellow rail, Shell is refusing to avoid drawdown effects to the fen as recommended by Environment Canada because, in its opinion, it is very unlikely that resilience of yellow rail populations in the RSA has been affected.

As just outlined, such an approach is unacceptable and not supported in law. In any event, Shell has not provided sufficient evidence to support that assertion. 59 Exhibit 001-070, p. 7-8

Finally, Shell employs a circular argument to get around having to provide mitigation measures. So it states that effects of the Project must be assessed at the RSA level. But then RSA impacts are best addressed by LARP. But there are no LARP protected areas in the LSA, maybe 2 per cent, and there are also no management frameworks in place. The end result is that there's no mitigation of
effects. 60 Transcript Volume 8, p. 1595, 1. 24 to p. 1596, 1. 13
In sum, we submit that given the evidence, it cannot be concluded that adequate mitigation has been proffered by Shell with respect to terrestrial impacts.

## Conditions

If the Panel conclude that the Project is in the public interest, we submit that approvals for the Project should not be granted until the biodiversity and landscape management frameworks are implemented.

Shell should also be required to develop and submit a verifiable mitigation strategy for compensatory offsite offsets in order to achieve a Net Positive impact on habitat for species at risk and other valued wildlife species.

A similar mitigation plan should also be included for wetlands and old-growth forests as a condition to any approvals. And we provide details of what should be included in such a plan in our October 1st submissions.

We also ask that the Panel and participants should be provided with an opportunity to review and test the adequacy of those mitigation
strategies prior to granting of any approvals.
So, Mr. Chairman, it's only been half an hour, but I'm almost halfway through. I look to you for direction as to what you prefer to do. THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine. Ms. Gorrie, thanks for -- thanks everyone, in fact, for helping us along with the schedule, and I was going to ask if there would be any objection to starting at 8:00 tomorrow? I don't see anyone... Oh-oh.

MR. PERKINS:
I don't rise to object, sir.
I just thought I might mention this. We've juggled the schedule as counsel had discussed it, and it has impacts on tomorrow. Specifically, OSEC was to follow Mikisew Cree and also Ms. Johnston, and I wonder if it's worthwhile for counsel to discuss Ms. Gorrie, in particular, jumping the queue to wrap up -- I shouldn't say it that way -- to complete her argument before those other parties can proceed.

THE CHAIRMAN:
Well, I was going to give Ms. Gorrie the option because if she carries on, then she gets a double-whammy; she has to go late and then she has to start early. So, yes, if counsel can work that out, that would be great. So we'll start at 8 o'clock tomorrow. Have a
T


```
        good evening.
```

        (The Hearing Adjourned at 6:30 p.m.)
        (The Hearing to Reconvene at 8:00 a.m.
        on Wednesday, November 21st, 2012)
    1
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